**Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan**

Part One - Implementation

# DOCUMENT CONTROL

| DESCRIPTION | DATE |
|---|---|
| 250125 23-186 LSP Part 1 - Doley Road Precinct LSP (rev0).docx | 25 January 2025 |
| 260716 26-422 LSP Part 1 - Doley Road Precinct LSP (rev1).docx (WAPC modifications) | 16 July 2026 |

**© 2026 Apex Planning Pty Ltd**
All rights reserved. The information contained in this document and its appendices is confidential and intended solely for the use of the client for the purpose it was prepared. This document remains the intellectual property of Apex Planning Pty Ltd. This document may not be used, sold, reproduced or copied by any person without the prior written consent of Apex Planning Pty Ltd. The use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Apex Planning Pty Ltd constitutes an infringement of copyright. No liability is accepted whatsoever for any unintended third party use or reliance on this report.

# Endorsement Page

IT IS CERTIFIED THAT AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN WAS APPROVED BY RESOLUTION OF THE WESTERN AUSTRALIAN PLANNING COMMISSION ON:

**30 OCTOBER 2026**

Signed for and on behalf of the Western Australian Planning Commission



an officer of the Commission duly authorised by the Commission pursuant to section 24 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* for that purpose.

# TABLE OF AMENDMENTS

| AMENDMENT NO. | SUMMARY OF THE AMENDMENT | AMENDMENT TYPE | DATE APPROVED BY WAPC |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Minor changes to LSP in area bound by Lawrence Way, Warrington Road, Allanson Drive, and Orton Road. | Minor | 14 March 2022 |
| 2 | Minor amendment to the Structure Plan Map for Public Open Space within Lot 32 Doley Road.<br>Update text to reflect gazettal of Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No.3.<br>Minor changes to Part 1 in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 and WAPC WA Planning Manual Guidance for Structure Plans (2023). | Minor | 21 August 2025 |
| 3 | Rezone a portion of Lot 102 Orton Road and Lot 9125 Orton Road to Neighbourhood Centre.<br>Create new road reserve along western side of Neighbourhood Centre.<br>Update Part 1 to reflect the creation of the Neighbourhood Centre zone and include provisions. | Minor | **30 October 2026** |

# TABLE OF DENSITY PLANS

| DENSITY PLAN NO. | AREA OF DENSITY PLAN APPLICATION | DATE APPROVED BY WAPC |
|---|---|---|
| 3410-51F-01 | Area between Doley Rd, Lawrence Way and Orton Rd | 30 September 2021 |
| 3410-97D-01 | Parent Lot 131 Doley Road | 20 October 2021 |
| 3410-111C-01 | Area west of Warrington Rd | 11 May 2023 |
| 3410-123A-01 | Parent Lot 33 Doley Road | 20 December 2023 |

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan (**LSP**) allows for the creation of a residential neighbourhood (and an associated neighbourhood centre) which responds to its environmental features and achieves State government density targets.

The LSP area covers a total of approximately 120.5 hectares, comprising 47 freehold lots which are generally located along and to the north of Orton Road, Byford, within the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

The LSP area is zoned of 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (**MRS**) and 'Urban Development' under the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale (**Shire**) Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (**LPS3**). The LSP has been prepared in accordance with the Shire's LPS3 and the Deemed Provisions forming Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 (**LPS Regulations**)*.

The LSP achieves the State strategic planning objectives set out by *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* and the *South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework*, and is consistent with the Byford District Structure Plan 2023 (**DSP**), which provides a high level framework to coordinate future land use and development for the Byford area including the allocation of urban land and activity centres.

With allocated residential densities ranging from R25 to R60, the LSP can deliver a residential target of around 27.6 dwellings per site hectare, achieving State density targets. Medium density housing will be located are key areas of Public Open Space (**POS**), activity centres, the primary school site, and key transport routes. The primary school and a neighbourhood centre are provided in accordance with the Byford DSP.

A number of POS areas will provide residents with a combination of passive and active spaces, including a multiple use corridor that will be delivered as a rehabilitated living stream (which serves a local and district drainage function, consistent with the Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan). An area of active open space co-located with the primary school is also provided, as per *Liveable Neighbourhoods*.

The following technical appendices have been prepared in support of the LSP:

- Environmental Report.
- Bushfire Management Plan.
- Local Water Management Strategy.
- Transport Impact Assessment.
- Servicing and Infrastructure Strategy.
- Landscape Master Plan.
- Retail Needs Assessment & Impact Test.

The above reports comprehensively address all of the relevant town planning considerations, and demonstrate the land is suitable for urban development.

# TABLE 1: LAND USE SUMMARY

| ITEM | STRUCTURE PLAN | SECTION NUMBER REFERENCED IN STRUCTURE PLAN REPORT |
|---|---|---|
| Total area covered by the structure plan | 120.5ha | 1 |
| Area of each land use proposed:<br>Zones (as per LPS3)<br>- Residential<br>- Neighbourhood Centre<br>Reserves (as per LPS3 and the MRS)<br>- Road reserves<br>- Parks and Recreation<br>- Public Purpose | <br><br>68ha<br>3.2ha<br><br><br>34.5 ha<br>10.8ha<br>4.0ha | 3 |
| Total estimated lot yield | 1,800-1,900 lots | 3 |
| Estimated number of dwellings | 1,775-1,875 dwellings | 3 |
| Estimated residential site density<br>- Dwellings per gross urban zoned hectare (as per Perth & Peel @ 3.5 million)<br>- Dwellings per site hectare (as per Liveable Neighbourhoods) | <br>15.6 dwellings per gross hectare<br><br>27.6 dwellings per residential site hectare | 3 |
| Estimated population | 4,970-5,250 people @ 2.8 people per household | 3 |
| Number of Primary Schools | 1 (4.0 hectares) | 3 |
| Number of Secondary Schools | None | 3 |
| Estimated commercial floorspace | 5,000sqm | 3 |
| Public Open Space | 10.64ha (total creditable POS) 9.4%<br>10.41ha (unrestricted POS)<br>0.23ha (restricted POS) | 3 |

# CONTENTS

**PART ONE** **1**

**1 STRUCTURE PLAN AREA** **2**

**2 STRUCTURE PLAN CONTENT** **2**

**3 OPERATION** **2**

**4 INTERPRETATION AND RELATIONSHIP WITH STATUTORY PLANNING FRAMEWORK** **2**

**5 STAGING** **2**

**6 LAND USE AND SUBDIVISION** **3**

6.1 LAND USE AND ZONES 3

6.2 RESIDENTIAL 3

6.2.1 DWELLING TARGET 3

6.2.2 DENSITY 3

6.2.3 LOCATIONAL CRITERIA 4

6.3 NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE 4

6.4 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE 5

6.5 ROADS 7

6.6 TREE RETENTION 8

6.7 NOISE ATTENUATION 8

**7 LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS** **8**

7.1 LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS 8

**8 OTHER REQUIREMENTS** **8**

8.1 CONDITIONS OF SUBDIVISION APPROVAL 8

8.2 BUSHFIRE RISK MANAGEMENT 9

8.3 DEVELOPMENT CONTRIBUTIONS 9

# PART ONE

## IMPLEMENTATION

# 1 STRUCTURE PLAN AREA

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan area is shown on Plan A: Local Structure Plan.

# 2 STRUCTURE PLAN CONTENT

This Local Structure Plan comprises:

- Part One – Implementation Section.
- Part Two – Explanatory Report.
- Appendices – Technical Reports.

Part One of the Local Structure Plan comprises the structure plan map and planning provisions. Part Two of the Local Structure Plan is the planning report component which can be used to interpret and implement the requirements of Part One.

# 3 OPERATION

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan comes into effect on the date that it is endorsed by the Western Australian Planning Commission.

# 4 INTERPRETATION AND RELATIONSHIP WITH STATUTORY PLANNING FRAMEWORK

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan constitutes a Local Structure Plan pursuant to the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No.3 and has been prepared in accordance with Part 4 of the Deemed Provisions forming Schedule 2 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

The Structure Plan Map (Plan A) outlines future land use, zones and reserves applicable within the structure plan area.

Pursuant to the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, a decision maker of an application for development approval or subdivision approval is to have due regard to the provisions of this Local Structure Plan, including the Structure Plan Map, Implementation Report, Explanatory Report and Technical Appendices.

# 5 STAGING

Development staging will follow an orderly sequence and shall not exceed the extension of essential service infrastructure or constructed road access.

# 6 LAND USE AND SUBDIVISION

## 6.1 LAND USE AND ZONES

Land use permissibility within the Structure Plan area shall be in accordance with the corresponding zone or reserve under the Scheme, or as otherwise outlined in this Structure Plan.

## 6.2 RESIDENTIAL

### 6.2.1 DWELLING TARGET

In accordance with the requirements of Liveable Neighbourhoods, subdivisions are to achieve an average residential density of 22 dwellings per site hectare across the Structure Plan area.

### 6.2.2 DENSITY

a. Plan A defines the broad residential density ranges that apply to specific areas within the Structure Plan. Lot specific residential densities, within the defined residential ranges, are to be subsequently assigned in accordance with a Residential Code Plan approved by the WAPC.

b. A Residential Code Plan is to be submitted at the time of subdivision to the WAPC and shall be consistent with the Structure Plan, and the Residential Density Ranges identified on Plan A and locational criteria contained in Clause 6.2.3.

c. The Residential Code Plan is to include a summary of the proposed dwelling yield of the subdivision.

d. Approval of the Residential Code Plan shall be undertaken at the time of determination of the subdivision application by the WAPC. The approved Residential Density Code Plan shall then form part of the Structure Plan and shall be used for the determination of future development applications.

e. Variations to the Residential Code Plan will require further approval of the WAPC, with a revised Residential Code Plan submitted generally consistent with the approved plan of subdivision issued by the WAPC. The revised Residential Code Plan shall be consistent with Residential Density ranges identified on Plan A and the locational criteria contained in Clause 6.2.3.

f. A revised Residential Code Plan, consistent with Clause 6.2.2 (e) will replace, wholly or partially, the previously approved Residential Code Plan, and shall then form part of the Structure Plan as outlined in Clause 6.2.2 (d).

g. Residential Code Plans are not required if the WAPC considers that the subdivision is for one or more of the following:

    i. The amalgamation of lots;

    ii. Consolidation of land for ‘superlot’ purposes to facilitate land assembly for future development;

    iii. The purposes of facilitating the provision of access, services or infrastructure; or

iv. Land which by virtue of its zoning or reservation under the Structure Plan cannot be developed for residential purposes.

### 6.2.3 LOCATIONAL CRITERIA

The allocation of residential densities shall be in accordance with the following locational criteria:

a. The R25 density code shall apply as the base code to all 'Residential' zoned lots, with the exception of those lots coded R40 or R60 as set out in (b) and (c) below.

b. The R40 density code may apply to all 'Residential' zoned lots where one or more of the following applies:

   i. The lot is located on a street corner or at the end of a street block; or

   ii. Considered to be in an area of high amenity including within 400m of a commercial centre / neighbourhood node, around public open space, primary school and adjacent to major public transport routes.

c. The R60 density code may apply to 'Residential' zoned lots that meet one or more of the criteria set out in (b) above or where the lot measures 900m² or greater.

## 6.3 NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE

a. Development provisions are to be consistent with the Neighbourhood Centre zone within the Shire's Local Planning Scheme No.3.

b. Shop-retail floorspace is limited to 5,000sqm Net Lettable Area (**NLA**). Any application for planning approval which seeks to exceed this shop-retail floorspace allocation is required to demonstrate compliance with the provisions of *State Planning Policy 4.2 Activity Centres*.

c. Car parking provision is to be guided by the WAPC's *WA Planning Manual – Non-Residential Car Parking Rates in Perth and Peel* and the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No.3.

d. Access to the Neighbourhood Centre is to be achieved via a full movement roundabout to Doley Road, ensuring optimised accessibility for the community from all directions. The precise location, design, and specification of the crossover is to be resolved at development approval stage in accordance with a transport impact assessment, to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

e. Doley Road is to be sufficiently widened and designed as a boulevard, with a split carriageway and central median, to ensure the retention of trees.

f. Pepper Grove being extended to form a left turn only intersection with Doley Road.

g. Any application for development approval which involves noise-generating land uses in close proximity to existing or planned residential uses is to demonstrate compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997* by way of an acoustic assessment.

h. Acoustic attenuation measures and coverings for any rear loading areas and grocery pick up areas are to be appropriately designed and landscaped.

i. Existing significant vegetation within Lot 102 Doley Road, Byford is to be investigated for retention as part of the Neighbourhood Centre's design. These vegetation are to be retained and incorporated where feasible and practical.

j. Appropriate interface treatment is required with surrounding residential areas, to address visual amenity, scale, security, noise, and light pollution.

k. The Neighbourhood Centre should be designed to maximise pedestrian connectivity and legibility, creating a walkable neighbourhood.

l. The land subject of the 'Neighbourhood Centre' classification shall not be created or developed until such time as the Orton Road realignment is finalised, to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

m. Where the Neighbourhood Centre has interface to an existing or future residential area, appropriate interface treatments shall be demonstrated. Appropriate treatments should include, but is not limited to significant provision of soft landscaping and tree planting throughout the centre to improve amenity, streetscape response, and to provide shade to parking areas.

n. The Neighbourhood Centre is to achieve minimum 2-storey facades and built form.

o. Bottom floors are to be appropriately articulated (60% of windows to be unglazed/advertised).

p. Principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (**CPTED**) are to be incorporated as part of any future development application for the neighbourhood centre.

q. A landscape masterplan is required to be prepared and approved for the Neighbourhood Centre.

## 6.4 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

a. The provision of a minimum 10% public open space is to be provided in accordance with *Liveable Neighbourhoods*. Public open space is to be provided generally in accordance with the structure plan map (Plan A) and the Public Open Space Schedule (refer Table 2).

b. As a condition of subdivision approval for Lot 61 Lawrence Way, Byford, the subdivider will be required to make payment to the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale for the sum equivalent to 700sqm, being that portion of the land that would otherwise be provided as open space prior to Amendment 1 of the structure plan.

c. The landscape design of the Public Open Space within Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford is to include active recreational facilities in the form of 250sqm of a parkour facility and 250sqm of an exercise obstacle course.

# TABLE 2: PUBLIC OPEN SPACE SCHEDULE

| **Public Open Space Schedule (all areas in hectares)** | | |
|---|---|---|
| Site Area | | 120.50 |
| Deductions | | |
| Primary School | 4.00 | |
| Commercial Centre | 3.20 | |
| Orton Road widening land | 0.43 | |
| 1:1 Drainage within POS | 0.24 | |
| Total Structure Plan Deductions | | 7.87 |
| **Gross Subdivisible Area** | | **112.63** |
| POS @ 10% | | 11.26 |
| Public Open Space Requirement (may comprise) | | |
| Min 8% unrestricted POS | 9.01 | |
| Max 2% restricted POS | 2.25 | |
| **TOTAL POS REQUIRED** | | **11.26** |
| Public Open Space Provided | Unrestricted POS | Restricted POS |
| POS 1 (MUC West of Doley; Amd 2) | 0.93 | 0.03 |
| POS 2 (MUC East of Doley) | 2.21 | 0.08 |
| POS 3 (Beenyup Park) | 1.23 | 0.00 |
| POS 4 (Northern Local Park) | 0.36 | 0.00 |
| POS 5 (MUC West of Warrington; Amd 1) | 2.25 | 0.09 |
| POS 6 (North-Eastern Neighbourhood Park) | 1.29 | 0.00 |
| POS 7 (MUC East of Warrington) | 1.14 | 0.03 |
| POS 8 (Turner Road Local Park) | 0.54 | 0.00 |
| POS 9 (South-Eastern Local Park) | 0.46 | 0.00 |
| **TOTAL** | **10.41** | **0.23** |
| Additional Deductions | | |
| Restricted Open Space Surplus | | 0.00 |
| Revised Public Open Space Contribution | | |
| Min 8% Unrestricted POS Provided | 10.41 | 9.2% |
| Max 2% Restricted POS Provided | 0.23 | 0.2% |
| **Total Creditable POS Provided** | **10.64** | **9.4%** |

*Notes:*

1. *In accordance with Liveable Neighbourhoods: the area subject to inundation more frequently than a one year average recurrence interval rainfall event is not included as restricted or unrestricted open space and is a deduction from the net site area (LN R3); areas for the detention of stormwater for a greater than one year average recurrence interval up to the five year recurrence interval is restricted open space up to 20%. the area greater than 20% is a deduction (not applicable in this case) (LN R26 & Table 11); areas for the detention of stormwater for a greater than five year average recurrence interval is within unrestricted open space (LN R25)*
2. *This Schedule is for plan CLE Ref. 3410-22B-01, with Amendments 1 and 2 incorporated.*

## 6.5 ROADS

The road reserves should include the installation of street trees and landscaping, parallel street parking bays, dual-use paths, shared-paths, stormwater retention and infiltration systems, and street lighting to the satisfaction of the Shire and their adopted policies and planning framework. The width of and number of crossovers shall be kept to a functional minimum in accordance with the R-Codes and the Shire's adopted Local Planning Policies, to minimise the extent of hard surface, and reduce vehicle conflict.

a. Orton Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).

b. Soldiers Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 30 metres (10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road).

c. Land is required to accommodate a roundabout at the intersection of Orton and Soldiers Road. The land to be ceded and the design of the roundabout is to be to the satisfaction of the Shire on advice from the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage.

d. Direct access to Soldiers Road is not permitted and an internal road will be required between residential lots and Soldiers Road to provide appropriate access and frontage. Double storey, rear-loaded and dual frontage lots are not permitted in this location due to interface and noise attenuation objectives.

e. Notwithstanding the Shire's endorsed LSP Map, intersections to Orton Road shall be:

    i. restricted and rationalised where possible in accordance with Development Control Policy 1.4 and Liveable Neighbourhoods;

    ii. reduced from six to three intersections between Warrington and Soldiers Roads; and

    iii. left-in-left-out only at the intersection with Road 82 unless a roundabout is coordinated with the Structure Plan area south of Orton Road (whichever is preferred by the Shire).

f. Direct lot access is generally not permitted to lots abutting Orton Road and Doley Road and rear laneway arrangements shall be provided.

g. The construction of Recreation Road is not supported due to BF 321 and TECs. Closure of Recreation Road is recommended and its management and restoration is expected to be outlined and ensured within the Draft Brickwood Reserve Management Plan (authored by the Shire of SJ) currently being assessed by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage.

h. Road paving is not permitted to straddle lot boundaries unless a subdivision application is received that is signed by all landowners.

i. The lots fronting the proposed road which straddles Lot 50 (No. 124) Warrington Road and Lot 20 (No. 142) Warrington Road will not be supported for creation (via subdivision) until such time as the entire road is created/constructed.

j. The land subject of the 'Neighbourhood Centre' classification shall not be created or developed until such time as the Orton Road realignment is finalised, to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

k. Doley Road is to be sufficiently widened to be designed as a boulevard, with a split carriageway and central median, which allows for the retention of trees.

### 6.6 TREE RETENTION

a. Trees are to be retained within the Doley Road reserve, and accommodated within this road design.

b. Earthworks, lot boundaries, service infrastructure, and road reserve infrastructure are to accommodate for the root zones of significant trees, where relevant.

### 6.7 NOISE ATTENUATION

Noise attenuation treatments as per the recommendations outlined in the Lloyd George Acoustics Acoustic Assessment dated 17 June 2016 including notifications on title, and quiet house design within Local Development Plans, but excluding the construction of a noise wall, shall be implemented as conditions of subdivision approval for any lots adjacent to Soldiers Road unless an updated and more accurate Acoustic Assessment is provided with, and approved for, any future subdivision application for the lots in closest proximity of the railway.

## 7 LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS

### 7.1 LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS

The preparation of a Local Development Plan may be required by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), on the advice of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, as a condition of subdivision approval where deemed necessary for land comprising, but not limited to:

a. Lots abutting areas of Public Open Space.

Notwithstanding, the WAPC or local government may require the preparation of an LDP in accordance with Part 6, Schedule 2 (Regulation 47) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.*

## 8 OTHER REQUIREMENTS

### 8.1 CONDITIONS OF SUBDIVISION APPROVAL

The following technical reports / strategies are to be prepared and submitted as a condition of subdivision approval (where applicable):

- Urban Water Management Plan.
- Bushfire Attack Level Assessment and/or Bushfire Management Plan.
- Acid Sulphate Soils Management Plan.
- Noise Management Plan
- Tree Pickup Survey
- Landscape Management Plan

## 8.2 BUSHFIRE RISK MANAGEMENT

The landowner / developer is to ensure compliance with *State Planning Policy 3.7: Bushfire* (**SPP3.7**) and the related Guidelines, for each stage of subdivision and development.

This Local Structure Plan is supported by a Bushfire Management Plan (Essential Environmental, June 2016 and Linfire, 2021), and a Bushfire Attack Level Assessment Report (EcoLogical 2023).

Applications for subdivision approval and development approval will be required to be accompanied by stage specific bushfire management plans in accordance with the provisions of SPP3.7.

## 8.3 DEVELOPMENT CONTRIBUTIONS

The Local Structure Plan is subject to the Byford Development Contribution Scheme, pursuant to the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale *Local Planning Scheme No. 3*.

**ZONES**


- Residential R25-R40
- Residential R40-R60
- Residential R60
- NC — Neighbourhood Centre

**LOCAL RESERVES**

- Public Open Space
- Public and Community Purposes

**OTHER**

- Structure Plan Boundary
- Neighbourhood Connector
- Access Street




0 100 200 300 400 500

## NOTES

1. Public Open Space areas are indicative only and subject to further detail design and drainage considerations.
2. All access streets are indicative only and are subject to refinement as part of the detailed subdivision process.
3. Orton Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).
4. Soldiers Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 30 metres (10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road).
5. Land is required to accommodate a roundabout at the intersection of Orton and Soldiers Road. The land to be ceded and the design of the roundabout is to be to the satisfaction of the Shire on advice from the Department of Planning.
6. Direct access to Soldiers Road is not permitted and an internal road will be required between residential lots and Soldiers Road to provide appropriate access and frontage. Double storey, rear-loaded and dual frontage lots are not permitted in this location due to interface and noise attenuation objectives.

# PLAN A: LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN

Orton Road, Byford

DLY-2-AMD3b 31.03.2026 1:10,000@A4
REV A
Drawing source from CLE TOWN PLANNING + DESIGN

# ADDENDUM TO PART TWO

## JUSTIFICATION REPORT (AMENDMENT 3)

**© 2026 Apex Planning Pty Ltd**
All rights reserved. The information contained in this document and its appendices is confidential and intended solely for the use of the client for the purpose it was prepared. This document remains the intellectual property of Apex Planning Pty Ltd. This document may not be used, sold, reproduced or copied by any person without the prior written consent of Apex Planning Pty Ltd. The use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Apex Planning Pty Ltd constitutes an infringement of copyright. No liability is accepted whatsoever for any unintended third party use or reliance on this report.

**DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN**
ADDENDUM TO PART TWO

# CONTENTS


**ADDENDUM TO PART TWO** 1

**1 PLANNING BACKGROUND** 2

1.1 INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE 2

1.2 LAND DESCRIPTION 3

1.2.1 LOCATION 3

1.2.2 DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT SITE AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT 3

1.2.3 LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND OWNERSHIP 5

1.3 PLANNING FRAMEWORK 5

1.3.1 ZONING AND RESERVATIONS 5

1.3.2 DISTRICT STRUCTURE PLAN 6

1.3.3 PERTH AND PEEL @ 3.5 MILLION AND SOUTH METROPOLITAN PEEL SUB-REGIONAL PLANNING FRAMEWORK 7

1.3.4 SHIRE OF SERPENTINE-JARRAHDALE LOCAL PLANNING STRATEGY 7

1.3.5 SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE – ACTIVITY CENTRES STRATEGY 8

1.3.6 LOCAL PLANNING POLICY 2.5: SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE ACTIVITY CENTRES 9

1.3.7 STATE PLANNING POLICY 3.7 BUSHFIRE 9

1.3.8 STATE PLANNING POLICY 4.2 ACTIVITY CENTRES 10

**2 SITE CONDITIONS AND CONSTRAINTS** 12

**3 LAND USE AND SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS** 13

3.1 LAND USE 13

3.2 NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE ZONE 14

3.3 RESIDENTIAL 16

3.4 BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT 16

3.5 MOVEMENT NETWORK 16

3.6 WATER MANAGEMENT 18

3.7 ACTIVITY CENTRES 18

3.8 EDUCATION 19

3.9 INFRASTRUCTURE COORDINATION, SERVICING AND STAGING 19

3.10 DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS 19


# APPENDICES


APPENDIX 1: RETAIL NEEDS ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX 2: TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT


# 1 PLANNING BACKGROUND

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Apex Planning has formulated this structure plan amendment on behalf of the landowner of Lot 102 (1299) Orton Road, Byford (hereafter referred to as the **amendment site**).

This report is an addendum to Part Two of the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan (**LSP**), to explain and justify Amendment 3 having regard for the strategic and statutory town planning framework and relevant constraints/considerations.

It is proposed to amend the Doley Road Precinct LSP by changing the zoning of a portion of the amendment site and adjoining southern land to Neighbourhood Centre, and to create a new road reserve along the western side of the Neighbourhood Centre. The proposed amendment is depicted below:




The proposed amendment will bring the LSP into alignment with the Shire's Local Planning Strategy, Byford District Structure Plan, and Local Planning Scheme No.3, and will also enable the delivery of a planned neighbourhood centre by ensuring there is sufficient land allocated for that purpose under the LSP.

The amendment will facilitate the future delivery of a neighbourhood level shopping precinct to service the rapidly growing population of the surrounding communities in Byford. The amendment site forms part of a growing urban area and is strategically located for the provision of essential urban support services to meet the daily and weekly needs of the surrounding communities. Amendment 3 is supported by an expert retail needs assessment which is provided at **Appendix 1** and a traffic impact assessment which is provided at **Appendix 2**.

## 1.2 LAND DESCRIPTION

### 1.2.1 LOCATION

As outlined and illustrated in Part Two of the LSP, the structure plan area is located at the southern section of Byford within the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale. The structure plan area is generally bounded by Orton Road to the south, Soldiers Road and Recreation Road to the east, and The Glades Byford structure plan area to the north and west. The Doley Road Precinct LSP area comprises approximately 120.5ha.

Lot 102, the main amendment site, is located at the north-western corner of the intersection of Doley Road and Orton Road, and is approximately 2.5km south-west of the Byford Town Centre. The adjoining southern land also subject of the amendment comprises the old Orton Road reserve and Lot 9125.

Lot 102 is indicated in relation to the wider structure plan area in **Figure 1 – Location Plan** below:





**Figure 1:** Location Plan

### 1.2.2 DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT SITE AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT

Lot 102 is an irregularly shaped 2.914ha lot located at the south-western corner of the LSP area. The land is currently undeveloped. Lot 102 currently has road frontage to Doley Road to the east, old Orton Road to the south, and Linum Way & Pepper Grove to the west and north.

Key locational features associated with the LSP include Brickwood Reserve which is located east of the structure plan area, the Byford town centre located approximately 2km north-east of the structure plan area (on South Western Highway), and DevelopmentWA's Forrestdale Business Park West approximately 10km north.

Orton Road is currently identified as a Neighbourhood Connector under the LSP and is a key thoroughfare for the southern Byford locality which will eventually connect to the future extension of Tonkin Highway. Works associated with the partial upgrade and realignment of Orton Road are currently underway. At the completion of its full upgrade and realignment, Orton Road will be classified as an Integrator B Road.

A triangular portion of the Orton Road reserve along the site's southern boundary has been historically allocated as Mixed Use R80 and has recently come under private ownership.

Doley Road is identified as a Neighbourhood Connector A road and is currently a key thoroughfare linking between Orton Road and Abernethy Road. Doley Road south of Orton Road is also planned for realignment and upgrade, eventually providing the established and future residential communities with a north-south connection through the Byford and Cardup localities.

At completion of their respective upgrades, Orton Road and Doley Road will include a roundabout intersection located at the south-eastern corner of the amendment site.

Linum Way and Pepper Grove are local residential roads.

The amendment site forms part of the southern areas of Byford, which is largely encompassed by the following structure plans:

- Doley Road Precinct LSP.
- The Glades, Byford LSP.

The locality is undergoing continual urbanisation, with significant residential subdivision and road network upgrades planned to occur in accordance with the strategic planning framework and established structure plans.

Urbanisation is currently well-progressed on the northern side of Orton Road, with existing residential land uses to the west and east of the amendment site and some land still in the process of subdivision/development. The Beenyup Grove Estate is located immediately north of the amendment site and at the time of producing this amendment is in the process of being established. The Glades estate forms the wider portion of the surrounding locality and includes The Glades Village shopping precinct which is located approximately 1km north of the amendment site.

Works are currently underway to deliver further residential lots within a portion of the planned urban land at the southern side of Orton Road in accordance with The Glades, Byford LSP. At completion, The Glades, Byford LSP will result in the development of the entirety of land south of Orton Road, located between the future Tonkin Highway extension (west), Soldiers Road (east), and Cardup Brook (south). This will include mostly residential land uses, a service commercial precinct, and a District Open Space facility.

### 1.2.3 LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND OWNERSHIP

The land subject of the amendment includes the following lots:

| Lot | Deposited Plan | Volume | Folio | Lot area | Ownership |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 102 | 430959 | 4080 | 645 | 2.914ha | Byford Property Investment Pty Ltd |
| 9125 | 431127 | 4092 | 233 | 0.474ha | LWP Byford Syndicate Pty Ltd |

## 1.3 PLANNING FRAMEWORK

### 1.3.1 ZONING AND RESERVATIONS

The structure plan area is zoned Urban under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (**MRS**), and zoned Urban Development and located within Special Control Area 6 (**SCA6**) under Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (**LPS3**). Refer to **Figures 2** and **3** below.




**Figure 2:** MRS zoning.






**Figure 3:** LPS3 zoning.

## 1.3.2 DISTRICT STRUCTURE PLAN

The LSP is within the area covered by the Byford District Structure Plan (**DSP**), which was endorsed by the WAPC in 2023. The Byford DSP provides high-level strategic guidance on future planning and development in the Byford locality. Overall, the DSP estimates a population of 50,957 people and 17,817 dwellings. To accommodate this estimated urban growth, the DSP recognises the need to integrate urban development and employment nodes with transport infrastructure and services. The Byford DSP notes that as residential development expands further south within the DSP area, new neighbourhood centres will be required.

Much of the LSP area is identified as 'low (suburban): R20-R35' under the DSP and allocates a Primary School site, with inclusion of the Orton Road and Doley Road realignments previously explained in this report. Under Section 3.2.2 of the DSP, three neighbourhood centres are identified which are distributed throughout the major urban growth areas, and each neighbourhood centre is envisaged to accommodate approximately 5,000sqm of retail NLA. Refer to the below extracts of Figure 21 and Figure 22 from the DSP showing the planned centres:




The DSP envisages a neighbourhood centre in a location consistent with the amendment site. The amendment site is the ideal location to accommodate the planned neighbourhood centre, noting its highly accessible position to the immediate and surrounding communities by virtue of future road network upgrades, and easy walkable access. Additionally, the establishment of a local supermarket with specialty stores and any additional commercial uses would drastically improve the growing community's local amenity and availability of local employment opportunities (noting Retail Trade is the third most popular industry in the Byford DSP area).

The proposed amendment is therefore appropriate in the context of the DSP and will contribute toward the achievement of its strategic objectives.

### 1.3.3 PERTH AND PEEL @ 3.5 MILLION AND SOUTH METROPOLITAN PEEL SUB-REGIONAL PLANNING FRAMEWORK

Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million is a high level strategic plan which sets a framework for the Metropolitan and Peel regions to manage population growth through density targets and the identification of areas suitable to sustain growth through housing, infrastructure and key services.

The suite of documents includes four sub-regional planning frameworks. The structure plan area and amendment site are located within the South Metropolitan Peel region.

The LSP area and amendment site are identified as Urban under the P&P framework, and the land is zoned Urban under the MRS.

The South Metropolitan Peel Sub-region is anticipated to experience significant economic and population growth, with population increase estimates of 44% (676,600 people) by 2050. The framework notes that a significant amount of this urban development will occur within emerging urban areas including Byford, which is reflected by the Shire's Local Planning Strategy and the Byford DSP, and the various local structure plans in the area (including the Doley Road Precinct LSP and The Glades, Byford LSP).

This significant population growth is required to be supported by sufficient local urban support services to meet the needs of the population. The establishment of a neighbourhood level activity centre at the amendment site as envisaged by the Local Planning Strategy and Byford DSP will create a local focal point for the growing community, as well as a driver of new local jobs which is consistent with the intent of activity centres as set out by the P&P framework.

### 1.3.4 SHIRE OF SERPENTINE-JARRAHDALE LOCAL PLANNING STRATEGY


The Shire's local planning strategy was endorsed in 2022 and is the key high-level strategic planning instrument for the municipality which has informed the local planning scheme and other local planning instruments.

The strategy is informed by the Shire's SJ2050 Spatial Framework, which allocates Byford as Urban Core and Medium Density, with a proposed population of 50,000 people and a gross housing density of 9.9.

The local planning strategy identifies the Byford area as an Urban Settlement and establishes a hierarchy of activity centres to service the Byford locality, comprised of the Byford District Centre (located at the town site), as well as three neighbourhood centres which are consistent what has been envisaged by the Byford DSP.

In this regard, the strategy envisages a neighbourhood level activity centre being established in the vicinity of the amendment site. The strategy nominates this activity centre as the Orton Road neighbourhood centre, and indicates a nominal retail floorspace allocation of 5,000sqm NLA.

An extract of the LPS map is below which shows the planned Tonkin Highway extension, Orton Road upgrade/realignment, and location of the planned Orton Road neighbourhood centre.




The strategy recognises the importance of neighbourhood centres to service neighbourhood catchments, providing the local population with important daily and weekly urban support services, and to support the larger planned district centres.

The proposed amendment to the Doley Road Precinct LSP is consistent with the strategy. The amendment would support population growth by delivering a planned neighbourhood centre in a location where it has been envisaged by multiple strategic instruments, providing essential support services on land which is suitably located, highly accessible, and of an appropriate size.

### 1.3.5 SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE – ACTIVITY CENTRES STRATEGY

The Shire's local activity centres strategy was prepared in 2012/2013 to bring the Shire's approach to activity centre planning in line with the version of State Planning Policy 4.2 which was in force at the time.

The Byford DSP (which was endorsed in 2023 after the local planning strategy) has consolidated a number of previous strategic instruments, including the activity centres strategy, to provide an updated framework to guide planning and development for the district of Byford.

The Byford DSP envisages a population catchment of 55,000 people 32,900sqm of retail floorspace to be distributed between the Byford District Centre, three neighbourhood centres, and various "local nodes". The proposed amendment demonstrates compliance and consistency with the Shire's strategic planning framework where activity centre and retail planning is concerned, by seeking to provide a neighbourhood centre in the location envisaged by both the local planning strategy and district structure plan.

The supporting retail needs assessment provided at **Appendix 1** demonstrates the appropriateness of the amendment, taking into consideration demographic data, the performance/viability of existing and planned retail centres within the defined trade area, and the key opportunities/constraints of a neighbourhood centre at the amendment site. The results show the provision of 5,000sqm of retail floorspace at the site is viable and acceptable.

### 1.3.6 LOCAL PLANNING POLICY 2.5: SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE ACTIVITY CENTRES

The current version of the Shire's LPP2.5 was endorsed in 2023 and provides local planning guidance in relation to the orderly development of district and neighbourhood level activity centres throughout the Shire.

LPP2.5 includes objectives which seek to facilitate the development of a network of district and localised activity centres with a mix of retail, commercial, leisure and community activities, whilst discouraging "ad hoc" activity centre development which is inconsistent with the Shire's local planning strategy and activity centre strategy.

The proposed amendment will facilitate the development of a neighbourhood centre within a suitable location which is consistent with higher order strategic planning for the locality, providing the growing population with essential daily and weekly urban support services.

The amendment site is strategically located for optimised accessibility, noting its corner location with frontage to the future roundabout intersection of Orton Road & Doley Road, the wider regional road network upgrades set to occur in the locality, and the fact there are higher residential densities planned within its walkable catchment as per the applicable existing local structure plans.

The Shire's LPP2.5 will be addressed in detail at development application stage, once the precise layout and built form of the neighbourhood centre is determined.

### 1.3.7 STATE PLANNING POLICY 3.7 BUSHFIRE

State Planning Policy 3.7 Bushfire seeks to implement effective, risk-based land use planning and development practices to preserve life and reduce the impact of bushfire on property and infrastructure.

The structure plan area is identified as 'bushfire prone'. A bushfire management plan and bushfire hazard assessment were prepared as part of the structure plan for the area which remains relevant/applicable. The proposed change in zoning does not affect these assessments.

A further bushfire assessment can be prepared at development application stage when the development layout is formalised, which demonstrates compliance with SPP3.7 and the associated guidelines.

### 1.3.8 STATE PLANNING POLICY 4.2 ACTIVITY CENTRES

SPP4.2 and its associated implementation guidelines were endorsed in 2023. SPP4.2 aims to ensure planning and development adequately considers the distribution, function and broad land use options for activity centres to meet local community needs, and provide social, economic and environmental benefits to the community.

In accordance with SPP4.2 implementation guidelines, local planning strategies must identify the neighbourhood centres and local centres within a local government area.

As outlined in the previous sections of this report, the Orton Road neighbourhood centre is identified both by the Shire's local planning strategy and the Byford District Structure Plan, with an anticipated retail floorspace allocation of 5,000sqm NLA.

In accordance with the policy measures of SPP4.2, where a local planning strategy does not have a Needs Assessment or the Needs Assessment has not been updated for more than five years, a new Needs Assessment may be prepared in support of a "standard" structure plan at the district or local level.

As the Shire's local planning strategy was mostly informed by the Activity Centres Strategy which was endorsed in the early 2010s, a Needs Assessment has been prepared in support of this local structure plan amendment and is provided at **Appendix 1**.

The Needs Assessment has been prepared in accordance with approach and methodology outlined within the implementation guidelines, and demonstrates:

- Retail and commercial demand in Byford is likely to increase due to localised growth. The number of dwellings within the defined trade area is projected to increase by 83% to 16,007 dwellings by 2039, with some of these dwellings already in the process of being established near the amendment site.
- Shop/retail expenditure in the defined trade area is expected to increase by 52% by 2034, which is a large increase and reflects the significant expansion in residential dwellings over the next 10-15 years.
- The amendment site will play an important role in catering for population growth in the near future, as the Lot 33 Hopkinson Road small (unplanned) neighbourhood centre will incorporate limited floorspace over a long-term period and the existing Glades Village Neighbourhood Centre north of the site has reached its expected retail capacity. The current amount of available floorspace leads to a high level of retail expenditure leakage to areas outside the trade area, which would be reduced through the delivery of the Orton Road Neighbourhood Centre.
- A shop/retail floorspace allocation of up to 5,000sqm NLA is viable and supportable at the amendment site, both in the short term and the long term, with proper consideration of existing, approved, and planned activity centres and activity centre expansions.

SPP4.2 also includes additional provisions pertaining to the land use mix, urban form, and desirable composition of activity centres.

The purpose of this amendment is to facilitate the delivery of a neighbourhood centre development at the amendment site which would be anchored by a supermarket and include speciality shops and other commercial uses to serve the daily and weekly needs of the community, consistent with the role and characteristics of neighbourhood centres as set out in Appendix 1 of SPP4.2.

The amendment site is in a highly accessible position and has the capability to serve as an important community focal point for the growing population of the locality. The layout and configuration of the neighbourhood centre will ensure it is pedestrian oriented and designed to invoke a sense of place. These considerations will be addressed at development application stage, once the precise layout and design has been formulated.

In view of the above, the proposed change in zoning to facilitate a neighbourhood centre on the site is consistent with the policy measures of SPP4.2.

# 2 SITE CONDITIONS AND CONSTRAINTS

The information presented in relation to site conditions and constraints within Section 2 of Part Two of the LSP remain relevant/applicable, demonstrating the structure plan area and amendment site are suitable for urban development.

# 3 LAND USE AND SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS

The original structure plan Part Two report assesses and explains the key land use and subdivision requirements pertaining to the LSP. The following sections address land use and subdivision requirements as they relate to the proposed amendment, serving as an addendum to the information contained within Part Two.

## 3.1 LAND USE

The notional land use and associated design principles for the neighbourhood centre which have informed the provisions contained in Part One of the structure plan are outlined as follows:

- A supermarket is preferred to anchor the neighbourhood centre and to serve the daily and weekly needs of the surrounding community. The supermarket should feature a high standard of architectural design, with rear service areas effectively screened from view.
- Creational of an internal shared zone along the primary frontage of the supermarket to establish a pedestrian-friendly connection to the wider pedestrian network.
- Specialty shops and commercial uses to integrate with an entry plaza and create an active edge, including to Doley Road.
- Defined pedestrian movement areas and connection points, and the use of paving or red concrete to delineate such areas for ease of pedestrian movement.
- Significant provision of soft landscaping and tree planting throughout the neighbourhood centre to improve amenity, streetscape response, and to provide shade to parking areas.
- The neighbourhood centre is to achieve minimum 2 storey facades and built form.
- Bottom floors are to be appropriately glazed (60% of windows to be unglazed/advertised).
- Principles of crime prevention through environmental design (**CPTED**) is to be incorporated.

## 3.2 NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE ZONE

The purpose of this structure plan amendment is to change the zoning of a portion of the amendment site to Neighbourhood Centre, replacing former zoning allocations of Mixed Use and Residential applicable to this area.

The remainder of the amendment site will be zoned Residential R25-40 and Residential R40-60, retaining the capability for medium density housing to be established.

The proposal will bring the Doley Road Precinct LSP into alignment with the Shire's strategic planning framework and LPS3 by:

- Seeking to providing a neighbourhood centre in a location where it has been envisaged by the local planning strategy and district structure plan.
- Utilising the correct type of zone for its purpose, being the Neighbourhood Centre zone which corresponds to the same zone in LPS3.
- Ensuring there is sufficient land allocated as Neighbourhood Centre to secure the delivery of a neighbourhood level shopping facility which fulfills its purpose.

The objectives of the Neighbourhood Centre zone as set out by *Table 2 – Zone Objectives* of LPS3 are extracted below for reference:

| | |
|---|---|
| Neighbourhood Centre | • Provide services for the immediate neighbourhoods that are easily accessible, which do not adversely impact on adjoining residential areas.<br>• Provide for neighbourhood and/or local centres to focus on the main daily household shopping and community needs.<br>• Encourage high quality, pedestrian-friendly, street-orientated development.<br>• Provide a focus for medium density housing.<br>• Ensure the design and landscaping of development provides a high standard of safety, convenience and amenity and contributes towards a sense of place and community. |

*Schedule 4 – Additional Site and Development Requirements* of the Shire's LPS3 contains provisions specific to the Neighbourhood Centre zone, which are extracted and/or summarised below:

Clause 4.1 – Zone Development Requirements

| | |
|---|---|
| Neighbourhood Centre | • Residential development within the Neighbourhood Centre zone shall have a density coding of R60 in accordance with the R-Codes unless otherwise specified in the Scheme or an approved structure plan.<br>• Residential development shall only be supported where it is above or behind commercial or retail development on the same site.<br>• Building setbacks shall be determined with regard to the streetscape and the surrounding development in the area, in accordance with the objectives of the Neighbourhood Centre zone and to the satisfaction of the local government.<br>• The maximum external building wall height on street frontages shall not exceed 10.5 metres. Components of buildings with a height greater than 10.5 metres shall be setback a minimum of 3 metres from the primary building line.<br>• The external building shall be adequately articulated with varying colours and materials, major openings and awnings to the satisfaction of the local government in accordance with the objectives of the Neighbourhood Centre zone.<br>• End of trip bicycle facilities must be provided in new developments in accordance with the requirements of Schedule 4.4 of the Scheme. |

Clause 4.2 – Setbacks, Site Coverage and Plot Ratio

| | | | | Setback | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Zone | Landscaping % | Maximum Site Coverage % | Maximum Plot Ratio | Front | Secondary Street | Side | Rear |
| Neighbourhood Centre | 10% in total, comprised of 5% of the front setback | 50** | 1.0** | */** | */** | */** | */** |

The above provisions will remain relevant and applicable to any neighbourhood centre development eventually proposed on the amendment site.

In addition to the provisions of LPS3, this structure plan amendment seeks to incorporate a number of provisions into Part 1 – Implementation (Section 6.3 refers) to ensure a number of key site-specific outcomes are achieved. This includes:

- Limiting the extent of shop-retail floorspace to 5,000sqm NLA, consistent with the retail needs assessment undertaken in support of the amendment.
- Requiring the existing mature vegetation within the Doley Road reserve to be retained and integrated into the ultimate design/configuration of Doley Road, ensuring this important streetscape outcome is secured.
- Allowing car parking provision to be guided by the WAPC's *WA Planning Manual – Non-Residential Car Parking Rates in Perth and Peel*, (endorsed by the Commission in November 2024) which offers guidance regarding the minimum and maximum parking rates for uses within neighbourhood centres.
- Requiring any application for planning approval which involves noise-generating land uses in close proximity to existing or planned residential uses to demonstrate compliance with the *Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997* by way of an acoustic assessment. This highlights the importance of ensuring amenity considerations are appropriately considered by virtue of its reference in structure plan provisions.
- Confirming that the neighbourhood centre is afforded a full movement access point to Doley Road, and noting that its precise location, design, and specification is to be resolved at DA stage through consultation with the Shire of SJ with expert traffic input. The amendment site's vehicular connection to

Doley Road is a critical consideration and directly informs the level of accessibility the community will have to the future neighbourhood centre development. It is important to ensure the higher order (and higher capacity) road network is used as the principal entry and exit into the neighbourhood centre.

## 3.3 RESIDENTIAL

Based on the range of densities and the extent of residential land allocated throughout the structure plan area, the original structure plan had the potential to create approximately 1,850-1,950 residential lots and 1,875-1,975 dwellings.

This equated to approximately 16.4 dwellings per gross hectare and 28.2 dwellings per site hectare.

The change in zoning proposed by this amendment which would replace Mixed Use and Residential land to Neighbourhood Centre would only marginally change the estimated yields under the original structure plan, with 15.6 dwellings per gross hectare and 27.6 dwellings per residential site hectare which remain compliant with the targets established by the State strategic framework.

## 3.4 BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT

In accordance with the WAPC's 'Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas', relevant Bushfire Management Plans and Bushfire Attack Level assessments have been prepared over the Doley Road Precinct, as well as over Lot 61 Lawrence Way, Byford and Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford in regard to Amendments 1 and 2 to the Local Structure Plan. These BMPs identify areas of low, moderate, and extreme bushfire risk.

The proposed change in zoning to Neighbourhood Centre does not change the outcomes of the previous bushfire assessments, and does not prevent the ability for any of the risk reduction measures to be completed or adhered to on an ongoing basis.

Any future lots with a BAL12.5 rating or greater will need to be constructed to the appropriate standard, with appropriate notifications on titles to inform landowners of the need to construct dwellings to a high BAL construction standard.

Further bushfire assessment(s) will be prepared at the development application stage, once a development proposal is formulated for the neighbourhood centre site.

## 3.5 MOVEMENT NETWORK

The structure plan was supported by a Traffic Impact Assessment, which forecast traffic volumes and set out a recommended road network hierarchy to support the growth of the structure plan area.

The key aspects of the planned road network are as follows:

- Orton Road – is to be classified as an Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20m to 32m (6m to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).

- Soldiers Road – is to be classified as an Other Regional Road, is to be widened to 30m with 10m to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road.
- Turner Road – is to be extended westward to connect to Doley Road and classified as a Neighbourhood Connector B, with a reservation width of 19.4m.
- Warrington Road – is to be classified as a Neighbourhood Connector A, with a reservation width of 24.4m.
- Doley Road – is to be classified as a Neighbourhood Connector A road, with a sufficient reservation width to be designed as a boulevard, with a split carriageway and central median, which allows for the retention of trees.
- Lawrence Way – is to be classified as a Neighbourhood Connector B road, with a reservation width of 19.4m.
- Access Streets – will range in width from 14.2m to 17.9m road reserves with the majority to be constructed as 15.4m wide road reserves with a 6m-7.2m wide carriageway. These road reserve widths will be reduced by 1m when the access street is adjacent to public open space as set out in Liveable Neighbourhoods.
- Laneways – to be a minimum width of 6m to accommodate two-way movements and rubbish collection. This proposed local road network will be refined further at the time of subdivision.

The proposed change in zoning for the amendment site has been considered through the preparation of an additional TIA, which is provided at **Appendix 2**. The TIA prepared for this amendment has reviewed and referenced the TIA prepared for the original structure plan as appropriate. In addition, the TIA prepared for this amendment has also addressed traffic considerations relevant to other subdivisions and structure plan amendments occurring in the wider area as relevant to the proposal, and the impending changes to the road network (ie the realignment and upgrade of Doley Road and Orton Road).

The TIA prepared for this amendment considers the proposed rezoning in context of the ultimate road network conditions for the locality and assesses the estimated change in traffic generation from what was formerly Mixed Use and Residential to a neighbourhood centre with an assumption of 5,000sqm shop-retail floorspace. The conservative traffic generation assessment contained in the TIA shows that net additional primary trips (after considering pass-by trips) would be about 141vph and 162vph during the AM and PM peak hour respectively. The TIA demonstrates this level of traffic generation is capable of being sustained by the road network around the amendment site.

An intersection capacity analysis (known as ‘SIDRA’) was undertaken for the Doley Road / Orton Road intersection, demonstrating it would operate satisfactorily under the conservative scenario of adopting the 2041 assessment year when it is anticipated the local structure plans would be fully delivered. The SIDRA demonstrates an overall Level of Service (**LOS**) B during peak traffic periods. The TIA therefore undertakes a conservative assessment and demonstrates an acceptable traffic and access outcome for the proposed change in zoning to Neighbourhood Centre for the amendment site.

In terms of the proposed movement network around the neighbourhood centre, the site will effectively be bounded on almost all sides by roads, including Pepper Grove to the north, Doley Road to the east, Orton Road to the south, and a new north-south road to the west. Each of these roads are anticipated to include pedestrian footpaths which will link to the wider footpath network in the local area, providing the neighbourhood centre with clear and direct pedestrian linkages for comfortable movement and walkability.

Cycle routes are planned along Doley Road and Orton Road under the Byford DSP which will strengthen the neighbourhood centre's connectivity through alternate modes of transportation. The DSP also recognises that there are public transportation challenges in areas of Byford and that as the population grows, improved public transit connectivity will be needed. It is anticipated that bus routes would be extended through the local area, particularly the realigned Orton Road, sometime in the future.

## 3.6 WATER MANAGEMENT

The LWMS originally prepared for the local structure plan establishes the key principles for the management of stormwater runoff and groundwater quality. The proposed change in zoning does not fundamentally change the outcomes of the LWMS and the subsequent phases of development and subdivision will include the requisite level of hydrological and stormwater expert assessments which demonstrate consistency with the principles of the LWMS.

## 3.7 ACTIVITY CENTRES

The change of zoning to Neighbourhood Centre would facilitate the future establishment a neighbourhood level shopping precinct on the amendment site, with a shop-retail floorspace allocation of 5,000sqm NLA.

It is noted that the Shire's strategic planning instruments (ie the local planning strategy and district structure plan) envisaged a neighbourhood centre at the intersection of Doley Road and Orton Road which aligns with the location of the amendment site.

The neighbourhood centre in this location has been recognised as important to serve the daily and weekly needs of a rapidly growing population, and in a position with optimised accessibility due to the evolving road network and its connectivity to its surrounding residential catchment.

In previous versions of the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan, some land in this location had been allocated as Mixed Use R80 – but it is noted that the majority of the Mixed Use land is within a road reserve which is not under private ownership and the Mixed Use zone itself is not in direct alignment with the zoning arrangements established by the Shire's LPS3.

Amendment 3 will replace the Mixed Use zone with the Neighbourhood Centre zone to ensure consistency with the Shire's LPS3 and to demonstrate the clear intent for the neighbourhood centre to be established in accordance with the objectives and provisions for that zone under LPS3.

In support of Amendment 3, a retail Needs Assessment has been produced by Pracsys, provided at **Appendix 1**. The Needs Assessment has been prepared in accordance with the approach and methodology set out by SPP4.2 and demonstrates that the proposed neighbourhood centre can viably accommodate up to 5,000sqm of shop/retail floorspace without impacting on existing, planned, and approved activity centres within the defined trade area (which includes the wider Byford locality and the area covered by the Lot 33 Hopkinson Road structure plan).

## 3.8 EDUCATION

The proposed amendment has no effect on existing and planned education facilities.

## 3.9 INFRASTRUCTURE COORDINATION, SERVICING AND STAGING

The structure plan is supported by an engineering servicing report which outlined the arrangements associated with earthworks, sewer, water, gas, and telecommunications. This included servicing for the amendment site.

The delivery of essential services infrastructure is a key component of the development contribution framework for the structure plan area, which is addressed in the subsequent section of this amendment report.

The future development and subdivision of the neighbourhood centre will be required to establish connections to power, gas, water, sewerage, and telecommunications, which are all present in the local area.

## 3.10 DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS

In accordance with the Shire of SJ LPS3, the structure plan area is within the following development contribution areas:

- Area A of Development Contribution Area 1 – Byford.
- Development Contribution Area 4 – Byford and Mundijong Community Infrastructure Development Contribution Plan.

# APPENDIX 1

RETAIL NEEDS ASSESSMENT

pracsys.

# Doley Road Needs Assessment

## Ladybug Twenty Three Pty Ltd

October 2024

| Document Control | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Document Version | Description | Prepared By | Approved By | Date Approved |
| v1.0 | Draft Report | Jason Cook | Michael Chappell | 11/10/2024 |
| v2.0 | Final Report | Jason Cook | Jason Cook | 30/10/1024 |
| | | | | |

**Disclaimer**

This report has been prepared for **Ladybug Twenty Three Pty Ltd.** The information contained in this document has been prepared with care by the authors and includes information from apparently reliable secondary data sources which the authors have relied on for completeness and accuracy. However, the authors do not guarantee the information, nor is it intended to form part of any contract. Accordingly, all interested parties should make their own inquiries to verify the information and it is the responsibility of interested parties to satisfy themselves in all respects.

This document is only for the use of the party to whom it is addressed and the authors disclaim any responsibility to any third party acting upon or using the whole or part of its contents.

# CONTENTS


**1 Introduction ..... 4**

1.1 Background ..... 4

1.2 Retail Needs Assessment Purpose and Objectives ..... 4

1.3 Gravity Model Methodology ..... 5

**2 Development Context ..... 6**

2.1 Site Location ..... 6

2.2 Trade Area Definition ..... 8

**3 Retail Demand ..... 9**

3.1 Age Profile ..... 9

3.2 Trade Area Households ..... 10

3.3 Trade Area Household Income ..... 11

3.4 Estimated Retail Expenditure ..... 13

3.5 Retail Trends ..... 14

**4 Retail Analysis ..... 17**

4.1 Current Supply ..... 17

4.2 Expansions and Planned Developments ..... 19

**5 Needs Assessment ..... 22**

5.1 Gravity Modelling Calibration ..... 22

5.2 Expenditure Leakage ..... 22

5.3 Supportable Floorspace ..... 22

**6 Economic Activiation Assessment ..... 24**

6.1 Summary of the Principles of Economic Activation ..... 24

6.2 Lot 34 Orton Road, Byford ..... 25

6.3 Results ..... 27

**7 Conclusion ..... 28**

**8 Appendix I: LUES Glossary ..... 29**

**9 Appendix II: Gravity Model Methodology ..... 32**

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

Jarra Property Pty Ltd. is seeking an amendment to the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan to allow for expansion of the commercial zoning at Lot 34 (1299) Orton Rd, Byford (the subject site). This expansion will facilitate the establishment of the Doley Road Neighbourhood Centre in accordance with the Byford District Structure Plan (DSP). This is necessary for the DSP area as the approved retail developments south of Orton Rd are dispersed nodes that will not effectively fulfil the function of a neighbourhood centre. The Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale (the Shire) is receptive to the amendment, subject to appropriate investigations being undertaken.

This report includes the work undertaken in the Retail Context Memo; an assessment of the demographic factors affecting the demand for, and supply of, activity centres and the broader strategic context that is required as part of the Needs Assessment for Lot 34 Orton Rd. The Retail Context Memo also involved a critical assessment of the subject site's suitability for retail uses based on economic principles and the socio-economic profile of the development's potential users. A Needs Assessment using a gravity model has been used in this report to assess a viable level of floorspace at the Lot 34 Orton Rd considering the level of demand and supply in the potential activity centre's catchment.

## 1.2 Retail Needs Assessment Purpose and Objectives

According to the State Planning Policy 4.2 Activity Centre 2023 (SPP4.2): '*The need for activity centre uses refers to the scale and mix of residential and non-residential uses likely to be needed within a catchment area over the plan period (10 years for Precinct Structure Plans).*'

The Needs Assessment measures demand in an identified trade area and identifies the scale of supply necessary to appropriately accommodate this demand in square metres Net Lettable Area (NLA) of the Department of Planning, Land Use and Heritage's (DPLH) Planning Land Use Category (PLUC), Shop/Retail (SHP)[1].

This Needs Assessment follows the requirements of SPP4.2 Implementation Guidelines to consider and assess demand for activity centres uses. The assessment should be based on facts and unbiased evidence[2]. The key objectives of the report are to:

- Define the catchment area of the proposed development application request
- Review drivers of floorspace, including forecast population growth and socio-economic characteristics, employment and changing expenditure patterns
- Evaluate the competitive environment including existing and planned floorspace supply (including expansions and new developments)

[1] Please see Appendix I: LUES Glossary for more detail regarding DPLH Land Use and Employment Survey Categories
[2] WAPC, 2023, *State Planning Policy 4.2 Activity Centre Implementation Guidelines*, Government of Western Australia

- Develop a net demand assessment
- Assess the level of Shop/Retail floorspace supportable at the site

## 1.3 Gravity Model Methodology

Pracsys uses a retail gravity model to analyse the supply of and demand for retail floorspace within an estimated catchment and estimate the potential impact of proposed retail developments. The retail gravity model (also known as Huff's Gravity Model) is a modified version of Sir Isaac Newton's Law of Gravitation and is widely used in international trade modelling, transport modelling and regional planning. Retail gravity modelling studies retail supply, and the probability of a customer (demand) visiting each of a network of centres. The model accounts for the distribution and attractiveness of competing centres, along with the distance customers will have to travel to each centre. Floorspace quantum ($m^2$) is used to represent the attractiveness of retail centres. Customers are willing to travel farther to shop at large centres, representing a higher level of attraction (they can generally satisfy multiple needs in one trip to a larger centre, which also contains a higher proportion of comparison goods).

The model provides an objective method of distributing expenditure among centres. To optimise the predictive accuracy of the model, the calculated distribution is calibrated to match published turnover levels for each centre in the network. Having established a baseline distribution of expenditure, new floorspace can be introduced and changes in expenditure distribution across time can be examined, allowing for various retail centre transformations such as planned expansions and new developments. This comprehensive approach creates a distribution of expenditure that is fundamentally unbiased, as it is based on explicit mathematical rules. It is a widely used approach that has been accepted by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) through the review of a wide range of Structure Plans, Local Commercial Strategies and Retail Sustainability Assessments. For more information on the gravity modelling methodology, please see Appendix II: Gravity Model Methodology.


# 2 DEVELOPMENT CONTEXT

## 2.1 Site Location

The subject site is located along Orton Rd. The subject site is bordered by undeveloped land to the south and residential developments to the east and west. Doley Rd connects the subject site to Abernethy Rd which serves as a neighbourhood connecting route through Byford to South Western Hwy. The following map identifies the approximate location of the subject site (Figure 1).

Notable, there are approximately 200 residential lots that are under construction south of Orton Rd with an another 200 lots planned just east of this development. The realignment of Orton Rd as part of the Tokin Hwy extension will see the development of the roundabout at the intersection of Doley Rd and Orton Rd. This is expected to advance the development of the 1,500 residential lots associated with Lot 33 Hopkinson Rd and improve connectivity between current and future communities. The three 4 hectare lots of undeveloped land north of the subject site along Doley Rd are expected to begin development soon.

**Figure 1. Subject Site Context**





Source: Pracsys 2024

According to the Shire of the Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme (LPS) No.3, the subject site is located within an area that is currently zoned 'Urban Development', which is a combination of residential developments and undeveloped land.

## 2.2 Trade Area Definition

A trade area is the spatial boundary from which commercial floorspace generates most of its customers. The trade area definition allows for the measurement of the number of potential customers, their demographics and expenditure potential, as well as an assessment of the competitive environment. SPP4.2 states that neighbourhood centres provide for the day-to-day needs of local communities, playing an important role in the activity centre hierarchy by providing access to services and facilities for local communities. The modelled trade area of the proposed commercial area is comprised of a five-kilometre catchment area. The 5km catchment will be used in the gravity model and ensures that all centres that could reasonably influence the viability of the prospective centre are included in the Needs Assessment (Figure 2). The demographic analysis utilised the 5km trade area to identify and assess likely users of the development. The modelled trade area encompasses Byford, part of Cardup to the south and Darling Downs to the north.

**Figure 2. Trade Area**




Source: Pracsys 2024


# 3 RETAIL DEMAND

Understanding local demographics and expected market growth is vital for assessing the need for retail floorspace in the estimated trade area. This section provides an overview of age, current and future dwellings, income and the expenditure profile of the trade area.

## 3.1 Age Profile

The trade area exhibits a younger and family-oriented age profile relative to the Greater Perth benchmark (Figure 3), with a lower proportion of people aged 65- to 69-year-olds (3% versus 4.6%) and a higher proportion of both 0- to 4-year-olds (9.7% versus 6.5%) and 5- to 9-year-olds (9.6% versus 6.5%) than in Greater Perth. This reflects that the trade area is comprised of a higher concentration of working-age adults with young children than the Greater Perth average. This may indicate increased expenditure on childcare and certain convenience retail goods and services.

**Figure 3. Trade Area Age Profile**





Source: ABS Census 2021

## 3.2 Trade Area Households

Settlement data for the Shire from the Urban Development Institute of Australia's (UDIA) monthly Urban Intelligence report was used to update WA Tomorrow dwelling forecasts for 2023. The approximate trade area of the proposed commercial area is estimated to contain 8,766 dwellings in 2024 (Figure 4)[3]. Based on dwelling growth forecasts, the number of dwellings in the trade area is expected to increase to 13,365 by 2034, reflecting a forecast increase in dwellings of 52 per cent. The number of dwellings in the trade area is projected to reach 16,007 by 2039, reflecting an 83 per cent increase from the current level. This significant dwelling growth will necessitate the additional provision of Shop/Retail uses in the trade area.

**Figure 4. Trade Area Dwelling Growth Forecast**




Source: ABS Census 2021, DPLH WA Tomorrow 2018


[3] The ABS Census 2021 dwelling count by SA1 areas augmented by WA Tomorrow population projections (median band).

## 3.3 Trade Area Household Income

Trade area household income levels contribute directly to the success of retail developments, as the level and composition of spending on retail goods and services is primarily determined by household income. Generally, lower-income quintiles spend a higher proportion of their income on basic goods and services; upper-income quintiles have more disposable income available to spend on comparison retail items.

2021 ABS Census data has been used to assess the distribution of household income within the trade area (Figure 5). Incomes in the trade area have a less represented across the first, second, third and fifth quintiles, with a significantly higher proportion of households in the fourth quintile (35% versus 16%) relative to the benchmark. This is likely reflective of the high economic resilience of households in the trade area against the negative impacts of COVID-19, which has likely contributed to a significant variation in the composition of household income in Greater Perth as of the 2021 Census.

**Figure 5. Trade Area 2021 and Greater Perth 2021 Weekly Income Profile**





Source: ABS HHES Survey 2017/2018, ABS Census 2021

2016 ABS census data for Greater Perth has been compared with 2021 trade area data for a more accurate comparison without the effect of COVID-19 (Figure 6). Incomes in the trade area are like the Greater Perth benchmark in the first quintile (8% versus 8%), the second quintile (16% versus 17%) and the third quintile (22% and 23%) with a higher proportion of households in the fourth quintile (36% versus 26%). The difference in the fifth quintile accurately reflects the demographic analysis, as the trade area has a higher proportion of residents between the 30-34 and 35-39 age brackets who have likely not yet reached their maximum earning potential. However, the relatively higher proportion of household incomes in the fourth quintile indicates a

strong level of discretionary spending within the catchment, which will stimulate demand for retail goods and services provision.

**Figure 6. Trade Area 2021 and Greater Perth 2016 Weekly Income Profile**





Source: ABS HHES Survey 2017/2018, ABS Census 2021, ABS Census 2016

## 3.4 Estimated Retail Expenditure

ABS Household Expenditure Survey data was used to estimate the average spend per household by income quintile, from which the total expenditure pool of the catchment has been derived. The model combines the propensity to spend on commodities based on household income quintiles to derive the total Shop/Retail expenditure in the area. Given projected household growth, Shop/Retail expenditure is estimated to increase from $290 million in 2024 to $442 million in 2034, reflecting growth of 52 per cent without leakage (Figure 7). Shop/Retail expenditure in the trade area is predicted to reach $529 million by 2039, an increase of 83 per cent from current levels. This large increase in catchment expenditure reflects the significant expansion in residential dwellings expected to occur within the trade area over the next 10 – 15 years. Some of this turnover is projected to be lost to online leakage; however, this is expected to be less prominent with respect to convenience retail than other types, such as comparison retailing. The effect of online leakage on the viability of the subject site is expected to be minimal.

**Figure 7. Trade Area Shop/Retail Expenditure Pool 2022-2045**




Source: ABS HHES Survey 2017/2018, ABS 2021, Pracsys 2024

## 3.5 Retail Trends

An activity centre should be development in line with current retail trends to maximise expenditure and overall demand. The identified trends highlight the fast-changing nature of retail that businesses need to adapt to. It is key to ensure that planning for activity centres allows for flexibility in uses and floorspace areas. The following trends are worth considering as activation and development opportunities arise (Figure 8)[4].

**Figure 8. Retail Trends and Drivers**

| Trend | Description | Drivers |
|---|---|---|
| Conscious Retail | Conscious retail is increasingly keeping customers loyal to a brand /distributor. | Many consumers have made a lifestyle choice to have a smaller global footprint, support brands that provide better conditions for factory workers in developing countries or support local products. Consumers are often willing to pay a premium for these products. |
| Data Retail | 'Big Data' is being used to continually monitor and respond to changing consumer desires, shortening the product cycle. | Collecting and analysing data on retail sales is being used to inform all parts of the retail sale process, from supply chain to understanding customer satisfaction with their purchase. Retailers who don't use data to inform their decisions are much less likely to understand their customer's preferences or forecast demand and may find it difficult to remain competitive with those who do. |
| International Retail | The last few years have seen an influx of international brands penetrating the Australian market. | An untapped Australian market and Australian's demanding goods they can see online but cannot purchase locally. Additionally, the ability to geoblock products and charge higher prices in the Australian market has driven demand. |
| Omnichannel Retail | Digital marketing has been used to keep customers loyal to a brand/distributor. | The emergence of digital marketing across a range of devices, platforms and applications have provided multiple channels for retailers to market their products. Physical stores need an online presence to be competitive, as a consumer may shop using both means at different times. |
| Mobile Devices | Accessing retail via mobile devices and integrating mobile devices. | Mobile devices are providing unprecedented 24/7 access to retail offerings. |
| Subscription retail | Subscription retail allows retailers to encourage increased loyalty and connection to their brand. | Retailers need a business model that 'locks in' customers to increase the threshold of switching brand |
| Fast Retail | Business models comprised of virtual stores accessible | Technology has enabled customers to decide when, how and where to go. Consumers are no longer beholden to opening times or physical geography, |

[4] http://www.smartcompany.com.au/industries/retail/top-five-retail-trends-watch-2017/; https://www.rangeme.com/blog/6-trends-that-will-reinvent-retail-in-2017/; https://www.appearhere.co.uk/inspire/blog/the-retail-trends-with-staying-power; http://digitalmainstreet.ca/retail-trends-10-experts-share-their-predictions-for-2017/; https://www.vendhq.com/au/university/retail-trends-and-predictions-2017; https://www.digitalpulse.pwc.com.au/retail-trends-2017-paul-zahra/; https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2015/11/10/big-data-a-game-changer-in-the-retail-sector/#63dc4fbd9f37

| Trend | Description | Drivers |
|---|---|---|
| | from anywhere with fast distribution networks. | consumer desires rather than retailers are driving consumption. |
| Retailtainment | Entertainment as an integral part of the retail experience. | The popularity of online retail channels has resulted in physical retailers needing to provide a retail experience or social experience as a point of difference. Millennials integrate retail and entertainment as a single social experience. |
| Small Retail | Speciality shops and smaller floorplates are becoming more popular. | Smaller stores with more curated selections, and specialty shops with niche products and more knowledgeable staff are predicted to be more competitive than larger stores where products can be harder to find. |
| Personal Retail | Consumers are increasingly desiring products that can be personalised. | Consumers are going shopping with a strong idea of what they want, rather than shopping to see what is available and fits their needs. They want to find a product that reflects their personal brand and is tailored to their needs rather than generic and mass-produced. |
| Ethical Consumerism | Consumers are considering the ethical and environmental impact of their purchases; where the product was produced and if it reflects their values. | This has been driven by a range of factors such as COVID-19, social media raising awareness around working conditions and environmental impact, news, Oxfam and other organisations that rate brands. |
| Ecommerce | The rise of online shopping coincides with a rise in e-commerce. Online shopping provides the ability of consumers to stay home and e-commerce has revolutionised who can be a retailer. | This has been driven by fast-paced lives, the rise of social media, more people starting online businesses. Online shopping is what customers are doing and ecommerce is what businesses are doing. This also means in-store shopping has to be enticing or convenient enough to attract consumers. |
| Buying Local | Supporting local businesses and individuals | Consumers are becoming increasingly willing to purchase from small businesses and locally. This has been accelerated through COVID-19 to support small, struggling businesses and due to a lack of imported goods. |
| Open Air Shopping Experiences | People are starting to prefer outdoor malls rather than enclosed malls. | People wanting to be in nature, prefer to walk between shops outside rather than in a shopping centre, however, the trade area pull is larger for indoors than outdoors |
| Circular Fashion | More consumers are turning to be a part of a circular economy model in which they consume and give away items in a more sustainable manner. | This has been driven by second-hand ecommerce like Etsy, people both buying and selling as individuals or small 'brands' and the growth of the sharing economy. |
| Authenticity | Customers are increasingly wanting to feel a personal connection with brands and | Increased availability of information is making consumers more aware of marketing tactics. This is |

| Trend | Description | Drivers |
|---|---|---|
| | are becoming more aware of manipulative advertisements. | making consumers more inclined to purchase from brands/retailers they feel are authentic. |
| Virtual Reality | Retailers are increasingly looking to differentiate themselves through the integration of technology with the customer experience. | Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies have had a significant impact on the retail sector. AR and VR provide immersive and engaging experiences for customers, enabling them to visualize and interact with products in new ways such as virtual storefronts. This trend can reduce the footprint required of physical stores. |

Source: Pracsys 2024

# 4 RETAIL ANALYSIS

This section provides an overview of the competitive environment facing the proposed development at Lot 34 Orton Road, Byford. Being a neighbourhood centre, the proposed development is likely to compete principally with those centres in proximity.


## 4.1 Current Supply

Existing retail floorspace supply within the trade area has been derived through data from multiple sources, including:

- The Department of Planning Land Use Survey (2016)
- Property Council Shopping Centre Directory (2021)
- Local Government documentation (District Structure Plans, Local Structure Plans etc.)
- Secondary research (manager websites etc.)

A total of three current centres containing Shop/Retail floorspace within five kilometres of the proposed development were included in the analysis to ensure a comprehensive assessment of current competing retail supply (Figure 9). The trade area includes a wide range of retail offerings, from the Byford Town Centre to various neighbourhood and local-level centres such as the Glades Village.

**Figure 9. Current Trade Area Retail Supply Network**




Source: Byford DSP 2023, Google Maps 2024, The Glades Village Centre Local Planning Policy 3.6 2023, Pracsys 2024

The total current Shop/Retail Floorspace offering within the 5km trade area and surrounds has been estimated at 14,552m$^2$ (Figure 10). Secondary research was largely utilised to determine the floorspace of existing centres as reliable data sources outlined in SPP4.2, such as DPLH LUES 2015/17, are not contemporary. The supportable floorspace for Byford Town Centre outlined in the Byford District Structure Plan (DSP) 2023 has been utilised to ensure that level of supply in the trade area is not underestimated.

**Figure 10. Current Trade Area Shop/Retail Floorspace**

| Centre | Shop/Retail Floorspace ($m^2$) |
|---|---|
| Byford Town Centre | 8,952 |
| Glades Village | 4,500 |
| Kardan Blvd | 1,100 |
| **Total** | **14,552** |

Source: Byford DSP 2023, Google Maps 2024, The Glades Village Centre Local Planning Policy 3.6 2023


## 4.2 Expansions and Planned Developments

A desktop analysis and review of planning documentation within the City of Serpentine-Jarrahdale local government area was undertaken to identify any planned future Shop/Retal floorspace developments or expansions within the trade area. This review identified potential expansions and developments approved in local structure plans for the Byford area. The Byford DSP outlines that the broader area can support a total of 32,900$m^2$ of Shop/Retail floorspace based on the Shire's Activity Centre Strategy 2012 (Figure 11). The Byford DSP establishes three neighbourhood centres, one district centre and local nodes:

- Byford District Centre – existing town centre with a supportable Shop/Retail floorspace of 14,700$m^2$
- Malarkey Rd Neighbourhood Centre – planned centre at the future intersection of Malarkey Rd and Thomas Rd with an allocation of 5,000$m^2$ Shop/Retail floorspace
- Glades Village Neighbourhood Centre – existing centre off the intersection of Doley Rd and Gallant Turn with an allocation of 5,000$m^2$ Shop/Retail floorspace
- Doley Rd Neighbourhood Centre – planned centre at the intersection of Doley Rd and Orton Rd with an allocation of 5,000$m^2$ Shop/Retail floorspace
- Various local nodes with a total allocation with 3,200$m^2$ of Shop/Retail floorspace

The development of the Byford DSP area has not followed this exact framework. Existing and developing local nodes and centres are expected to account for more than the allocated floorspace while the neighbourhood centres are expected to account for less than the allocated floorspace.

**Figure 11. Byford DSP Activity Centres**




Source: Byford DSP 2023

Local structure plans and their Needs Assessments informed the floorspace and timeline of Shop/Retail developments in the trade area (Figure 12). A conservatively high estimate for Byford Town Centre was assumed, reaching its recommended supportable floorspace by 2031. Where no development timeline was provided, centres were expected to expand based on high-level assumptions. Planned Whitby District Centre, located outside the trade area, has been excluded from the analysis along with the significant population growth expected in the Mundijong area.


**Figure 12. Trade Area Shop/Retail Floorspace Expansions and Developments**

| Centre | Previous NLA ($m^2$) | Expanded NLA ($m^2$) | Additional NLA ($m^2$) | Year of Expansion |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Byford Town Centre | 8,952 | 14,700 | 5,748 | 2031 (14,700) |
| Lot 34 Orton Rd (subject site) | - | | See Section 5.3 | 2026 |
| Lot 33 Hopkinson Rd | - | 5,000 | 5,000 | 2026 (1,500)<br>2036 (3,000) |
| Malarkey Rd | - | 5,000 | 5,000 | 2031 |
| South Orton Rd | - | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2026 |

Source: Byford DSP 2023, Lot 33 Hopkinson Road Cardup Structure Plan 2022, The Glades – Local Structure Plan Amendment No. 11 (Cardup Brook Precinct & Icaria Stages 13-14) 2022, Pracsys 2024

At full buildout this assessment includes a total of 33,000m$^2$ (exclusive of Lot 33 Hopkinson Rd which is outside the DSP area) of Shop/Retail floorspace which aligns with the total floorspace outlined in the Byford DSP. The location of the proposed centres and the subject site have been included in the following map (Figure 13).

**Figure 13. Anticipated Trade Area Retail Supply Network**




Source: Byford DSP 2023, Google Maps 2024, The Glades Village Centre Local Planning Policy 3.6 2023, Lot 33 Hopkins Road Cardup Structure Plan 2022, The Glades – Local Structure Plan Amendment No. 11 (Cardup Brook Precinct & Icaria Stages 13-14) 2022, Pracsys 2024

# 5 NEEDS ASSESSMENT

## 5.1 Gravity Modelling Calibration

Gravity model estimates of centre turnover were calibrated against known or modelled turnover data where possible. Where centres were on the border or outside of the trade area, the approximate proportion of their expenditure expected to be derived from the trade area was used to adjust their turnover. Where published data was not available, a scan for outliers (centres where the gravity model may have distributed too much or too little expenditure) were undertaken. Turnover productivity levels were applied in these cases based on benchmarked productivity averages.

## 5.2 Expenditure Leakage

The trade area appears to be experiencing a relatively high level of leakage outside of the region. The gravity model was used to compare expected turnover at identified centres with modelled expenditure in the catchment in 2024. Expected turnover is based on activity centre size, approximate mix of uses, viable floorspace productivity ($/m$^2$) and the proportion of turnover drawn from the catchment. It is estimated that there is up to approximately $113 million in leakage (47% of total expenditure) from the catchment due to the current level of retail being less than what is required. The test was undertaken again in 2026 with new and expanded centres; leakage is reduced to approximately $67 million (25% of total expenditure). The results indicate that there is a need for additional retail in the catchment (Figure 14). The extent of the leakage will decrease as the supply of Shop/Retail floorspace increases because less residents will leave the immediate area to address their shopping needs.

**Figure 14. Estimated Turnover and Target Turnover**

| Year | Floorspace | Estimated Turnover ($) | Target Turnover ($) | Leakage ($) | Leakage (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2024 | 14,552 | $239,348,777 | $126,045,786 | $113,302,991 | 47% |
| 2026 | 23,752 | $273,285,299 | $205,733,886 | $67,551,413 | 25% |

Source: Pracsys 2024

## 5.3 Supportable Floorspace

The viability of Shop/Retail floorspace at the site was tested by assessing whether a benchmark level of productivity could be achieved at different levels of floorspace over the next 15 years. A high-level estimate of the likely breakdown of Shop/Retail floorspace by category was utilised as the benchmark productivity level for the Needs Assessment (Figure 15).

**Figure 15. Retail Benchmark Productivity**

| Retail Category | Productivity ($/m²) | Subject Site Breakdown (%)[5] |
|---|---|---|
| Take Home Food (i.e. supermarket) | $13,078 | 45% |
| Take Home Liquor (i.e. liquor store) | $11,770 | 10% |
| Dine Out Food (i.e. restaurant) | $8,500 | 10% |
| Convenience Retail (i.e. service station) | $9,154 | 0% |
| Comparison Retail | $6,539 | 35% |
| **Subject site viable productivity** | **$10,201** | |

Source: Colliers 2017, escalated to 2024 dollars

The expected floorspace productivity of Lot 34 Orton Rd is $11,641 at a Shop/Retail floorspace of 5,000m² NLA in 2026; this is well above the average floorspace productivity level of $10,201 (Figure 16). The subject site's productivity is impacted in the year Byford District Centre is assumed to expand however, this is quickly mitigated. A Shop/Retail floorspace of 5,000m² is supportable at Lot 34 Orton Rd.

**Figure 16. Lot 34 Orton Road Productivity ($/m²) at 5,000m² Shop/Retail Floorspace**

| Floorspace (m²) | 2026 ($/m²) | 2031 ($/m²) | 2036 ($/m²) | 2041 ($/m²) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5,000m² | $11,642 | $10,279 | $11,534 | $13,761 |

Source: Pracsys 2024

[5] A potential breakdown of the type of retail offered at the subject site has been assumed. Notably, no official concept plan has been approved by the Client. This breakdown allows for a transparent calculation of the viable floorspace productivity benchmark used to assess Lot 34 Orton Rd, Byford.

# 6 ECONOMIC ACTIVIATION ASSESSMENT

## 6.1 Summary of the Principles of Economic Activation

Principles of economic activation can be applied to support the development of vibrant and viable activity centres. Pracsys uses Six Principles of Economic Activation to assess the relative performance of centres from an economic perspective. This includes how key anchors such as supermarkets drive pedestrian traffic (activation), how businesses can benefit from this pedestrian traffic, and suitable locations for less high traffic uses such as other retail. The principles can also be applied to other commercial uses based on their drivers and access considerations. The following table (Figure 17) provides a summary of the six principles to give context to the assessment of the subject site. For 34 Orton Road, the principles of economic activation will be applied to assess the appropriateness of commercial land uses.

**Figure 17. Summary of Six Principles of Activation**

| Principle | Summary |
|---|---|
| 1. Purpose of Place  | The role of the Centre based on its size and classification:<br>Addresses the question – what does this place represent to its target user population (residents, workers, visitors)? This should link to the SPP4.2 identified roles of centre classifications. |
| 2. Access – Arrival Points  | This refers to how centres are accessed and the arrival points:<br>• Decisions about access begin 5km from the place<br>• Transport networks should be planned to travel through centres (not bypass them) – ensure the design funnels people and traffic into the core<br>• Congestion and a mix of transport nodes is beneficial<br>• It is better to arrive at the ''front door' of the place, not around the back |
| 3. Origins – Car Parking and Transport Nodes  | Origins are areas from which pedestrian movements begin:<br>• Parking and transport nodes are the drivers of pedestrian movement<br>• Strategic distribution of car parks and transport nodes will maximise pedestrian movement<br>• Location is more important than numbers<br>• Space the car parks around the periphery of the centre<br>• Street parking is important (for commercial areas)<br>  ○ Use fees to ensure turnover of parking but not so much that consumers do not park<br>  ○ Relax time limits in appropriate areas to ensure customers have time to undertake shopping trips relevant to proximate uses |
| 4. Exposure – Pedestrian Movement  | Exposure indicates Active Frontages that are exposed to high levels of pedestrian traffic:<br>• Economic activation is driven by the frequency and concentration of transactions<br>• Channel movements<br>  ○ Concentrate transactions by pushing people past as many shop windows as possible |

| Principle | Summary |
|---|---|
| | ○ Rents and sales are directly related to pedestrian traffic (e.g. a butcher will pay higher rent to be at supermarket entry)<br>• Minimise possible routes from origin to destination points (e.g. car park to main attraction) as architectural "permeability" is not always a good thing |
| 5. Destinations – Major attractions  | Destinations are areas that attract high concentrations of customers/people:<br>• Identify main destination – what will bring users into the core?<br>• Assess user behaviour<br>○ Number of visits<br>○ Timing of visits (time of day, seasonality)<br>• Give major destinations special treatment<br>○ Understand what they need<br>○ Build a centre around them<br>• Amplify the impact of attractions by creating support amenities and infrastructure to maximise frequency, length of stay and expenditure |
| 6. Control – Strategic Sites  | Key Sites are locations that could be considered future destinations and/or suitable sites for anchor tenants (i.e., supermarkets). Tenure control is a significant advantage for overall development success<br>• Tenure control is vital for overall development success – determine which sites (supporting what uses) will suit which types of tenants<br>• Identify active frontages and take control of key sites or implement appropriate planning measures (i.e., a set of preferred uses for a site)<br>• Corner sites drive uses on either side (more appropriate for high turnover uses such as a café where there is high pedestrian traffic)<br>• Not all areas in a place need to be active – be selective |

Source: Pracsys 2023

## 6.2 Lot 34 Orton Road, Byford

Lot 34 Orton Rd, Byford is located at the intersection of Doley Rd and Orton Rd. The subject site is located south of the Glades Village and is bordered by residential areas and undeveloped land. The Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme (LPS) No.3 zones the subject site as 'Urban Development'. The scheme amendment that this report informs intends to rezone the site as an expansion of the commercial area to deliver a planned neighbourhood centre in this location in accordance with the Byford DSP. The six principles of economic activation have been applied to the subject site that is expected to consist commercial land uses to identify potential opportunities and constraints (Figure 18).

**Figure 18. Subject Site Analysis**

| Principles of Economic Activation | Constraints | Opportunities |
|---|---|---|
| **Purpose of Place**<br>The Byford DSP identifies a neighbourhood centre with a floorspace of 5,000m$^2$ around the subject site to provide the retail needs of the residential population. The proposed expansion will allow the | Residential development around the subject site is still occurring; demand for the full neighbourhood centre will likely require | The location of the subject site is suited to a neighbourhood centre as it is located at the intersection of Orton Rd and Doley Rd. The planned upgrade and realignment of Orton Rd as part of the Tokin Hwy extension will increase |

| Principles of Economic Activation | Constraints | Opportunities |
|---|---|---|
| subject site to meet the planned floorspace for the neighbourhood centre and achieve its intended purpose. The proposed amendment to the Doley Road Precinct LSP will ensure that there is sufficient commercially zoned land to deliver a neighbourhood centre. | development of residential land to the east, west and south of the site. | the connectivity of the subject site to surrounding residential developments.<br>The delivery of residential lots north and south of the subject site have been approved and is underway. The expansion of commercial zoning will be necessary to support this level of population growth. |
| **Access**<br>Users of the subject site are expected to come from within the west Byford area using cars or by foot. The subject site will provide suitable levels of access to retail goods for residents north and south of Orton Rd. | Public transport is currently not available to the subject site, contrary to SPP4.2 objectives. | The subject site is close to service commercial and convenience uses proposed to the south of Orton Rd. This will encourage multi-purpose visits. Orton Rd will also have an intersection with the Tonkin Hwy extension that is likely to support increased passing traffic; this will further support the viability of the neighbourhood centre.<br>Public transport networks are expected to be planned and established in future following updates to the road network and the release of residential lots. |
| **Origins**<br>The subject site provides high levels of walkable access for nearby residential development. The expansion of the site will provide additional room for parking to support vehicle access to the centre. | There is a lack of non-car-based origins for the centre such as bus stops. Public transport networks are expected to be planned and established in future following updates to the road network and the release of residential lots. | The subject site will provide ample land to strategically position points of origin that will benefit the land uses to the greatest extent.<br>Place opportunities to improve the economic viability of the subject site based on exposure include:<br>• Locating car parking on the periphery of activity centre uses to encourage visitors to move past as many store fronts as possible<br>• Street parking implementation to the increase liveliness of the development and the level of spatial activation for the future commercial uses |
| **Exposure**<br>This usually refers to pedestrian traffic in front of store fronts. The location of the centre on Orton Rd and Doley Rd will provide a high level of visibility to passing traffic that supports high levels of activation. The design of the centre needs to capitalise on this activation and encourage consumers to walk in front of stores. | Active frontages along Orton Rd may not be attractive to pedestrians should traffic along Orton Rd increase with additional residential development and access via Tonkin Hwy. | Opportunities to improve the economic viability of the Lot 34 Orton Rd based on exposure factors include:<br>• Controlling pedestrian movement to ensure users pass by shop frontages<br>• Minimising routes from origin to destination points to channel movement |

| Principles of Economic Activation | Constraints | Opportunities |
|---|---|---|
| **Destinations**<br>The expanded commercial area will allow the neighbourhood centre to support a supermarket that can act as an anchor to attract consumers to the centre.<br>Proponents of the Glades, Byford Local Structure Plan have indicated that their potential 2,700m$^2$ of NLA mixed use areas will not be able to support a neighbourhood centre due to their size and distribution. The expansion is therefore critical to a functioning neighbourhood centre. | The Orton Rd and Doley Rd intersection redesign needs to occur to allow the neighbourhood centre to develop. | Opportunities to improve the economic viability of the subject site based on control include:<br>• Positioning the major destinations in the core of the centre to draw pedestrians through the centre<br>• Considering the trade area's demographic profile to meet user demand with a destination e.g. presence of young families and the need for childcare |
| **Control**<br>Expanding the commercial area will allow Jarra Property to provide a neighbourhood centre on their land. | | Allowing for a larger area to be developed for commercial uses can allow greater opportunity for the integration of non-retail commercial uses (i.e. childcare) and even high-density residential uses as part of the centre.<br>Opportunities have been provided for the subject site as no information has been provided layout of future commercial uses:<br>• Identify what parts of the development will be key sites, activated fronts and destinations<br>• Utilise corner sites on the intersections of larger roads to attract drivers and pedestrians passing by |

Source: Pracsys 2023

## 6.3 Results

The subject site is identified as the location of a neighbourhood centre in the Byford DSP. The expansion of proposed amendment is necessary for the location to fulfil its purpose as a neighbourhood centre as there is currently insufficient commercially zoned land. The subject site provides high levels of access for surrounding residents and its location on Orton Rd will support activation, particularly when Tonkin Hwy is extended and has an intersection with Orton Rd. The commercial expansion is also required to enable delivery of a suitably sized supermarket to act as an anchor that supports a vibrant centre. Providing a larger commercial area enables greater opportunities for retail, non-retail commercial uses and potentially high-density residential uses to be integrated with the neighbourhood centre.

# 7 CONCLUSION

The following findings have been identified through the Retail Context Memo and the Needs Assessment:

- There is a higher proportion of persons between 30 and 39 years of age in the Shire compared with Greater Perth; this demographic is in the workforce and likely has a strong level of discretionary spending, which will stimulate demand for goods and services provision
- Retail and commercial demand in Byford is likely to increase because of localised growth in surrounding areas in the Shire. The number of dwellings in the trade area is projected to increase by 83 per cent to 16,007 dwellings from the current level by 2039. The development of residential lots bordering the subject site is currently underway
- The Shire has a greater proportion of residents in the fourth highest income quintile compared to Greater Perth. These residents are likely to have greater discretionary spend and will spend more on comparison retail items (i.e. clothing, footwear). The Shire also has a similar proportion of persons in the lowest three income quintile to Greater Perth; these residents are likely to spend a greater proportion of their income on convenience retail goods and services (i.e. groceries)
- Shop/Retail expenditure in the trade area is expected to increase from $290 million in 2024 to $442 million in 2034, reflecting growth of 52 per cent. This large increase in catchment expenditure reflects the significant expansion in residential dwellings expected to occur within the trade area over the next 10 – 15 years
- Lot 34 Orton Rd provides high levels of access to retail uses for surrounding residents and its location on Orton Rd will support activation, particularly when Tonkin Hwy is extended and has an intersection with Orton Rd

The Glades LSP development to the south of Orton Rd (South Orton Rd) will provide mixed use lots that support up to 2,700m$^2$ of convenience retail uses, indicating that these mixed-use lots will not be suitable to provide a neighbourhood centre as planned in the Byford DSP. The expansion of commercial zoning to Lot 34 Orton Rd will therefore be necessary to deliver essential retail uses, such as a supermarket. The subject site will play an important role in catering for population growth in the near future as Lot 33 Hopkinson Rd Neighbourhood Centre will expand to a limited floorspace over a longer period of time and the Glades Village Neighbourhood Centre has reached its expected retail capacity. The Needs Assessment indicated that the current amount of floorspace leads to a high level of retail expenditure leakage to areas outside the trade area; the proposed floorspace will provide a more appropriate level of provision in the trade area and reduce leakage. The Needs Assessment identified that a floorspace of up to 5,000m$^2$ NLA of Shop/Retail floorspace is viable at Lot 34 Orton Rd. The proposed expansion of commercial zoning over Lot 34 Orton Rd is therefore critical to providing a planned neighbourhood centre in an area with high expected population growth.

# 8 APPENDIX I: LUES GLOSSARY

Information regarding Planning Land Use Category (PLUC) descriptions and Western Australian Standard Land Use Classification (WASLUC) can be obtained from the Department of Planning, Land and Heritage (DPLH) Land Use and Employment Survey (LUES) Information Sheet.[6]

## PLUC Glossary

**SHP – Shop/Retail**

Any activity which involves the sale of goods from a shop located separate to, and/or in, a shopping centre other than those included in Other Retail.

**RET – Other Retail**

Many of these activities are not normally accommodated in a shopping centre. By virtue of their scale and special nature the goods of these activities separate them from the Shop/Retail category (for example car sales yard or carpet showroom).

**OFF – Office/Business**

Administrative, clerical, professional and medical offices are activities which do not necessarily require the land area/floor space or exposure of other land uses. Although offices require building and parking facilities, these needs are quite distinct from those of commercial uses and service industries.

**HEL – Health/Welfare/Community Services**

Government, government-subsidised and non-government activities that provide the community with a specific service, including hospitals, schools, personal services and religious activities.

**ENT – Entertainment/Recreation/Cultural**

Activities which provide entertainment, recreation and culture for the community and which occur in buildings and/or on land, such as passive and active sports venues, museums, amusements and gambling services.

**RES – Residential**

Includes all types of residential land use ranging from single housing to nursing homes for the aged, residential hotels, motels, other holiday housing, institutions and religious housing.

**MAN – Manufacturing/Processing/Fabrication**

This category includes land use activities involving the manufacture, processing and fabrication of all general goods. Both the scale and associated environmental impact of these activities separate them from other land use categories.

**STO – Storage/Distribution**

[6] DPLH, 2017, *Land Use and Employment Survey Information Sheet*, Government of Western Australia, https://www.wa.gov.au/system/files/2021-07/LUES_Glossary.pdf

Any land use activity which involves the storage, warehousing or wholesaling of goods usually conducted from large structures, or involving large bulk goods, but does not include activities that attract the general retail trade activities.

**SER – Service Industry**

This category includes service industries offering a range of services. The scale and environmental impact of such activities require their separation from other land uses. These services include film processing, cleaning, motor vehicle and other repair services, and other servicing activities, including some construction activities.

**UTE – Utilities/Communications**

All forms of local, state, national and international communication, transportation and other utilities (for example, electricity, gas, water, sewerage, roads, parking and other transport or communications related activities) covering the public and private sectors.

**VFA – Vacant Floor Area**

This category accounts for vacant floor areas of buildings, including both non-residential and residential.

## WASLUC Glossary

The following table contains select examples from over 700 WASLUCs across all PLUCs (Figure 19).

**Figure 19. WASLUC Examples**

| PLUC | WASLUC Example |
|---|---|
| PRI | Agricultural and Related Activities |
| PRI | Ferrous Metal Mining |
| MAN | Motor Vehicle Manufacturing |
| MAN | Cabinet Making and Shopfitting |
| STO | Clothing - Wholesale/Warehousing |
| STO | Building Materials - Retail |
| SER | Motor Vehicle Panel Beating Service |
| SER | Plumbing, Heating, and Air Conditioning Services |
| SHP | Department Stores - Retail |
| SHP | Takeaway Food |
| RET | Motor Vehicles - Retail |
| RET | Furniture - Retail |
| OFF | Banking Services |
| OFF | Engineering Services |
| HEL | Secondary Schools |
| HEL | Churches, Synagogues and Temples |
| ENT | Gymnasiums, Health Clubs and Martial Arts Club |
| ENT | Cultural Activities |

| PLUC | WASLUC Example |
|---|---|
| RES | Hotels NEC |
| RES | Housing Units |
| UTE | Communication |
| UTE | Rubbish Disposals |

Source: DPLH LUES 2015/17

Abbreviations: NEC, 'Not Elsewhere Classified' and MFG, 'Manufacturing'

# 9 APPENDIX II: GRAVITY MODEL METHODOLOGY

## Gravity Model Calculations

Gravity models allow for the measurement of spatial interaction as a function of distance to determine the probability of a given customer shopping at a centre and provide an approximation of trade area and sales potential for a development. This modelling technique uses the distance between a household and each centre, and a measure of 'attractiveness' to define the probability model. The 'attractiveness' of a centre has been defined by total floorspace and the distance has been calculated by measuring straight-line distances between each centre and population. The gravity model probability formula is shown in Figure 20.

**Figure 20. Gravity Model Probability Formula**

$$P_{ij} = \frac{\frac{A_{jk}{}^{a}}{D_{ij}{}^{ß}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{A_{jk}{}^{a}}{D_{ij}{}^{ß}}}$$

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| $P_{ij}$ | = | Probability of customer living/working in statistical area i shopping at complex j. |
| $A_i$ | = | Area of floorspace in centre, j in square metres, according to the type of supply, k. |
| $D_{ij}$ | = | Distance between statistical area of households, i and complex j. |
| a | = | Area exponent |
| ß | = | Distance exponent |
| k | = | Type of supply or expenditure, either Convenience or Comparison |
| i | = | Statistical area (i=1,...,n) |
| j | = | Complexes (j=1,...,m) |

Source: Carter, C (1993) 'Assumptions Underlying the Retail Gravity Model', *Appraisal Journal,* Vol 61, No 4, pp510; Pracsys (2020)

Figure 21 shows that the demand for retail category k[7], at centre j, is equal to the sum of the probabilities of customers living in statistical areas i to n, multiplied by the expenditure pool of statistical area i. In other words, the demand for retail is a function of the probability of customer from particular statistical area attending the centre multiplied by the expenditure pool of that statistical area. The expenditure is pool is derived through the population multiplied by its income distribution.

**Figure 21. Gravity Model Demand Formula**

$$D_{kj} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_{ij} * E_i)$$

$D_{kj}$ = Demand for retail category k, at centre j.

$E_i$ = Expenditure pool of statistical area i.

Source: Carter, C (1993) 'Assumptions Underlying the Retail Gravity Model', *Appraisal Journal,* Vol 61, No 4, pp510; Pracsys (2020)

In its core form, gravity modelling provides a clearer, reproducible outcome that can be easily assessed. However, it does not consider local factors, including:


- The comparative value proposition of centres (e.g. the presence of an 'anchor' attractor that draws significant market share);
- The brand preference of users; or
- The efficiency of transport networks, as well as geographical barriers (e.g. in some cases it may be easier for customers to access a centre that lies physically further away).

## Drivers of Retail Floorspace Supply and Demand

Demand changes can result in increased or decreased expenditure. The potential causes of demand changes are shown in Figure 22. These largely show that an increasing population increases demand, and vice versa. There are significant amounts of commercial floorspace, especially office floorspace, flagged for the central sub-region of Perth and beyond. There will also be significant numbers of new dwellings provided across Perth. This increase in residents has the potential to boost demand for goods and services in the area. Demand can also increase from rising incomes, or wealth, because people have more disposable income to spend on retail. Demand can also be increased by reducing leakage. Leakage for retail is largely caused by online retail, as well as travelling.

[7] Retail categories are determined by their PLUC code and whether they are convenience or comparison goods. Convenience goods are day-to-day items such as groceries, pharmaceuticals and fast food. Comparison goods are items where consumers are willing to travel further distances, and are bought less frequently such as clothing, furniture, electronics, or other household items.


**Figure 22. Drivers of Retail Floorspace Demand**




Source: Pracsys 2020

Supply changes can result in increased or decreased retail floorspace. The potential causes of supply changes are shown in Figure 23.

**Figure 23. Drivers of Retail Floorspace Supply**




Source: Pracsys 2020

**APPENDIX 2**

TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Engineering a better future for over 20 years!

# Lot 34 Orton Road, Byford

## Structure Plan Amendment

## Transport Impact Assessment

**PREPARED FOR:**

**Jarra Property**

**January 2025**

# Document history and status

| Author | Revision | Approved by | Date approved | Revision type |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| M Rasouli | r01 | B Bordbar | 09/01/2025 | Draft |
| M Rasouli | r01a | B Bordbar | 16/01/2025 | Final |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

**File name:** t24.312.mr.r01a.docx

**Author:** Mohammad Rasouli

**Project manager:** Mohammad Rasouli

**Client:** Jarra Property

**Project:** Lot 34 Orton Road Byford

**Document revision:** r01a

**Project number:** t23.153

2025 Copyright in all drawings, reports, specifications, calculations and other documents provided by the Consultant in connection with the Project shall remain the property of the Consultant.

The Client alone shall have a license to use the documents referred to above for the purpose of completing the Project, but the Client shall not use, or make copies of, such documents in connection with any work not included in the Project, unless written approval is obtained from the Consultant or otherwise agreed through a separate contract.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS


1 INTRODUCTION ..... 5

2 PROPOSED AMENDED STRUCTURE PLAN ..... 7

3 EXISTING SITUATION ..... 8

3.1 Existing Land Use ..... 8
3.2 Existing Road Network ..... 8
3.3 Existing Traffic Volumes on Roads ..... 9
3.4 Existing RAV Network ..... 10
3.5 Public Transport ..... 10
3.6 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities ..... 11
3.7 Changes to the surrounding road network ..... 11
*3.7.1 Tonkin Highway Extension Stage Three ..... 11*
*3.7.2 Tonkin Highway/ Orton Road intersection ..... 12*
*3.7.3 Orton Road/ Doley Road intersection ..... 12*
3.8 Road Hierarchy ..... 12
3.9 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities ..... 13
3.10 Integration with Surrounding Area ..... 13

4 ANALYSIS OF THE TRANSPORT NETWORK ..... 14

4.1 Assessment Period ..... 14
4.2 Traffic generation and distribution ..... 14
4.3 Traffic flow forecast ..... 17
*4.3.1 Traffic projections for the approved and proposed amended structure plans ..... 17*
4.4 Intersection Analysis ..... 19

5 CONCLUSION ..... 21

APPENDIX A: APPROVED STRUCTURE PLAN

APPENDIX B: PROPOSED AMENDED LSP MAP

APPENDIX C: SIDRA ANALYSIS

# REPORT FIGURES

Figure 1: Location of the subject site within the approved LSP....6
Figure 2. Site location within metropolitan region scheme....6
Figure 3: Current against proposed zoning of Lot 34 Orton Road....7
Figure 4: Existing site location....8
Figure 5: Existing road hierarchy....9
Figure 6. Existing heavy vehicle road network classification (RAV)....10
Figure 7: Existing bus routes (source: Transperth)....11
Figure 8: Main Roads WA draft concept design for Tonkin Highway/ Orton Road intersection....12
Figure 9: Net traffic increase – AM Weekday, PM Weekday peak hours....17
Figure 10: Approved structure plan – 2041 peak hour trips AM Weekday, PM Weekday (source Transcore)....18
Figure 11: Total (2041) traffic for the proposed amended structure plan– AM Weekday, PM Weekday....18
Figure 12: SIDRA Model – 2041....20

# REPORT TABLES

Table 1: Trip generation of the residential development for Lot 42 as per the approved LSP....15
Table 2: Passing trade and primary trips component of Lot 34 trips as per the approved LSP....15
Table 3: Trip generation of the proposed retail/ commercial development at Lot 42 as per the proposed amended LSP....16
Table 4: Passing trade and primary trips component of Lot 42 as per the proposed amended LSP 16

# 1 Introduction

This Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) report has been prepared by Transcore on behalf of Jarra Property. The subject of this report is the proposed amendment to the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan (LSP) for Lot 34 Orton Road in Byford.

A copy of the approved Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan for Lot 34 Orton Road is provided in **Appendix A**.

The TIA for the approved LSP was prepared in March 2017 (Rev D) by others, however this report has been reviewed and referenced in the preparation of this TIA as appropriate.

Transcore also acted as the traffic engineers for the Glades Local Structure Plan Amendment (WAPC ref SPN/0112M-5) and prepared several technical notes for the proposed subdivisions within this amendment, including the Cardup Brook Precinct 1 Subdivision, which encompasses Lot 34 Doley Road and Lots 9001 and 9060 Orton Road in Byford (WAPC Ref. 164642).

The findings from the traffic modelling and analysis for the Cardup Brook Precinct 1 Subdivision are detailed in Transcore's Technical Note 5, dated March 27, 2024. This note provides traffic projections for Orton Road and Doley Road for the year 2041, assuming full development of both the Glades Local Structure Plan Amendment and the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan for Lot 34 Orton Road.

For this TIA, the traffic projections from the March 2017 TIA and Transcore reports were reviewed and evaluated, and for a conservative assessment, the higher projections were utilized to analyse the traffic operation at the roundabout intersection of Doley Road and Orton Road.

This TIA report reflects the proposed amendment and establishes the traffic impact of the proposed amendment. The location of the site in the approved Local Structure Plan is shown in **Figure 1**. The site location in the context of Metropolitan Region Scheme Map (MRS) is shown in **Figure 2**.

Both Orton Road and Doley Road are currently in the process of being realigned and upgraded. This is a relevant consideration to the amendment and the traffic assessment.





Figure 1: Location of the subject site within the approved LSP





Figure 2. Site location within metropolitan region scheme

# 2 Proposed Amended Structure Plan

The proposal involves an amendment to the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan that will change existing 'Mixed Use' zoning to 'Neighbourhood Centre', and expand the 'Neighbourhood Centre' zoning into Lot 34. According to the Byford District Structure Plan, this Neighbourhood Centre is designated to include 5,000sqm of shop-retail. The details of the zoning change are illustrated in **Figure 3**.





**Figure 3: Current against proposed zoning of Lot 34 Orton Road**

Based on a conservative calculation of land area zoned for residential purposes and taking into consideration planned road reserves, the approved LSP allowed for construction of a total of approximately 106 dwellings based on the R25-R40 and R40-R60 densities applicable to the subject land. This will be replaced with a neighbourhood centre zoning of approximately 2.4ha comprising 5,000sqm of shop-retail NLA allocated by the Byford District Structure Plan.

The proposed amendment would not change the road hierarchies and road standards within the approved Structure Plan.

# 3 Existing Situation

## 3.1 Existing Land Use

The subject land is currently mainly vacant. Residential dwellings are currently existing on both sides of the site as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Existing site location

## 3.2 Existing Road Network

The existing road network and its classification in accordance with Main Roads WA functional road hierarchy is illustrated in Figure 5.

**Orton Road** is currently a two-way undivided roadway classified as a Rural Local Road / Local Distributor. At present, there are no bus services operating on this road, and pedestrian paths are absent. The speed limit on Orton Road is set at 70kph.

**Doley Road** is a two-way undivided road classified as an Urban Local Road / Access Road. It has a width of 3.5 meters south of Allanson Drive, with a road reserve of 20 meters. The speed limit on this road is 50kph. Additionally, a shared path is available near the subject site.





Figure 5: Existing road hierarchy

## 3.3 Existing Traffic Volumes on Roads

Available traffic counts on Orton Road and Doley Road are reported below.

**Orton Road (300m East of Hopkinson Road, April 2015):**

- AM Peak (08:00 - 09:00): Approximately 8.02% of total daily volumes.
- PM Peak (15:00 - 16:00): Approximately 11.14% of total daily volumes.

**Doley Road:**

South of Abernethy Road (June 2014):

- Total Daily Volume: 2,743
- AM Peak (08:00): 232
- PM Peak (16:00): 239

300m North of Orton Road (April 2015):

- Total Daily Volume: 164
- AM Peak (08:00): 16
- PM Peak (16:00): 14

## 3.4 Existing RAV Network

Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) Network routes are designated for access by large heavy vehicle combinations, which is managed by Main Roads WA.

Orton Road and Doley Road currently are not part of RAV network as shown in **Figure 6**.





**Figure 6. Existing heavy vehicle road network classification (RAV)**

## 3.5 Public Transport

Available nearby transport services are presented in **Figure 7**.

- Route 254 offers the most frequent service, particularly during peak hours on weekdays.
- Routes 251 and 252 provide regular service to Mundijong and Jarrahdale, with Route 251 maintaining service on weekends, although less frequently on Sundays.
- Route 253 is limited to a single daily trip on weekdays, with no weekend service.





Figure 7: Existing bus routes (source: Transperth)

## 3.6 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities

Currently, there is a 2.5m shared path on the eastern side of Doley Road in the vicinity of the subject site. It is expected that after realignment of Orton Road and construction of the proposed Neighbourhood Centre shared paths would be available on both Orton Road and Doley Road adjacent to the proposed Neighbourhood Centre.

## 3.7 Changes to the surrounding road network

### *3.7.1 Tonkin Highway Extension Stage Three*

The Tonkin Highway Extension Stage Three is a significant infrastructure development extending the highway by about 14 kilometres from Thomas Road in Oakford to the South Western Highway near Mundijong. This project aims to create a four-lane dual carriageway to improve connectivity, safety, and traffic flow in Perth's south-east corridor, reducing travel times and enhancing access to employment areas. Key

features include bridges over rail lines, grade-separated interchanges at Bishop Road, and intersections with Orton Road, Mundijong Road and South Western Highway.

### *3.7.2 Tonkin Highway/ Orton Road intersection*

The draft concept design of the Tonkin Highway/ Oron Road intersection has been sourced from Main Roads WA and is shown in **Figure 8**.





**Figure 8: Main Roads WA draft concept design for Tonkin Highway/ Orton Road intersection**

### *3.7.3 Orton Road/ Doley Road intersection*

Both Orton Road and Doley Road are currently in the process of being realigned and upgraded. As part of the proposed realignment a 4-way roundabout intersection will be constructed at Orton Road/ Doley Road intersection.

## 3.8 Road Hierarchy

Traffic modelling and analysis conducted for the proposed local structure plan amendment indicate that the proposed amendment will not affect the road hierarchy or the standards of the approved local structure plan road network, including Doley Road. As a result, the road hierarchy and standards established in the approved local structure plan remain valid.

## 3.9 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities

Currently, there is a 2.5-meter shared path on the eastern side of Doley Road near the subject site. Following the realignment of Orton Road and the construction of the proposed Neighbourhood Centre, it is anticipated that shared paths will be installed on both Orton Road and Doley Road adjacent to the proposed Neighbourhood Centre.

## 3.10 Integration with Surrounding Area

The proposed amendment aligns with the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan for Lot 34 Orton Road, as well as the Byford District Structure Plan. The proposed neighbourhood centre is intended to serve the residential developments in the surrounding area.

# 4 Analysis of the Transport Network

## 4.1 Assessment Period

The assessment year that has been adopted for this analysis is 2041. Conservatively, by this time it is assumed that the amended Structure Plan would be fully developed.

## 4.2 Traffic generation and distribution

To establish the net traffic change resulting from the proposed amendment, the trip generation of Lot 34 has been calculated based on both the approved and the proposed amended structure plans. **Table 1** summarises the trip generation of Lot 34 according to the approved structure plan, which allowed for construction of a total of approximately 106 dwellings based on the R25-R40 and R40-R60 densities applicable to the subject land. All trips associated with the approved residential development within Lot 34 are considered primary trips, with no pass-by trips (refer **Table 2**).

The amended plan for Lot 34 includes approximately 5,000m² of Net Lettable Area (NLA) for shop/ retail generating approximately 6,050 vehicle trips per day, with the following peak hour trip estimates (refer **Table 3**):

- 151 vph (AM)
- 605 vph (PM)

The trip rate used for the proposed retail/ commercial was sourced from RTA NSW conservatively for Supermarket. Therefore, the net traffic change due to the proposed amendment is calculated as follows (refer **Table 3**):

- +5,202 vpd (daily)
- +66 vph (AM)
- +520 vph (PM)

Based on ITE 11 guidelines, it is estimated that about 36% of the trips associated with Lot 34 (as per amended LSP) would be pass-by trips on the surrounding road network, primarily on Orton Road and Doley Road. The net additional primary trips (after removing pass-by trips) would be less than 100 vph for AM peak period and about 141vph and 162vph during the AM and PM peak hour respectively (refer **Table 4**). According to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) guidelines, the traffic impact of the proposed amendment is considered moderate during the morning peak hour, with minimal impacts on the traffic operations of the surrounding road network and intersections. However, for the completion of the assessment traffic operation of the Doley Road/ Orton Road intersection has been assessed for both AM and PM peak hours in this TIA.

Table 1: Trip generation of the residential development for Lot 42 as per the approved LSP

| Land use | Quantity | Daily Rate | AM Peak | PM Peak | Cross Trade | Daily Trips | AM trips | PM trips | AM IN | AM OUT | PM IN | PM OUT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Residential** | 106 | 8.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 848 | 85 | 85 | 21 | 64 | 53 | 32 |
| **Total traffic** | | | | | | **848** | **85** | **85** | **21** | **64** | **53** | **32** |

Table 2: Passing trade and primary trips component of Lot 34 trips as per the approved LSP

Passing Trips

| AM | | PM | |
|---|---|---|---|
| IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Primary Trips

| AM | | PM | |
|---|---|---|---|
| IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| 21 | 64 | 53 | 32 |

**Table 3: Trip generation of the proposed retail/ commercial development at Lot 42 as per the proposed amended LSP**

| Land use | Quantity | Daily Rate | AM Peak | PM Peak | Cross Trade | Daily Trips | AM trips | PM trips | AM IN | AM OUT | PM IN | PM OUT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Retail/shop** | 5000 | 1.21 | 0.030 | 0.121 | 0.00 | 6050 | 151 | 605 | 76 | 76 | 303 | 303 |
| **Total traffic** | | | | | | **6050** | **151** | **605** | **76** | **76** | **303** | **303** |
| Net traffic change | | | | | | 5,202 | 66 | 520 | 54 | 12 | 250 | 271 |

**Table 4: Passing trade and primary trips component of Lot 42 as per the proposed amended LSP**

Passing Trips

| AM | | PM | |
|---|---|---|---|
| IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| 27 | 27 | 109 | 109 |

Primary Trips

| AM | | PM | |
|---|---|---|---|
| IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| 48 | 48 | 194 | 194 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Net traffic change** | **27** | **27** | **109** | **109** | | **27** | **-15** | **141** | **162** |

The distribution of additional trips in 2041 on the surrounding road and intersections was evaluated by considering the catchment area of the proposed Neighbourhood Centre as well as the available routes to and from the site. **Figure 9** illustrates the trip distribution of the additional trips during the peak hours at the intersection of Doley Road/ Orton Road. For conservative assessment, it was assumed that all the additional trips would be distributed to Doley Road towards south at the proposed roundabout intersection on Orton Road.





**Figure 9: Net traffic increase – AM Weekday, PM Weekday peak hours**

# 4.3 Traffic flow forecast

### *4.3.1 Traffic projections for the approved and proposed amended structure plans*

To establish the future traffic projections resulting from the proposed amendment, the 2036 projections from the approved Structure Plan were obtained from the 2017 TIA. These were compared with the 2041 traffic projections outlined in Transcore's Technical Note 5 for the subdivision of Lots 34 Doley Road and 9001 & 9060 Orton Road in Byford (dated May 27, 2024).

The 2041 traffic projections from Transcore were notably higher than the 2017 TIA 2036 projections across all approaches at the intersection. As a result, the higher Transcore projections were conservatively used for the SIDRA intersection analysis. (refer **Figure 10** for the peak hour traffic projections based on Transcore projections).

The additional trips generated by the proposed amendment were then added into these projections (refer **Figure 11**).





**Figure 10: Approved structure plan – 2041 peak hour trips AM Weekday, PM Weekday (source Transcore)**





**Figure 11: Total (2041) traffic for the proposed amended structure plan– AM Weekday, PM Weekday**

## 4.4 Intersection Analysis

Capacity analysis was undertaken using the SIDRA computer software package for year 2041. SIDRA is an intersection modelling tool commonly used by traffic engineers for all types of intersections. SIDRA outputs are presented in the form of Degree of Saturation, Level of Service, Average Delay and 95% Queue. These characteristics are defined as follows:

- Degree of Saturation is the ratio of the arrival traffic flow to the capacity of the approach during the same period. The Degree of Saturation ranges from close to zero for infrequent traffic flow up to one for saturated flow or capacity.
- Level of Service is the qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream and the perception by motorists and/or passengers. In general, there are 6 levels of service, designated from A to F, with Level of Service A representing the best operating condition (i.e., free flow) and Level of Service F the worst (i.e., forced or breakdown flow).
- Average Delay is the average of all travel time delays for vehicles through the intersection.
- 95% Queue is the queue length below which 95% of all observed queue lengths fall.

Intersection layout modelled in SIDRA is shown in **Figure 12**. The results of the SIDRA analysis are summarised in **Appendix C**.

The SIDRA intersection models were coded with reference to Main Roads WA Operation Modelling Guidelines. All relevant parameters such as heavy vehicle groups, PCU factors etc. were coded as per the Main Roads WA Guidelines.





**Figure 12: SIDRA Model – 2041**

The SIDRA analysis indicates that the roundabout intersection is expected to operate satisfactorily in 2041, achieving an overall Level of Service (LoS) of B during both the AM and PM peak hours. It's important to note that the traffic projections used for the 2041 assessments are conservative and significantly higher than those utilized in the 2017 TIA for the approved LSP. Consequently, it is anticipated that the queues, delays, and LoS reported in the SIDRA assessments in Appendix C would be notably lower if the 2017 projections were applied instead.

# 5 Conclusion

The Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) prepared by Transcore for Jarra Property addresses the proposed amendment to the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan (LSP) for Lot 34 Orton Road in Byford.

The amendment seeks to change a portion of the site's zoning from Residential to Neighbourhood Centre, facilitating the development of a Neighbourhood Centre, as outlined in the Byford District Structure Plan.

Based on a conservative calculation of land area zoned for residential purposes and taking into consideration planned road reserves, the approved LSP allowed for construction of a total of approximately 106 dwellings based on the R25-R40 and R40-R60 densities applicable to the subject land. This will be replaced with a neighbourhood centre zoning of approximately 2.4ha comprising 5,000sqm of shop-retail NLA allocated by the Byford District Structure Plan.


Traffic modelling and analysis confirm that these changes will not adversely impact the established hierarchy or standards of the approved local structure plan road network, ensuring that Doley Road and the surrounding network would not be adversely affected by the proposed amendment.

The conservative traffic projections established by Transcore were applied in the SIDRA intersection analysis, which demonstrates that the proposed roundabout intersection of Doley Road/ Orton Road would operate satisfactorily in 2041, achieving an overall Level of Service (LoS) of B during the peak hours.

The traffic modelling and analysis results support the conclusion that the proposed amendment can be accommodated within the existing and proposed transportation infrastructure without detrimental effects.

# Appendix A

## APPROVED LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN




CLE Town Planning + Design

# Appendix B

## PROPOSED AMENDED LSP MAP

## LEGEND

### ZONES

- Residential R25-R40
- Residential R40-R60
- Residential R60
- NC — Neighbourhood Centre

### LOCAL RESERVES

- Public Open Space
- Public and Community Purposes

### OTHER

- Structure Plan Boundary
- Neighbourhood Connector
- Access Street

NOTES

1. Public Open Space areas are indicative only and subject to further detail design and drainage considerations.
2. All access streets are indicative only and are subject to refinement as part of the detailed subdivision process.
3. Orton Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).
4. Soldiers Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 30 metres (10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road).
5. Land is required to accommodate a roundabout at the intersection of Orton and Soldiers Road. The land to be ceded and the design of the roundabout is to be to the satisfaction of the Shire on advice from the Department of Planning.
6. Direct access to Soldiers Road is not permitted and an internal road will be required between residential lots and Soldiers Road to provide appropriate access and frontage. Double storey, rear-loaded and dual frontage lots are not permitted in this location due to interface and noise attenuation objectives.




*Source: Urbanista - Amendment 1 - Doley Road Precinct ALSP (15.02.2022)*

0 100 200 300 400 500m

# PLAN A: LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN

Orton Road, Byford

3410-22C-01 **8.01.2025** 1:10,000 @A4
Rev 1

Drawing sourced from CLE Town Planning + Design drawing: 3410-22C-01 05.04.2024

# Appendix C

## SIDRA ANALYSIS

# MOVEMENT SUMMARY

**Site: 1 [Orton Road & Doley Rd - AM (Site Folder: Transcore Projection)]**

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.6.228

NA
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

**Vehicle Movement Performance**

| Mov ID | Turn | Mov Class | Demand Flows [ Total veh/h | HV ] % | Arrival Flows [ Total veh/h | HV ] % | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back Of Queue [ Veh. veh | Dist ] m | Prop. Que | Eff. Stop Rate | Aver. No. of Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Doley Rd (S) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | L2 | All MCs | 295 | 4.0 | 295 | 4.0 | 0.802 | 19.4 | LOS B | 12.5 | 97.7 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.71 | 40.1 |
| 2 | T1 | All MCs | 60 | 4.0 | 60 | 4.0 | 0.802 | 19.2 | LOS B | 12.5 | 97.7 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.71 | 38.6 |
| 3 | R2 | All MCs | 236 | 4.0 | 236 | 4.0 | 0.802 | 23.8 | LOS C | 12.5 | 97.7 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.71 | 36.2 |
| Approach | | | 591 | 4.0 | 591 | 4.0 | 0.802 | 21.1 | LOS C | 12.5 | 97.7 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.71 | 38.5 |
| East: Orton Rd (E) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | All MCs | 126 | 4.0 | 126 | 4.0 | 0.159 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.9 | 7.3 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 45.8 |
| 5 | T1 | All MCs | 293 | 4.0 | 293 | 4.0 | 0.343 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.5 | 19.6 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 48.7 |
| 6 | R2 | All MCs | 94 | 4.0 | 94 | 4.0 | 0.343 | 10.8 | LOS B | 2.5 | 19.6 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 44.5 |
| Approach | | | 513 | 4.0 | 513 | 4.0 | 0.343 | 7.1 | LOS A | 2.5 | 19.6 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 47.1 |
| North: Doley Rd (N) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | All MCs | 111 | 4.0 | 111 | 4.0 | 0.822 | 36.7 | LOS D | 12.1 | 94.6 | 1.00 | 1.41 | 2.05 | 29.7 |
| 8 | T1 | All MCs | 37 | 4.0 | 37 | 4.0 | 0.822 | 36.5 | LOS D | 12.1 | 94.6 | 1.00 | 1.41 | 2.05 | 32.5 |
| 9 | R2 | All MCs | 221 | 4.0 | 221 | 4.0 | 0.822 | 41.1 | LOS D | 12.1 | 94.6 | 1.00 | 1.41 | 2.05 | 33.3 |
| Approach | | | 368 | 4.0 | 368 | 4.0 | 0.822 | 39.3 | LOS D | 12.1 | 94.6 | 1.00 | 1.41 | 2.05 | 32.3 |
| West: Orton Rd (W) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | L2 | All MCs | 94 | 4.0 | 94 | 4.0 | 0.140 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.5 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 48.2 |
| 11 | T1 | All MCs | 568 | 4.0 | 568 | 4.0 | 0.652 | 8.7 | LOS A | 7.6 | 59.6 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 48.1 |
| 12 | R2 | All MCs | 126 | 4.0 | 126 | 4.0 | 0.652 | 13.4 | LOS B | 7.6 | 59.6 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 46.7 |
| 12u | U | All MCs | 1 | 4.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0.652 | 13.7 | LOS B | 7.6 | 59.6 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 46.7 |
| Approach | | | 789 | 4.0 | 789 | 4.0 | 0.652 | 9.3 | LOS A | 7.6 | 59.6 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 47.8 |
| All Vehicles | | | 2261 | 4.0 | 2261 | 4.0 | 0.822 | 16.8 | LOS B | 12.5 | 97.7 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 1.26 | 41.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

**Site: 1 [Orton Road & Doley Rd - PM (Site Folder: Transcore Projection)]**

**Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.6.228**

NA
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

**Vehicle Movement Performance**

| Mov ID | Turn | Mov Class | Demand Flows [ Total veh/h | HV ] % | Arrival Flows [ Total veh/h | HV ] % | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back Of Queue [ Veh. veh | Dist ] m | Prop. Que | Eff. Stop Rate | Aver. No. of Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Doley Rd (S) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | L2 | All MCs | 126 | 4.0 | 126 | 4.0 | 0.574 | 19.1 | LOS B | 5.5 | 42.9 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.34 | 40.2 |
| 2 | T1 | All MCs | 26 | 4.0 | 26 | 4.0 | 0.574 | 19.0 | LOS B | 5.5 | 42.9 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.34 | 38.7 |
| 3 | R2 | All MCs | 101 | 4.0 | 101 | 4.0 | 0.574 | 23.6 | LOS C | 5.5 | 42.9 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.34 | 36.3 |
| Approach | | | 254 | 4.0 | 254 | 4.0 | 0.574 | 20.9 | LOS C | 5.5 | 42.9 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.34 | 38.7 |
| East: Orton Rd (E) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | All MCs | 295 | 4.0 | 295 | 4.0 | 0.414 | 9.3 | LOS A | 2.9 | 22.4 | 0.80 | 0.73 | 0.82 | 44.0 |
| 5 | T1 | All MCs | 424 | 4.0 | 424 | 4.0 | 0.705 | 12.4 | LOS B | 9.2 | 71.8 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 1.29 | 44.3 |
| 6 | R2 | All MCs | 259 | 4.0 | 259 | 4.0 | 0.705 | 17.1 | LOS B | 9.2 | 71.8 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 1.29 | 40.9 |
| Approach | | | 978 | 4.0 | 978 | 4.0 | 0.705 | 12.7 | LOS B | 9.2 | 71.8 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 1.15 | 43.3 |
| North: Doley Rd (N) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | All MCs | 99 | 4.0 | 99 | 4.0 | 0.607 | 12.9 | LOS B | 6.1 | 47.9 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 1.24 | 39.4 |
| 8 | T1 | All MCs | 33 | 4.0 | 33 | 4.0 | 0.607 | 12.8 | LOS B | 6.1 | 47.9 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 1.24 | 40.9 |
| 9 | R2 | All MCs | 260 | 4.0 | 260 | 4.0 | 0.607 | 17.3 | LOS B | 6.1 | 47.9 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 1.24 | 42.2 |
| Approach | | | 392 | 4.0 | 392 | 4.0 | 0.607 | 15.8 | LOS B | 6.1 | 47.9 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 1.24 | 41.5 |
| West: Orton Rd (W) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | L2 | All MCs | 259 | 4.0 | 259 | 4.0 | 0.317 | 7.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 16.7 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 48.4 |
| 11 | T1 | All MCs | 264 | 4.0 | 264 | 4.0 | 0.521 | 6.9 | LOS A | 4.5 | 35.0 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 48.0 |
| 12 | R2 | All MCs | 295 | 4.0 | 295 | 4.0 | 0.521 | 11.5 | LOS B | 4.5 | 35.0 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 46.6 |
| 12u | U | All MCs | 1 | 4.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0.521 | 11.9 | LOS B | 4.5 | 35.0 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 46.6 |
| Approach | | | 819 | 4.0 | 819 | 4.0 | 0.521 | 8.7 | LOS A | 4.5 | 35.0 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 47.5 |
| All Vehicles | | | 2442 | 4.0 | 2442 | 4.0 | 0.705 | 12.7 | LOS B | 9.2 | 71.8 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 1.05 | 43.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

# Doley Road Precinct

# LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN

CLE Town Planning + Design

AEN GROUP

PART TWO | EXPLANATORY REPORT

February 2025



CLE Town Planning + Design

| | |
|---|---|
| **Title:** | Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan<br>Part Two - Explanatory Report |
| **Prepared for:** | Delfina Properties Pty Ltd |
| **CLE Reference:** | 3410Rep34D |
| **Cover Image:** | Parcel Property |
| **Date:** | 20 February 2025 |
| **Status:** | Final |
| **Prepared by:** | Developer - Parcel Property<br>Town Planning - CLE Town Planning + Design<br>Environmental - Essential Environmental<br>Hydrology - Essential Environmental<br>Civil Engineering - Pritchard Francis<br>Landscape Design - LD Total<br>Bushfire - Essential Environmental<br>Traffic & Transport - KCTT<br>Retail - RPS |

This report is for the exclusive use of the Client, pursuant to Agreement between the Client and CLE Town Planning + Design. CLE accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever in respect of any use of or reliance upon any information contained within this report by anyone who is not party to the Agreement and/or has come into possession of the Report through parties other than the Client or CLE.

CLE is not accountable for any information which may be contained within the Report which has been supplied by others and reproduced by CLE in this report.

Copyright and any other Intellectual Property arising from this report and the provision of the services in accordance with the Agreement belongs exclusively to CLE unless otherwise agreed and may not be reproduced or disclosed to any other person other than the Client without the express written authority of CLE.

Any reproduction by the Client is to reference CLE as the original author.

Plans and figures contained in this report have been prepared for general information purposes only and may inadvertently use uncontrolled data from external sources. CLE does not guarantee the accuracy of the plans and they should not be used for any detailed site design. The content of this report including all plans remains the property of CLE.

© CLE 2025

## TABLE OF CONTENTS


1. PLANNING BACKGROUND
   - 1.1. Introduction and Purpose
   - 1.2. Land Description
     - 1.2.1. Location
     - 1.2.2. Area and Land Use
     - 1.2.3. Ownership and Title Details
     - 1.2.4. Surrounding Land Use and Context
   - 1.3. Planning Framework
     - 1.3.1. Metropolitan Region Scheme Zoning
     - 1.3.2. Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS 3)
     - 1.3.3. Byford Structure Plan (2005)
     - 1.3.4. The Glades Local Structure Plan (2011)
     - 1.3.5. Strategic Planning Framework
     - 1.3.6. Other Planning Considerations
2. SITE CONDITIONS AND CONSTRAINTS
   - 2.1. Topography and Geology
     - 2.1.1. Acid Sulphate Soils
     - 2.1.2. Contamination
   - 2.2. Biodiversity and Natural Assets
     - 2.2.1. Flora and Vegetation
     - 2.2.2. Fauna
   - 2.3. Hydrology
     - 2.3.1. Surface Water
     - 2.3.2. Ground Water
   - 2.5. Heritage
3. LAND USE AND SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS
   - 3.1. Land Use
   - 3.2. Residential
     - 3.2.1. Dwellings Yields and Density Targets
     - 3.2.2. R-Code Variations
     - 3.2.3. Lots Abutting Areas of Public Open Space
     - 3.2.4. Lots Within a Designated Bushfire Prone Area
   - 3.3. Public Open Space
   - 3.4. Bushfire Management
   - 3.5. Movement Network
     - 3.5.1. Existing Movement Network
     - 3.5.2. Proposed Movement Network
     - 3.5.3. Transport Network Analysis
   - 3.6. Water Management
     - 3.6.1. Stormwater Management
     - 3.6.2. Groundwater Management
     - 3.6.3. Implementation and Delivery
   - 3.7. Activity Centres
   - 3.8. Education
   - 3.9. Infrastructure Coordination, Servicing and Staging
     - 3.9.1. Earthworks Strategy
     - 3.9.2. Waste Water
     - 3.9.3. Water Supply
     - 3.9.4. Power Supply
     - 3.9.5. Gas Supply
     - 3.9.6. Telecommunications
     - 3.9.7. Staging and Timing
   - 3.10. Developer Contributions

## FIGURES

Figure 1: Location Plan
Figure 2: Site Plan
Figure 3: Metropolitan Region Scheme Zoning
Figure 4: Local Planning Scheme No. 3 Zoning
Figure 5: Byford Structure Plan
Figure 6: The Glades Local Structure Plan
Figure 7: South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework
Figure 8: Development Concept Plan
Figure 9: Landscape Masterplan
Figure 10: Bushfire Hazard Assessment Plan
Figure 11: Multiple Use Corridor Cross Section
Figure 12: Road Hierarchy Plan
Figure 13: Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities
Figure 14: Interim Drainage Solution
Figure 15: Indicative Staging Plan

## TABLES

Table 1: Land Ownership
Table 2: Public Open Space Schedule

## APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Environmental Report
Appendix 2: Fire Management Plan
Appendix 3: Landscape Masterplan
Appendix 4: Transport Impact Assessment
Appendix 5: Local Water Management Strategy
Appendix 6: Retail Needs Assessment
Appendix 7: Engineering Servicing Report
Appendix 8: Amendment 1 documentation
Appendix 9: Amendment 2 documentation



Aerial image of Doley Road Precinct Open Space (Source: Parcel Property)

# 1. PLANNING BACKGROUND

## 1.1. Introduction and Purpose

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan (the Structure Plan) has been prepared on behalf of Delfina Properties Pty Ltd (a subsidiary company of the ABN Group) over what is commonly referred to as the Doley Road Precinct, Byford. The Structure Plan constitutes a Local Structure Plan in the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale *Local Planning Scheme No. 3* and has been prepared in accordance with Part 4 of Schedule 2 (Deemed Provisions) in the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (`the Regulations').

The purpose of the Structure Plan is to provide a planning framework to guide future subdivision and development across the site. It draws on key land use elements of the Byford Structure Plan and reflects *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* and the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework.

The format of the Structure Plan follows that set out in the Western Australian Planning Commission's (WAPC) WA Planning Manual Guidance for Structure Plans (2023), consisting of three parts:

Part 1: Implementation Section: Contains the Structure Plan Map and outlines the requirements that will be applied when assessing subdivision and development applications.

Part 2: Explanatory Section: Discusses the key outcomes and planning implications of the background and technical reports and describes the broad vision and more detailed planning framework being proposed.

Part 2 is based on a detailed site specific analysis of opportunities and constraints and the following Technical Reports and strategies:

- Environmental Report (Essential Environmental);
- Fire Management Plan (Essential Environmental);
- Local Water Management Strategy (Essential Environmental);
- Transport Impact Assessment (KCTT);
- Retail Needs Assessment (RPS);
- Servicing and Infrastructure Strategy (Pritchard Francis Engineers); and
- Landscape Master Plan and Descriptions (LD Total).

Part 3: Technical Appendices: Includes the technical reports and supporting plans and maps as prepared by the project team.





**Figure 1:** Location Plan

## 1.2. Land Description

The following section provides a brief summary of the location, land use and ownership within the Structure Plan area.

### 1.2.1. Location

The Structure Plan area is in the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, approximately 30km south-east of the Perth CBD, 9km south-west of the Armadale Town Centre and 2km south-west of the Byford Town Centre along Orton Road, Byford (Figure 1: Location Plan).

The Structure Plan area is generally bound by:

- Orton Road to the south;
- Brickwood Reserve (Bush Forever Site No. 351) and Soldiers Road to the east; and
- 'The Glades' residential estate to the north, south and west.

### 1.2.2. Area and Land Use

The Structure Plan comprises a total land area of 120.5ha. The lots have generally been cleared over the years for agricultural use with only small pockets of vegetation remaining.

In recent years many of the original holdings have been subdivided into single, albeit large rural-lifestyle lots with a number of dwellings constructed on these lots during the 1970's. These lots are still used for peri-agricultural activities (Figure 2: Site Plan).





**Figure 2:** Site Plan (Source: Nearmap)

### 1.2.3. Ownership and Title Details

The Structure Plan area is comprised of 47 freehold lots.

This land is legally described as set out in Table 1.

Delfina Properties Pty Ltd has a controlling interest over lots 2 and 3 Lawrence Way, lots 1 and 126 Orton Road and lots 127-130 and 202 Doley Road, Byford making it a majority landowner. The Structure Plan has been prepared over all of the aforementioned properties at the request of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, to ensure integrated planning over the entire site.

### 1.2.4. Surrounding Land Use and Context

The Structure Plan is located within the Byford Urban Growth Cell which is the rapidly developing residential front that surrounds the Byford town centre. The Structure Plan will bring this significant growth cell to a logical conclusion.

The following is a summary of the local context:

- Brickwood Reserve abuts the site to the east.
- 'The Glades' residential estate adjoins the Structure Plan area along the northern and western boundaries.
- Land on the southern side of Orton Road consists of cleared rural land. This land is approved for residential development as part of 'The Glades' estate.
- The Byford town centre is located 2km north-east of the Structure Plan, on the South Western Highway.
- The Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority's Forrestdale West Business Park Estate is located 10km to the north. It is a significant 190ha business and service commercial estate, which will support future population growth in the corridor.

| Lot No. | Diagram/ Plan | Volume | Folio | Owner | Area |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | D049478 | 1425 | 578 | Goff, Gay / Goff, Terence John | 3.3662 |
| 1 | D070480 | 1737 | 366 | Goldcat Nominees Pty Ltd | 2.0636 |
| 1 | D053167 | 1484 | 726 | Holder, Kylie Marie / Angelini, Ricardo Carlo | 4.1715 |
| 2 | D070480 | 1737 | 367 | Alljoy Holdings Pty Ltd | 1.9788 |
| 2 | D053167 | 1484 | 727 | Hahn, Anne Joyce / Hahn, Barry James | 1.6303 |
| 2 | S008478 | S008478 | S008478 | N/A | 2.0449 |
| 3 | D070480 | 1737 | 368 | Full Quiver Pty Ltd | 2.0445 |
| 3 | D053167 | 1484 | 728 | Riseborough, Kerri Louise / Tiemann, Susan Ann / Tiemann, Elainea Joyce | 2.0631 |
| 4 | D053167 | 1484 | 729 | Robinson, Angela Doreen / Robinson, Warren John | 6.0865 |
| 8 | D049045 | 1416 | 21 | Planmar Pty Ltd / Gleneagle Securities Pty Ltd / 8 Warrington Road Pty Ltd | 3.9780 |
| 9 | D049045 | SP8478 | SP8478 | N/A | 5.7686 |
| 9 | S008478 | S008478 | S008478 | N/A | 3.3662 |
| 20 | D090157 | 2526 | 801 | Ward, Margaret Elizabeth | 2.0410 |
| 21 | D090157 | 2526 | 802 | Ward, Sean Reginald / Ward, William James | 3.3659 |
| 31 | P014324 | 1652 | 227 | Mann, Graham Keith / Mann, Clara Anna / Mann, Nicola | 2.0238 |
| 32 | P014324 | 1652 | 228 | Knapman, Kirk Randal / Knapman, Eliza Clara / Mann, Clara Anna | 4.1543 |
| 34 | P014324 | 1652 | 230 | Bailey, Dorothy Mary / Bailey, Philip Patrick | 1.9178 |
| 43 | P206144 | 1229 | 807 | Hadian, Payum | 4.1410 |
| 44 | P206144 | 1229 | 770 | Marwick, Christopher Bevan / Marwick, Anthony Stanley | 2.0934 |
| 45 | P206144 | 1229 | 812 | Vlasich, Vincent Richard Sunday / Vlasich, Katie Matilda | 1.9883 |
| 46 | P206144 | 1723 | 769 | Harry, Douglas Albert | 3.5369 |
| 47 | P206144 | 1635 | 297 | Prammowski, Carlos Alberto | 3.5430 |
| 50 | D055158 | 1521 | 356 | Rensen, Jacobus / Rensen, Betty Suzanne | 1.2970 |
| 51 | D055158 | 1521 | 357 | Bateman, Cameron James Philip / Bateman, Daniel John Neil | 1.1512 |
| 51 | P206144 | 2099 | 859 | Moore, Julie-Anne | 3.9404 |
| 52 | D085692 | 1985 | 77 | Mellor, Robert Philip / Mellor, Sandra Gail | 2.0237 |
| 53 | D085692 | 1985 | 78 | Skycard Pty Ltd | 1.9658 |
| 61 | D061334 | 2204 | 394 | Fitzpatrick, Greta / Fitzpatrick, Kevin Thomas | 1.5010 |
| 63 | D074223 | 1812 | 64 | Benvenuti, Marino James / Benvenuti, Carolyn May | 0.8626 |
| 64 | D098206 | 2204 | 393 | Fitzpatrick, Greta / Fitzpatrick, Kevin Thomas | 0.8107 |
| 101 | D054270 | 1528 | 248 | Fanshaw Nominees Pty Ltd | 4.0496 |
| 126 | D074219 | 1826 | 802 | Hutcheson, Eleanor Desley / Hutcheson, David Graeme | 3.8185 |
| 127 | D074220 | 1810 | 62 | Ng, Jonathan Qiang Wei | 0.2319 |
| 128 | D074220 | 1810 | 63 | Ng, Christopher Qiang Long | 2.0850 |
| 129 | D074221 | 1873 | 995 | Coughlin, Pamela Betty / Coughlin, Dennis Wayne | 2.0228 |
| 130 | D074221 | 1873 | 996 | Coughlin, Pamela Betty / Coughlin, Dennis Wayne | 4.0494 |
| 131 | D074222 | 1812 | 65 | Bui, Le Thi Thu / Bui Nguyen & Sons Nominees Pty Ltd / Nguyen, Toi Van | 1.3247 |
| 133 | D086350 | 2013 | 683 | Vo, Duong Anh Hoa / Vo, Tung Thoi | 1.9806 |
| 134 | D086350 | 2013 | 684 | Zvi Raphael Pty Ltd / Lishane Pty Ltd / Lauzion Pty Ltd | 4.1038 |
| 135 | D091266 | 2076 | 997 | Mottahedian, Mitra | 4.1071 |
| 136 | D091266 | 2076 | 998 | Sperandio, Chris James / Sperandio, Carmen | 1.9691 |
| 201 | D094930 | 2532 | 605 | Morley, Sharon / Morley, Craig Steven / Kelly, James Anthony / Kelly, Madeleine Ann | 0.8004 |
| 202 | P027354 | 2515 | 864 | Jadeland Holdings Pty Ltd | 2.0236 |
| 202 | P014324 | 1652 | 229 | Kc Nominees Pty Ltd / Mann, Clara Anna | 2.0447 |
| 202 | D094930 | 2532 | 606 | Lyon, Margaret Ann / Lyon, Edward Donald Clarence | 2.0240 |
| 203 | P027354 | 2515 | 865 | Ashley Brooke Pty Ltd | 1.9615 |
| 9063 | P404513 | 2879 | 591 | Lwp Byford Syndicate Pty Ltd | 2.0618 |

**Table 1:** Land Ownership

## 1.3. Planning Framework

### 1.3.1. Metropolitan Region Scheme Zoning

The Structure Plan area is zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (Figure 3: Metropolitan Region Scheme Zoning).

The Blackwood Reserve abuts the Structure Plan area to the east. It reserved 'Parks and Recreation' (Bush Forever No. 352).





**Figure 3:** Metropolitan Region Scheme Zoning (Source: WAPC)

### 1.3.2. Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS 3)

The Structure Plan area is zoned 'Urban Development' (Development Area 3) under the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS 3) (Figure 4: Local Structure Plan No. 3 Zoning). Pursuant to Clause 16 (2) of LPS 3, the 'Urban Development' zone is to provide for the 'intention of future land use and as a basis for more detailed structure planning in accordance with the provisions of this Scheme'.

The Structure Plan area is zoned 'Urban Development' in the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS 3). Pursuant to Clause 16 (2) of the LPS 3, a primary objective of the 'Urban Development' zone is to provide for the 'intention of future land use and as a basis for more detailed structure planning in accordance with the provisions of this Scheme'.

The Structure Plan has been prepared in accordance with this LPS 3 objective and Part 4 of Schedule 2 (Deemed Provisions) in the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (`the Regulations').





**Figure 4:** Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No. 3 Zoning (Source: WAPC)

### 1.3.3. Byford Structure Plan (2005)

The Structure Plan is within the Byford Structure Plan. This is the district level structure plan for the area generally bound by Thomas Road to the north, Hopkinson Road and the future Tonkin Highway extension to the west, Cardup Siding Road to the south and the Byford town centre and the Darling Range foothills to the east.

The Byford Structure Plan establishes 12 precincts, and allows for the preparation of individual local structure plans over any one of these precincts, in lieu of one large local structure plan covering the entire Byford Structure Plan area. The Structure Plan corresponds with Precinct 7 of the Byford Structure Plan (Figure 5: Byford Structure Plan).

The Structure Plan is consistent with Byford Structure Plan in that it:

- Proposes predominately residential development;
- Creates a primary school site in the general location identified by the Byford Structure Plan;
- Locates a neighbourhood centre at the corner of Orton and Doley Roads;
- Provides road interface between Brickwood Reserve and residential development;
- Creates a multiple use corridor through the site from the Brickwood Reserve, to accommodate an existing waterway; and
- Provides for the widening of Orton Road to accommodate stormwater drainage.





**Figure 5:** Byford (District) Structure Plan (Source: Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale)

### 1.3.4. The Glades Local Structure Plan (2011)

The Glades Local Structure Plan guides development and subdivision over land abutting the northern, western and southern boundaries of the Structure Plan area (Figure 6: The Glades Local Structure Plan).

The Structure Plan responds to the approved The Glades Local Structure Plan through:

- Connecting into approved road connections to adjacent landholdings.
- 'Bookending' a mixed use strip along Orton Road with a neighbourhood centre.
- Confirming the realignment of Orton Road.
- Extending the multiple use corridor into the Structure Plan area at the location established in 'The Glades'.





**Figure 6:** The Glades Local Structure Plan (Source: Taylor Burrell Barnett)

### 1.3.5. Strategic Planning Framework

#### *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million (2015) and the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework*

*Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* (draft) is a high level 'spatial framework' and strategic plan that manages the growth of the metropolitan region, and provides a framework to guide the planning and delivery of essential housing, infrastructure and services.

It includes the Structure Plan area within the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-region. This sub-region is expected to experience considerable economic and population growth, with population increase estimates of 44% (676,600 people) by 2050.

The draft South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework (the Framework) supports the implementation of *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* at the sub regional level. It includes more information and detail about the level of expected population growth, servicing and infrastructure, housing demands, and importantly it highlights development opportunities throughout the sub region.

The Structure Plan area is identified in *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* and the draft Framework as 'Urban', reflecting the current zoning of the land under the MRS and the growth rates and infrastructure availability in Byford (Figure 7: South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework).

The Structure Plan and the land use content is consistent with *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* and the draft Framework.

#### *Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Policy 69 – Doley Road Precinct Planning Framework*

The Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Strategy 69 - Doley Road Precinct Planning Framework (LPP 69) provides for the orderly and proper planning of Precinct 7 of the Byford Structure Plan. This Structure Plan covers all of Precinct 7.

LPP 69 establishes a further 4 sub-precincts within the Doley Road Precinct (simply referred to as Sub-precincts A to D) and allows for the preparation of individual local structure plans over any one of these sub-precincts.

Landholdings currently in the control of the ABN Group fall exclusively within 'Sub-precinct B'.

The Structure Plan has been prepared over the entire Doley Road Precinct at the request of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, notwithstanding that LPP 69 allows for individual local structure plans to be prepared over any one of the aforementioned sub-precincts.

### 1.3.6. Other Planning Considerations

The following section summarises other government policies relevant to the development of the Structure Plan area.

#### *Liveable Neighbourhoods*

*Liveable Neighbourhoods* is the WAPC's 'operational policy' for greenfields development in Western Australia. *Liveable Neighbourhoods* sets out the key considerations for the planning of new communities including subdivision layout and movement networks, the location of open space, community facilities, schools and activity centres.

The Structure Plan has been prepared reflecting the principles and specific objectives of *Liveable Neighbourhoods* and best practice urban design principles. The design provides for the creation of a walkable neighbourhood supported by an interconnected network of local roads and pathways. An integrated approach to the design of public open space and urban water management has been adopted. These aspects of the Structure Plan are described in more detail in Section 3.

#### *State Planning Policy 3.7 (SPP 3.7) – Planning in Bush Fire Prone Areas*

SPP 3.7 – Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas was initially gazetted in December 2015 and sets out a range of matters that need to be addressed through the planning process to provide an appropriate level of protection of life and property from bushfires.

The Structure Plan is accompanied by a Bushfire Management Plan which includes a Bushfire Hazard Assessment as per the Guidelines. The manner in which the Structure Plan responds to bushfire risk is discussed in further detail in Section 3.4.





**Figure 7:** South Metropolitan Peel Sub-regional Planning Framework

## 2. SITE CONDITIONS AND CONSTRAINTS

The Environmental Report prepared by Essential Environmental demonstrates that the Structure Plan area is environmentally unconstrained and that all environmental factors affecting the site, including groundwater, drainage and bushfire management can all be addressed through the planning approval process. A complete copy of the Environmental Report is included as Appendix 1.

The following section summarises the key findings of the Environmental Report.

### 2.1. Topography and Geology

The topography and soils within the Structure Plan area are suitable for urban development.

The Structure Plan area is relatively flat, grading from 43m Australian Height Datum (AHD) in the east to 37.5m AHD in the west.

Regional geology mapping broadly describes the Structure Plan area as 'Guildford Formation'. This is further classified as Sandy Clay, comprising white grey to brown, fine to coarse grained sand and clay of moderate plasticity gravel and silt layers. A preliminary earthworks strategy responding to the geology of the Structure Plan area is discussed further in Section 3.9.

#### 2.1.1. Acid Sulphate Soils

The Department of Environment and Regulation (DER) Acid Sulphate Soil (ASS) Risk Map shows the majority of the site as 'low to moderate risk of ASS occurring within 3m of the natural soils surface'. The Structure Plan area is therefore suitable for urban development with an ASS investigation (if necessary) and management plans to be prepared in the usual manner, prior to any excavation as part of the subdivision, as set out in the WAPC's Planning Bulletin 64/2009 – Acid Sulphate Soils.

#### 2.1.2. Contamination

The Structure Plan area is not located within any DER listed contaminated sites, with the past and present use of the site not generating a high risk of contamination.

### 2.2. Biodiversity and Natural Assets

#### 2.2.1. Flora and Vegetation

The land has been subject to long periods of clearing and agricultural activity and has little remaining ecological value.

In accordance with the EPA (2003) 'Guidance Statement No. 51 – Terrestrial Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment', a Level 1 (desktop) Flora and Vegetation Survey was undertaken to identify flora species of conservation significance.

The survey found:

- Past clearing of the Structure Plan area for agriculture has adversely affected the biodiversity rating and ecological value , making the site suitable for development.
- Vegetation onsite is degraded and does not contain any areas with an intact understorey.
- There are no declared rare flora or priority species found within the Structure Plan area.

#### 2.2.2. Fauna

A Level 1 Fauna Impact Assessment and Black Cockatoo site assessment was undertaken by 360 Environmental, identifying fauna values of the site. The Fauna Impact Assessment is included as part of the Environmental Report.

The Assessment found:

- No recorded species of conservation significance in the Structure Plan area.
- No quality Black Cockatoo foraging habitat, with no evidence of roosting.
- Extensive clearing and the significant degradation of remnant vegetation has resulted in any potential habitat being of low value to native fauna.

The Fauna Impact Assessment concludes that development of the Structure Plan area has the potential to increase the ecological value of the site through the provision and treatment of the multiple use corridor and public open space.

## 2.3. Hydrology

The management of ground and surface water is comprehensively addressed in the Local Water Management Strategy (LWMS) prepared by Essential Environmental that clearly demonstrate hydrology is not a constraint to development.

The LWMS was prepared in accordance with the 'Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan' (GHD and Department of Water, 2008) which is the district water management strategy for the locality.

The existing hydrological conditions of the Structure Plan are summarised below, while the key principles of the LWMS are discussed further in Section 3.6 of this report.

### 2.3.1. Surface Water

The Department of Parks and Wildlife Swan Coastal Plain geomorphic wetlands database classifies the Structure Plan area as Multiple Use Wetland (Armadale Palusplain: seasonally waterlogged flat land), a low management category that has little ecological value, making it suitable for development.

Surface water from northern portion of the Structure Plan area is conveyed to a minor watercourse that flows through the site in a westerly direction. The Structure Plan has this watercourse being modified into a 'living stream' embedded in a multiple use corridor, consistent with the 'Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan'.

Surface water from the southern portion of the Structure Plan area flows to a drain located in the Orton Road reserve. The Structure Plan provides a 5m road widening of Orton Road, allowing for Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale planned upgrades to this drain, accommodating surface water requirements.

There are no mapped *Environmental Protection (Swan Coastal Plain Lakes) Policy 1992* wetlands located within or in proximity to the Structure Plan area.

### 2.3.2. Ground Water

Water modelling carried out by the Department of Water as part of the Lower Serpentine hydrological studies in 2010 found that groundwater levels are close to the natural surface throughout the Structure Plan area. Groundwater monitoring carried out as part of the adjacent 'The Glade' Structure Plan confirmed the findings of the Department of Water hydrological studies.

Ground water levels can be managed through importing clean fill and the use of subsoil drainage.

## 2.4. Heritage

The Department of Aboriginal Affairs Aboriginal Heritage Enquiry Systems lists no registered sites within or adjacent to the Structure Plan Area. There are no listed European sites of heritage significance located within the subject site.

# 3. LAND USE AND SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS

## 3.1. Land Use

A Development Concept Plan has been prepared for the site to demonstrate how development could occur based on the principles and requirements of the Structure Plan (Figure 8: Development Concept Plan).

It is important to note that this Development Concept Plan represents only one way development could occur and that it will be refined at the time of subdivision.

The key principles of the Development Concept Plan are as follows:

- Enable the creation of a diverse range of high quality housing choices that appeal to a wide market segment.
- Provide an urban form that responds to the local context.
- Recognise the natural amenity and value of the area through interfacing with the adjacent Brickwood Reserve.
- Provide for the existing watercourse that flows through the site, originating from Brickwood Reserve.
- Create accessible and integrated multi-functional public open spaces that offer a range of active and passive recreational opportunities.
- Extend all necessary services and infrastructure in a timely and efficient manner.
- Establish a legible and permeable road network.
- Provide the primary school site planned for in the Byford Structure Plan.

Building on these broader key principles, the Structure Plan provides for the following:

- Approximately 1850-1950 lots across the Structure Plan area, with residential densities of R25, R40 and R60. The R40 and R60 densities are focused around key access roads, primary school, neighbourhood centre and areas of high local amenity. R60 will also be allocated to grouped or multiple dwelling development sites.
- Approximately 10.64ha of open space distributed throughout the Structure Plan area, meeting active and passive recreational needs.
- Rehabilitation of the existing waterway that originates from the Brickwood Reserve, to accommodate local and district storm water drainage.
- Construction of a permeable movement network that connects into existing regional and local road networks and provides for pedestrian and cycling infrastructure, and future public transport services.
- Appropriate separation between Brickwood Reserve and all future dwellings to minimise potential risk from bushfire.
- Creation of 4ha primary school site collocated with an area of active open space.
- Provision a Neighborhood Centre at the intersection of Orton and Doley Roads, providing residents with convenient access to retail.

A Public Open Space Schedule is included as Table 2, and should be read in conjunction with the Landscape Masterplan (Figure 9).





**Figure 8:** Development Concept Plan (Source: Essential Environmental)

| Public Open Space Schedule (all areas in hectares) | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Site Area** | | **120.50** |
| Deductions | | |
| Primary School | 4.00 | |
| Commercial Centre | 1.04 | |
| Orton Road widening land | 0.43 | |
| 1:1 Drainage within POS | 0.24 | |
| Total Structure Plan Deductions | | 5.17 |
| **Gross Subdivisible Area** | | **114.79** |
| POS @ 10% | | 11.48 |
| Public Open Space Requirement | | |
| May Comprise: | | |
| Min 8% unrestricted POS | 9.18 | |
| Max 2% restricted POS | 2.30 | |
| **TOTAL POS REQUIRED** | | **11.48** |
| Public Open Space Provided | Unrestricted POS Area | Restricted POS Area |
| POS 1 (MUC West of Doley; Amd 2) | 0.93 | 0.03 |
| POS 2 (MUC East of Doley) | 2.21 | 0.08 |
| POS 3 (Beenyup Park) | 1.23 | 0.00 |
| POS 4 (Northern Local Park) | 0.36 | 0.00 |
| POS 5 (MUC West of Warrington; Amd 1) | 2.25 | 0.09 |
| POS 6 (North-Eastern Neighbourhood Park) | 1.29 | 0.00 |
| POS 7 (MUC East of Warrinton) | 1.14 | 0.03 |
| POS 8 (Turner Rd Local Park) | 0.54 | 0.00 |
| POS 9 (South-Eastern Local Park) | 0.46 | 0.00 |
| **TOTAL (ha)** | **10.41** | **0.23** |
| Additional Deductions | | |
| Restricted Open Space Surplus | | 0.00 |
| Revised Public Open Space Contribution | | |
| Mix 8% Unrestricted POS Provided | 10.41 | 9.1% |
| Max 2% Restricted POS Provided | 0.23 | 0.2% |
| **Total Creditable POS Provided** | **10.64** | **9.3%** |

*Notes*

1. *In accordance with Liveable Neighbourhoods: the area subject to inundation more frequently than a one year average recurrence interval rainfall event is not included as restricted or unrestricted open space and is a deduction from the net site area (LN R33); areas for the detention of stormwater for a greater than one year average recurrence interval up to the five year recurrence interval is restricted open space up to 20%, the area greater than 20% is a deduction (not applicable in this case) (LN R26 & Table 11); areas for the detention of stormwater for a greater than five year average recurrence interval is within unrestricted open space (LN R25).*
2. *This Schedule is for plan CLE Ref. 3410-22B-01, with Amendments 1 and 2 incorporated.*

**Table 2:** Pubic Open Space Schedule

## 3.2. Residential

The Structure Plan provides for the delivery of a range of lot types, housing products and lifestyle options in a manner that satisfies state density targets. The delivery of quality housing and streetscapes is one of the primary objectives of the Structure Plan.

### 3.2.1. Dwellings Yields and Density Targets

The Structure Plan has the potential to create approximately 1850-1950 residential lots, with a density range of R25 to R60. This is based around the following principles:

- A base density code of R25 applies.
- Medium density R40 areas are typically located adjacent areas of public open space (where a higher level of amenity exists), in proximity to the school and neighbourhood centre, or at the end of street blocks. This coding provides the opportunity to deliver 'cottage' style housing (single or double storey, front of rear loaded) or for contemporary 'compact' lots that typically bookend street blocks. Single lots sizes will generally range from 180m$^2$ to 300m$^2$.
- The R60 code will be applied adjacent areas of public open space and in proximity to the school or neighbourhood centre, similar to the aforementioned R40 criteria. This coding provides opportunities for smaller cottage lots that can accommodate contemporary two storey residential development. This coding will also be allocated to grouped or multiple dwelling sites, providing for an efficient built form response on these sites.

The Structure Plan has the potential to achieve 16.4 dwellings per gross urban zoned hectare, meeting the 15 dwellings per gross urban zoned hectare density target set out in *Perth & Peel @ 3.5 million*. Similarly, the Structure Plan achieves a target of approximately 28.2 dwellings per site hectare (being the pure residential area, excluding open space, roads and other non-residential uses), exceeding the density requirement of 22 dwellings per site hectare required by *Liveable Neighbourhoods*.

### 3.2.2. R-Code Variations

Local Development Plans (LDPs, formerly known as Detailed Area Plans) will be required for a select number of circumstances where specific development requirements are needed to deliver a suitable built form response.

These LDPs will be imposed as a condition of subdivision.

The following outlines the key provisions that will be addressed in these LDPs, with a brief explanation of their application.

### 3.2.3. Lots Abutting Areas of Public Open Space

*Liveable Neighbourhoods* supports residential lots directly abutting open space under particular circumstances and where the functionality of the POS is not compromised, and where the dwelling addresses the open space providing adequate visual surveillance.

Typically the interface to public open space at the time of subdivision will be via roads, however, in some instances direct lot frontage is an appropriate design response to provide greater product diversity, address amenity and increase surveillance of the public spaces.

To ensure these public open spaces are not compromised by such a design initiative subsequent subdivision design and engineering detail will ensure that visitor parking is provided along adjacent streets, and the adjacent residential lots are elevated a minimum of 500mm above the POS ground level to create a visible separation between the private and public realm.

Where lots interface with open space, LDPs will need to be prepared to control built form. These LDPs will address:

- minimum setbacks to the public open space.
- major openings (other than bedrooms) to address the space.
- permeable fencing to ensure constant surveillance.

### 3.2.4. Lots Within a Designated Bushfire Prone Area

LDPs will be prepared for lots identified as located within a designated bushfire prone area, discussed further in Section 3.4. These LDPs will ensure that landowners will be notified of bushfire risk, and that dwellings must be constructed to an appropriate Building Attack Level (BAL) standard. Mandatory boundary setbacks can also form part of any required building protection zone (if applicable).

## 3.3. Public Open Space

The Landscape Masterplan (Figure 9) prepared by LD Total Landscaping describes the intended function and design principles of each open space area.

The Structure Plan ensures that public open space can serve a variety of functions throughout the Structure Plan area, balancing active and passive recreational pursuits, whilst allowing for the rehabilitation of the 'living stream' that conveys surface water through the site from Brickwood Reserve.


The Landscape Masterplan is included as Appendix 3. The following is a summary of the key characteristics of the three open space types:

### *Local Parks*

Local parks of between 3,000m² and 8,000m² are provided to ensure all dwellings are within a 400m walk, in accordance with *Liveable Neighbourhoods*.

The design of local parks is based on the following principles:

- Retention of remnant trees wherever appropriate.
- Comprising native or low water use shrubs and grasses, for easy and low cost maintenance.
- Open turfed areas will provide an informal play and a kick-about spaces within selected local parks.
- Opportunities to provision shelter and picnic facilities.
- Potential for fitness nodes and play equipment.



Doley Road Precinct Open Space (Source: Parcel Property)




**Figure 9:** Landscape Masterplan (Source: LD Total)

*Multiple Use Corridor (Living Stream)*

The Structure Plan provides for a 30m wide multiple use corridor that passes through the Structure Plan area, incorporating an existing waterway originating from Brickwood Reserve.

In accordance with the 'Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan', this is to be landscaped as a 'living stream' and can potentially provide the following:

- Native or low water use shrubs and grasses for easy and low cost maintenance.
- Opportunities for pedestrian pathways and seating, including a respite area for passive recreation outside areas affected by frequent storm events.
- Viewing nodes for viewing opportunities over the 'living stream'.

This multiple use corridor is critical in that it conveys local and district drainage for all rainfall events as well as providing a high quality landscaped space for passive recreation and local amenity. The multiple use measures approximately 30m in width.

There are opportunities to further the amenity of this space through the inclusion of pedestrian pathways, along with seating and shaded areas, refer Figure 10: Multiple Use Corridor Cross Section.





**Figure 10:** Multiple Use Corridor Cross Section (Source: LD Total)

## 3.4. Bushfire Management

The Structure Plan provides a design response and the planning framework to address potential bushfire risk. It demonstrates that bushfire risk is not an impediment to development as this can be managed through a combination of Asset Protection Zones (APZ) and appropriate construction standards in accordance with AS3959 `Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas'.

In accordance with the WAPC's State Planning Policy 3.7: Bushfire and the Guidelines, Essential Environmental has prepared a Bushfire Management Plan (BMP) (refer Appendix 2) that includes a Bushfire Hazard Assessment identifying bushfire prone areas (a bushfire prone area is defined as any land that has the potential to impact development) of low, moderate and extreme bushfire risk - refer Figure 11.

Much of the site is designated as a Bushfire Prone Area.

Relevant Bushfire Management Plans and Bushfire Attack Level Assessments have been prepared over Lot 61 Lawrence Way, Byford and Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford in regard to Amendments 1 and 2 to the Local Structure Plan.





**Figure 11:** Bushfire Hazard Assessment (Source:Essential Environmental)

## 3.5. Movement Network

A comprehensive Transport Impact Assessment has been prepared by KCTT traffic engineers, included as Appendix 4.

The following section discusses the key elements of the Assessment, including existing and planned movement network, road hierarchy classification and an overview of the cyclist and pedestrian network.

### 3.5.1. Existing Movement Network

The Structure Plan is supported by a road network that allows for excellent connections to local, district and regional destinations. Key aspects of this existing road network are:

- Hopkinson Road runs in a north-south direction, approximately 1.3km west of the Structure Plan area.
- Orton Road abuts the southern edge of the Structure Plan area, intersecting with Hopkinson Road to the west and Soldiers Road to the east.
- Doley Road passes through the Structure Plan area, connecting The Glades development to the north with Orton Road to the south.
- Warrington Road provides local access to the area, connecting to Orton Road.
- Soldiers Road abuts the eastern most edge of the Structure Plan.
- Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Patridge Bend, Haliday Road and Lawrence Way provide local traffic connections to adjacent development.
- Recorded weekday traffic flows (MRWA) are as follows:
  - Hopkinson Road (south of Abernathy Road) : 3,097 vpd
  - Doley Road (south of Abernathy Road) : 2,743 vpd.
  - Orton Road: 449 vpd
  - Soldiers Road (south of Abernathy Road) : 2,158 vpd.
- Public bus service 254 connects to Armadale Train Station and runs along Doley Road, approximately 400m north of the Structure Plan area, providing an quarter hour service Monday to Friday, with an hourly service on Saturdays.
- Abernathy Road, located approximately 2.3km north of the Structure Plan area, is considered a good riding environment in accordance with Perth Bike Map series (Department of Transport; 2009).

Main Roads WA planning shows the future Tonkin Highway extension replacing Hopkinson Road with a full interchange at Orton Road. No timeframe has been established for this infrastructure works. Once complete this will dramatically improve regional access for the Structure Plan area.

### 3.5.2. Proposed Movement Network

#### *Road Network*

The road network reflects the principles and standards of *Liveable Neighbourhoods* and has been designed to provide a permeable and legible movement structure. Road alignments also reflect the constraints imposed by surrounding land uses and existing road connections.

The KCTT Road Hierarchy Plan shows the hierarchy of the movement network planned for the area and the external connections to the existing network (Figure 13: Road Hierarchy Plan). The road network has been planned and modelled on known and forecast traffic volumes and will be refined further at the time of subdivision to relevant Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale standards.

The key aspects of the planned road network are as follows:

- **Orton Road** – to be classified as an Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).
- **Soldiers Road** – to be classified as an Other Regional Road, is to be widened to 30 metres with 10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road.
- **Turner Road** – is to be extended westwards to connect to Doley Road and classified as a neighbourhood Connector B, with a reservation width of 19.4m.
- **Doley and Warrington Roads** – to be classified as Neighbourhood Connector A road, with a reservation width of 24.4m.
- **Doley Road** - to be classified as a Neighbourhood Connector A road, with a sufficient reservation width to be designed as a boulevard, with a split carriageway and central median, which allows for the retention of trees.




**Figure 12:** Road Hierarchy Plan (Source: KCTT)

- **Lawrence Way** – to be classified as Neighbourhood Connector B roads, with a reservation width of 19.4m.
- **Access Streets** – these will range in width from 14.2 to 17.9m road reserves with the majority to be constructed as 15.4m road reserves with a 6-7.2m carriageway. These road reserve widths will be reduced by 1m when the access street is adjacent to public open space as set out in *Liveable Neighbourhoods.*
- **Laneways** – to be a minimum width of 6m to accommodate two way movements and rubbish collection.

This proposed local road network will be refined further at the time of subdivision.

*Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities*

The Structure Plan provides an excellent level of accessibility and permeability for pedestrians and cyclists, both within the site and via connections to surrounding areas (Figure 14: Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities).

The pedestrian and cyclist facility network will be provided in accordance with the following key principles:

- Footpaths on at least one side of all access roads, constructed to a minimum width of 1.8m.
- Neighbourhood Connector (A and B) roads will be provided with a 2.3m wide footpath to one side, in addition to a 1.8m footpath on the opposite side.
- Orton Road will be provided with a 2.3m wide footpath to the northern side.
- Roads adjacent to the primary school site will be provided with a dual use path.
- Shared paths will be provided, connecting to the existing 'Trails Network' located within Brickwood Reserve.

The precise location, alignment and design of foothpaths and shared paths will be determined in consultation with the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, consistent with the Shire's endorsed Long Term Cycle Network Plan, as part of the detailed engineering stage following subdivision approval

*Public Transport*

It is common for development to precede public transport services as these are not provided until there is a critical population mass to demand and warrant such services. Presently bus route 254 connects to Armadale Train Station and runs along Doley Road, approximately 400m north of the Structure Plan area. It is anticipated that existing bus routes will be extended to service the Structure Plan area once local population mass warrants these services. Doley Road, Lawrence Way and Warrington Road are planned as Neighbourhood Connectors and will be capable of accommodating a public bus route.

### 3.5.3. Transport Network Analysis

KCTT have undertaken comprehensive traffic modelling for the movement network proposed by the Structure Plan. It demonstrates that the proposed network and associated reserve widths have the capacity to accommodate expected traffic volumes in the year 2036. This is consistent with the long term planning horizon in *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million* and Main Roads regional modelling.

All vehicle movements fall within the acceptable limits outlined by *Liveable Neighbourhoods* for the respective road categories proposed by the Structure Plan.

It is important to note that the traffic modelling undertaken incorporates all land anticipated for future urban development, as identified by the Byford Structure Plan and *Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million*. This has ensured a transparent and robust model.

*Internal Intersections*

The precise nature and function of all internal intersections will be determined once the location and alignment of access streets have been finalised as part of the subdivision approval. All intersection spacing and treatments will be designed to *Liveable Neighbourhoods* standards.

The Paramics Model and SIDRA capability analysis carried out for the site confirms that uninterrupted traffic flows can be expected at all internal intersections during typical operating conditions.




**Figure 13:** Pedestrian & Cyclist Facilities Plan (Source: KCTT)

## 3.6. Water Management

In accordance with the WAPC's Better Urban Water Management Guidelines, Essential Environmental has prepared a Local Water Management Strategy (LWMS) that establishes key principles for the management of stormwater runoff and groundwater quality.

Pursuant to Schedule 7 (DCP 1) of LPS 3, the LWMS is consistent with the 'Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan' and has been prepared in consultation with the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and the Department of Water.

The management principles set out in the LWMS will be refined and implemented as part of subsequent Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) which will be prepared at the time of subdivision.

A complete copy of the LWMS is provided as Appendix 5.

### 3.6.1. Stormwater Management

The LWMS proposes stormwater management and groundwater recharge in a manner that is consistent with 'Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan' and water sensitive design practices:

- Soak wells on individual lots will allow onsite infiltration for the small stormwater event (first 15mm of rainfall).
- Rainwater from street pavements and commercial sites for the small stormwater event will be infiltrated as close to source as practicable, via bio-retention structures and tree pits located in the road reserves.
- Rainwater exceeding the small stormwater event (5 year ARI) will be conveyed through a series of pipes and overland drains to either the multiple use corridor that passes through the Structure Plan area, or the drain located in the Orton Road reserve.
- Rainwater from major flood events (100 year ARI) will be conveyed via drains and road reserves to join flow paths leading to the multiple use corridor or the Orton Road reserve drain.

#### *Interim Stormwater Solution*

Land under the ownership of Delfina Properties Pty Ltd is proposed to be developed in advance of the remainder of the Structure Plan area. The multiple use corridor where the majority of stormwater flows are to be discharged, falls outside this initial area of development.

An interim stormwater solution is proposed to allow this initial stage of development to proceed. This involves the northern boundary of the Delfina Properties land being retained in the ownership of Delfina Properties, with a cut-off drain conveying stormwater towards Doley Road being placed in this land. An open drain within the Doley Road reserve will then convey flows northwards, into the multiple use corridor located in the adjacent 'The Glades' (Figure 15: Interim Drainage Solution).

Once development to the north of the Delfina Properties land proceeds, stormwater flows will be redirected to the multiple use corridor as set out in the 'Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan'.

### 3.6.2. Groundwater Management

A preliminary earthworks strategy has been prepared over the Structure Plan area in order to achieve adequate separation to groundwater. Minimum separation between finished lot levels and groundwater will be achieved by a combination of clean fill and subsoil drainage to protect against any post-development groundwater rise.

Finished lot levels and fill requirements will be confirmed and reported during the Urban Water Management Plan stage, given the level of detailed engineering design involved.

### 3.6.3. Implementation and Delivery

The LWMS establishes the strategy in which development within the Structure Plan can occur in a water sensitive manner. It will be the responsibility of the developer to construct and maintain the stormwater drainage system at subdivision stage.

A post-development monitoring programme will be designed in cooperation with the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and the Department of Water. This will involve monitoring surface water during August to October to capture peak winter flows, and in March to capture the first baseline flows. Groundwater levels and quality will be carried out on a quarterly basis with samples being analysed at a NATA accredited laboratory.





**Figure 14:** Interim Drainage Solution (Source: LD Total)

## 3.7. Activity Centres

The Structure Plan is located in close proximity to a number of existing and planned activity centres, ensuring excellent access to retail and employment opportunities:

- The Byford Town Centre is located 2km north-east of the Structure Plan area, identified as a district centre in 'State Planning Policy 4.2 – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel'.
- The 'Byford Village Centre' is a large neighbourhood centre located only 500m north of the Structure Plan area. Construction of the 'Byford Village Centre' is expected to commence in 2016.
- A neighbourhood centre and mixed use strip is situated immediately west of the Structure Plan area on Orton Road.

The Byford Structure Plan provides for a further neighbourhood centre at the intersection of Orton and Doley Roads, 'bookending' the mixed use strip on Orton Road. This Centre is included within the Structure Plan.

A Retail Needs Assessment was undertaken by RPS to confirm that the location and size of this neighbourhood centre was appropriate in its context. The Assessment concluded that the location of the neighbourhood centre allowed for excellent customer exposure via its dual frontage to Orton and Doley Roads. The Assessment forecast at ultimate development, the neighbourhood centre can support 1,500m² of retail floor space. A copy of the Retail Needs Assessment is provided as Appendix 6.

## 3.8. Education

The Structure Plan is well serviced by existing and planned education facilities as established by the Byford Structure Plan.

Three primary schools currently serve the Byford Structure Plan area, with an additional four primary schools and one high school planned for the Structure Plan area. The Byford Structure Plan has located each school so as to be centrally located within its surrounding catchment.

The Structure Plan delivers one of the planned primary school sites, at the location shown in the Byford Structure Plan.

The school is provided with excellent access and circulation consistent with the principles of *Liveable Neighbourhoods,* surrounded by Lawrence Road to the west, Turner Road to the north and two local access roads to the south and east.

The Department of Education supports the size and location of the primary school site.

## 3.9. Infrastructure Coordination, Servicing and Staging

The following section summarises the engineering considerations in the Engineering Servicing Report prepared by Pritchard Francis Engineers. A copy of the Engineering Services Report is provided as Appendix 7.

### 3.9.1. Earthworks Strategy

A preliminary earthwork strategy has been prepared for the Structure Plan. Key aspects of the Strategy are:

- Provide at least 1.2 m of clean permeable sand in order to provide adequate clearance for onsite drainage (i.e. soakwells).
- Provide minimal retaining due to minimal fall across the Structure Plan area.
- Match into the ground levels found on adjoining landholdings, including Brickwood Reserve abutting the eastern boundary of the Structure Plan.
- Earthwork the multiple use corridor to allow for free water flows across the site, originating from Brickwood Reserve.
- Create finished levels that accommodate gravity-reliant infrastructure that facilitates sewer and drainage services.

### 3.9.2. Waste Water

The Water Corporation planning shows waste water from Structure Plan being gravity fed southwards to a proposed main along Orton Road. This line feeds to the Byford pump station located adjacent to Hopkinson Road within ‘The Glades’. A small northern portion of the Structure Plan will feed into existing catchments to the north through connections to existing reticulated sewer systems within ‘The Glades’.

### 3.9.3. Water Supply

The Water Corporation has confirmed reticulated water will be provided via a 250P main within the Orton Road reserve, turning north into Doley Road and ultimately connecting into the existing mains along Soldiers Road

### 3.9.4. Power Supply

Existing overhead HV power lines within the Structure Plan area have capacity to service future development. These power lines will be placed underground as part of Western Power development requirements. Any incremental demand increases will be considered by Western Power as normal network growth which may require additional HV feeder cables being extended into the area.

### 3.9.5. Gas Supply

The Structure Plan will be serviced via medium pressure 100PVC gas mains which exists within the Doley, Lawrence, Orton and Turner Road reserves. These mains have the capacity to service future development.

### 3.9.6. Telecommunications

The size and expected yield of the Structure Plan means that the National Broadband Network (NBN Co.) will be the telecommunications provider, with NBN connected developments already existing to the north-west of the Structure Plan area and within the nearby Byford town centre. The design and installation of a ‘pit and pipe’ network will be undertaken as development of the site progresses, with optic fibre to be provided by the NBN Co.

### 3.9.7. Staging and Timing

The Structure Plan will be staged to ensure the efficient supply of infrastructure and to meet market demand. The first stage of development is planned to be centrally located within the land controlled by the Delfina Properties Pty Ltd, with the subsequent stage moving southward towards Orton Road (Figure 16: Indicative Staging Plan).

Initial lot release is expected in early 2017, subject to subdivision design and approvals being in place.

The remainder of the Structure Plan area will be staged as demand grows, planned around the logical and efficient connection to services.

This planned staging should be taken as indicative only is subject to change depending on market demand, landowner timeframes and other variables.

## 3.10. Developer Contributions

The Structure Plan area is located within Development Contribution Plan 1 (DCP1) under Schedule 7.1 of LPS 3 and is subject to the Byford Development Contribution Plan.





**Figure 15:** Indicative Staging Plan

CLE Town Planning + Design

APPENDIX 1

**Environmental Report**

# Environmental Report: Doley Rd, Byford

Prepared for ABN Group

By Essential Environmental

March 2017

essential environmental

## *Disclaimer and Limitation*

This document is published in accordance with and subject to an agreement between Essential Environmental and the Client, ABN Group, for who it has been prepared for their exclusive use. It has been prepared using the standard of skill and care ordinarily exercised by environmental professionals in the preparation of such Documents.

This report is a qualitative assessment only, based on the scope of services defined by the Client, budgetary and time constraints imposed by the Client, the information supplied by the Client (and its agents), and the method consistent with the preceding. Essential Environmental has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information supplied.

Any person or organisation that relies upon or uses the document for purposes or reasons other than those agreed by Essential Environmental and the Client without first obtaining the prior written consent of Essential Environmental, does so entirely at their own risk and Essential Environmental, denies all liability in tort, contract or otherwise for any loss, damage or injury of any kind whatsoever (whether in negligence or otherwise) that may be suffered as a consequence of relying on this Document for any purpose other than that agreed with the Client.

Copying of this report or parts of this report is not permitted without the authorisation of the Client or Essential Environmental.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ABN Group has engaged Essential Environmental to prepare an environmental report to support structure planning for proposed lots in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Byford, within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale.

This report provides an analysis of the environmental constraints and considerations to development and proposes broad approaches to mitigate any impacts and/or constraints on the basis of future residential use.

The majority of the site has been historically cleared, although a number of significant trees have been established predominantly along driveways and boundaries with little or no understory remaining. The study area abuts Brickwood Reserve, which is a Bush Forever site and contains threatened ecological communities. Development of the study area will require adequate management of bushfire risk (the subject of a separate management plan) and potential impacts on fauna species.

The Local Structure Plan has been designed to retain and incorporate significant trees where possible in the multiple use corridor or public open spaces across the development (Figure 1).

In addition a range of management strategies have been proposed to effectively manage or mitigate any potential environmental impact caused as a result of the development. Proposed management actions are summarised on the table below. It is considered that urban development of the site is an acceptable land use given the current environmental condition and lack of significance of the site, and in consideration of the proposed management strategies outlined in this report.

| Issue | Action | Frequency | Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| Preconstruction phase | | | |
| Contamination | Complete preliminary site investigation for contamination in accordance with Contaminated Sites Act 2003 | Once | Developer |
| Acid sulfate soils | Complete self-assessment checklist and consider need for a preliminary site assessment | Once | Developer<br>Consistent with DoP and DER guidelines |
| Vegetation and flora | Clearly delineate in accordance with Australian Standards 4970 – Protection of Trees on Development Sites, POS areas and trees to be retained. Identify Bush Forever and ensure setbacks are fenced. No clearing of the Bush Forever site is to occur | Once | Arboriculturist and Licensed Surveyor<br>(Developer) |
| Fauna and habitat | All site staff to participate in Environment, Health and Safety inductions which provide requirements for management of significant fauna and reporting procedures for environmental incidents. | Once | Developer and Construction contractor |
| Water management | A Local water management strategy will be completed and used as the basis for detailed design.<br>Following approval of the LWMS, UWMP(s) will be prepared prior to subdivision for approval by SJShire. | Once | Developer, in accordance with SPP 2.9: Water Resources |

| Issue | Action | Frequency | Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bushfire | A Bushfire Management Plan will be prepared to support the LSP.<br>The Bushfire Management Plan will be updated and implemented at subdivision. | Once | Developer, in accordance with SPP 3.7: Planning for Bushfire Risk Management (WAPC 2015). |
| Construction phase | | | |
| Soils and topography | Ground disturbing activities should be kept to a minimum and carried out 'as required' (in stages) immediately prior to lots being released for sale as part of a 'staged' development of the site | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Contamination | Management of any identified contamination in accordance with the Contaminated Sites Act 2003 | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Acid sulfate soils | Management of any identified ASS consistent with DoP and DER guidelines | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Vegetation and flora | Maintain markings and fencing around vegetation and trees to be retained. Cleared vegetation to be mulched and stored on site | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Fauna and habitat | Undertake clearing in the direction of existing bushland to allow fauna to escape. | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Water management | Manage sediment transport to waterways and drainage systems | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Aboriginal heritage | In the event a site is discovered, all work in the area will cease and the Department of Aboriginal Affairs will be contacted | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Construction impacts | Ensure dust and sediment runoff is adequately managed.<br>Ensure appropriate waste disposal of building materials. | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Post construction phase | | | |
| Soils and topography | Landscape or stabilise cleared areas immediately | Once | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Brickwood reserve | Provide adjacent landowners with a copy of the management plan. Develop and provide adjacent landowners a "Living next to Brickwood Reserve" booklet outlining environmental issues of pet animals, garden weeds, reducing spread of plant disease and inappropriateness of certain air conditioning systems in fire hazard zones. | Once<br>As required | Subdivider / Local Government |
| Vegetation and flora | Inspect fencing (if applicable) and replace if required.<br>Ensure ongoing maintenance of retained vegetation and any revegetation areas / native landscaping prior to handover. | 6 months<br>Ongoing until handover. | Developer until hand over to SJ Shire |

# CONTENTS


1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Study area ..... 1
1.2 Methodology ..... 1
1.3 Previous environmental assessments ..... 1
1.4 Key environmental requirements ..... 3

2 Proposed development ..... 4
2.1 Planning context ..... 4
2.2 Local structure plan ..... 4

3 Existing environment ..... 5
3.1 Land use context ..... 5
3.1.1 Historical land use ..... 5
3.1.2 Current land use ..... 5
3.1.3 Surrounding land use ..... 5
3.2 Topography, geology and soils ..... 6
3.2.1 Topography ..... 6
3.2.2 Geology ..... 6
3.2.3 Acid sulfate soils ..... 6
3.3 Flora, fauna and vegetation ..... 9
3.3.1 Conservation areas ..... 9
3.3.2 Vegetation complex ..... 9
3.3.3 Flora ..... 11
3.3.4 Vegetation communities ..... 12
3.3.5 Fauna ..... 12
3.3.6 A Level 1 Fauna and Cockatoo habitat assessment ..... 13
3.3.7 Bushfire risk ..... 15
3.4 Water resources ..... 15
3.4.1 Public Drinking Water Source Area ..... 15
3.4.2 Surface water resources ..... 15
3.4.3 Groundwater resources ..... 15
3.5 Heritage ..... 16
3.5.1 Aboriginal heritage ..... 16
3.5.2 European heritage ..... 16

4 Findings and recommendations ..... 19
4.1 Soils and topography ..... 19
4.2 Contamination ..... 19
4.3 Acid Sulfate Soils ..... 19
4.4 Surrounding land use and buffer requirements ..... 19
4.5 Vegetation and flora ..... 20
4.6 Fauna and habitat ..... 20
4.7 Flood protection, groundwater and water quality management ..... 21
4.8 Heritage ..... 22
4.9 Construction impacts ..... 22
4.10 Conclusion ..... 22

5 Implementation strategy ..... 23

6 References ..... 25

## Tables

Table 1: Relevant environmental legislation ....3
Table 2: Regional and local conservation status of Forrestfield Complex ....9
Table 3: Conservation significant flora likely to occur in the study area ....11
Table 4: Threatened ecological communities database search ....12
Table 5: Conservation significant fauna likely to occur in the study area ....12
Table 6: Groundwater resource allocation and availability ....15
Table 7: Implementation strategy ....23

## Figures

Figure 1: Study area location ....2
Figure 2: Topography and soils ....7
Figure 3: Acid sulfate soils risk ....8
Figure 4: Vegetation and bushfire prone areas ....10
Figure 5: Extent of Level 1 Fauna and Cockatoo habitat assessment ....14
Figure 6: Surface water resources ....17
Figure 7: Groundwater resources ....18

if not now...
land & water solutions
when?

# 1 INTRODUCTION

ABN Group has engaged Essential Environmental to prepare an environmental report to support structure planning for proposed lots in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Byford, within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale (SJ Shire).

This report provides an analysis of the environmental constraints and considerations to development and proposes broad approaches to mitigate any impacts and/or constraints on the basis of future residential use.

## 1.1 Study area

The study area consists of approximately 120 hectares of land in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Doley Rd and Warrington Rd in Byford. The study area currently comprises single dwelling rural residential lots of between 1 and 6 hectares in size.

The study area is zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and 'Urban Development' under Serpentine-Jarrahdale Shire Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

The study area is within the Doley / Warrington Rd Precinct of the Byford District Structure Plan area (2009).

## 1.2 Methodology

This report considers the following environmental aspects of the study area to inform preparation of a local structure plan and the future residential development of the area:

- Topography, soils (including acid sulfate soils), contamination;
- Vegetation, flora and fauna;
- Water resources; and
- Heritage.

The following information has been provided on the basis of a desktop investigation only, using data and information that is publically available. No attempt has been made to ground-truth the information at this stage.


## 1.3 Previous environmental assessments

Limited environmental assessment has been undertaken for the site to date. The following water management investigations have been completed and are being considered as part of the preparation of a local water management strategy for the site:

- Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan (DoW, 2008)
- Byford Urban Stormwater Management Plan Developer Guidelines (Parsons Brinkerhoff, 2005)

Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire have also prepared the *Brickwood Reserve & Briggs Park Management Plan* (2009), which contains recommendations to guide and prioritise the use and management of the reserve in the longer term.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Environmental report
## Figure 1: Study area location and conceptual structure plan




* ©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8,000 @ A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

## 1.4 Key environmental requirements

There are a number of pieces of legislation which govern management of the environment and have been considered as part of this assessment. These are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1: Relevant environmental legislation**

| Legislation | Summary of relevant intent |
|---|---|
| *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* | Protects significant Aboriginal heritage, registered or unregistered. |
| *Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007* | Provides for the management of declared pests. |
| *Contaminated Sites Act 2003* | Requires the reporting of potential contaminated sites to the Department of Environment Regulation. |
| *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth)* (EPBC Act) | Provides protection for Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES). |
| *Environmental Protection Act 1986* | Provides protection for the environment as well as the licencing of prescribed premises and regulation of the clearing of remnant vegetation. |
| *Fire and Emergency Services Act 1998* | Provides for the management of bushfire risk |
| *Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990* | Protection of places listed by the Heritage Council of WA |
| *Swan and Canning Rivers Management Act 2006* | Establishes the Swan Canning River park and provides for the assessment of planning proposals within this area by the Swan River Trust Board. |
| *Wildlife Conservation Act 1950* (WC Act) | Protects species of flora & fauna and communities that are listed. |

The following environmental policies are also considered relevant to the management of potential environmental impacts on the site.

- SJ Shire's *Plan For The Future and Vision 2025*;
- Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire *Local Biodiversity Strategy* (2008);
- Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire *Brickwood Reserve & Briggs Park Management Plan* (2009)
- Local policies of the SJ Shire which include;
    - LPP06 - Water sensitive design
    - LPP08 - Landscape protection policy
    - LPP18 – Subdivision clearances
    - LPP21 – Management Plans
    - LPP26 – Biodiversity planning
    - LPP27 – Stakeholder engagement in land use planning
    - LPP28 – Street trees
    - LPP60 – Public open space
    - LLP 67 – Landscape and vegetation
    - LLP 68 – Sustainability assessment
    - LLP 69 – Doley Road precinct planning framework
- EPA Guidance Statement No. 33 – Environmental Guidance for Land Development (EPA, 2008); and
- Liveable Neighbourhoods (WAPC, 2011).

# 2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## 2.1 Planning context

The study area is zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Regional Scheme and 'Urban Development' under the SJ Shires Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

The *Byford District Structure Plan*, adopted in August 2005, provides a district level framework to guide more detailed planning for the Byford area between the proposed Tonkin Hwy and the Darling Scarp, from Thomas Rd to Cardup Siding Rd. It requires local structure plans to be prepared to provide the level of detailed planning required to facilitate subdivision and development within the district structure plan area. The Byford District Structure Plan recommends that a road reserve is provided adjacent to Brickwood Reserve, separating it from the residential area. It also recommends that public open space link is provided between Brickwood Reserve and the multiple use corridor on Turner Road. The study area is within the Doley / Warrington Rd Precinct.

'The Glades' development flanks the north, west and southern boundaries of the study area. The local structure plan for this development was adopted in April 2011. As of mid-2015, the development is largely completed to the north and half completed to the west. No works have been undertaken south of the study area, where the development flanks the Cardup Brook.

## 2.2 Local structure plan

The local structure plan will guide the subdivision and development of approximately 120 hectares in Byford. The structure plan contains

- approximately 12.2 ha of public open space (POS);
- Multiple use corridor (MUC) through the northern portion of the structure plan, tying in with adjacent developments;
- 4ha for a primary school;
- Commercial/Mixed Use site; and
- a mixture of residential lots.

This Environmental Report aims to facilitate the retention of key environmental values as part of the future development of the site, minimising potential environmental impacts.

During the preparation of this report, the design of the local structure plan has been modified to incorporate natural areas into the plan where possible, based on their environmental value in order to minimise any potential environmental impacts resulting from the development.

The local structure plan design has responded to as many of the environmental opportunities presented as practicable in a residential area. These are discussed below.

- Aligning roads to coincide with existing trees to allow a higher number of tree retention, with particular attention to trees with higher significance (mid-maturity, foraging and nesting for local fauna);
- The existing water course is to be retained, rehabilitated and incorporated into a multiple use corridor as a feature of the public open space; and
- Alignment of roads to provide buffers to the adjacent Bush Forever site (Brickwood Reserve).

# 3 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

A desktop environmental investigation of the study area has been undertaken, the findings of which are presented below.

## 3.1 Land use context

### *3.1.1 Historical land use*

Department of Planning historical mapping shows previous zoning was for 'Rural' landuse. Historical aerial photography from Landgate suggests the land was parcelled and single dwellings built during the late 70's to early 80's. Prior to this, aerial photography indicated the land may have been used for farming, after being cleared prior to the earliest available photography in 1953. No further significant changes to land use from the 1980's onwards are indicated in the aerial photography.

Given that the study area has been used for largely rural activities for the past 60 years, contamination risks may exist. These risks include hydrocarbons from farm plant, legacy nutrients from farming practice and other contamination that may occur on rural land parcels. However, a search of the Department of Environment Regulation contaminated sites database shows no registered contaminated sites within or near the study area.

### *3.1.2 Current land use*

The study area currently has single dwelling lots ranging between 1 and 6 hectares, averaging 4 hectares. Current land use is largely rural residential, with some properties containing livestock, large sheds and farming equipment. Most properties have been largely cleared, with many containing non-endemic lines of significant trees flanking boundaries and driveways.

One property (Lot 2 Lawrence Way) contains a significant number of car bodies and a number of small mounds of dirt. It is possible that this site may present a risk of contamination and it is recommended that a preliminary site inspection is undertaken prior to development of this lot.

### *3.1.3 Surrounding land use*

The Brickwood Reserve abuts the study area along its eastern boundary. The Brickwood Reserve is a Bush Forever site and contains a number of Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC). Appropriate buffers to TEC are required, and discussed further in Section 3.3 The Brickwood Reserve is also considered a Conservation Category Wetland which requires a buffer typically a minimum of 50m as determined by the Department of Parks and Wildlife, however this is subject to negotiation.

'The Glades' housing development flanks the north, west and southern boundaries of the study area. As of March 2015 the development is partially complete along the norther and western boundaries only.

## 3.2 Topography, geology and soils

### 3.2.1 Topography

The study area has generally flat topography and grades gently from 43m AHD in the east to 37.5mAHD in the west. The study area has a few high points of approximately 1m higher than the surrounding topography, coinciding with the pad of existing dwellings, as shown in Figure **2**.

### 3.2.2 Geology

The surface geology is described broadly as Guildford formation: Alluvial sand and clay with shallow-marine and estuarine lenses and local basal conglomerate (WA surface geology 1:250,000 scale geological maps, Geological Survey of WA, and Geoscience Australia)

This is further classified as Sandy Clay (Figure **2**) and described as white grey to brown, fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity gravel and silt layers near scarp.


### 3.2.3 Acid sulfate soils

A review of Department of Environment Regulation acid sulfate soils risk mapping identifies the site as containing a Class II 'low to moderate' risk of acid sulfate soils (ASS) occurring within 3m of the natural soil surface but high to moderate risk of ASS beyond 3m of natural soil surface (Figure 3).

Consistent with Department of Environment Regulation guidelines, sites should be investigated for ASS if any of the following works are proposed:

- acid sulfate soil disturbing subdivision or development that is subject to conditional approval requiring the investigation and management of acid sulfate soils;
- soil or sediment disturbance of 100m3 or more in an area depicted on an ASS risk map as Class I 'high to moderate risk of ASS occurring within 3m of natural soil surface' (e.g. construction of roads, foundations, installation of underground infrastructure, drainage works, land forming works, dams and aquaculture ponds or sand or gravel extraction);
- soil or sediment disturbance of 100m3 or more with excavation from below the natural watertable in an area depicted on an ASS risk map as Class II 'moderate to low risk of ASS occurring within 3m of natural soil surface but high to moderate risk of ASS beyond 3m of natural soil surface';
- lowering of the watertable, whether temporary or permanent (e.g. for groundwater abstraction, dewatering, installation of new drainage, modification to existing drainage), in areas depicted in an ASS risk map as Class I 'high to moderate risk of actual acid sulfate soils (AASS) or potential acid sulfate soils (PASS) occurrence' or Class II 'moderate to low risk of AASS or PASS occurrence within 3m of natural soil surface';
- any dredging operations;
- extractive industry works (e.g. mineral sand mining) in any of the areas listed in Table 1; and
- flood mitigation works, including construction of levees and flood gates, in any of the areas listed in Table 1.

# ABN Group: Orton Rd, Byford - Environmental report
## Figure 2: Topography and soils




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 9000 at A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Environmental report
## Figure 3: Acid sulfate soils risk




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and the City of Canning make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 9000 at A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

Given the Class II classification for ASS, it is recommended that a self-assessment checklist is completed for the study area. Some further investigation for ASS may be required, particularly if the installation of any infrastructure or servicing requires excavation of the site beyond 3m depth. Should this occur on-site testing is to be undertaken to determine the presence, if any, of acid sulfate soils prior to subdivision.

If there is ASS present at the site, all site works must be carried out in accordance with a DER-approved ASS management plan. Completed forms must accompany relevant reports for assessment by DER officers.

## 3.3 Flora, fauna and vegetation

### *3.3.1 Conservation areas*

There are no Bush Forever sites within the study area.

Bush Forever site 350, Brickwood Reserve and Railway Reserve abuts the study area to the east. Bush Forever site 351 covers a portion of the Cardup Brook, south of the study area and Bush Forever Site 352, Cardup Nature Reserve and Adjacent Bushland, Cardup is located further to the south, as shown in Figure 4.

The *Brickwood Reserve & Briggs Park Management Plan* (SJ Shire, 2009) is currently the subject of public consultation and the Shire has advised that it is subject to change (G French, pers comm, 15 Dec15). The *Brickwood Reserve & Briggs Park Management Plan* makes a number of recommendations for management of the Bush Forever Site, TEC and associated recreation reserve including the eventual incorporation of Lot 48 Turner Road into the Brickwood Reserve. This lot abuts the study area.

### *3.3.2 Vegetation complex*


The vegetation complex that exists within and adjacent to the study area is the Forrestfield Complex (Ridge Hill Shelf, Darling Plateau).

The vegetation of the Forrestfield Complex ranges from open forest of *E. calophylla - E. wandoo - E. marginata* to open forest of *E. marginata - E. calophylla - C. fraseriana* - Banksia species. Fringing woodland of *E. rudis* may be present in the gullies that dissect this landform (WAPC, 2000). Table 2 provides the conservation status of the vegetation complex, as described in *Bush Forever* (WAPC, 2000) and SJ Shire *Local Biodiversity Strategy* (2008).

**Table 2: Regional and local conservation status of Forrestfield Complex**

| Complex | Percentage of pre-European extent remaining | Percentage of pre-European extent protected |
|---|---|---|
| Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire | 266ha (6%) | 145 (4%) |
| Perth metropolitan area | 1020 (9%) | 219 (2%) |

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Environmental report
## Figure 4: Vegetation and bushfire prone areas




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, SJ Shire, DER, DPaw. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 9000 at A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

Although it is noted that less than the critical threshold of 10% of the Forrestfield Complex is remaining on the metropolitan portion of the Swan Coastal Plain, the study area is not identified in the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire *Local Biodiversity Strategy* (2008) as containing conservation values. The vegetation within the study area is not considered to be a good representation of the Forrestfield Complex due to the extent of clearing and degradation that has occurred.

### *3.3.3 Flora*

Searches of the EPBC Protected Matters Search Tool, NatureMap and Department of Parks and Wildlife databases were undertaken to identify flora species of conservation significance potentially occurring in the study area.

Table 2 outlines the results from the DPaW Threatened (Declared Rare) and Priority Flora (TPFL) and Western Australian Herbarium Specimen databases (with additional 2km buffer to the site) and EPBC Act Protected maters search.

**Table 3: Conservation significant flora likely to occur in the study area**

| Taxa | Conservation status | | Likelihood, preferred habitat |
|---|---|---|---|
| | WC Act | EPBC Act | |
| *Andersonia gracilis* (Slender Andersonia) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, shrub near swamps |
| *Caladenia huegelii (* King Spider-orchid) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, clay loam soils |
| *Darwinia foetida* (Muchea Bell) | Threatened | Critically Endangered | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Diuris micrantha* (Dwarf Bee-orchid) | Threatened | Vulnerable | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Diuris purdiei* (Purdie's Donkey-orchid) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Drakaea elastic* (Glossy-leafed Hammer-orchid) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Drakaea micrantha (*Dwarf Hammer-orchid) | Threatened | Vulnerable | Unlikely, white grey sand |
| *Drosera occidentalis subsp. occidentalis* | Priority 4 | | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Eucalyptus balanites (*Cadda Road Mallee) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, Sandy soils, lateritic gravel |
| *Grevillea curviloba subsp. Incurve* (Narrow curved-leaf Grevillea) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, Peaty and clay soils |
| *Johnsonia pubescens subsp. Cygnorum* | Priority 2 | | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Meeboldina decipiens* subsp. *Decipiens* | Priority 3 | | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Schoenus pennisetis* | Priority 3 | | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Synaphea sp. Fairbridge Farm (D.Papenfus 696)* (Selena's Synaphea) | Threatened | Critically Endangered | Unlikely, Sandy with lateritic pebbles |
| *Synaphea sp. Serpentine* | Threatened | | Unlikely, Brown sandy clay |

| Taxa | Conservation status WC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood, preferred habitat |
|---|---|---|---|
| *Thelymitra stellata* (Star Sun-orchid) | Threatened | Endangered | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |
| *Trichocline sp. Treeton* | | | Unlikely, swampy, seasonally wet |

Preferred habitat sourced from Florabase (DEC, 2015), Department of Environment Database (2015) as well as the DPaW database searches.

Many of the species listed can be found in wetland areas of which the site has a large extent. However the site has been extensively cleared and grazed for at least 60 years. Therefore species on the list that may have once occurred on the site are not likely to be present now. Areas that contain some native trees have a completely cleared understorey in which the conservation significant species would not occur.

### 3.3.4 *Vegetation communities*

Two Bush Forever sites abut the study area on the eastern boundary; Brickwood Reserve (site ID 321) and Railway Reserve (site ID 350). These Bush Forever sites contain several recorded TECs and as such their associated buffers cross into the study area. Table 4 and Figure 4 depict the recorded TECs associated with the study area, in order of conservation status.

**Table 4: Threatened ecological communities database search**

| Status | Community Name |
|---|---|
| Critically endangered | *Eucalyptus calophylla-Xanthorrhoea preissii* woodlands and shrublands |
| | *Eucalyptus calophylla – Kingia australias* woodlands |
| *Endangered* | *Banksia attenuate* and/or *Eucalyptus marginate* woodlands |
| Vulnerable | *Eucalyptus calophylla - Eucalyptus marginata* |
| | Dense shrubs on clay flat |

### 3.3.5 *Fauna*

A search of the DPaW Threatened (Declared Rare) and Priority Fauna database identified six (6) species of conservation significance as being located within the study area and/or a 5km buffer. The results are summarised in Table 5.

**Table 5: Conservation significant fauna likely to occur in the study area**

| Taxa | Common Name | Conservation status WC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Calyptorhynchus latirostris* | Carnaby's Cockatoo | Threatened | Endangered | Likely |
| *Calyptorhynchus baudinii* | Bauldin's Cockatoo | Threatened | Vulnerable | Likely |

| Taxa | Common Name | Conservation status | | Likelihood |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | WC Act | EPBC Act | |
| *Calyptorhynchus banksia subsp.naso* | Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo | Threatened | Vulnerable | Likely |
| *Merops ornatus* | Rainbow bee-eater | Protected under international agreement | Migratory | Likely |
| *Acanthophis antarcticus* | Southern Death Adder | Priority 3 | - | Unlikely |
| *Isoodon obesulus fusciventer* | Quenda | Priority 5 | - | Likely |

Due to the likelihood of the study area containing habitat which supports species of conservation significance, a Level 1 Fauna assessment and Black Cockatoo habitat assessment was undertaken for part of the study area. The results of this assessment are outlined in further detail below.

### *3.3.6 A Level 1 Fauna and Cockatoo habitat assessment*


A Level 1 Fauna assessment and a Black Cockatoo Site assessment was completed by 360 Environmental on 22nd October 2015 over a portion of the site, referred to as the ABN landholdings (Figure 5). The assessment involved a database search for conservation significant fauna and a site reconnaissance to assess the foraging and breeding potential for Black Cockatoos.

The following summary is taken from the executive summary. The complete report is provided in Attachment 1.

> Database searches showed 23 conservation significant vertebrate species, from 16 families have been recorded as potentially occurring in the vicinity of the Survey Area (ABN landholdings). Of these, two were reptiles from two families, 13 were bird species from nine families, and eight were mammals from five families.
>
> During the field survey 19 bird species, from ten families and one mammal species were recorded. Four species of conservation significance was recorded during the field survey, the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus banksii naso*), Carnaby's Black Cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus latirostris*), the Rainbow Bee-eater (*Merops ornatus*) and the Southern Brown Bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus fusciventer*).
>
> During the Black Cockatoo Assessment, 33 potential breeding trees were recorded. The potential breeding trees were all *Corymbia calophylla* and none contained any observable hollows. Foraging evidence in the way of chewed Marri nuts was found throughout the Survey Area. Due to the chew-marks on the nuts, it is believed they were from both Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo and Carnaby's Black Cockatoo.
>
> One native fauna habitat (Eucalypt Remnants) and one non-native fauna habitat were identified in the Survey Area. The remaining areas were cleared, or comprised of completely degraded areas or roadways.

# ABN GROUP: Doley Rd, Byford - Environmental Report

## Figure 5: Extent of Level 1 Fauna and Cockatoo habitat assessment




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

### 3.3.7 Bushfire risk

The study area is identified by the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire as bushfire prone (Figure 4). Bushfire risk will be addressed in a bushfire risk management plan which is being prepared separately.

## 3.4 Water resources

### 3.4.1 Public Drinking Water Source Area

There are no Public Drinking Water Source Areas within the study area.

### 3.4.2 Surface water resources

The study area has been classified as multiple use wetland by the Department of Parks and Wildlife in its Swan Coastal Plain geomorphic wetlands database (mapped in Figure 6) identified as the Armadale Palusplain: seasonally waterlogged flat land.

A surface water feature (water course) referred to in the Byford Townsite DWMP as tributary 7 lies within the northern portion of the study area. The water course shown begins in Brickwood Reserve and flows though the study area to The Glades development on the western boundary. The water course is proposed to become a Multiple Use Corridor (MUC), providing overland drainage, passive recreational area and public open space. The MUC shall provide an opportunity for rehabilitation of the riparian and surrounding vegetation.

The Byford Townsite DWMP identified water courses and associated 100 year ARI floodways. Tributary 7 should not pose a flood risk if the tributary is maintained as per pre-development conditions.

### 3.4.3 Groundwater resources

The study area is within the Serpentine groundwater area and Byford 3 sub-area. The Department of Water's Water Register shows available allocation within the study area, as shown in Table 6.

**Table 6: Groundwater resource allocation and availability**

| Resource | Perth - Superficial Swan | Combined - Fractured Rock West - Fractured Rock | Perth - Cattamarra Coal Measures. | Perth - Leederville. | Perth - Yarragadee North. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Management Area | Serpentine | Serpentine | Serpentine | Serpentine | Serpentine |
| Management Sub Area | Byford 3 | Byford 3 | Byford 3 | Byford 3 | Byford 3 |
| Component | General | General | General | General | General |
| Component Status | G1 | G4 | G3 | G3 | G1 |
| Allocation Limit | 13,291,660 | 8,500 | 1,130,000 | 2,270,000 | 0 |
| Allocated Volume | 952,712 | 92,150 | 556,414 | 2,018,500 | 0 |

| Resource | Perth - Superficial Swan | Combined - Fractured Rock West - Fractured Rock | Perth - Cattamarra Coal Measures. | Perth - Leederville. | Perth - Yarragadee North. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Committed Volume | 205,000 | 0 | 365,001 | 0 | 0 |
| Remaining Volume | **12,133,948** | **-83,650** | **208,585** | **251,500** | **0** |
| % Allocated and Committed | **8.71%** | **1,084.12%** | **81.54%** | **88.92%** | **0.00%** |
| Additional Requested | 448,805 | 0 | 275,875 | 176,060 | 0 |

There are five current groundwater licences within the study area:

- Two bores within Lot 3 Lawrence Way (both 8180kL);
- One bore within Lot 4 Lawrence Way (10300kL);
- One bore within Lot 61 Lawrence Way (15650kL), and;
- One bore within Lot 47 Turner Road (5000L)

The Department of Water's Perth Ground Water Atlas groundwater levels do not extend to the study area. However, the surrounding 'The Glades' development undertook groundwater level monitoring from September 2005 to August 2006, and inferred design groundwater levels are presented in Figure 7. The inferred groundwater contours show parts of the study area, especially towards the eastern portion, result in the groundwater being at or close to the natural surface.

## 3.5 Heritage


### *3.5.1 Aboriginal heritage*

A search of the Department of Aboriginal Affairs of Western Australia aboriginal heritage enquiry system showed no registered Aboriginal sites within or adjacent to the study area. The closest site is the Cardup Brook, south of the study area categorised as 'other heritage places: mythological'

### *3.5.2 European heritage*

A search of the State Heritage Register showed no current listing of state heritage within the study area.

# ABN Group: Orton Rd, Byford - Environmental report
## Figure 6: Surface water resources




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and the ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, DoW, DPaW. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 9000 at A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Environmental report
## Figure 7: Groundwater resources




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoW, JDA. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 9000 at A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

# 4 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In consideration of the existing environment as outlined in Section 3, the following management measures are proposed. These measures are designed to mitigate and manage any potential environmental impacts resulting from development of the site, in accordance with Local, State and Federal environmental agency objectives.

## 4.1 Soils and topography

The site does not contain any unique topographical or geological formations and therefore these factors are not considered an impediment to the development of the site for residential purposes.

To minimise potential for soil erosion to occur, the following management measures are recommended:

- Ground disturbing activities should be kept to a minimum and carried out 'as required' (in stages) immediately prior to lots being released for sale as part of a 'staged' development of the site; and
- Landscaping/stabilising/dust suppression of areas where ground disturbance has occurred should be scheduled to occur immediately after clearing/and or infrastructure construction has been completed.

## 4.2 Contamination

Past land uses indicate that rural activities may have resulted in some small localised areas that are at risk from contamination. It is suggested that a Preliminary Site Investigation is undertaken at subdivision stage to identify if there is any potential contamination present that may require further investigation and remediation in order for the site to be suitable for the proposed residential development.

## 4.3 Acid Sulfate Soils

ASS Risk on the site is mapped as being Moderate to Low (<3m from the surface). The WAPC *Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines* (WAPC, 2008) indicate that "acid sulphate soils are technically manageable in the majority of cases". ASS Investigation and, if required, Management Plans should be prepared at subdivision stage once the detailed design of the site is finalised. Consideration should be given to areas where excavation below 3m is likely to be required. This should be undertaken in accordance with the Acid Sulphate Soils Guideline Series: *Identification and Investigation of Acid Sulphate Soils and Acidic Landscapes* (DER, 2015a) and *Treatment and Management of Soils and Water in Acid Sulphate Soil Landscapes* (DER, 20 15b).

## 4.4 Surrounding land use and buffer requirements

The surrounding land uses to the west, north and south are predominantly existing or proposed urban development and therefore no buffer issues are identified.

To the east, Brickwood Reserve poses some risk to the development as a result of bushfire hazard. Bushfire risk management is being addressed separately and a Bushfire Management Plan is being prepared to accompany the structure plan.

It is recognised that the Brickwood Reserve provides a haven to many native plants and animals and has internationally recognised conservation significance. Appropriate buffers will need to be established to protect the conservation values of the site, achieved through the creation of local roads within the structure plan boundary that do not impact on the vegetation associated with the reserve. Recommendations will be made for native landscaping which minimises risk of tranferral of weeds to the reserve.

The risk of spreading dieback (*Phytophthora cinnamomi*) from Brickwood Reserve via the stormwater system into the multiple use corridor was considered as part of this report. However it is recognised that the upstream catchment of Tributary 7 (which becomes the multiple use corridor) is from an area of Brickwood Reserve that is disease free. Stormwater flows from the remainder of Brickwood Reserve will be conveyed by the existing drain along Recreation Road to the north.

## 4.5 Vegetation and flora

The vegetation on the site is degraded and the site does not contain any areas with an intact understorey. No Declared Rare Flora are likely to be on the site and no priority species are likely to be present. It is recommended that no further study on the site is required and therefore flora is not an impediment to the development of the area.

Development will require clearing of the majority of remaining vegetation on site. This vegetation is not ecologically significant; however, during the detailed design process it is recommended that any trees that can be retained in street verges, landscaped areas, parking areas and in road/entry areas should be identified and included in the detailed design plans for the area. Mature trees to be retained or transplanted must be identified and clearly and visibly marked prior to commencement of any pre-construction activities.

## 4.6 Fauna and habitat

Due to the degradation onsite, historic clearing activities and lack of native remnant vegetation, particularly understory, any fauna habitat is considered of low value to native fauna that may have previously utilised the site.

Development of the site has the potential to increase the ecological value of the site through creation of an ecological linkage along the proposed multiple use corridor. This corridor may provide habitat for the Southern Brown Bandicoot (sedges and grasses are a preferred habitat) as the corridor provides a linkage between Brickwood Reserve and other areas of public open space, continuing westward through the suburb.

The Black Cockatoo assessment identified no trees with obvious hollows suitable for Black Cockatoo breeding (only potential breeding), with less than 1 ha of foraging habitat (only isolated trees) identified within the central portion of the site. It is therefore considered that development of the ABN landholdings is highly unlikely to have a significant impact according to the Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1.

A Black Cockatoo Habitat assessment is recommended to be undertaken across the balance of the site to determine the degree of impact under the EPBC Act prior to any clearing.

To minimise impacts to fauna resulting from any clearing activities, the following management strategies are proposed:

- During construction, the extent of authorised clearing will be clearly defined and demarcated to avoid accidental clearing;
- Loud noises (e.g. air horns) will be made just prior to commencement of clearing.
- Clearing works will occur in the direction of a conservation area where possible, to allow animals time to escape.
- If any injured or distressed fauna are encountered during site works the Site Supervisor will be instructed to immediately call the DPaW Wildcare Hotline (08) 9474 9055, to allow for the closest appropriate DPaW registered wildlife rehabilitator to attend the site.
- Long-term fencing for conservation areas will be relatively permeable to most species of fauna (reptiles, small mammals) with a 30 cm gap between ground level and the first rail/wire.
- Where possible, local native species will be planted along road verges and median strips in and near conservation areas and strategic ecological linkages to enhance the value of the linkage to fauna.
- Provide landowners within one kilometre of Brickwood Reserve with a copy of the Brickwood Reserve Management Plan; and
- Develop and provide adjacent landowners a "Living next to Brickwood Reserve" booklet outlining environmental issues of pet animals, garden weeds, reducing spread of plant disease and inappropriateness of certain air conditioning systems in fire hazard zones.

## 4.7 Flood protection, groundwater and water quality management

Broad-scale planning for the site has proposed a multiple use corridor for the management of surface water. The corridor should be designed as a living stream which will also act as an ecological corridor and provide for passive recreation. Development of the site therefore has the potential to increase the ecological value of the degraded channel through rehabilitation.

Although limited assessment of groundwater levels has been undertaken at this stage, it is inferred from available reports and bore logs that groundwater is likely to be close to or at the surface in winter in many areas of the site. It is recognised that the need to protect the Brickwood Reserve TECs and support conventional building practices may result in the use of fill on the site. This has the potential to impact on the ability to retain mature trees and so alternative practices are recommended to be considered to facilitate development in areas of high groundwater.

Surface water and groundwater management will be described in the Local Water Management Strategy and any future Urban Water Management Plans that will be prepared for each stage of development. Therefore potential impacts on surface water and groundwater can be mitigated and managed in order to achieve the objectives of State Planning Policy 2.9: Water Resources (WAPC, 2006).

A local water management strategy is being prepared in accordance with *Better Urban Water Management* (WAPC, 2008) to addresses the following:

- Identification of the site's current hydrological regime and existing environment;
- Identification of the constraints within the development area which may affect the design of the development with respect to urban stormwater drainage and management of groundwater;
- A description of the stormwater management strategy for minor and major events, including details on the proposed management practices to be employed;

- Identification and description of mechanisms to protect the water regime, including water quality and water levels. This will include a discussion of the overarching engineering principles that will be employed to mitigate any impact from run-off, groundwater and water quality issues, and ensure that the environment and the development will not be adversely impacted upon;
- Identification of the proposed water supply (including irrigation requirements) and wastewater disposal;
- Identification of monitoring requirements and derivation of agreed performance criteria for the urban water management system; and
- Identification of contingency measures to be implemented in the event that the system is not achieving agreed performance targets.

## 4.8 Heritage

Although no sites of Aboriginal or European heritage have been identified within the study area, all contractors working on the development will need to be made aware of their responsibilities under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* with regard to finding potential archaeological sites. In the event a site is discovered, all work in the area will cease and the Department of Aboriginal Affairs will be contacted.

## 4.9 Construction impacts

Construction activities need to be managed to minimise the impact to nearby bushland, surrounding residents and the retained vegetation on-site. Impacts can include:

- Nuisance dust generation during bulk earthworks;
- Disturbance of ASS during earthworks and/or installation of services;
- Silt and sediment run-off to waterways and drains from uncontrolled run-off during site works;
- Inadvertent damage to trees and other vegetation earmarked for retention;
- Impacts to new stormwater drainage systems and existing bushland areas from wind- and water-borne sediment during construction; and
- Inappropriate disposal of waste building material and poor housekeeping on building sites leading to wind-blown litter.

All of these potential impacts are manageable through appropriate engineering design and/or good site management practices.

## 4.10 Conclusion

It is considered that there are no significant environmental constraints to the development of the site for residential development, providing bushfire risk can be adequately managed and the actions identified in sections **Error! Reference source not found.** and 5 are undertaken.

# 5 IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Table 7 provides a preliminary schedule of activities which should be undertaken at Preconstruction, Construction and Post-construction phases of the project to mitigate and manage potential impacts to the environment. This advice is based on the current predominantly desk top assessment contained within this report. More detailed management measures may be determined as part of more detailed investigation and planning as the proposed development progresses.

**Table 7: Implementation strategy**

| Issue | Action | Frequency | Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| Preconstruction phase | | | |
| Contamination | Complete preliminary site investigation for contamination in accordance with Contaminated Sites Act 2003 | Once | Developer |
| Acid sulfate soils | Complete self-assessment checklist and consider need for a preliminary site assessment | Once | Developer<br>Consistent with DoP and DER guidelines |
| Vegetation and flora | Clearly delineate , in accordance with Australian Standards 4970 – Protection of Trees on Development Sites, POS areas and trees to be retained. Identify Bush Forever and ensure setbacks are fenced. No clearing of the Bush Forever site is to occur | Once | Arboriculturist and Licensed Surveyor<br>(Developer) |
| Fauna and habitat | All site staff to participate in Environment, Health and Safety inductions which provide requirements for management of significant fauna and reporting procedures for environmental incidents. | Once | Developer and Construction contractor |
| Water management | A Local water management strategy will be completed and used as the basis for detailed design.<br>Following approval of the LWMS, UWMP(s) will be prepared prior to subdivision for approval by SJShire. | Once | Developer, in accordance with SPP 2.9: Water Resources |
| Bushfire | A Bushfire Management Plan will be prepared to support the LSP.<br>The Bushfire Management Plan will be updated and implemented at subdivision. | Once | Developer, in accordance with SPP 3.7: Planning for Bushfire Risk Management (WAPC 2015). |
| Construction phase | | | |
| Soils and topography | Ground disturbing activities should be kept to a minimum and carried out 'as required' (in stages) immediately prior to lots being released for sale as part of a 'staged' development of the site | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Contamination | Management of any identified contamination in accordance with the Contaminated Sites Act 2003 | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Acid sulfate soils | Management of any identified ASS consistent with DoP and DER guidelines | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |

| Issue | Action | Frequency | Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vegetation and flora | Maintain markings and fencing around vegetation and trees to be retained. Cleared vegetation to be mulched and stored on site | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Fauna and habitat | Undertake clearing in the direction of existing bushland to allow fauna to escape. | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Water management | Manage sediment transport to waterways and drainage systems | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Aboriginal heritage | In the event a site is discovered, all work in the area will cease and the Department of Aboriginal Affairs will be contacted | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Construction impacts | Ensure dust and sediment runoff is adequately managed.<br>Ensure appropriate waste disposal of building materials. | Ongoing during construction phase. | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Post construction phase | | | |
| Soils and topography | Landscape or stabilise cleared areas immediately | Once | Construction Contractor (Developer) |
| Brickwood reserve | Provide adjacent landowners with a copy of the management plan<br>Provide adjacent landowners with a copy of the management plan. Develop and provide adjacent landowners a "Living next to Brickwood Reserve" booklet outlining environmental issues of pet animals, garden weeds, reducing spread of plant disease and inappropriateness of certain air conditioning systems in fire hazard zones. | Once<br>As required | Subdivider / Local Government |
| Vegetation and flora | Inspect fencing (if applicable) and replace if required.<br>Ensure ongoing maintenance of retained vegetation and any revegetation areas / native landscaping prior to handover. | 6 months<br>Ongoing until handover. | Developer until hand over to SJ Shire |

# 6 REFERENCES

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) (2001-2010). *Contaminated Sites Management Series*. DEC, Perth.

DEC (2010a). *Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Map, Swan Coastal Plain.* Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

DER, 2015a, Identification and investigation of acid sulfate soils and acidic landscapes, Department of Environment Regulation, Perth, WA.

DER, 2015b, *Treatment and Management of Soils and Water in Acid Sulphate Soil Landscapes* Department of Environment Regulation, Perth, WA.

Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPaW) 2015, *Naturemap Database*, http://naturemap.dpaw.wa.gov.au, [18 September 2015]

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) 2004a, *Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors, Terrestrial Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment in Western Australia, Guidance Statement No. 51,* Perth.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) 2004b, *Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors, Terrestrial Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment in Western Australia, Guidance Statement No. 56,* Perth.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) 2008, *Environmental Guidance for Planning and Development, Guidance Statement No. 33*, Perth.

Heddle, E.M. Loneragan, O.W, Havel, J.J (1980) *Vegetation Complexes of the Darling System Western Australia,* In. *Atlas of Natural Resources, Darling System,* Western Australia. Department of Conservation and Environment, Perth.

Jordan, JE, 1986, *Perth, part sheets 2033 I and 2033 IV, Perth Metropolitan Region, Environmental geology series*, Geological Survey of Western Australia.

Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire, 2009, *Brickwood Reserve & Briggs Park Management Plan*, SJ Shire, Mundijong, WA.

Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) (2000). *Bush Forever.* WAPC, Perth, WA.

**Client: ABN Group**

| Report | Version | Prepared by | Reviewed by | Submitted to Client | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Copies | Date |
| Draft report | V1 | RM/SSh | HBr | electronic | 18 November 2015 |
| Final report | V2 | SSh | HBr | electronic | 17 December 2015 |
| Final Report – Amended to reflect WAPC approved SP | V3 | SSh | HBr | electronic | 8 March 2017 |

**Essential Environmental**
***land & water solutions***
622 Newcastle St Leederville 6007
p: 08 9328 4663 | f: 08 6316 1431
e: info@essentialenvironmental.com.au
www.essentialenvironmental.com.au

APPENDIX 2

**Fire Management Plan**

# Bushfire Management Plan

# Orton Rd, Byford

Prepared for ABN Group

By Essential Environmental

June 2016

essential environmental

## *Disclaimer and Limitation*

This document is published in accordance with and subject to an agreement between Essential Environmental and the Client, ABN Group, for who it has been prepared for their exclusive use. It has been prepared using the standard of skill and care ordinarily exercised by environmental professionals in the preparation of such Documents.

This report is a qualitative assessment only, based on the scope of services defined by the Client, budgetary and time constraints imposed by the Client, the information supplied by the Client (and its agents), and the method consistent with the preceding. Essential Environmental has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information supplied.

This Bushfire Management Plan provides strategic assessment of the subject site only. A subsequent detailed Bushfire Management Plan is required for future subdivision and development. The mitigation strategies contained in this Bushfire Management Plan are considered to be prudent minimum standards only, based on the author's experience as well as standards prescribed by relevant authorities. It is expressly stated that Essential Environmental and the author do not guarantee that if such standards are complied with or if a property owner exercises prudence, that a building or property will not be damaged or that lives will not be lost in a bush fire.

Fire is an extremely unpredictable force of nature. Changing climatic factors (whether predictable or otherwise) either before or at the time of a fire can also significantly affect the nature of a fire and in a bushfire prone area it is not possible to completely guard against bushfire.

Further, the growth, planting or removal of vegetation; poor maintenance of any fire prevention measures; addition of structures not included in this report; or other activity can and will change the bushfire threat to all properties detailed in the report. The achievement of the level of implementation of fire precautions will depend on the actions of the landowner or occupiers of the land, over which Essential Environmental has no control. If the proponent becomes concerned about changing factors then a new Bushfire Management Plan should be requested.

Any person or organisation that relies upon or uses the document for purposes or reasons other than those agreed by Essential Environmental and the Client without first obtaining the prior written consent of Essential Environmental, does so entirely at their own risk and Essential Environmental, denies all liability in tort, contract or otherwise for any loss, damage or injury of any kind whatsoever (whether in negligence or otherwise) that may be suffered as a consequence of relying on this Document for any purpose other than that agreed with the Client.

Copying of this report or parts of this report is not permitted without the authorisation of the Client or Essential Environmental.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This bushfire management plan is a strategic plan that has been prepared to support structure planning for lots in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Doley Rd and Warrington Rd in Byford (the 'subject land').

This plan provides preliminary advice consistent with the strategic nature of a local structure plan. Details in this report are consistent with *State Planning Policy 3.7: Planning for Bushfire Prone Areas (*WAPC, 2015) and will establish a framework for more detailed planning to occur at subdivision stage.

The subject land is located within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale (SJ Shire), approximately 30 kilometres south east of the Perth central business district. It comprises approximately 120 hectares, the majority of which has been cleared of native vegetation to allow for rural pursuits and rural residential activities.

A vegetation class and bushfire hazard assessment was conducted for the subject land and adjacent areas for a minimum of 100 metres. A Bushfire attack level (BAL) contour plan has been developed to show the indicative future BALs. Bushfire hazard on the site currently ranges from low to moderate to extreme.

Development of the subject land will result in the removal of the majority of the bushfire fuels, although some important remnant vegetation, identified in the draft *Perth and Peel Green Growth Plan for 3.5 Million Strategic conservation plan* (Government of WA, 2015) is proposed to be retained, posing an extreme bushfire hazard. Individual trees are also proposed to be retained in areas of low threat public open space. Brickwood Reserve which abuts the development to the east is designated as an extreme bushfire hazard.

Consistent with long term strategic planning endorsed by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), neighbouring sites to the north, south and east have been identified for future urban development, so any bushfire hazards along these boundaries will be removed over time. It is recommended that subdivision of the western-most portions of the subject land does not occur until the neighbouring vegetation has been cleared. Development along the southern boundary of the subject land can proceed subsequent to the upgrade of Orton Road, as this will provide sufficient separation to ensure that adjacent development can occur if constructed to BAL 12.5 standards. This requirement will be removed should the clearing of the land to the south occur prior to development of the subject land.

Management of bushfire risk is proposed in response to an assessment of bushfire protection criteria consistent with *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas* (WAPC, 2015). The acceptable solutions include establishment of a 21m hazard separation zone around Brickwood Reserve, the establishment and maintenance of a low fuel buffer around POS No7, and increased construction standards to align with the appropriate BAL for the location in accordance with the *Standards Australia 2009, Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas* (AS3959-2009). No lots are proposed to be created in areas of BAL-FZ or BAL-40.

A traffic and transport assessment of the proposed development confirms that access and egress from all the proposed lots will adequately service residents and fire fighters within the development. The development design has several access options to surrounding public roads.

Water will be adequate for residential needs and for a water supply during bushfire suppression operations.

This plan demonstrates the site is suitable for residential development as bushfire risk can be adequately managed through the future subdivision and development approvals processes, consistent with the *Local Planning Scheme Amendment Regulations 2015 and Building Code of Australia*.

This bushfire management plan is required to be reviewed and updated where necessary at each future subdivision stage.

# CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... iii

1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Study area ..... 1
1.2 Planning context ..... 1
1.2.1 Local structure plan ..... 3
1.3 Bushfire management guidelines, specifications and minimum standards ..... 3

2 Objectives ..... 5

3 Description of the subject area ..... 6
3.1 General ..... 6
3.2 Climate ..... 6
3.2.1 Fire weather ..... 8
3.3 Topography ..... 9
3.4 Soils ..... 9
3.5 Bushfire fuels ..... 9
3.6 Land uses ..... 11
3.6.1 Proposed land use ..... 11
3.6.2 Surrounding land uses ..... 13
3.7 Assets ..... 13
3.7.1 Proposed assets ..... 13
3.8 Access ..... 13
3.9 Water supply ..... 14

4 Bushfire assessment ..... 16
4.1 Bushfire history ..... 16
4.2 Vegetation types ..... 16
4.3 Topography ..... 22
4.4 Bushfire hazard ..... 24
4.5 Bushfire protection criteria assessment ..... 28
4.5.1 Element 1: Location ..... 28
4.5.2 Element 2: Siting and design of development ..... 28
4.5.3 Element 3: Vehicular access ..... 30
4.5.4 Element 4: Water supply ..... 32

5 Bushfire risk management measures ..... 34
5.1 Location ..... 34
5.2 Siting and design of development ..... 35
5.3 Vehicular access ..... 35
5.4 Water supply ..... 36

6 Implementation ..... 37
6.1 Responsibilities ..... 38

6.1.1 Developer Responsibilities ..... 38
6.1.2 SJ Shire ..... 39
6.1.3 DFES Responsibilities ..... 39
6.1.4 Owner Responsibilities ..... 39
6.2 Other recommendations ..... 39
6.3 Plan Review ..... 40

7 References ..... 41

## Tables

Table 1: Vegetation classification ..... 17
Table 2: Hazard levels and characteristics (WAPC, 2015) ..... 24
Table 3: Excerpt from AS 3959, Table 2.4.3, Distance (m) of the site from the predominant vegetation class ..... 30
Table 4: Implementation plan ..... 37

## Plates

Plate 1: Key to photo points ..... 17
Plate 2: Regional transport network (KCTT, 2015). ..... 31

## Charts

Chart 1: Climate summary data (Rainfall: Cardup, Temperature: Medina, BoM, 2015) ..... 6
Chart 2: Climate summary data (9am wind speed: Gosnells & Medina, BoM, 2015) ..... 7
Chart 3: Climate summary data (3pm wind speed: Gosnells & Medina, BoM, 2015) ..... 7
Chart 4: Climate summary data (February 9am wind direction: Gosnells & Medina ..... 8
Chart 5: Climate summary data (February 3pm wind direction: Gosnells & Medina ..... 8

## Figures

Figure 1: Study area location ..... 2
Figure 2: Proposed local structure plan ..... 4
Figure 3: Topography and soils ..... 10
Figure 4: Land use and zoning ..... 12
Figure 5: Water supply network ..... 15
Plate 1: Key to photo points ..... 17
Figure 6: Vegetation classification ..... 23
Figure 7: Bushfire hazard (current) ..... 25
Figure 8: Bushfire hazard (post development) ..... 26
Figure 9: BAL contour map ..... 27
Figure 10: BAL contour map post construction of the Byford Structure Plan (2009) ..... 33

# 1 INTRODUCTION

ABN Group has engaged Essential Environmental to prepare Bushfire Management Plan to support structure planning for proposed lots in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Byford, within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale (SJ Shire).

The subject land is identified as a bush fire prone area, designated by the Fire and Emergency Services (FES) Commissioner. This report has been prepared to meet the requirements of *State Planning Policy 3.7: Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas* (SPP 3.7) (2015) and the *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas* (WAPC, 2015).

Consistent with SPP 3.7 and the accompanying *Guidelines for planning for Bushfire Prone Areas*, this bushfire management plan is a strategic level plan which comprises a bushfire hazard assessment and proposes bushfire management measures which address the bushfire protection criteria to mitigate and manage current and future bushfire risk.

The bushfire management plan will be implemented by the developer through the subdivisional process, with ongoing mitigation and management responsibilities passing to the individual lot owners and the Shire once the lots are created. It is anticipated that the Shire will adopt and enforce this bushfire management plan under its Annual Firebreak Notice and Fuel Hazard Reduction Notice. Should subdivision not occur within 3 years of the endorsement of this bushfire management plan, it is recommended that a revised bushfire management plan is prepared.

## 1.1 Study area

The study area consists of approximately 120 hectares of land in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Doley Rd and Warrington Rd in Byford (Figure 1). The study area currently comprises single dwelling rural residential lots of between 1 and 6 hectares in size.


The study area is within the Doley / Warrington Rd Precinct of the Byford District Structure Plan area (2009).

## 1.2 Planning context

The study area is zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and 'Urban Development' under Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

The *Byford District Structure Plan*, adopted in August 2005, provides a district level framework to guide more detailed planning for the Byford area between the proposed Tonkin Hwy and the Darling Scarp, from Thomas Rd to Cardup Siding Rd. It requires local structure plans to be prepared to provide the level of detailed planning required to facilitate subdivision and development within the district structure plan area. The Byford District Structure Plan recommends that a road reserve is provided adjacent to Brickwood Reserve, separating it from the residential area. It also recommends that public open space link is provided between Brickwood Reserve and the multiple use corridor on Turner Road. The study area is within the Doley / Warrington Rd Precinct.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 1: Subject land location




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

'The Glades' development flanks the north, west and southern boundaries of the study area. The local structure plan for this development was adopted in April 2011. As of mid-2015, the development is largely completed to the north and half completed to the west. No works have been undertaken south of the study area, where the development flanks the Cardup Brook.

### *1.2.1 Local structure plan*

The local structure plan will guide the subdivision and development of approximately 120 hectares in Byford. The structure plan contains


- approximately 12.2 ha of public open space (POS);
- Multiple use corridor (MUC) through the northern portion of the structure plan, tying in with adjacent developments (3.91ha);
- 4ha for a primary school;
- Neighbourhood nodes; and
- a mixture of residential lots.

## 1.3 Bushfire management guidelines, specifications and minimum standards

Specifications or standards relevant to this bushfire management plan are derived from and consistent with:

- *State Planning Policy 3.7: Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas*(WAPC, 2015);
- *Guidelines for Planning for Bushfire Prone Areas* and appendices (WAPC, 2015)
- Australian Standards (AS3959-2009): Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas;
- SJ Shire Firebreak Notice and Fuel Hazard Reduction Notice 2015-2016;
- SJ Shire Local Planning Policy No.43: Hazards and Natural Disasters; and
- Visual Fuel Load Guide for the Scrub Vegetation of the Swan Coastal Plain and Darling Scarp including Geraldton Sandplains & Leeuwin Ridge Regions of Western Australia – Edition 2. (DFES, 2012).

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 2: Proposed local structure plan




*©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 7,000 @ A4

0 140m

essential environmental

# 2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this bushfire management plan are to:

- reduce the threat to life, properties and for emergency response personnel in the event of a bushfire within or near the subject site either during staged development construction or once construction is complete;
- reduce vulnerability to bushfire through the identification and assessment of bushfire hazards and risk;
- achieve a responsible and balanced approach between bushfire risk management and management measures, and landscape amenity and biodiversity conservation objectives; and
- achieve consistency with objectives and policy measures of SPP 3.7 and the *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas*.

The following tasks have been undertaken to achieve the objectives of this bushfire management plan:

- Identification of assets and values of the subject land (section 3);
- Assessment of bushfire hazard of the subject land and preparation of BAL contour map (Section 4);
- Identification of bushfire management measures for the subject land, with due regard for life, property and the environment (section 5); and
- Nomination of individuals and organisations responsible for bushfire management and associated works within the subject land (section 6).

# 3 DESCRIPTION OF THE SUBJECT AREA

## 3.1 General

The study area is situated in the south-east corridor of the Perth metropolitan area, in the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale. The study area currently comprises single dwelling lots ranging between 1 and 6 hectares, in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Doley Rd, Laurance Way and Warrington Rd. It is bounded on three sides by the Glades residential development. Brickwood Reserve and Soldiers Rd is located to the east (Figure 1).

## 3.2 Climate

Byford, where the subject land is located, lies approximately 40 km to the south east of Perth on the Swan Coastal Plain close to the foot of the Darling Scarp. The climate is typically Mediterranean having hot summers and mild winters with low annual rainfall.

Average annual rainfall recorded at the nearest Bureau of Meteorology weather station at Cardup (no. 9137) (approx. 4 km to the south) since 1971 is 857 mm but has declined in recent years to an average of 799 mm since 1995. The minimum recorded annual rainfall was in 2010 at just 488 mm and the maximum recorded was in 1973 at 1,168 mm.

The majority of rainfall is experienced in the winter between May and September with the driest months being December to February.





**Chart 1: Climate summary data (Rainfall: Cardup, Temperature: Medina, BoM, 2015)**

The nearest weather station with a temperature record is Medina Research Centre (no. 9294) (approx. 18 km to the west). Average maximum temperatures range between 18.3°C in July and 31.5°C in February, while average minimum temperatures range between 8.2°C in July and 17.6°C in February.

Wind speed and direction is also recorded at the Medina Research Centre (no. 9294). The remoteness of this centre from the subject land together with its more coastal location provides limited confidence that it is an appropriate climate station for wind speed and direction purposes. For this reason a second comparison site has been selected (Gosnells City, station no. 9106) that is located a similar distance from the subject land but has a similarly inland location.

During the hottest, driest months of the year, December to February), at both Medina and Gosnells, the highest average wind speeds are also recorded as seen in charts 2 and 3.





**Chart 2: Climate summary data (9am wind speed: Gosnells & Medina, BoM, 2015)**





**Chart 3: Climate summary data (3pm wind speed: Gosnells & Medina, BoM, 2015)**

Typically the strongest winds are experienced in the afternoon with average speeds of over 20 km/hour at Medina and over 12 km/hour at Gosnells.

Wind direction varies significantly through the day at both weather stations but generally remains quite consistent through the year. Wind direction in the morning is consistently easterly with both Medina and Gosnells showing similar patterns. Afternoon winds are also highly consistent at Medina where strong coastal south westerly winds dominate. The pattern is far less consistent at Gosnells where the influence of the Scarp is clearly evident and wind direction varies greatly; from westerly, through southerly and to easterly. Northerly winds are rare.





**Chart 4: Climate summary data (February 9am wind direction: Gosnells & Medina, BoM, 2015)**





**Chart 5: Climate summary data (February 3pm wind direction: Gosnells & Medina, BoM, 2015)**

### *3.2.1 Fire weather*

In the Southwest Western Australia, the weather conditions that represent the greatest risk of bushfires occur between December and March, as a result of long, hot and dry summers. The potential for bushfire occurrence is at its peak during this dry period, particularly when a low pressure trough forms off the west coast coupled with low relative humidity and strong winds from the north, east or northeast.

The subject site is located approximately 22 km from the coast and therefore sea breezes will have some influence, however, breeze generated from the surrounding land areas is likely to have a larger impact.

Having consideration of the predominant summer climatic conditions of the local area, high fire risk fire weather conditions are considered to have the potential to occur only for a small proportion of the time during the designated bushfire season.

## 3.3 Topography

The study area grades gently from 43m AHD in the east to 37.5mAHD in the west at a slope of around 1%. The study area has a few high points of approximately 1m higher that the surrounding topography, coinciding with the pad of existing dwellings.

## 3.4 Soils

The surface geology is described broadly as Guildford formation: Alluvial sand and clay with shallow-marine and estuarine lenses and local basal conglomerate (WA surface geology 1:250,000 scale geological maps, Geological Survey of WA, and Geoscience Australia)

This is further classified as Sandy Clay, as shown in Figure 3. Described as white grey to brown, fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity gravel and silt layers near scarp.

The subject land has been classified as multiple use wetland by the Department of Parks and Wildlife in its Swan Coastal Plain geomorphic wetlands database identified as the Armadale Palusplain: seasonally waterlogged flat land. This is reflective of the shallow depth to groundwater in the area, as groundwater is generally at the surface in winter, receding slightly in the summer months.

## 3.5 Bushfire fuels


The vegetation complex that exists within and adjacent to the study area is the Forrestfield Complex (Ridge Hill Shelf, Darling Plateau). The vegetation of the Forrestfield Complex ranges from open forest of *Eucalyptus calophylla - E. wandoo - E. marginata* to open forest of *E. marginata - E. calophylla - C. fraseriana* - Banksia species. Fringing woodland of *E. rudis* may be present in the gullies that dissect this landform (WAPC, 2000).

Current land use across the subject land has resulted in a highly modified environment compared to the pre-clearing vegetation extent. Rural-residential pursuits have resulted in large portions of the subject land being completely cleared of native vegetation, with only small pockets of degraded or modified vegetation remaining in most areas. The remnant eucalyptus woodland is largely devoid of understory and consists of scattered mature trees, interspersed with non-native trees, grasses and garden shrubs planted around houses and along pathways. There are also a large number of windbreaks in some portions of the site which provide shade and protection for livestock and horses.

Some portions of the study area are not as well maintained as other areas; however, it is assumed that these properties will undertake appropriate management actions to comply with SJ Shire Firebreak Notice and Fuel Hazard Reduction Notice 2015-2016.

The proposed development will result in a predominantly cleared and built environment. This will remove the majority of on-site vegetation and bushfire hazard.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 3: Site topography and soils




Legend

- Study area
- Cadastre
- Road centrelines
- Contours m(AHD)

*Surface Geology*

- Csg - Gravelly sandy clay
- Cs - Sandy clay
- S8 - sand

* ©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, Landgate, DoMP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 9,000 @ A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

Consistent with the conservation commitments in the draft *Strategic Conservation Plan for the Perth and Peel Regions*, a portion of remnant vegetation is proposed to be retained in POS area No 7 (Figure 2). This vegetation has significant environmental value and is recognised as an extreme bushfire hazard.

There will also be minor retention of mature trees in public open space and road reserves and any vegetated areas will be actively managed by the Shire of Serpentine Jarahdale in a low threat state.

The primary fuel load (subsequent to the development of the subject land) is that of Brickwood Reserve, to the east of the subject land. Brickwood Reserve contains one of the largest and most intact areas of remnant vegetation found on the alluvial soils of the eastern side of the Swan Coastal Plain. The most extensive community type vegetation is broadly described as Marri Woodland with Scattered Kingia, transitioning into Marri and Jarrah Woodland.

The following Threatened Ecological Communities have also been identified:

- *Eucalyptus calophylla-Xanthorrhoea preissii* woodlands and shrublands;
- *Eucalyptus calophylla – Kingia australias* woodlands;
- *Banksia attenuate* and/or *Eucalyptus marginata* woodlands;
- *Eucalyptus calophylla - Eucalyptus marginata; and*
- Dense shrubs on clay flat.

Brickwood Reserve is managed by SJ Shire consistent with the *Brickwood Reserve and Briggs Park Management Plan* (2009) and its attached Brickwood Reserve Fire Management Plan; however it is noted that this management plan is currently out for public comment and is likely to be revised in accordance with changes in bushfire policy (G French, SJ Shire, pers. comm, December, 2015).

The subject land is currently flanked to the south and west by pasture (grassland). This vegetation will be progressively cleared as the development of The Glades proceeds.

## 3.6 Land uses


The predominant land use is Rural (Figure 4). Historical aerial photography from Landgate shows the land was parcelled and single dwellings built during the late 70's to early 80's. Prior to this, aerial photography indicated the land may have been used for crop farming. No further changes to land use from the 1980's onwards are indicated in the aerial photography.

Current land use is largely rural residential, with some properties containing livestock, large sheds and farming equipment. Most properties have been largely cleared, with many containing non-endemic lines of significant trees flanking boundaries and driveways.

### *3.6.1 Proposed land use*

The future land use is for residential development. The subject land will contain small areas of public open space including a multiple use corridor, a primary school and potentially some neighbourhood nodes which may accommodate higher density residential and some mixed use (Figure 2).

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 4: Land use and zoning




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

### 3.6.2 Surrounding land uses

Brickwood Reserve abuts the study area along its eastern boundary. This reserve is recognised as having significant environmental values, as it is designated as a Bush Forever site, a Conservation Category Wetland and contains a number of Threatened Ecological Communities protected under environmental legislation.

'The Glades' housing development flanks the north, west and southern boundaries of the study area. As of March 2015 the development is partially complete along the norther and western boundaries only.

## 3.7 Assets

The current assets within the subject land include dwellings, sheds, machinery and a small amount of livestock. It is recognised that the current life and property assets will be removed on development of the project area.

The project area contains limited on-site environmental assets due to historic clearing and the level of vegetation disturbance associated with current on-site land uses, although the remnant vegetation in POS No 7 has been recognised as having significant value in the *Draft Strategic Conservation Plan for the Perth and Peel Regions*.

### 3.7.1 Proposed assets

When the subject land is fully developed it will consist of around 1850 new residential dwellings a number of commercial or mixed use buildings within the neighbourhood node and a primary school. These buildings will be required to comply with the current endorsed version of AS3959-2009 based on an assessment of the vegetation conditions and implementation of this bushfire management plan at the particular location.

In addition, the subject land will contain a number of areas of public open space including a multiple use corridor which will be designed to address urban water management requirements as well as deliver recreation and biodiversity outcomes. These public open space areas will be managed to ensure the retained environmental assets do not adversely contribute to the bushfire risk.

The future subdivision will also result in the introduction of other assets to service the subdivision, including lot access roads, water supply, power and telecommunications infrastructure.

## 3.8 Access

Accessibility to the subject land is currently good with Orton Road providing access from the west and Turner Rd to the east. North-south connectivity is provided by Doley Rd, Lawrence Way, Warrington Road and Soldiers Road.


A comprehensive internal public road network will be provided throughout the project area to service the proposed development, as outlined in Figure 2. The proposed road network also provides buffers to adjacent bushland areas and is sufficient for the provision of access for emergency fire service vehicles.

## 3.9 Water supply

Reticulated water is to be provided to the entire subdivision and for emergency purposes.

Existing water infrastructure is available to the north and west (Figure 5). Shared fire hydrants are to be spaced to Water Corporation and Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES) standards and provide emergency response personnel access to adequate water supply. Standard water supply provision requires that fire hydrants be installed at 200m intervals along the public road system and in a fashion readily accessible to emergency vehicles. Hydrants will need to be clearly identifiable, with markings installed by the developer prior to sign off.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 5: Water supply network




Legend

- Subject land
- Cadastre
- Road centrelines
- Water supply network

*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, WC. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

# 4 BUSHFIRE ASSESSMENT

In order to identify the potential bushfire risks and mitigation strategies, it is necessary to describe the bushfire problem associated with the subject land. The assessment takes into consideration the:

- type and classification of vegetation present on and adjacent to the subject land;
- distances between the classifiable vegetation;
- the topography and slope of the subject land; and
- current and proposed future land use.

## 4.1 Bushfire history

Byford Volunteer Bush Fire Brigade attended 66 fire incidents within a three kilometre radius during the 2013/2014 fire season.

At the date of this report there is no documented history of bushfire within or immediately adjacent to the site that was of a nature that threatened life or property.

## 4.2 Vegetation types

Vegetation at the site was classified according to the descriptions provided in AS 3959 – 2009, and includes the following four vegetation types:

- Class A Forest Low open forest (A4): Trees 10-30m high. 30-70% foliage cover (may include understory of sclerophyllous low trees and tall scrubs or grass). Typically dominated by eucalypts.
- Class B Woodland – Woodland (B5): Trees 10-30m high. 10-30% foliage cover donimated by Eucalypts; understory or low trees to tall shrubs typically dominated by Acacia, Callitris or Casuarina.
- Class B Woodland- Low woodland (B7): Low trees and shrubs 2 – 10m high. Foliage cover less than 10%. Dominated by Eucalypts and Acacias. Often have a grassy understory or low shrubs.
- Class B Woodland - Open shrubland (B9): Low trees and shrubs 2 – 10m high. Foliage cover less than 10%. Dominated by Eucalypts and Acacias. Often has a grassy understory or low shrubs.
- Class G Grassland – closed tussock grassland (G22): all forms of grassland including situations with shrubs and trees if the foliage cover is less than 10%

Table 1 provides a description of each vegetation type within the subject land and 100m surrounding. The location of photo points is shown in Plate 1.

The classified vegetation is mapped in Figure 6.















**Plate 1: Key to photo points**





**Table 1: Vegetation classification**




| Photo point | | Vegetation class | Vegetation type | Description |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 |  | Class G Grassland | Closed tussock grassland   | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |
| 2 |  | Class G Grassland | Closed tussock grassland   | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |

| Photo point | | Vegetation class | Vegetation type | Description |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 |  | Class G Grassland | Closed tussock grassland   CLOSED TUSSOCK GRASSLAND G-21 | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |
| 4 |  | Class G Grassland | Closed tussock grassland   CLOSED TUSSOCK GRASSLAND G-21 | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |
| 5 |  | Class G Grassland | Closed tussock grassland   CLOSED TUSSOCK GRASSLAND G-21 | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |

| Photo point | | Vegetation class | Vegetation type | Description |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 |  | Low threat | Exclusion 2.2.3.2 (f) | Windbreak |
| 7 |  | Class B Woodland   LOW WOODLAND B-07 | Low woodland | Low trees and shrubs 2 – 10m high. Foliage cover less than 10%. Dominated by Eucalypts and Acacias. Often have a grassy understory or low shrubs. |
| 8 |  | Class B Woodland   WOODLAND B-05 | Woodland | Trees 10-30m high. 10-30% foliage cover donimated by Eucalypts; understory or low trees to tall shrubs typically dominated by Acacia, Callitris or Casuarina. |

| Photo point | | Vegetation class | Vegetation type | Description |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9 |  | Class G Grassland | Closed tussock grassland   CLOSED TUSSOCK GRASSLAND G-21 | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |
| 10 |  | Class B Woodland | Open shrubland   OPEN SHRUBLAND B-09 | Low trees and shrubs 2 – 10m high. Foliage cover less than 10%. Dominated by Eucalypts and Acacias. Often have a grassy understory or low shrubs. |
| 11 |  | Class B Woodland | Woodland   WOODLAND B-05 | Trees 10-30m high. 10-30% foliage cover donimated by Eucalypts; understory or low trees to tall shrubs typically dominated by Acacia, Callitris or Casuarina. |


| Photo point | | Vegetation class | Vegetation type | Description |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 |  | Class A Forest | Low open forest<br><br>LOW OPEN FOREST A-04 | Trees 10-30m high. 30-70% foliage cover (may include understory of sclerophyllous low trees and tall scrubs or grass). Typically dominated by eucalypts. |
| 13 |  | Class A Forest | Low open forest<br><br>LOW OPEN FOREST A-04 | Trees 10-30m high. 30-70% foliage cover (may include understory of sclerophyllous low trees and tall scrubs or grass). Typically dominated by eucalypts. |
| 14 |  | Class A Forest | Low open forest<br><br>LOW OPEN FOREST A-04 | Trees 10-30m high. 30-70% foliage cover (may include understory of sclerophyllous low trees and tall scrubs or grass). Typically dominated by eucalypts. |
| 15 |  | Class A Forest | Low open forest<br><br>LOW OPEN FOREST A-04 | Trees 10-30m high. 30-70% foliage cover (may include understory of sclerophyllous low trees and tall scrubs or grass). Typically dominated by eucalypts. |

| Photo point | | Vegetation class | Vegetation type | Description |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 |  | Class B Woodland   WOODLAND B-05 | Woodland | Trees 10-30m high. 10-30% foliage cover donimated by Eucalypts; understory or low trees to tall shrubs typically dominated by Acacia, Callitris or Casuarina. |
| 17 |  | Class G Grassland   CLOSED TUSSOCK GRASSLAND G-21 | Closed tussock grassland | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |
| 18 |  | Class G Grassland   CLOSED TUSSOCK GRASSLAND G-21 | Closed tussock grassland | All forms, including situations with shrubs and trees, if the overstorey coverage is less than 10%. |

## 4.3 Topography

Slope is not considered to be a significant factor of bushfire risk as the most significant portion of on-site vegetation is situated effectively downslope from the rest of the development. In addition, Brickwood Reserve, which is the most significant area of vegetation adjacent to the subject land, is located effectively downslope or on flat land in relation to the subject land (Figure 3).

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 6: Vegetation classes




Legend

- Study area
- Cadastre
- Road centrelines

*Vegetation classes*

- Class A: Forest
- Class B: Woodland
- Class G: Grassland
- Low threat vegetation (AS3959 Clause 2.2.3(f))

*©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 9,000 @ A4

0 180 m

N

essential environmental

## 4.4 Bushfire hazard

The *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas* (WAPC, 2015) propose a methodology which rates bushfire hazards using vegetation type, classifying the results into three potential categories: low, moderate or extreme (Table 2). It is based on the assumption that land in Western Australia is predominantly undulating with relatively short, steep inclines.

**Table 2: Hazard levels and characteristics (WAPC, 2015)**

| HAZARD LEVEL | CHARACTERISTICS |
|---|---|
| **Low** | • devoid of standing vegetation (less than 0.25ha cumulative area);<br>• areas which, due to climatic conditions or vegetation (e.g. rainforest), do not experience bushfires;<br>• inner urban or suburban areas with maintained gardens and very limited standing vegetation (less than 0.25ha cumulative area);<br>• low threat vegetation, including grassland managed in a minimal fuel condition (i.e. to a nominal height of 100mm), maintained lawns, vineyard and orchards; and<br>• pasture or cropping areas with very limited standing vegetation that is shrubland, woodland or forest with an effective up slope*, on flat land or an effective down slope* of less than 10 degrees, for a distance greater than 100 metres. |
| **Moderate** | • areas containing pasture or cropping with an effective down slope* in excess of 10 degrees for a distance greater than 100 metres;<br>• unmanaged grasslands;<br>• open woodlands;<br>• open shrublands;<br>• low shrubs on areas with an effective up slope*, on flat land or an effective down slope* of less than 10 degrees, for a distance greater than 100 metres or flat land;<br>• suburban areas with some tree cover; and<br>• forest and woodlands with a permanent grass understorey or at most, a scrub understory structure consisting of multiple areas of <0.25ha and not within 20 metres of each other or single areas of <1ha and not within 100 metres of other scrub areas. |
| **Extreme** | • forests with a scrub understorey which is multi-tiered;<br>• woodlands with a scrub understorey which is multi-tiered;<br>• tall shrubs; and<br>• any area of vegetation not otherwise categorised as low or moderate. |


*NOTE Effective slope refers to the slope under the classified vegetation in relation to the subject site. Distances less than 100 metres will be deemed to be undulating land, rather than a nominated slope.

In compliance with the *Guidelines for Planning for Bushfire Prone Areas* (WAPC, 2015), the vegetation in and around the subject land is rated as having either an extreme or moderate level of hazard.

Figure 7 shows the pre-development hazard rating based on the current site characteristics. As development at the site proceeds, the hazard rating within the site will decrease (Figure 8); however, it should also be noted that land to the west and south will ultimately be developed consistent with long term strategic planning outlined in the WAPC-endorsed Byford Structure Plan (2005, amended 2009).

As a concept for the future layout of the development has been prepared, a Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) contour map has also been created which shows indicative BAL ratings for the subject land (Figure 9). The BAL contour map was prepared on the basis of FDI 80; the vegetation classification shown in Table 1and Figure 6; and slope shown on Figure 3.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 7: Bushfire hazard assessment (current)




Legend

- Study area
- Cadastre
- Road centrelines

*Bushfire hazard assessment*

- Low
- Moderate
- Extreme

*©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 9,000 @ A4

0 180 m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 8: Bushfire hazard assessment (post development)




**Legend**

- Study area
- Cadastre
- Road centrelines

*Bushfire hazard assessment*

- Low
- Moderate
- Extreme

*©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 9,000 @ A4

0 180m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 9: BAL contour map




| 3410-44D | |
|---|---|
| POS | Area (ha) |
| 1 | 1.62 |
| 2 | 2.43 |
| 3 | 1.23 |
| 4 | 3.04 |
| 5 | 0.36 |
| 6 | 1.21 |
| 7 | 1.29 |
| 8 | 0.54 |
| 9 | 0.46 |
| Total | 12.18 |

**Legend**

Low threat vegetation (AS3959 Clause 2.2.3.2 (f))

*BAL contours*

- BAL-LOW
- BAL-12_5
- BAL-19
- BAL-29
- BAL-40
- BAL-FZ

©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8,000 @ A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

## 4.5 Bushfire protection criteria assessment

The subject land contains and is adjacent to areas with an 'Extreme' bush fire hazard level. This is in conflict with SPP 3.7 which aims to facilitate the avoidance of placing people, property and infrastructure in areas of extreme bushfire risk. A comprehensive suite of bushfire risk mitigation and management measures will need to be implemented to reduce and maintain the bushfire hazard to a 'Moderate' level or lower. This will be achieved through clearing of the subject land to facilitate the proposed development as well as implementation of a number of design and management measures.

The *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas* (WAPC, 2015) identifies four elements to be considered in order to mitigate and manage bushfire risk. These elements are location, siting and design of development, vehicular access and water supply and they are discussed further below and mapped in Figure 9.

### *4.5.1 Element 1: Location*

**Intent**: To ensure that the subdivision, development or land use is located in areas with the least possible risk of bushfire, to help minimise risk to people, property and infrastructure.

Discussion

Noting the future urban development proposed through the WAPC endorsed Byford Structure Plan (2009), the proposed development is located in an area where the bushfire hazard assessment classification is or will be moderate or low (Figure 8), and the risk can be managed. The proposed roads fronting Brickwood Reserve and POS No 7 will provide adequate separation to achieve BAL-29 rating for adjacent development.

Conclusion

The proposed development meets acceptable solution *A1.1 Development location* as, on completion of the development proposed in the Byford Structure Plan, the development will be located in an area that is not subject to either an extreme bushfire hazard level classification, or a Bushfire Attack Level of BAL-40 or BAL-FZ (Figure 10). Any temporary risk that remains prior to the completion of the proposed development is able to be managed, as demonstrated in the remainder of this management plan.

### *4.5.2 Element 2: Siting and design of development*

**Intent**: To ensure that the siting of development minimises the level of bushfire impact.

Discussion

Bushfire hazard levels rated as extreme or moderate within the subject land will largely be removed during land clearing and subdivisional earthworks. Some vegetation is intended to be retained within public open space areas.

The most significant bushfire hazard within the proposed development is associated with the retained vegetation in POS No 7. The retention of this vegetation is consistent with Objective 4 of SPP 3.7 which is to:

> *"Achieve a responsible approach between bushfire management measures and biodiversity conservation values, environmental protection and biodiversity management and landscape amenity, with consideration of the potential impacts of climate change".*

Bushfire hazard from the retained vegetation will be managed to ensure that no lot is subject to a Bushfire Attack Level of BAL-40 or BAL-FZ through the creation of a road around the public open space (15m reserve) and the establishment and maintenance of a low fuel zone of at least 5m within the public open space. This area will be managed consistent with this management plan and any future landscape management plan by the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale. The low threat vegetation is to have the following characteristics:

- Fine fuel load: reduced to and maintained at 2 tonnes per hectare and maintained in accordance with AS 3959-2009 s2.2.3.2 (f) stated here as "Including grassland managed in a minimal fuel condition, maintained lawns, golf courses, maintained public reserves and parklands, vineyards, orchards, cultivated gardens, commercial nurseries, nature strips and windbreaks. NOTE: Minimal fuel condition means there is insufficient fuel available to significantly increase the severity of the bushfire attack (recognisable as short-cropped grass for example, to a nominal height of 100 mm";
- Trees (crowns) are a minimum distance of ten metres apart. A small group of trees within close proximity to one another may be treated as one crown provided the combined crowns do not exceed the area of a large or mature crown size for that species;
- No tall shrubs or trees located within 2 metres of a building;
- No tree crowns overhanging the building; and
- Fences and sheds within 100m of vegetation are constructed using non-combustible materials (e.g. iron, brick, limestone, metal post and wire) and shed are not to contain flammable materials.

Other vegetation proposed to be retained in areas of public open space is located greater than 20m from Brickwood Reserve, POS No 7 and the grassland to the south and west, is less than 0.25ha in size and will be maintained in a low threat state.

Temporary bushfire hazard exists for development proposed on the western boundary of the subject land until such time as the land is cleared for development. It is therefore not recommended that his portion of the subject land is subdivided until this hazard has been removed.

Development proposed along Orton Rd is also currently subject to a likely BAL-12.5 rating (Figure 9). Should this land be subdivided and developed prior to the clearing of the land to the south, any dwellings will need to be built in accordance with *AS 3959: Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas.*

Residual hazard will remain; however, in the eastern portion of the subject land as a result of the Class A (Forest) vegetation in Brickwood Reserve. A hazard separation of 21m will be provided by the establishment of a 21m Road Reserve and construction of a road around Brickwood reserve. The road reserve will be maintained in a low threat state.

The vast majority of dwellings in the subject land are not located within 100m of moderate or extreme bushfire risk and so are not required to be constructed to particular bushfire standards. Maximum Bushfire Attack Level is predicted to be BAL-29 if a dwelling is sited 21 metres from Brickwood Reserve or within 14 or 17m of POS No 7. Additional separation may also be provided by an asset protection zone, should one be desired at time of subdivision, to reduce BAL levels.

Appropriate mitigation and/or construction methodologies to manage bushfire risk in accordance with *AS 3959: Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas* will be reassessed at time of subdivision and will be required as part of the building approvals process. All proposed lots situated within 100 m of permanent 'Moderate' or 'Extreme' bushfire hazard areas (as depicted in Figure 8) will require consideration of the requirements of AS 3959–2009 and application of increased building construction standards where required, in accordance with the BALs and minimum separation distances highlighted in AS3959 Table 2.4.3. An excerpt of the separation requirements and BALs is provided in Table 3.

**Table 3: Excerpt from AS 3959, Table 2.4.3, Distance (m) of the site from the predominant vegetation class**

| FDI 80 (1090 K) | Vegetation classification and slope | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Bushfire attack levels (BALs)** | **Class A: Forest Flat land** | **Class B: Woodland Upslope & flat land** | **Class B: Woodland Downslope 0 to 5 degrees** | **Class G: Grassland Flat land** | **Class G: Grassland Downslope 0 to 5 degrees** |
| **BAL-FZ** | <16m | <10m | <13m | < 6m | < 7m |
| **BAL-40** | 16-<21 | 10-<14 | 13-<17 | 6-< 8 | 7-< 9 |
| **BAL-29** | 21-<31 | 14-<20 | 17-<25 | 8-< 12 | 9-< 14 |
| **BAL-19** | 31-<42 | 20-<29 | 25-<35 | 12-<17 | 14-< 20 |
| **BAL-12.5** | 42-<100 | 29-<100 | 35-<100 | 17-< 50 | 20-< 50 |
| **BAL-LOW** | Beyond 100m | Beyond 100m | Beyond 100m | Beyond 50m | Beyond 50m |

Measures will also be incorporated to manage the temporary bushfire risk from on-site vegetation during internal staging. This includes the maintenance of a 105 m wide low fuel hazard separation zone between stages undergoing development and future undeveloped stages that have a 'Moderate' to 'Extreme' bushfire hazard level.

Conclusion

The proposed structure plan design meets acceptable solution *A2.2: Hazard separation zone* which allows that where a building cannot be located a minimum distance of 100m from the vegetation, the building must be constructed to a higher standard as described in AS3959, and that the requirement does not exceed BAL-29.

The 21m hazard separation around Brickwood Reserve will be established through creation of the road reserve at time of subdivision. A 17m separation around POS No 7 will be created through the establishment of a 15m road reserve, construction of the road and creation and maintenance of a low fuel zone within the area of public open space managed by the Shire in a low threat state.

### *4.5.3 Element 3: Vehicular access*

**Intent**: To ensure that the vehicular access serving a subdivision/development is available during a bushfire event.

Discussion

The existing road network surrounding and within the subject land includes Orton Road to the south (Neighbourhood connector), Doley Road to the west (District entry road), Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive (Access St B), Patridge Bend, Haliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way (Neighbourhood connector) to the north and Warrington Road (Neighbourhood connector), Turner Road (District entry road), Soldiers Road (Neighbourhood connector) to the east.

Orton Rd, Doley Rd, Turner Rd, Warrington Rd and Soldiers Rd provide excellent transport links to the surrounding region, connecting to the regional distribution system provided by Hopkinson Rd, Abernethy Rd, Soldiers Rd and South Western Highway (Plate 2).




**Plate 2: Regional transport network (KCTT, 2015).**

As part of the local structure planning process a Transport Impact Assessment was prepared by KCTT to provide, amongst other objectives, a broad framework for consideration of vehicle access requirements for the proposed land uses.

The Transport Impact Assessment proposes the following recommended cross sections. These comply with the requirements for public roads as defined in the *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas*, Appendix Four, Element 3, Table 4 (WAPC, 2015).

- District Entry Road 'A' - 13.4m wide pavement in 30.0m wide reservation;
- Neighbourhood Connector 'A' - 7.0m wide pavement in 24.4m wide reservation;
- Neighbourhood Connector 'B' - 7.0m wide pavement in 19.4m wide reservation;
- Access Street B - 5.5m wide pavement in 17.9m wide reservation;
- Access Street C - 7.2m wide pavement in 15.4m wide reservation;
- Access Street D - 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation;
- Access Street D' - 6m wide pavement in 12.4m wide reservation (adjacent to the proposed POS); and
- Laneway - 6m wide pavement in 6.0m wide reservation.

Conclusion

The proposed structure plan complies with the performance criteria by applying the following acceptable solutions:

- *A3.1 Two access routes* - Two different vehicular access routes are provided, both of which connect to the public road network, provide access and egress to two different destinations and are available to all residents/the public at all times and under all weather conditions.
- *A3.2 Public roads* - Public roads are to meet the requirements in Table 4, Column 1.

No other acceptable solutions are considered relevant to the concept structure plan.

### *4.5.4 Element 4: Water supply*

**Intent**: To ensure that water is available to the subdivision, development or land use to enable people, property and infrastructure to be defended from bushfire.


Discussion

The proposed residential development is to be provided with a reticulated water supply, together with fire hydrants, in accordance with the specifications of the Water Corporation and Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES).

Conclusion

The proposed structure plan complies with acceptable solution *A4.1 Reticulated areas*.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Bushfire Management Plan
## Figure 10: BAL contour map after completion of Byford structure plan




| 3410-44D | |
|---|---|
| POS | Area (ha) |
| 1 | 1.62 |
| 2 | 2.43 |
| 3 | 1.23 |
| 4 | 3.04 |
| 5 | 0.36 |
| 6 | 1.21 |
| 7 | 1.29 |
| 8 | 0.54 |
| 9 | 0.46 |
| Total | 12.18 |

**Legend**

Low threat vegetation (AS3959 Clause 2.2.3.2 (f))

*BAL contours*

- BAL-LOW
- BAL-12_5
- BAL-19
- BAL-29
- BAL-40
- BAL-FZ

©2016. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8,000 @ A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

# 5 BUSHFIRE RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES

The following management measures are recommended to be implemented as part of the future subdivision and development of the subject land for residential development. It is noted that these are high-level, strategic recommendations and are likely to be refined through the subdivision and development process.

The measures aim to mitigate the inherent bushfire risk to life, property and the environment and achieve a suitable and effective bushfire management outcome for the site. This will be achieved by meeting performance criteria and associated acceptable solutions in accordance with the *Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas* (WAPC, 2015).

Although the following management measures are considered to mitigate bushfire risk, there is a need for individual landowners to protect their property in line with this bushfire management plan noting that, despite any management measures outlined in the bushfire management plan, during a bushfire event, fire appliances may not be available to protect each house.

## 5.1 Location

Consideration of the strategic location, layout and management of future development during structure planning can reduce future bushfire threat and risk to critical life and property assets.

Development of the subject land will remove the majority of on-site vegetation to provide for the creation of a residential area consisting of maintained gardens and limited bushfire fuels. Some individual overstorey trees are proposed to be retained in selected areas of public open space; however, the trees are at least 100m from Brickwood Reserve; comprise less than 0.25ha of overstorey vegetation; are separated from each other by at least 20m; and will be actively managed as low threat vegetation. This will result in creation of a 'Low' bushfire hazard across the majority of the study area.

Consistent with the conservation commitments in the draft *Strategic Conservation Plan for the Perth and Peel Regions*, a portion of remnant vegetation is proposed to be retained in POS area No 7 (Figure 2). This vegetation has significant environmental value and is recognised as an extreme bushfire hazard. It is proposed that this hazard is managed through the creation of a low fuel buffer between it and the proposed lots, which ensures that the lots are not subject to a bushfire attack level of either BAL-FZ or BAL-40. This will be achieved through the creation of a road (proposed road reserve width of 15m) and, where necessary, a low fuel zone within the area of public open space which is managed and maintained by the Shire of Serpentine-Jarradale. The width of the low fuel zone required is 14m around the northern, western and southern border (which can be achieved through construction of the road) and 17m on the eastern edge of POS No 7 as indicated by Table 3.

The remaining public open space areas including the multiple use corridor will have managed understoreys, so will not adversely contribute to the bushfire risk to life and property assets of the development. Vegetation to be used in landscaping will consider SJ Shire's *Indicative List of Low Flammability Plants* (Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale, 2010). Available fuel loads will be maintained annually within 2 t/ha through mowing of understorey grasses, trash and litter fuels prior to the onset of the designated bushfire season.

Subdivision of land within 50m of the grassland to the west will not occur until such time as this land has been cleared for development as indicated through long term strategic planning, or the bushfire risk is considered to be adequately managed in accordance with AS3959.

The above measures ensure that the development, when completed, is not located on land subject to either an extreme bushfire hazard level or construction standards applicable to BAL 40 or BAL FZ. This meets performance criteria for development location (Element 1) by adopting acceptable solution A1.1.

## 5.2 Siting and design of development

When considering the overall bushfire management of the subject area, protection should be provided to critical life and property assets (i.e. residents, visitors and built assets) as a minimum requirement. Low fuel buffers between bushfire hazard areas and critical assets, as well as application of AS 3959–2009 can be implemented to achieve this.

The construction of a road within a 15m road reserve provides sufficient separation to ensure lots to the north, south and west of POS No 7 will not be subject to a bushfire attack level of BAL-FZ or BAL-40. The 17m separation required from the eastern edge of PO No 7 to lots will be achieved through the establishment and maintenance of an area of low threat vegetation on the edge of the POS which is maintained in a low fuel state by the Shire.

The subject land abuts Brickwood Reserve which is designated as an 'Extreme' bushfire hazard. A 21 m wide hazard separation will be established around Brickwood Reserve at time of subdivision through creation of an appropriate road reserve. The BAL contours provided in Figure 9 flag the need for implementation of AS 3959–2009 within 100m of this hazard.

All proposed lots situated within 100 m from Brickwood Reserve and POS No 7 will require a BAL Assessment to be submitted at the time of lodgement of a building permit. This BAL Assessment will determine construction levels consistent with AS 3959–2009. Preliminary BAL ratings are provided in Figure 9. A notification will be placed on the Title of these lots which advises that the site is located in a bushfire prone area and is subject to a Bushfire Management Plan.

With regards to lots abutting Orton Rd, should the grassland to the south not be cleared prior to subdivision, it is noted that the proposed upgrade of Orton Rd (24.4m wide reservation) will provide adequate separation such that the risk is able to be managed through the construction of dwellings within 50m of the grassland to BAL-12.5 standard. This requirement will be established through the bushfire management plan that will accompany the application for subdivision.

Measures will also be incorporated to manage the temporary bushfire risk from on-site vegetation during internal staging, such as maintenance of a 100 m wide low fuel hazard separation zone between stages undergoing development and future undeveloped stages that have a 'Moderate' to 'Extreme' bushfire hazard level.

The range of measures outlined in Sections 4.5 and 5.2 will ensure the development meets performance criteria for siting of development (Element 2) by adopting acceptable solution A2.2.

## 5.3 Vehicular access

The proposed development is already services by an effective district and local road network, with good links to the regional distributor system. Development of the subject land will also result in provision of a comprehensive internal road network.

The current and proposed road network ensures all residents and visitors of the development are provided with at least two vehicular access routes connecting to the surrounding public road network at all times. This network is also considered to be sufficient to provide access for emergency fire service vehicles in the event that uncontrolled bushfire threatens the project area from adjoining bushland areas.

All public roads and private driveways will be constructed to specifications in accordance with Main Roads WA and DFES requirements to align with acceptable solutions A3.2, A3.3 and A3.5.

Other acceptable solutions for vehicular access including emergency access ways, fire service access routes, gates, firebreaks and signage are not considered necessary as part of the development, due to the adequate level of vehicular access proposed and the lack of on-site bushfire hazards to warrant higher level access requirements.

## 5.4 Water supply

A reticulated water supply will be provided for the proposed development through extension of the existing reticulated water supply to the subject land from the north and west.

A network of hydrants will also be provided along the internal road network at locations which meet Water Corporation and DFES requirements. Hydrants will be marked via the following:

- a blue 'cats eye' reflective indicator to the left of the centre line of the road;
- a small blue 'H' painted on the curbing; and
- a white and red stripe around the power pole nearest to the hydrant.

Contractors or others carrying out building or other works at the site must not cover hydrants and/or the markings indicating their location. In the event activities occur that do result in hydrants or markings being covered, damaged, or removed, it will be the responsibility of the relevant contractor to rectify the situation.

The above measures will ensure the development meets performance criteria for water supply (Element 4) by adopting acceptable solution A4.1.

# 6 IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation of this Plan will commence immediately and will be the responsibility of the Developer until such time as the site is formerly handed over to SJ Shire or the new owners of the lots. Likely tasks that will be involved with the implementation of this plan are described in Table 4.

Although implementation of the following management measures are considered to mitigate bushfire risk, there is a need for individual landowners to protect their property in line with this bushfire management plan noting that, despite any management measures outlined in the bushfire management plan, during a bushfire event, fire appliances may not be available to protect each house.

**Table 4: Implementation plan**

| Activity | Responsibility | Maintenance | Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ensuring bushfire protection of land and property during the various stages of the development | Developer | Checking and maintaining fire breaks, 100m low fuel zones and other protection mechanisms during staging activities | Developer |
| For those lots within 100m of Brickwood Reserve, POS No 7 or 50m of grassland to the south and east, implement AS 3959–2009 and associated construction standards in accordance with Figure 9 | Developer through subdivision process and via notification on titles. | Implement requirements of AS3929 through review of management plan to support subdivision applications. | Developer, until lots are sold when it is the responsibility of individual landowners |
| Establish a low threat vegetation buffer of at least 2m in width on the eastern border of POS No 7. | Developer | Maintain the buffer vegetation in a low threat state as defined in Section 4.5.2. | Developer, until hand over to SJ Shire |
| Construct all public roads, and private driveways in accordance with Main Roads WA and DFES requirements | Developer | Will include installation of signage as appropriate | Developer, until hand over to SJ Shire |
| Install signage identifying emergency access ways and similar | Developer | Will include checking of condition, legibility, visibility | Developer, until hand over to SJ Shire |
| Provide a reticulated water supply through extension of the existing reticulated water supply | Developer | Meet relevant water supply authority and DFES requirements. | Developer, until hand over to Water Corp |
| Provide a network of hydrants along the internal road network at locations which meet relevant water supply authority and DFES requirements. | Developer | Meet relevant water supply authority and DFES requirements. | Developer, until hand over to Water Corp |
| Assessing bushfire fuel loading in public open space and maintaining it at <2t/ha | Developer | Annually | Developer, until hand over to SJ Shire |

| Activity | Responsibility | Maintenance | Responsibility |
|---|---|---|---|
| Assess bushfire management implications of proposed landscape plans | Developer | As required, during landscape design phase | Developer |
| Undertake an inspection of fuel hazards across individual lots to assess compliance with the FMP and annual fire control notice. | SJ Shire staff, DFES | Issue work orders or fines where compliance with the Bush Fires Act 1954, the FMP or the annual fire control notice has been compromised. | SJ Shire staff, DFES |
| Education - Distribution of bushfire information booklets and community attendance at annual DFES bushfire awareness briefings | Developer & SJ Shire | Updating materials and information to reflect current policies, plans, knowledge, or accepted practice | Developer – during sale process<br>Shire – during normal administrative activities to residents and rate payers |
| Bushfire management plan review | Developer in consultation with SJ Shire | Ensure continued suitability of Bushfire management plan by reviewing contents in conjunction with current site conditions | Developer, until sale of lots of completed and hand over of nominated areas to SJ Shire |

## 6.1 Responsibilities

Responsibilities for bushfire preparedness and response within the subject land are shared by SJ Shire, the Developer, DFES, and lot owners.

### *6.1.1 Developer Responsibilities*

The Developer is responsible for implementing key portions of this Bushfire Management Plan, including:

- considering bushfire hazards and risks associated with POS No 7 and the adjacent Brickwood reserve, neighbouring grassland, areas of public open space and other vegetated areas in and around the development during the subdivision design process, and ensuring the risks are as low as reasonably practicable,
- implementing requirements associated with installation and maintenance of appropriate personnel and vehicle access point in compliance with relevant WAPC, DoP and DFES guidelines at an appropriate stage of the development process;
- maintaining any firebreak areas or similar in accordance with SJ Shire requirements and guidelines,
- providing appropriate information, including a copy of this bushfire management plan, to potential purchasers and owners of lots within the development that have a BAL of 12.5 or greater about the bushfire risks and minimum building standard requirements,
- arrange for the Section 70A notification on the titles of lots subject to the requirements of this Bushfire Management Plan,

### 6.1.2 SJ Shire

It should be noted that SJ Shire has the responsibility and powers under the Bush Fires Act 1954 to ensure that this bushfire management plan, SJ Shire Fire Control notice and any Special orders issued under the Bush Fires Act 1954 are complied with.

SJ Shire will be responsible for:

- Maintaining District Fire Fighting Facilities;
- Maintaining equipment and apparatus for fire fighting purposes in good condition;
- Upkeep of bushfire access points and routes, including any emergency and strategic access ways after handover from the developer;
  Providing appropriate advice in relation to requirements for firebreaks, hazard reduction, and similar to the Developer and property owners as required; and
- Taking over Developer responsibilities for maintenance of fire protection facilities at the nominated sites after they are ceded to the Shire.

### 6.1.3 DFES Responsibilities

DFES will be responsible for:

- Maintaining fire fighting equipment in good condition and repair, and
- Responding to large bushfires within and adjacent to the subject land in a timely manner in an effort to minimise the spread of bushfire and damage to nearby housing from ember attack.

### 6.1.4 Owner Responsibilities

It is the responsibility of individual property owners building houses near the vegetated areas to respond to advice from SJ Shire, DFES, or the Developer in relation to maintaining properties in a manner that will reduce potential damage from ember attack.

## 6.2 Other recommendations

After considering the available information known about the subject land, the following is recommended:

- Strategic firebreaks/ access ways and similar are installed and maintained to assist sequential development of the structure plan area
- Prospective property purchasers be advised of the possible increased level of building construction standards that might apply within bushfire prone areas and that a BAL assessment may also be required;
- Prospective property purchasers be made aware that in the event of a large bushfire occurring, fire appliances may not be available for every dwelling, and provided a copy of local government and DFES bushfire information booklets that are currently available;
- Grassed and weedy areas be kept to a minimum, with slashing to 50 mm occurring prior to summer each year;
- Where required, fire breaks to be maintained in accordance with SJ Shire requirements; and

- Ensure rehabilitation plans are also considered in terms of a bushfire management perspective.

## 6.3 Plan Review

This bushfire management plan has been developed based on current conditions at the site, but recognises that changes will occur over time. Accordingly, it is recommended that this plan be reviewed twelve months after initial endorsement and implementation to ensure its continued suitability, and in the event any of the following occur:

- A bushfire occurs within the site boundary or a neighbouring property that spreads to the site;
- The design of the structure plan area is altered in a manner that materially effects the provisions of this bushfire management plan;
- During detailed design phases, when expected fill levels are available or change, resulting in altered slopes (both upward and downward slopes);
- Revegetation activities do or are likely to result in areas of classified vegetation greater than 0.25 ha (as defined by AS 3959-2009);
- If the vegetation to be retained on site is altered, and will or is likely to result in an area of classified vegetation greater than 0.25 ha.

# 7 REFERENCES

Australian Standards 3959 – 2009 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire-Prone Areas (Amendment 2 – February 2011), Standards Australia, NSW.

DFES, 2012, Visual Fuel Load Guide for the Scrub Vegetation of the Swan Coastal Plain and Darling Scarp including Geraldton Sandplains & Leeuwin Ridge Regions of Western Australia – Edition 2

SJ Shire Firebreak Notice and Fuel Hazard Reduction Notice 2015-2016

SJ Shire Local Planning Policy No.43: Hazards and Natural Disasters

Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale, 2010, Indicative List of Low Flammability Plants, SJ Shire, Mundijong, WA.

WAPC, 2014, draft State Planning Policy 3.7: Planning for Bushfire Risk Management, Department of Planning, Perth, WA

WAPC, 2014, Planning for Bushfire Risk Management Guidelines and appendices, draft, Department of Planning, Perth, WA

**Client: ABN Group**

| Report | Version | Prepared by | Reviewed by | Submitted to Client | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Copies | Date |
| Draft report | V1 | RM/SSh | HBr | electronic | September 2015 |
| Final report | V2 | SSh | GFr | electronic | 23 January 2016 |
| Final report | V3 | SSh | HBr | electronic | 10 June 2016 |

**Essential Environmental**
***land & water solutions***
622 Newcastle St Leederville 6007
p: 08 9328 4663 | f: 08 6316 1431
e: info@essentialenvironmental.com.au
www.essentialenvironmental.com.au

APPENDIX 3

**Landscape Masterplan**

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - OVERALL LANDSCAPE MASTER PLAN




*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION

HIGHER ORDER ROADS

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034

PARCEL

Orton Road, Byford - Preliminary Landscape Design

17TH MARCH 2017 - N.T.S

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 1 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION






**LOCATION PLAN**

**CHARACTER IMAGES**



SHELTER



FEATURE PLANTING

**LEGEND**

1 VIEWING NODE
2 MAIN WATERWAY BUFFER / MUC (30m)
3 SEATING NODE
- PICNIC SETTING

4 TURF SPACE
5 SHADE TREES
6 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES
- CONCRETE KERB EDGING

7 SEATING ALCOVE/RESPITE AREA


LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 9367 2034
PARCEL

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 2 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION



**LOCATION PLAN**




**LEGEND**

1 EXERCISE STATION

2 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN LOW NATIVE/LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES

3 SHADE TREES

4 RETAINED VEGETATION
- FEATURE PLANTING UNDER EXISTING TREES AND SHRUBS WITH MULCH AND CONCRETE KERB EDGING

5 OPEN TURF AREA

6 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES
- CONCRETE KERB EDGING

7 MAIN WATERWAY BUFFER / MUC (30m)

**CHARACTER IMAGES**



FEATURE PLANTING



EXERCISE NODE



RETAINED VEGETATION

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034

PARCEL

Orton Road, Byford - Preliminary Landscape Design

17TH MARCH 2017 - N.T.S

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 3 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION




**LEGEND**

1 BBQ AND SEATING AREA
2 PLAYGROUND
- THEMED CHILDRENS PLAY AREA WITH SOFTFALL BELOW

3 SHELTER
4 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN LOW NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES
- NATIVE TREES

5 KICKABOUT TURF OVAL
6 EXERCISE NODE
7 SHADE TREES

**CHARACTER IMAGES**



RETAINED VEGETATION



KICKABOUT TURF AREA



OPEN SPACE TURF AREA



THEMED PLAY AREAS




FEATURE PLANTING



**LOCATION PLAN**

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 4 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION




**LEGEND**

1 SHADE TREES
2 RETAINED VEGETATION
- NATIVE PLANTING UNDER EXISTING TREES AND SHRUBS WITH MULCH AND CONCRETE KERB EDGING

3 KICKABOUT TURF AREA
4 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES
- CONCRETE KERB EDGING

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034
PARCEL

Orton Road, Byford - Preliminary Landscape Design

17TH MARCH 2017 - N.T.S

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 5 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION



**LOCATION PLAN**



RETAINED VEGETATION




FEATURE PLANTING



EXERCISE NODE



THEMED PLAY AREAS




**LEGEND**

1 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES
- CONCRETE KERB EDGING

2 RETAINED VEGETATION
- NATIVE PLANTING UNDER EXISTING TREES AND SHRUBS WITH MULCH AND CONCRETE KERB EDGING

3 KICKABOUT TURF SPACE

4 SHADE TREES

5 EXERCISE NODE

6 SMALL PLAYGROUND

7. BBQ + PICNIC SETTING

8. MAIN WATERWAY BUFFER / MUC (30m)

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING

172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034


PARCEL

Orton Road, Byford - Preliminary Landscape Design

17TH MARCH 2017 - N.T.S

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 6 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION




**LEGEND**

1 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES
- CONCRETE KERB EDGING

2 RETAINED VEGETATION
- NATIVE PLANTING UNDER EXISTING TREES AND SHRUBS WITH MULCH AND CONCRETE KERB EDGING

3 TURF AREA

**CHARACTER IMAGES**



RETAINED VEGETATION



KICKABOUT TURF AREA



OPEN SPACE TURF AREA




THEMED PLAY AREAS



**LOCATION PLAN**

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 7 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION




**LEGEND**

1 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES, CONCRETE KERB EDGING

2 SMALL PLAYGROUND
- THEMED CHILDRENS PLAY AREA WITH SOFTFALL BELOW

3 SHADE TREES

4 PICNIC AREA

5 MAIN WATERWAY BUFFER / MUC (30m)

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034

PARCEL

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 8 CONCEPT

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION




**LEGEND**

1 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/ LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES, CONCRETE KERB EDGING

2 SMALL PLAYGROUND
- THEMED CHILDRENS PLAY AREA WITH SOFTFALL BELOW

3 SHADE TREES

4 RETAINED VEGETATION
- NATIVE PLANTING UNDER EXISTING TREES AND SHRUBS WITH MULCH AND CONCRETE KERB EDGING

5 OPEN SPACE TURF AREA

**CHARACTER IMAGES**



THEMED PLAY AREAS



FEATURE PLANTING



RETAINED VEGETATION



OPEN SPACE TURF AREA



**LOCATION PLAN**

# ORTON ROAD, BYFORD - POS 9

*** DESIGN SUBJECT TO WATER ALLOCATION




**LEGEND**

1 FEATURE PLANTING
- ORGANIC PATTERN PLANTING WITH NATIVE/LOW WATER USAGE SHRUBS AND GRASSES, CONCRETE KERB EDGING

2 TURF AREA


3 PICNIC AREA

4 PLAY ITEM


5 SHADE TREES

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034


PARCEL




NORTHERN INTERIM DRAIN CROSS SECTION (TYP)
NTS




TYPICAL MUC CROSS SECTION
NTS




NORTHERN INTERIM DRAINAGE
NTS



**LOCATION PLAN**

LD TOTAL
LEADERS IN LANDSCAPING
172 BURSWOOD ROAD
BURSWOOD, WA 6100
T +61 8 6436 1111
F +61 8 9367 2034
PARCEL

Orton Road, Byford - Preliminary Landscape Design

17TH MARCH 2017 - N.T.S

APPENDIX 4

**Transport Impact Assessment**

# TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Doley Road Precinct LSP

Byford

March 2017,

Rev D

kctt

### HISTORY AND STATUS OF THE DOCUMENT

| Revision | Date issued | Reviewed by | Approved by | Date approved | Revision type |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rev A | 20.01.2016 | M Kleyweg | C Kleyweg | 20.01.2016 | Issued for Review |
| Rev B | 09.06.2016 | M Kleyweg | M Kleyweg | 09.06.2016 | Layout amended |
| Rev C | 22.06.2016 | M Kleyweg | M Kleyweg | 23.06.2016 | Layout amended |
| Rev D | 08.03.2017 | M Kleyweg | M Kleyweg | 10.03.2017 | Layout amended |

### DISTRIBUTION OF COPIES

| Revision | Date of issue | Quantity | Issued to |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rev A | 20.01.2016 | 1 (PDF) | Mr Jeremy Cordina (ABN Group) |
| Rev B | 09.06.2016 | 1 (PDF) | Mr Stephen Carter and Mr Jeremy Cordina (ABN Group) |
| Rev C | 24.06.2016 | 1 (PDF) | |
| Rev D | 10.03.2017 | 1 (PDF) | |

| | |
|---|---|
| **Document Printed** | 10/03/2017 12:09 PM |
| **File Name** | D:\Box\Box Sync\KCTT Projects\KC00000 Archived Projects\KC00400.000 Doley Road LSP Byford - TIA\Outgoing\Report\170302 Rev D\KC00400.000 Orton Road - Warrington Road Byford, Rev D.docx |
| **Author** | Marina Lipovac Tanaskovic/ Jelena Simic/ Nemanja Marijanovic/Ana Nikolic |
| **Project Manager** | Marina Kleyweg |
| **Name of the Project** | Doley Road LSP, Byford |
| **Name of the Document** | Doley Road LSP, Byford - Transport Impact Assessment |
| **Document Version** | KC00400.000_R01_Rev D |

# Table of Contents

**1. INTRODUCTION ..... 5**

**1.1 TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT LAYOUT ..... 5**
**1.2 NOTES PERTAINING TO THIS REPORT ..... 6**
**1.3 AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND TECHNICAL LITERATURE ..... 7**

**2. TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT ..... 8**

**2.1 STRUCTURE PLAN OUTLINE ..... 8**
**2.2 EXISTING SITUATION AND EXTERNAL TRAFFIC NETWORKS ..... 8**
2.2.1 CRASH DATA ..... 11
**2.3 TRAFFIC MODELLING FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ..... 12**
2.3.1 STEP 1 - QUANTUM OF PROPOSED LAND USES ..... 12
2.3.2 STEP 2 - TRIP PURPOSES ..... 14
2.3.3 STEP 3 - EXPECTED ORIGIN / DESTINATION ..... 14
2.3.4 STEP 4 - DESTINATION MATRIX AND DISTRIBUTION OF TRAFFIC / TRANSPORT ..... 16
**2.4 VEHICULAR ACCESS AND PARKING REQUIREMENTS ..... 17**
2.4.1 BICYCLE PARKING ..... 18
2.4.2 ACROD PARKING ..... 19
**2.5 PROVISION FOR DELIVERY AND SERVICE VEHICLES ..... 19**
**2.6 HOURS OF OPERATION ..... 19**
**2.7 MANAGEMENT OF TRAFFIC GENERATED BY THE STRUCTURE PLAN AREA ..... 20**
2.7.1 FORECASTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES ON THE PROPOSED ROADS WITHIN THE STRUCTURE PLAN AREA ..... 20
2.7.2 CROSS SECTIONS WITHIN THE STRUCTURE PLAN AREA ..... 22
2.7.3 PROPOSED INTERSECTION CONTROLS ..... 28
2.7.4 SIDRA INTERSECTION MODELLING ..... 29
2.7.5 ADDITIONAL PLANNING INFORMATION ..... 29
**2.8 PUBLIC TRANSPORT ACCESS ..... 30**
2.8.1 TRANSPERTH BUS ROUTES ..... 30
2.8.2 ACCESSIBILITY TO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ..... 31
**2.9 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLIST ACCESS ..... 31**

**3. TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST FOR A STRUCTURE PLAN ..... 33**

# Tables

Table 1 - Quantities of Proposed Uses ..... 8
Table 2 - Traffic Volumes for Roads Adjacent to the Subject Site ..... 9

Table 3 - Crash Data ..... 11
Table 4 - Traffic Generation of the Proposed Development ..... 13
Table 5 - Trip Purposes by Land Use ..... 14
Table 6 - Table of Trip Purposes and Likely Destinations ..... 15
Table 7 - Forecasted Traffic Volumes on Existing Roads adjacent to the Structure Plan Area ..... 17
Table 8 - Vehicle Parking Requirements (The Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No 2) ..... 17
Table 9 - Bicycle Parking Requirements (Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Local Planning Policy No 58, Schedule 1 - Bicycle Parking Requirement) ..... 18
Table 10 - Forecasted Traffic Volumes on the Proposed Roads within the Structure Plan Area ..... 21
Table 11 - Bus Routes and Frequencies ..... 30
Table 12 - Bus Route Description and Walkable Distance to / from the Subject Site ..... 31
Table 13 - Walk Score Ratings ..... 31

# Figures

Figure 1 - Integrator B ..... 23
Figure 2 - Neighbourhood Connector 'A' modified - Orton Road – interim solution ..... 24
Figure 3 - Neighbourhood Connector 'A' ..... 24
Figure 4 - Neighbourhood Connector 'B' ..... 25
Figure 5 - Access Street B ..... 26
Figure 6 - Access Street C ..... 26
Figure 7 - Access Street D ..... 27
Figure 8 - Laneway ..... 27

# Appendix

**Appendix 1 - The layout of the proposed development**

**Appendix 2 - Transport Planning and Traffic Plans**

**Appendix 3 – SIDRA Intersection Analysis**

**Appendix 4 - Paramics Analysis**

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Transport Impact Assessment Layout

KCTT have been requested to provide a Transport Impact Assessment for the development of landholdings in the Doley Road Precinct LSP, Byford. The purpose of this document is to provide detailed commentary and analysis on the potential traffic and transport impacts that the proposed development of this Local Structure Plan area may have on both the surrounding road and transportation networks. The scope of this report is to provide a detailed assessment of the following: -

- Review future public transportation servicing generally and its potential impact on the development of the subject sites;
- Develop a trip generation matrix for the future land development based on the planners layout;
- Provide a plan showing likely traffic volumes on each of the roads and advice regarding road pavement widths, road reserve widths and footpath requirements;
- Review intersection design requirements;
- Provide a Paramics Model showing the movement of vehicles into the local road network. The Paramics model will be for the ultimate development + 10 year model and will show likely levels of service at key road legs.
- SIDRA analysis at the intersection of
    - Orton Road / Doley Road
    - Orton Road / Lawrence Way
    - Orton Road / Warrington Road
    - Warrington Road / Turner Road
- Provide colour graphics showing:-
    - Expected traffic volumes on each road in the proposed subdivision layout;
    - Expected road widths and road reservation widths;
    - Levels of service for each leg of the development (from Paramics)
    - Future and existing public transport routes;
    - Locality Plan (showing the site and 2km radius of major features inclusive of schools, shopping etc)
    - Plan showing pedestrian path networks.

This Transport Impact Assessment is presented in the following logical sequence: -

- Section 1 – Introduction

This section provides a brief description on the role of this report in the Structure Planning process, the general layout of the report and a list of the guideline and reference documents used in its composition.

- Section 2 – Transport Impact Assessment

This section provides research and analysis of the key items required for submission of a Transport Impact Assessment for Structure Plans in accordance with the Transport Assessment Guidelines nominated above. In this section, KCTT have examined the following subject areas: -

- Section 2.1 – Structure Plan Outline

In consultation with ABN Group, we will provide a brief description of the Structure Plan area and its proposed land uses.

- Section 2.2 – Existing Situation and External Traffic Networks

This section provides a description of the existing land uses within the Structure Plan Area and collates all available traffic data from both within, and external to the Structure Plan Area. The transportation networks are examined for 2km radius from the edge of the Structure Plan Area.

- Section 2.3 – Traffic Modelling for the Proposed Development

This section describes KCTT's 4-step model which is used to determine the future traffic generation / attraction to and from the proposed Structure Plan Area.

- Section 2.4 – Vehicle Access and Parking Requirements

This section generically describe vehicle access and parking requirements for individual land uses within the Structure Plan Area. Each development proposal will be required to address on-site vehicular access and parking requirements in detail. This section will discuss a broad framework for the consideration of these issues.

- Section 2.5 – Provision for Service Vehicles

This section provides generic descriptions for service vehicle requirements throughout the Structure Plan Area. Each of the proposed land uses will be required to examine requirements for service vehicles, pending confirmation of the land uses proposed.

- Section 2.6 – Hours of Operation

This section describes the general operating times for the land uses as they are proposed in the Structure Plan Area. This information assists in determining the likely timing of the AM and PM peaks, and therefore the peak impact on the existing and surrounding transportation network. The peak vehicle generation is the key for determining intersection capacities within a road network.

- Section 2.7 – Public Transport Access

This section provides a summary of the existing public transportation services available within a 2km radius of the Structure Plan Area's boundaries and provides commentary on whether any services are likely to be extended into the Structure Plan Area.

- Section 2.8 – Pedestrian and Cyclist Access

This section provides a summary of the existing pedestrian and cyclist infrastructure in close proximity of the Structure Plan Area's boundaries and provides commentary on the infrastructure likely to be extended into the Structure Plan Area.

- Section 3 – Transport Impact Assessment Checklist

This section provides a concise, tabulated summary and review of the detailed information presented in Section 2 of this report. The intention of this checklist is to document the findings of this report, and / or any of the likely transportation / safety issues which should be considered as part of the submission. This checklist has been developed in accordance with the requirements of the Transport Assessment Guidelines for Developments: Structure Plan Submissions.

## 1.2 Notes Pertaining to This Report

This report has been provided as one of the key preliminary inputs into the overall documentation required for the Structure Planning approvals. Whilst the final site yields and land-uses are subject to change prior to the finalisation of the Structure Plan, the traffic and transportation requirements discussed in this report are based on the land uses and yields proposed through the Structure Planning process, in accordance with the Structure Plan Area.

## 1.3 Available Information and Technical Literature

This section provides a brief description of the inputs used in the compilation of this report:

- WAPC Transport Impact Assessment Guidelines – Volume 2 – Planning Schemes, Structure Plans & Activity Centre Plans (August 2016);
- WAPC Transport Impact Assessment Guidelines – Volume 5 – Technical Guidance (August 2016) (referenced for PM peak hour and traffic splits);
- RTA NSW Guide to Traffic Generating Developments Version 2.2 October 2002 (referenced to determine trip generation / attraction rates for various land uses);
- Guide to Traffic Management – Part 3: Traffic Studies and Analysis, Austroads, 2008 (referenced to discuss requirements for provision of car parking for various land use types, and how trip attraction / generation rates can be cross-checked in this report to provide a robust and consistent transportation model);
- Guide to Traffic Management – Part 11: Parking, Austroads, 2008 (referenced to discuss requirements for provision of car parking for various land use types, and how trip attraction / generation rates can be cross-checked in this report to provide a robust and consistent transportation model);
- Guide to Traffic Management – Part 12: Traffic Impacts of Developments, Austroads, 2008 (referenced to discuss requirements for provision of car parking for various land use types, and how trip attraction / generation rates can be cross-checked in this report to provide a robust and consistent transportation model);
- Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No 2, Updated *to include AMD 189 GG 22/05/2015*, Original Town Planning Scheme Gazettal Date: 4 August 1989.
- Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale's Local Planning Policy No 58.

# 2. Transport Impact Assessment

## 2.1 Structure Plan Outline

The development proposes to subdivide the Doley Road LSP, Byford into approximately 2,393[1] residential lots (887 lots with an assumed R25 yield, 764 lots with an assumed R40 yield and 742 lots with an assumed R60 yield), a Neighbourhood Centre, a Primary School and accompanying POS. The subject site is under jurisdiction of the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale. The land occupies an area of approximately 84.23 ha.

*" Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire is a predominantly rural area which is experiencing rapid residential and rural residential growth. The largest townships are Byford and Mundijong, followed by Serpentine and Jarrahdale. The eastern areas of the Shire are dominated by conservation, timber and water catchment uses. The central spine of the Shire features many of the urban centres and the main transport corridor. The western part of the Shire remains predominantly rural, with a relatively small population. The Shire encompasses a total land area of over 900 square kilometres. Rural land is used mainly for agriculture and equine activities."*
(http://profile.id.com.au/ngaa/about?WebID=300)

**Table 1 - Quantities of Proposed Uses**

| Proposed Land Use | Area | Yield |
|---|---|---|
| Residential - Traditional Lots (Assume R25) | 54.58ha [35.48ha + 19.10ha] | 887 Lots |
| Opportunity for Compact lots (Assume R40) app 35% of Traditional Lots | | 764 Lots |
| Residential - Cottage Lots (Assume R60) | 12.36ha | 742 Lots |
| Neighbourhood Centre (Assume 35% of area for retail development GFA, with approximately 95%GFA = GLFA and 75%GFA = NLA) | 1.11ha | 3,885m$^2$ GFA<br>3,691m$^2$ GLFA<br>2,914m$^2$ NLA |
| Primary School (Assume 700 students) | 4.0ha | - |
| P.O.S. * | 12.18ha | - |
| **Total - The Proposed Development** | **84.23ha** | **2,393 Lots + 2,914m$^2$ NLA Retail** |

*Note: * This land use will not be taken into consideration when calculating both the parking provision and the traffic generated from the proposed development.*

Plans showing the proposed development have been provided in Appendix 1 of this report.

## 2.2 Existing Situation and External Traffic Networks

The existing road network surrounding and within the subject area includes Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west, Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way to the north and Warrington Road, Turner Road, Soldiers Road to the east. A plan showing the existing network and distribution of the existing traffic volumes is provided in Appendix 2.

**Orton Road** is a two-way undivided road, classified as a Rural Local Road / Local Distributor. There are no bus services running along this road. Pedestrian paths are not provided. Orton Road has a speed limit of 70kph (SLK 6.54 - SLK 8.43) and 50kph (SLK 8.43 - SLK 10.65).

[1] Please note this calculation is approximation of yield for purposes of traffic impact estimate.

**Doley Road** is a two-way undivided road classified as an Urban Local Road / Access Road. This road is under construction between Allanson Drive and Kinsella Avenue. Doley Road is 3.5m wide south of Allanson Drive with a 20m wide road reserve. The legal speed limit on this road is 50kph. Pedestrian paths are not provided along this road within the subject site. Bus route 254 operates on this road north of Mead Street.

**Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way** are two-way, two-lane roads and are classified as Urban Local Road / Access Road by Main Roads WA. The legal speed limit on these roads is 50kph. There is no bus service running along these roads in the vicinity of the subject site.

**Warrington Road** is a two-way undivided road classified as a Rural Local Road / Local Distributor (SLK 0.00-SLK 0.28) and Urban Local Road / Access Road (SLK 0.28-SLK 1.63). The legal speed limit on this road is 50kph. Pedestrian paths are not provided along this road. There is no bus service running along these roads.

**Turner Road** is a two-way undivided road classified as a Rural Local Road / Local Distributor. The legal speed limit on this road is 50kph.

**Soldiers Road** is a two-way undivided road classified as a Rural Local Road / Regional Distributor. Soldiers Road has a speed limit of 60kph (SLK 0.00 - SLK 0.72), 80kph (SLK 0.72 - SLK 2.26), 60kph (SLK 2.26 - SLK 3.09) and 80kph (SLK 3.09 - SLK 5.92). Pedestrian paths are provided on the western side of the road reservation. Bus routes 252 and 253 operate on this road.

**Hopkinson Road** is a two-way, two-lane undivided road, classified as a Rural Local Road / Regional Distributor. Hopkinson Road has a speed limit of 90kph (SLK 0.00 - SLK 5.27), 70kph (SLK 5.27 - SLK 6.89), 60kph (SLK 6.89 - SLK 8.22) and 80kph (SLK 8.22 - SLK 8.42).

The following existing traffic volume information has been sourced from MRWA and the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale.

**Table 2 - Traffic Volumes for Roads Adjacent to the Subject Site**

| Road Name | Functional Classification / Road Hierarchy | Location of Traffic Count | Vehicles Per Day (VPD) | Vehicles per Peak Hour (VPH) | Heavy Vehicle % | Year | Legal Speed Limit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hopkinson Road | Rural Local Road / Regional Distributor | North of Abernethy Road [SLK 5.53] * | 7,760 | AM 0730 - 600<br>PM 1645 - 728 | 8.7 | Jul 2015 | 70kph |
| | | 300m South of Abernethy Road [SLK 5.23] | 3,097 | AM 08:00 – 251<br>PM 16:00 - 307 | n/a | April 2015 | 90kph |
| Cardup Siding | Urban Local Road / Access Road | East of Hopkinson Road | 552 | AM 0700 - 38<br>PM 1600 - 67 | n/a | Mar 2014 | 60kph |
| | | West of Alice Road | 594 | AM 0800 - 43<br>PM 1700 - 63 | n/a | Apr 2014 | |
| Doley Road | Urban Local Road / Access Road | South of Abernethy Road | 2,743 | AM 08:00 - 232<br>PM 1600 - 239 | n/a | June 2014 | 50kph |
| | | 300m North of Orton Road | 164 | AM 08:00 – 16<br>PM 16:00 - 14 | n/a | April 2015 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Soldiers Road | Rural Local Road / Regional Distributor | South of Bishop Road [SLK 5.35] | 2,051 | AM 0800 - 217<br>PM 1500 - 232 | n/a | Mar 2014 | 80kph |
| | | South of Cardup Sidings [SLK 2.26] | 2,268 | AM 0800 - 244<br>PM 1500 - 245 | n/a | Mar 2014 | 60kph |
| | | 300m South of Bishop Road [SLK 5.35] | 2,012 | AM 08:00 – 178<br>PM 15:00 - 214 | n/a | April 2015 | 80kph |
| South Western Highway | Rural Highway / Primary Distributor | 550m North of Abernethy Road [SLK 8.26] | 13,835 | AM 08:00 – 1,017<br>PM 16:00 – 1,242 | n/a | April 2015 | 60kph |
| | | 250m South of Abernethy Road [SLK 8.26] | 12,899 | AM 08:00 – 916<br>PM 16:00 – 1,094 | n/a | April 2015 | |
| Abernethy Road | Rural Local Road / Access Road | East of Kargotich Road [SLK 5.34] | 1,127 | AM 07:00 – 95<br>PM 16:00 - 96 | n/a | April 2013 | 80kph |
| | Rural Local Road / Regional Distributor | East of Soldiers Road [SLK 0.16] | 7,086 | AM 08:00 – 587<br>PM 15:00 - 687 | n/a | Jun 2014 | 60kph |
| | Rural Local Road / Local Distributor | West of Hopkinson Road [SLK 3.41] | 1,280 | AM 08:00 – 116<br>PM 15:00 - 115 | n/a | Jun 2014 | 80kph |
| | | 200 East of Briggs Road [SLK 1.61] | 5,719 | AM 08:00 – 486<br>PM 17:00 - 517 | n/a | April 2015 | 60kph |
| | | 250m East of Hopkinson Road [SLK 3.41] | 6,347 | AM 08:00 – 473<br>PM 17:00 - 564 | n/a | April 2015 | 60kph |
| Mead Street | Urban Local Road / Access Road | Near School | 396 | AM 08:00 – 41<br>PM 17:00 - 34 | n/a | Jul 2013 | 50kph |
| Nettleton Road | Rural Local Road / Regional Distributor | East of South Western Highway [SLK 0.00] | 1,897 | AM 11:00 – 124<br>PM 15:00 - 177 | n/a | Jun 2014 | 60kph |
| Orton Road | Rural Local Road / Local Distributor | 300m East of Hopkinson Road | 449 | AM 08:00 – 36<br>PM 15:00 - 50 | n/a | April 2015 | 50kph |
| Warrington Road | Rural Local Road / Local Distributor [0.00-0.28] | North of Orton Road [SLK 0.00] | 309 | AM 08:00 – 29<br>PM 15:00 - 33 | n/a | Jun 2014 | 50kph |
| | Rural Local Road / Access Road [0.28-1.23] | | | | | | |

*Note * - This volume was obtained from MRWA.*

Formal peak hour data has been recorded and shown in Table 2. An analysis of available traffic data suggests:

**Orton Road (300m East of Hopkinson Road):**

- AM peak occurs in the period between 08:00-09:00. Traffic volumes in the AM peak are approximately 8.02% of total daily volumes; and
- PM peak occurs in the period between 15:00-16:00. Traffic volumes in the PM peak are approximately 11.14% of total daily volumes.

Once the project is completed the traffic network will change significantly. Peak hours are more likely to coincide with standard peak hours for outer laying suburbs. i.e. (AM peak – 07:30 – 08:30 and PM peak 17:00 – 18:00). The profile of the existing roads will be amended to suit sub-urban environment.

### 2.2.1 Crash Data

The following table shows a collation of crash data from the Main Roads WA database for crashes and incidents for roads adjacent to the subject site between the 1st January 2011 and 31st December 2015.

**Table 3 - Crash Data**

| Road Name | Road Hierarchy | Functional Classification | Speed Limit | MR Type |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Orton Road [8.43 – 10.65] | Local Distribution | Rural Local Road | 50kph [8.43 – 10.65] | Total of 3 incidents:<br>• 1 Hospital<br>• 2 PDO Major<br>MR Type:<br>• 3 Other / Unknown |
| Doley Road [0.00 – 0.90] | Access Road | Urban Local Road | 50kph | Total of 1 incident:<br>• 1 PDO Major<br>MR Type:<br>• 1 Other / Unknown |
| Turner Road [0.00 – 1.11] | Local Distributer | Rural Local Road | 50kph | Total of 2 incidents:<br>• 1 PDO Major<br>• 1 PDO Major<br>MR Type:<br>• 1 Involving Parking<br>• 1 Other / Unknown |
| Hopkinson Road [3.14 – 5.53] | Regional Distributer | Rural Local Road | 90kph [0.00 – 5.27]<br>70kph [5.27 – 6.89] | Total of 18 incidents:<br>• 1 Fatal<br>• 4 Hospital<br>• 5 Medical<br>• 7 PDO Major<br>• 1 PDO Minor<br>MR Type:<br>• 1 Involving Overtaking<br>• 1 Involving Animal<br>• 16 Other / Unknown |
| Warrington Road [0.00 – 1.63] | Local Distributor [0.00-0.26] | Rural Local Road | 50kph | Total of 6 incidents:<br>• 2 Medical |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Access Road [0.28-1.63] | | | • 3 PDO Major<br>• 1 PDO Minor<br>MR Type:<br>• 6 Other / Unknown |
| Soldiers Road [0.40 – 2.26] | Regional Distributor | Rural Local Road | 60kph [0.00 – 0.72]<br>80kph [0.72 – 2.26] | Total of 6 incidents:<br>• 1 Hospital<br>• 1 Medical<br>• 2 PDO Major<br>• 2 PDO Minor<br>MR Type:<br>• 6 Other / Unknown |

KCTT believe that this location does not raise outstanding safety concerns.

## 2.3 Traffic Modelling for the Proposed Development

This section provides a detailed description of how traffic volumes are calculated for the proposed development. Our traffic model uses a 4-step approach for the estimation of transportation demand into and out of the area:

- Step 1 - Confirm the size and quantum of the proposed land uses;
- Step 2 - Confirm how these land uses impact travel patterns and the generation / attraction of transportation trips;
- Step 3 - Confirm where the likely origins of travel external to the development are located and confirm the likely destinations for travel from the subject landholdings; and
- Step 4 - Distribute the traffic through the network.

The purpose of the Transport Impact Assessment is to determine the likely impact of the proposed development upon the local road network within an 800 metre radius of the Structure Plan Area.

### 2.3.1 Step 1 - Quantum of Proposed Land Uses

The subject site proposes usage of the landholdings for residential purposes complemented with a primary school and a neighborhood centre.

The WAPC Transport Assessment Guidelines for Developments (Volume 5) offers the following vehicle trip generation rates for the land uses proposed within the development: -

- **Residential** - 0.8 vehicular trips per dwelling for the AM and PM peak hours. An 20% IN/ 80% OUT split has been adopted for the AM peak and a 67% IN / 33% OUT split for the PM peak hour;
- **School -** The rates are based on data from the PARTS surveys that indicate that around 65% - 70% of students are driven to primary school, with an average occupancy of around 1.4 - 1.5 children per car. This equates to: 0.5 trips per child to school and 0.5 trips per child from school in each of the AM and PM peak hours. For secondary schools the PARTS data indicate that the proportion driven to school is generally a little lower but, to simplify the process of determining the level of assessment required and for the broad assessment of structure plans, it is suggested that the primary school rates be used for all schools.
- **Retail / Shopping Centres (with significant food retail component)** - 10 vehicular trips per 100m$^2$ of GFA for PM Peak and 2.5 trips per 100m$^2$ for the AM peak hour. A 50%IN / 50%OUT split has been adopted for the PM peak and an 80%IN / 20%OUT split for the AM peak hour.

Given that the WAPC does not offer daily vehicle trip generation rates for the land uses proposed within the development, the following rates are provided in the NSW RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments:

- **Residential** (dwelling houses) - 9 vehicular trips per dwelling;
- **Retail** (less than 10,000 $m^2$) - 121 vehicular trips per 100$m^2$ of GFA.

The NSW RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments suggests developments of this type in Sydney tend to generate between 4 and 5 vehicular trips per dwelling. In Perth, the Department of Planning and Infrastructure conducted a series of studies in the late 1990's / early 2000's which showed that higher density dwellings tended to average closer to 5.5 vehicle movements per day. These studies assumed that anywhere between 50% and 60% of commuters were travelling to the work by car as a driver. Therefore, KCTT propose to use a VPD of 5.5 vehicular trips per day per residence for R40.

KCTT believe that a rate of 6.7 vehicular trips per dwelling is suitable for the proposed residential land use R25.

Given that neither the WAPC Transport Assessment Guidelines for Developments nor the NSW RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments offer daily vehicle trip generation rates for the education related land-use proposed within the development, the following rates are provided from the ITE Common Trip Generation Rates (9th edition): -

- **Elementary School**
  - **Students:** 1.29 vehicular trips per day per student with 0.45 vehicular trips per student in AM peak hour and 0.15 vehicular trips per student in PM peak hour; A 55% IN/ 45% OUT split has been adopted for the AM peak and a 49% IN / 51% OUT split for the PM peak hour;
  - **Yield:** 15.43 vehicle trip per day per KSF[2] with 5.20 vehicular trips per AM peak hour and 1.21 vehicular trips per PM peak hour. A 56% IN/ 44% OUT split has been adopted for the AM peak and a 45% IN / 55% OUT split for the PM peak hour;
  - **Employees:** 15.71 vehicular trips per day per employees with 5.33 vehicular trips per AM peak hour and 1.76 vehicular trips per PM peak hour; A 54% IN/ 46% OUT split has been adopted for the AM peak and a 49% IN / 51% OUT split for the PM peak hour.

The following table outlines the traffic generation of the proposed development based on the above rates.

**Table 4 - Traffic Generation of the Proposed Development**

| Land Use | Yield | WAPC Transport Assessment Guidelines for Developments / NSW RTA Guide To Traffic Generating Developments Requirement / ITE Common Trip Generation Rates (9th edition | Total VPD | Total VPH |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Assumed LSP Boundary** | | | | |
| Residential - Traditional Lots (Assume R25) | 887 Lots | 6.7 vehicle trips per lot (daily traffic);<br>0.8 vehicle trips per lot (AM / PM Peak) | 3,863<br>(5,943*) | 462<br>(710*) |
| Residential Compact lots (Assume R40) | 764 Lots | 6.0 vehicle trips per lot (daily traffic);<br>0.8 vehicle trips per lot (AM / PM Peak) | 2,980<br>(4,584*) | 397<br>(611*) |
| Residential - Cottage Lots (Assume R60) | 742 Lots | 5.5 vehicle trips per lot (daily traffic);<br>0.8 vehicle trips per lot (AM / PM Peak) | 2,653<br>(4,081*) | 386<br>(594*) |
| Neighbourhood Centre | 2,914$m^2$ NLA | 121 vehicular trips per 100$m^2$ of NLA (daily traffic);<br>10 vehicular trips per 100$m^2$ of NLA (PM Peak)<br>and 2.5 trips per 100$m^2$ (AM peak) | 3,526 | 291 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Primary School | 700 students | 1.3 vehicle trips per child (daily traffic);<br>0.5 vehicle trips per child (AM / PM Peak) | 910 | 350 |
| **Total - The Proposed Development (Assumed LSP Boundary)** | | | **13,931 (19,044*)** | **1,886 (2,556*)** |

*Note * - The number in brackets ( ) represents the cumulative value without reciprocity for complementary purposes (ie. Retail – residential, education – residential etc). It is expected that future residents will complete 60% of their shopping and 40% of their trips for socialisation purposes internally to the suburb. Furthermore it is expected that the proposed Neighbourhood Centre will provide some employment opportunities. Approximately 40% of the traffic generated by the proposed residential land use for education purposes will directed towards the proposed Primary School. Taking all of these factors into account, KCTT believe that the cumulative traffic volume generated by the proposed residential land use should be minimised by 35% in order to get a more realistic impact on the road network.*

In summary we believe that, the proposed development is expected to generate approximately up to 14,000 vehicular movements per day with a forecasted impact of around 2,000 vehicles per hour in the peak hour upon completion.

### 2.3.2 Step 2 - Trip Purposes

To understand the likely demand for alternative transportation modes (including public transportation trips; cyclist and pedestrian trips; and the impact of the vehicular traffic on the adjacent road network, it is important to understand why people are travelling into and out of the Structure Plan Area. These general trip purposes include employment, shopping, social, education and other general purposes. Each land usage will generate / attract a unique matrix of trip purposes. For example, the following table shows the likely percentage share for different trip purposes based on the land usage: -

**Table 5 - Trip Purposes by Land Use**

| Land Use Type | Employment | Shopping | Education | Social / Recreational |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Residential | 40% | 25% | 17.5% | 17.5% |
| Neighbourhood Centre | 10% | 60% | n/a | 30% |
| Primary School | 20% | n/a | 80% | n/a |

### 2.3.3 Step 3 - Expected Origin / Destination

The expected origin / destination matrix is important to determine the likely route of vehicular and other travel. Table 6 (below) describes the expected trip purposes for trips generated / attracted by the proposed land uses within the Structure Plan Area and the likely destinations.

**Table 6 - Table of Trip Purposes and Likely Destinations**

| Land Use Type | Trip Purpose | Likely Destination |
|---|---|---|
| Residential | • Employment | The 2011 population for Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale is 17,746, with a population density of 0.20 persons per hectare. Land area of Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale is 90,534 hectares (905 $Km^2$), and approximately 2,500 people are employed.<br>The economic profile in the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale provides the information for National Growth Areas Alliance (NGAA) not the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale itself. In 2011, of 796,548 people who worked in the National Growth Areas Alliance (NGAA), 527,029 or 66.2% also lived in the area, and other 33.8% lived in the area but worked outside.<br>Therefore, the majority of employment trips will be external to the proposed Structure Plan Area. Given that the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale i.d. website does not suggest a breakdown for employment destinations for residents, KCTT have determined the following breakdown based on the i.d. for the surrounding councils:<br>• Armadale - 1,053 people - 42%<br>• Canning - 707 people - 28%<br>• Rockingham - 297 people - 15%<br>• Kwinana - 248 people - 10%<br>• Other - 5%<br>(http://profile.id.com.au/ngaa/about?WebID=300)<br><br>The Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale is served by:<br>• Albany Highway,<br>• South Western Highway,<br>• Tonkin Highway and<br>• Perth-Bunbury railway line.<br>Excluding work from home, the likely source of employment in this Structure Plan Area is the proposed Neighbourhood Centre and Primary School (assumed 700 students). |
| | • Shopping | • Assume 60% of all trips for shopping purposes to the proposed Neighbourhood Centre and 40% of shopping trips will be external to the proposed Structure Plan Area.<br>• Supermarket is located approximately 2km to the north-east of the subject area. |
| | • Education | • Assume 40% of all trips for education purposes to the proposed Primary School and 60% of education trips will be external to the proposed Structure Plan Area.<br>• West Byford Primary School is located approximately 2.2km to the north-west of the subject area.<br>• Byford Primary School is located approximately 2km to the north-east of the subject area.<br>• Byford Secondary College is located approximately 1.5km to the north of the subject area. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | • Byford John Calvin School is located approximately 1.5km to the north-east of the subject area. |
| | • Social / Recreational | • Assume 40% of all trips for social purposes to the proposed Neighbourhood Centre and 60% of social trips will be external to the proposed Structure Plan Area.<br>• Southgate Church, Recreation Centre and Playing Field are located 400 meters to the north of the subject area.<br>• Brickwood Reserve is in immediate vicinity of the subject area to the east.<br>• Cardup Nature Reserve is located 800 meters to the south of the subject area.<br>• Cohunu Koala Park is located 800 meters to the east of the subject area.<br>• Byford Trotting Complex is located 2,000 meters to the north of the subject area. |
| Neighbourhood Centre | • Employment | • The Neighbourhood Centre is not expected to be a large attractor for employment purposes. |
| | • Shopping / Social | • At this point the full range of uses that will be located within the Neighbourhood Centre has not been determined. Therefore, the Neighbourhood Activity Centre is treated as retail premises for the purposes of this report. |
| Primary School | • Employment | • The Primary School is not expected to be a large attractor for employment purposes. |
| | • Education | • The Primary School is expected to be a strong attractor for Education purposes. |

### 2.3.4 Step 4 - Destination Matrix and Distribution of Traffic / Transport

Land uses can be generally classified as trip generators and / or trip attractors. Given the nature of the development, the Structure Plan Area will generate approximately 90% of its trips from internal sources and attract around 10% of its trips to internal sources. The Structure Plan area will be accessed via existing roads including Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west, Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way to the north and Warrington Road, Turner Road, Soldiers Road to the east.

In summary, the proposed development is expected to generate approximately up to **14,000 vehicular movements per day** with a forecasted impact of around **2,000 vehicles per hour in the peak hour** upon completion.

Taking into consideration the breakdown for the typical residents' locations of work in the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale (refer the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale ID profile above), and the locations of the nearest shopping centres, schools and recreational centres, KCTT believe that the traffic from the proposed Structure Plan Area will be distributed into the adjacent road network as follows:

- 30% to / from the northeast via Soldiers Road - 0.30*14,000= 4,200 VPD;
- 10% to / from the southwest via Soldiers Road - 0.10*14,000 = 1,400 VPD;
- 19% to / from the north via Doley Road - 0.19*14,000 = 2,660 VPD;
- 6% to / from the south via the future road (Doley Road Extension) - 0.06*14,000 = 840 VPD
- 10% to / from the north via Warrington Road - 0.10*14,000 = 1,400 VPD;
- 3% to / from the north via Lawrence Way - 0.03*14,000 = 420 VPD;
- 16% to / from the west via Orton Road - 0.16*14,000 = 2,240 VPD.

- 6% to / from the west via Perrone Avenue - 0.03*14,000 = 420 VPD

Table 7 below considers the traffic generation from the proposed development of the Doley Road, Byford and its impact upon the road network adjacent to the Structure Plan Area.

**Table 7 - Forecasted Traffic Volumes on Existing Roads adjacent to the Structure Plan Area**

| Road Name | Location of Traffic Count | Existing Traffic Volumes (VPD) | Estimated traffic growth at the rate of 3% per annum - 2026 (VPD) | Estimated traffic growth at the rate of 3% per annum - 2036 (VPD) | Traffic generated by the development site (VPD) | Total VPD (2036) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Doley Road | 300m North of Orton Road | 164 (2015) | 226 | 303 | 2,885 | 3,188 |
| Soldiers Road | South of Cardup Sidings [SLK 2.26] | 2,268 (2014) | 3,224 | 4,320 | 3,464 | 7,784 |
| Orton Road | 300m East of Hopkinson Road | 449 (2015) | 620 | 831 | 5,089 | 5,920 |
| Warrington Road [SLK 0.00] | North of Orton Road | 309 (2014) | 439 | 588 | 1,655 | 2,243 |

Plans with the estimated vehicular traffic flow and distribution are shown in Appendix 2 (KC00400.000_S08a, KC00400.000_S08b and KC00400.000_S08c).

## 2.4 Vehicular Access and Parking Requirements

The Structure Plan area will be accessed via existing roads including Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west, Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way to the north and Warrington Road, Turner Road, Soldiers Road to the east.

The Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No 2 stipulates that parking provisions should be made in accordance with the following ratios and rates (Table V - Parking Requirements):

- **Residential -** As per R Codes
- **Primary School -** 1.25 spaces per classroom;
- **Shop** - 1 space per 15 square metres gross leasable area;
- **Shopping Centre -** 1 space per 12.5 square metres gross leasable area.

Using Table V - Parking Requirements, The Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No 2, we have determined the car parking requirements as shown below: -

**Table 8 - Vehicle Parking Requirements (The Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No 2)**

| Land Use | Yield | Requirement | Total |
|---|---|---|---|
| Residential - Traditional Lots R25 | 887 Lots | As per R Codes | - |
| Residential Compact lots (Assume R40) | 764 Lots | | - |
| Residential - Cottage Lots | 742 Lots | | - |
| Neighbourhood Centre | 3,691m$^2$ GLFA | 1 space per 12.5 square metres gross leasable area | 295 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Primary School | Assume 700 students and 70 staff / 28 classrooms | 1.25 spaces per classroom | 35 |
| **Total - The Proposed Development** | | | **330** |

In accordance with the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No 2 the approximate number of parking bays required is 330. This should be assessed in greater detail once individual development applications are submitted.

On street parking should be considered particularly in the area surrounding Public Open Space. KCTT believe that every house will have their own garage, providing parking for the owner in the garage and visitors in front of garage.

The parking requirements and vehicular access requirements for the proposed landholdings within the Structure Plan Area will be examined in detail as the Structure Planning process continues, and as specific Development Applications are provided for each of the proposed allotments within the Structure Plan Area.

### 2.4.1 Bicycle Parking

The Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Local Planning Policy No 58 offers guidance on the requirements for bicycle parking provision for the land uses proposed within the subject site. The Table Schedule 1 - Bicycle Parking Requirement stipulates that bicycle parking provisions should be made in accordance with ratios as shown in the table below.

**Table 9 - Bicycle Parking Requirements (Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale Local Planning Policy No 58, Schedule 1 - Bicycle Parking Requirement)**

| Land Use | Yield | Minimum required | | No of Parking Spaces | Preferred | | No of Parking Spaces |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Long Term parking (Employee/Resident Spaces) | Short Term Parking (Visitor/Shopper Spaces) | | Long Term parking (Employee/Resident Spaces) | Short Term Parking (Visitor/Shopper Spaces) | |
| Residential - Traditional Lots (Assume R25) | 887 Lots | - | - | 0 | 2 spaces | Within private courtyard | 0 (1,774) |
| Residential Compact lots (Assume R40) | 764 Lots | - | - | 0 | 2 spaces | Within private courtyard | 0 (1,528) |
| Residential - Cottage Lots (Assume R60) | 742 Lots | - | - | 0 | 2 spaces | Within private courtyard | 0 (1,484) |
| Neighbourhood Centre | 2,914m$^2$ NLA | 1 space per 300m$^2$ sales floor | 1 space per 500m$^2$ sales floor | 10+6 | 1 space per 100m$^2$ NLA | 1 space per 500m$^2$ NLA | 29+6 |
| Primary School | Assume 700 students and 70 staff | 1 space per 5 students over Year 4 | 1 space per 50 full time students | 60+14 | 1 space per 8 staff members | 2 space per 5 students over Year 4 | 9+120 |
| **Total - The Proposed Development** | | | | **90** | | | **164 (4,950)** |

A total of **164 bicycle parking spaces** are therefore considered appropriate and preferred if the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale standards are utilised on this site after the completion of the proposed development (Assumed LSP Boundary).

It is most likely that with the Neighbourhood Centre, the utilisation of bicycles within the subject site will be more viable and attractive to residents. Cycling is further promoted through a network of shared paths connecting all residential areas to the main attractors.

To support cycle planning, effective bicycle parking facilities would be required at all "end of trip" destinations. For residential land use, adequate space for storage of bicycles is available within each of the proposed dwellings. The focus in residential areas is to provide suitable infrastructure within road reserves that promotes safe and efficient cycling.

### 2.4.2 ACROD Parking

The commercial component of the subject development can be classified as Class 6 while the Primary School can be classified as 9b according to the Australian Building Code and requires provision for the minimum number of ACROD bays as follows:-

**Class 6** - (*A shop or other building for the sale of goods by retail or the supply of services direct to the public. Example: café, restaurant, kiosk, hairdressers, showroom or service station)*:

- Up to 1,000 carparking spaces - 1 ACROD bay for every 50 carparking spaces and 1 ACROD bay for each additional 100 carparking spaces or part thereof in excess of 1,000 carparking spaces.

**Class 9b** - *(An assembly building, including a trade workshop, laboratory or the like, in a primary or secondary school, but excluding any other parts of the building that are of another class)*:

- School - 1 ACROD bay for every 100 carparking spaces or part thereof.
- Other assembly building -
  - Up to 1,000 carparking spaces - 1 ACROD bay for every 50 carparking spaces or part thereof and 1 ACROD bay for each additional 100 carparking spaces or part thereof in excess of 1,000 carparking spaces.

These requirements will be reviewed as part of the respective Development Applications for each of the sites.

## 2.5 Provision for Delivery and Service Vehicles

It is expected that the delivery and service vehicles (such as waste removal vehicles) servicing the residential area will not require designated parking space given that they can operate safely within the road reserve.

Service and delivery vehicles for the neighbourhood centre and the primary school will require appropriate parking allocated on site. The crossover should be designed to accommodate movement of service vehicles as a minimum.

## 2.6 Hours of Operation

The majority of land uses within the Structure Plan Area are residential; however, some proposed landholdings in the Structure Plan Area are likely to influence morning and afternoon peak trip attractions in the future.

It is likely that AM and PM peaks will coincide with journey to / from work times. As such morning and afternoon peaks are likely to be between 7:30 – 8:30 and 17:00 - 18:00 during work days.

The exact hours of operation for the Neighbourhood Activity Centre will be determined once the range of uses within the Centre becomes known. However, it is reasonable to expect that the Centre will be operational between 8:00 to 20:00 with peak usage in weekday evenings and on Saturdays and it is likely peaks from 11:00 to 12:00 and from 18:00 to 19:00 Mondays to Fridays.

The Primary School is expected to be operational from 08:00 to 16:00. The expected peak usage of the facility will be between 08:15 - 09:15 in the AM peak and between 14:45 - 15:45 in the PM peak. It is expected that this land use will be operational on weekdays only.

## 2.7 Management of Traffic Generated by the Structure Plan Area

Structure Plan area will be accessed via existing roads including Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west, Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way to the north and Warrington Road, Turner Road, Soldiers Road to the east.

In 2012 a Community Working Group (CWG) was established in order to identify options to extend Tonkin Highway beyond Thomas Road in Byford. Several options for the proposed alignment were considered. At this stage the preferred alignment will see Tonkin Highway aligning with Hopkinson Road.

The official Planning Western Australia website states the following:

*Tonkin Highway currently only extends as far south as Thomas Road in Byford and it is anticipated to extend Tonkin Highway to access South-western Highway near Mundijong as traffic increases on the existing South-western Highway between Byford and Mundijong. This is the major north - south route through the area and there are still many traffic signalised intersections that will ultimately need to be grade separated. The extent and rate of these traffic increases will largely be dictated by urban development in both the Byford and Mundijong areas. Constructed as a four-lane controlled access road to Thomas Road. There is a road reservation from Thomas Road to Mundijong Road at which point it is planned that Tonkin Highway will then link into the South-Western Highway. Options for the extension of the Tonkin Highway require investigation.*
*(http://www.planning.wa.gov.au/dop_pub_pdf/plan_Outer_Metro_Perth_Peel_Part4.pdf)*

Although this project is in planning phase, MRWA considers it a long term project given it is not in any of the existing scopes and forward estimates (this was confirmed via email on 05.01.2016).

Once Tonkin Highway extension is completed, a full interchange with Orton Road is planned. This interchange will greatly improve the connectivity of the area. However, given the uncertainty over the timeframes for completion, we have conducted the analysis of the select number of intersections in both scenarios (with and without interchange).

### 2.7.1 Forecasted Traffic Volumes on the Proposed Roads within the Structure Plan Area

The following table shows the forecasted traffic volumes on the proposed roads within the Structure Plan Area as well as the Proposed Road Cross Sections. Please note that those Road Cross Sections show minimal required dimensions and road classifications from the traffic consultant's perspective.

**Table 10 - Forecasted Traffic Volumes on the Proposed Roads within the Structure Plan Area**

| Road Name | Classification | Recommended Cross Sections | Projected Traffic volumes for 2036 (VPD) | Forecast Vehicles per PM Peak Hour (VPH) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Orton Road (this road can be classified as Integrator B* or Neighbourhood Connector A) | Neighbourhood Connector A | *In this phase of the development road reservation of Orton Road will be widened from 20m to 25m as an interim solution.* 2x7.1m wide pavement (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 25m wide reservation. | 7,000 | 700 |
| Doley Road | Neighbourhood Connector A | 2x7.1m wide pavement (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 24.4m wide reservation (road reservation is likely to be widened for tree retention purpose) | 4,000 | 400 |
| Allanson Drive *(Future road by others)* | Access Street B | 9.7m wide pavement (inclusive of on street parking) in 17.9m wide reservation (road reservation is likely to be widened for tree retention purpose) | 1,800 | 180 |
| Lawrence Way** | Neighbourhood Connector B | 2x5.6m (inclusive of on street parking) wide pavement in 19.4m wide reservation | 2,600 | 260 |
| Warrington Road | Neighbourhood Connector A | 2x7.1m wide pavement (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 24.4m wide reservation (*existing road reservation is approximately 20m wide) | 4,000 | 400 |
| Turner Road | Neighbourhood Connector A | 2x7.1m wide pavement (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 24.4m wide reservation (*existing road reservation is 30m wide - around 525m long section of road northeast of Warrington Road and 20m wide – around 565m long section of road southwest of Soldiers Road) | 2,500 | 250 |
| Soldiers Road | Neighbourhood Connector A | 2x8.2m wide pavement (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 30.0m wide reservation (*existing road reservation is 30m wide - around 700m long section of road south of Bateman Street and around 125m north of Bateman Street; and 20m wide - the above section excluded) | 10,500 | 1,050 |
| Road 15 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 700 | 70 |
| Road 20 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 600 | 60 |
| Road 24 [below 27] | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 600 | 60 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Road 27 | Neighbourhood Connector B *Potential bus route* | 2x5.6m (inclusive of on street parking) wide pavement in 19.4m wide reservation | 1,300 | 130 |
| Road 49 | | | 3,000 | 300 |
| Road 41 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 500 | 50 |
| Road 47 | Access Street C | 7.2m wide pavement in 15.4m wide reservation | 1,500 | 150 |
| Road 48 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 700 | 70 |
| Road 62 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 315 | 32 |
| Road 72 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 600 | 60 |
| Road 74 | Access Street D | 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation | 280 | 28 |

*Note *- Orton Road can be classified as Neighbourhood Connector A in interim and as Integrator B once the development of adjoining properties is completed. In its final configuration Orton Road could have 2x8.2m wide pavement (inclusive of bicycle lanes) in 32.0m wide road reservation.*
*Note ** - We believe that projected traffic volumes on Lawrence Way do not warrant classification of this road as a Neighbourhood Connector A, therefore we have chosen Neighbourhood Connector B as the appropriate classification.*

All roads have been classified in accordance with the current Liveable Neighbourhoods. Road reservations that are shown in the table above represent the minimum required (according to Liveable Neighbourhoods) to accommodate traffic requirements. The road reservations can be further expanded to take into account drainage requirements, tree retention etc. (Doley Road, Allanson Drive, Road 07, Road 20, Road 27, Road 47 etc.).

Roads that were not mentioned in the table can be classified as Access Street D or Access Street C. Soldiers Road is classified as Neighbourhood Connector A (as a minimum) because it will be one of the main north-south connectors in the future when surrounding areas are built.

This traffic flow has been distributed in accordance with the Paramics modelling completed by KCTT.

KCTT have developed a high-level Paramics Model suitable for use in Local Structure Plan submissions of this scale and size. The proposed roads were classified and sized to cater for the expected traffic volumes. Key intersections were analysed in more detail. Years 2026 and 2036 were taken as assessment years. The intersections are expected to perform to a satisfying level.

The proposed road hierarchy plan has been provided in Appendix 2 of this report, while results of SIDRA analysis were provided in Appendix 3.

### 2.7.2 Cross Sections within the Structure Plan Area

All roads internal to the Structure Plan Area will have less than 3,000 VPD generated by the subject development. However, the traffic assessment was completed with all of the surrounding developments accounted for (The Glades) while the passing traffic on the existing roads was derived utilising 3% annual growth rate (for 2026 and 2036). KCTT proposes the following road classification for the existing and proposed roads:

- **Integrator B** – activity centre main street; 2x8.2m (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 32m wide reservation for Orton Road final configuration, a future Other Regional Road;
- **Neighbourhood Connector 'A'** - 2x7.1m wide pavement (inclusive of parking and bicycle lanes) in 24.4m wide road reservation (25m wide reservation for interim Orton Road according to Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, and 2x8.2m in 30m wide reservation for Soldiers Road);
- **Neighbourhood Connector 'B'** – 2x5.6m (inclusive of on street parking) wide pavement in 19.4m wide reservation;
- **Access Street B** – 9.7m wide pavement (inclusive of on street parking) in 17.9m wide reservation;
- **Access Street C** – 7.2m wide pavement in 15.4m wide reservation;
- **Access Street D** – 6m wide pavement in 14.2m wide reservation,
- **Laneway** - 6m wide pavement in 6.0m wide reservation.

The following figures show the typical cross-sections within the proposed development.

**Figure 1 - Integrator B**




**Figure 2 - Neighbourhood Connector 'A' modified - Orton Road – interim solution**






**Figure 3 - Neighbourhood Connector 'A'**







**Figure 4 - Neighbourhood Connector 'B'**




**Figure 5 - Access Street B**





**Figure 6 - Access Street C**




**Figure 7 - Access Street D**






**Figure 8 - Laneway**



For the roads abutting the P.O.S, the road reservation widths will be reduced by 1 metre on the P.O.S. side of the road reservation.

All roads classified as Access Street D within the proposed Structure Plan Area will have standard footpaths on one side of the road only, while roads which provide a higher function will have dual use paths on one side of the road and standard footpaths on the other.

### 2.7.3 Proposed Intersection Controls

The following is the list of the proposed intersection configurations: -

- Doley Road / Allanson Drive / Perrone Avenue (roundabout);
- Doley Road / Road 11 / Road 27 (roundabout);
- Doley Road / Orton Road / Road 35 [North] (roundabout);
- Doley Road / Orton Road [South] (roundabout);
- Doley Road / All Laneways from/to Cottage Lots (LILO);
- Orton Road / Lawrence Way (roundabout);
- Orton Road / Warrington Road (roundabout);
- Orton Road / Road 31 (LILO);
- Orton Road / Road 24 (LILO);
- Orton Road / Road 48 (LILO);
- Orton Road / Road 73 (LILO);
- Orton Road / Road 74 (LILO);
- Orton Road / Road 78 (LILO);
- Orton Road / Road 85 (LILO);
- Orton Road / All Laneways from/to Cottage Lots (LILO);
- Warrington Road / Turner Road (roundabout);
- Turner Road / Road 66 (LILO);
- Turner Road / Road 67 (LILO);
- Road 49 / Road 48 (roundabout);
- Lawrence way / Allanson Drive (roundabout);
- Lawrence way / Road 46 / Road 19 (roundabout);
- Lawrence way / Road 49 / Road 27 (roundabout);
- Lawrence way / All Laneways from/to Cottage Lots (LILO);
- Road 24 / Road 27 (roundabout);
- Road 77 / Road 78 / Road 84 (roundabout);

Remaining intersections within the development can be configured as yield intersections.

The intersections of Turner Road and Roads 66 and 67 should be nominally configured as LILO give-way intersection. This should be revisited once the design information for Turner Road (section between Warrington Road and existing Recreation Road) becomes available. Recreation Road closure is pursued by Shire of Serpentine – Jarrahdale. If the vertical alignment of Turner Road proves to affect sight distances for vehicles exiting Recreation Road (Road 67) the intersection configuration should be revisited.

We believe that 6.0 metre kerb radii (as per Liveable Neighbourhoods) discourages reckless driving therefore it would be prudent to allow for 6.0 metres kerb radii for all intersections to Access Street D roads.

The proposed Structure Plan Area have predominantly Access Street D in the typology of the streets. Majority of the intersections will be priority controlled, therefore it is highly important to reduce any possible opportunity for anti-social behaviour. Following methods can be considered:

- Smaller kerb radii - kerb radius should be 6 metres wherever possible; the visual narrowing of the intersection drives the road users to reduce the operating speed limit;
- Paved / Raised intersections - this should be considered on longer stretches of road; while it a strong visual cue for the road users the change in the tactile surface signals the requirement for caution and reduction of the operating speed; this type of traffic management can help defining the visual identity of the future place.
- Slow Points / Blisters - this should be considered on longer stretches of the road; this type of traffic management method can be combined with the retention of selected existing vegetation;
- Pedestrian Crossings - pedestrian crossings should be positioned so that they offer unobstructed sight distances to the pedestrians preparing to cross. Pedestrians should be clearly visible to the drivers in the on-coming traffic. Pedestrian crossings should have pram-ramps which would allow for vulnerable road users safer environment.

### 2.7.4 SIDRA Intersection Modelling

KCTT have completed SIDRA modelling of following intersections:-

- Orton Road / Doley Road;
- Orton Road / Lawrence Way;
- Orton Road / Warrington Road;
- Warrington Road / Turner Road.

Each of these intersections was modelled in AM and PM peak for years 2026 and 2036. The intersections were assessed in the scenario where Orton Road / Tonkin Highway interchange is constructed prior to assessment year 2036 and in the scenario where it is not (2026).

All of the intersections mentioned perform to the highest level of service. The details of the analysis are available in the Appendix 3.

### 2.7.5 Additional planning information

According to the Department of Planning the following is noted through this Transport Impact Assessment:

- Orton Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).
- Soldiers Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 30 metres (10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road).
- Land is required to accommodate a roundabout at the intersection of Orton and Soldiers Road. The land to be ceded and the design of the roundabout is to be to the satisfaction of the Shire on advice from the Department of Planning.
- Direct access to Soldiers Road is not permitted and an internal road will be required between residential lots and Soldiers Road to provide appropriate access and frontage. Double storey, rear-loaded and dual frontage lots are not permitted in this location due to interface and noise attenuation objectives.
- Notwithstanding the Shire's endorsed LSP Map, intersections to Orton Road shall be:

  - restricted and rationalised where possible in accordance Development Control Policy 1.4 and Liveable Neighbourhoods,
  - reduced from six to three intersections between Warrington and Soldiers Roads, and
  - left-in-left-out only at the intersection with Road 82 unless a roundabout is coordinated with the LSP area south of Orton Road (whichever is preferred by the Shire).
- Direct lot access is not permitted to lots abutting Orton Road and Doley Road and rear laneway arrangements shall be provided.
- The construction of Recreation Road is not supported due to BF 321 and TECs. Closure of Recreation Road is recommended and its management and restoration is expected to be outlined and ensured within the Draft Brickwood Reserve Management Plan (authored by the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale) currently being assessed by the Department of Planning.
- Road paving is not permitted to straddle lot boundaries unless a subdivision application is received that is signed by all landowners.
- The lots fronting the proposed road which straddles Lot 50 (No. 124) Warrington Road and Lot 20 (No. 142 Warrington Road) will not be supported for creation (via subdivision) until such time as the entire road is created/constructed

## 2.8 Public Transport Access

This section describes the accessibility to public and alternative modes of transportation. KCTT have reviewed Transperth and Walk Score for the information found below.

### 2.8.1 Transperth Bus Routes

The following public transport routes are within proximity of the subject site. The key information provided below includes: -

- Bus route number;
- Description of the bus route; and
- Their indicative peak and off-peak frequencies.

These bus routes are available within an 800m radius vicinity:

- To the east: Bus routes 251, 252 and 253;
- To the north: Bus route 254.

**Table 11 - Bus Routes and Frequencies**

| Bus Route | Description | Peak and Off-Peak Frequencies | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Monday to Friday | Saturday | Sunday |
| 251 | Armadale Station - Mundijong & Jarrahdale | 60 minutes | 60 minutes | 120 minutes |
| 252 | Armadale Station - Mundijong & Jarrahdale | 74 minutes | - | |
| 253 | Armadale Station - Mundijong & Jarrahdale | once per day | | - |
| 254 | Armadale Station - Byford | 15 minutes | 60 minutes | - |

### 2.8.2 Accessibility to Public Transportation

The following table highlights the proximity of the various bus routes to the subject site and highlights the "walkability" to alternative transport modes. This information has been sourced from "Walk Score" at http://www.walkscore.com

**Table 12 - Bus Route Description and Walkable Distance to / from the Subject Site**

| Bus Route | Description | Distance from Subject Site |
|---|---|---|
| 251 | Armadale Station - Mundijong & Jarrahdale | 0.3km |
| 252 | Armadale Station - Mundijong & Jarrahdale | 0.3km |
| 253 | Armadale Station - Mundijong & Jarrahdale | 0.3km |
| 254 | Armadale Station - Byford | 0.4km |

The nearest railway station is not in the walking distance of the Structure Plan Area.

In recent years the surrounding area has undergone a major transformation from generally rural to sub-urban area. It is expected that as urban development continues west and south of the Structure Plan Area, that the local bus services will be extended.

The proposed layout of the development allows for a bus route to be aligned from Turner Road through the Structure Plan Area via Road 27, turning south via Doley Road and further west via Orton Road. The proposed road network provides Neighbourhood Connector B class of the road, which is suitable for buses in accordance with the Bus Planning and Design Guidelines for Efficient People Movement (PTA). Furthermore, several roundabouts will be introduced on Road 27 which will have strong effect on traffic calming.

The map of existing and future public transportation routes is provided in Appendix 2 on drawing S03.

## 2.9 Pedestrian and Cyclist Access

There are no existing separate pedestrian and cyclist paths provided along the roads within the proposed development. Given the location and the proposed land uses within the subject site, we believe that there will be a requirement for pedestrian and cyclist paths in the future.

The Perth Bicycle Network in the vicinity of the subject site is shown on the drawing KC00400.000_S02 PBN Plan. The following is the list of the major infrastructure within a 2,000 metre radius of the subject site: -

- Abernethy Road is classified as a PBN "Good Road Riding Environment" route.

KCTT have reviewed the Walk Score (information has been sourced from "Walk Score" at http://www.walkscore.com) and found the following information for the roads surrounding the proposed development: -

**Table 13 - Walk Score Ratings**

| Location | Walk Score | Description |
|---|---|---|
| Orton Road | 0 | Car-Dependent Almost all errands require a car. |
| Turner Road | 28 | Car-Dependent. Most errands require a car. |
| Partridge Bend | 0 | Car-Dependent Almost all errands require a car. |

This location is a Car-Dependent neighbourhood so most errands require a car.

The proposed streets through the Structure Plan Area are good riding environments due to their low traffic volumes. Pedestrian paths should be provided on all road reservations within the Structure Plan Area.

The existing and proposed networks of pedestrian and shared paths are shown on the drawing KC00400.000_S04.

**Pedestrian and cyclist provision**

Walking and cycling have an important role within the overall transportation system of an urban area. When integrated with compatible land uses, a strong walk/cycle network can:

- Reduce private car dependency for residents;
- Increase accessibility to employment and other urban activities for residents;
- Reduce the adverse environmental impacts of vehicular and motorised transport;
- Increase resource efficiency in a multi-modal transport system; and,
- Reduce transport-related crashes or injuries.

The objective of a pedestrian and cycle network is to provide for the convenient and safe movement of pedestrians and cyclists through and between urban developments, having regard for the need to service schools, shops, recreation and other land uses as well as public transport access points.

Proposed roads should have dedicated cyclist facilities given its connectivity to the proposed primary school, with footpaths provided along all other Structure Plan Area roads, in accordance with Liveable Neighbourhoods.

# 3. Transport Impact Assessment Checklist for a Structure Plan

The following is the summary / checklist for a Transport Impact Assessment as shown in the Department for Planning and Infrastructure's Transport Assessment Guidelines – Part 2: Structure Plans.

| Item | Status | Comments / Proposals |
|---|---|---|
| Summary | Y | The development proposes to subdivide the Doley Road LSP, Byford into approximately 2,393 residential lots (887 lots with an assumed R25 yield, 764 lots with an assumed R40 yield and 742 lots with an assumed R60 yield), a Neighbourhood Centre, a Primary School and accompanying POS. The subject site is under jurisdiction of the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale. The land occupies an area of approximately 84.23 ha. |
| Introduction / Background | Y | KCTT have completed a Transport Impact Assessment using the data related to the Structure Plan Area.<br>We have completed this report and all supporting graphics in accordance with the full requirements of the WAPC Guidelines for the preparation of a Transport Impact Assessment - Part 2 (Structure Plans). |
| **Structure Plan Proposal** | | |
| Regional Context | Y | The proposed Structure Plan fits within the general regional context. |
| Proposed Land Uses | Y | The subject site proposes usage of the landholdings for residential purpose along with a Neighbourhood Centre, Primary School and a POS. |
| Table of Land Uses and Quantities | Y | Refer to Appendix 1 of this report for a plan of the proposed subject area.<br>Refer to Section 2.1 of this report for a summary of the quantities of the proposed uses. |
| Major Attractors / Generators | Y | The major trip generators in this locality will be:<br>• Residential - likely peaks from 07:30 to 08:30 and from 17:00 to 18:00 Mondays to Fridays.<br>The major trip attractors in this locality will be:<br>• Neighbourhood Centre - likely peaks from 11:00 to 12:00 and from 18:00 to 19:00 Mondays to Fridays.<br>• Primary School - 08:15 - 09:15 in the AM peak and between 14:45 - 15:45 in the PM peak |
| Specific Issues | Y | An indicative development concept plan for Doley Road LSP, Byford has been utilised for the purpose of this analysis in order to identify the future development scenario and its potential issues.<br>• The proposed bridge to the north-east |
| **Existing Situation** | | |
| Existing Land Uses Within The Structure Plan Area | Y | The existing land zoning is rural agricultural, with the recreational centre to the east of the proposed development. |
| Existing Land Uses Within 800 metres | Y | Residential and rural agricultural land use, school, parks and recreation. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| of the Structure Plan Area | | |
| Existing Road Network Within the Structure Plan Area | Y | The existing road network surrounding and within the subject area includes Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west, Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way to the north and Warrington Road, Turner Road, Soldiers Road to the east. A plan showing the existing network and distribution of the existing traffic volumes is provided in Appendix 2. |
| Existing Pedestrian / Cyclist Network Within the Structure Plan Area | N | None. |
| Existing Public Transport Services Within The Structure Plan Area | N | None. |
| Existing Road Network Within 2 (or 5) km of Structure Plan Area | Y | Key desire lines: -<br>• Hopkinson Road<br>• Cardup Siding<br>• Doley Road<br>• Soldiers Road<br>• South Western Highway<br>• Abernethy Road<br>• Kargotich Road<br>• Mead Street<br>• Nettleton Road<br>• North of Bishop Road<br>• Orton Road<br>• Warrington Road |
| Traffic Flows on Roads Within the Structure Plan Area (PM and/or AM Peak Hours) | Y | Refer to Section 2.2 for the existing traffic flows on the roads within the subject area. |
| Traffic Flows on Roads within 2 (or 5) km of Structure Plan Area (AM and/or PM Peak Hours) | Y | Refer to Section 2.2 for the existing traffic flows on the adjacent road network. |
| Existing Pedestrian / Cycle Networks Within 800 metres of the Structure Plan Area | Y | • Abernethy Road is classified as a PBN "Good Road Riding Environment" route. |


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Existing Public Transport Services Within 800 metres of the Structure Plan Area | Y | Refer to Section 2.8. |
| **Proposed Internal Transport Networks** | | |
| Changes / Additions to Existing Road Network or Proposed Road Network | Y | It is expected that that there will be a significant number of new roads within the proposed subject area. There will be some changes to the existing roads. Refer to Section 2.7. |
| Road Reservation Widths | Y | Refer to Section 2.7.1 for the Road Reservation Widths within the subject area. |
| Road Cross-Sections and Speed Limits | Y | Refer to Section 2.7.2 for the Road Cross-Sections within the subject area. All proposed roads will have speed limit of 50kph. |
| Intersection Controls | Y | Refer to Section 2.7.4 for Intersection Controls |
| Pedestrian / Cycle Networks and Crossing Facilities | Y | Internal pedestrian and cyclist networks should be considered along internal roads within the subject site. |
| Public Transport Routes | N/A | N/A |
| **Changes to External Transport Networks** | | |
| Road Network | Y | Refer Section 2.7.1 Table 10. |
| Intersection Controls | Y | Refer Section 2.7.4. Proposed Intersection Controls |
| Pedestrian Cycle Networks and Crossing Facilities | Y | Existing cycle networks have been noted. |
| Public Transport Services | Y | Existing Public Transport Services have been noted. |
| **Integration with Surrounding Area** | | |
| Trip Attractors / Generators Within 800 metres of the Structure Plan Area | Y | Residential (generator) and rural agricultural land use (generator/ attractor), schools, parks and recreation (attractor). |
| Proposed Changes to Land Uses Within | Y | Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire is a predominantly rural area which is experiencing rapid residential growth. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 800 metres of the Structure Plan Area | | |
| Travel Desire Lines from the Structure Plan Area to Trip Attractors / Generators Within 800 metres of the Structure Plan Area | Y | Key desire lines: -<br>• Hopkinson Road<br>• Doley Road<br>• Soldiers Road<br>• South Western Highway<br>• Orton Road<br>• Warrington Road |
| Adequacy of External Transport Networks | Y | In recent years the surrounding area has undergone a major transformation from generally rural to sub-urban area. It is expected that as urban development continues west and south of the Structure Plan Area, that the local bus services will be extended.<br>Extension of Tonkin Highway is planned with no definitive timeframes for completion. Once completed the proposed Orton Road / Tonkin Highway interchange will greatly improve connectivity of the Structure Plan Area to the Metro Area. |
| Deficiencies in External Transport Networks | Y | Refer Section 2.7.1 and Section 2.7.4. |
| Remedial Measures to Address These Deficiencies | N/A | Not applicable. |
| **Analysis of Internal Transport Networks** | | |
| Assessment Year(s) and Time Period(s) | Y | It is envisaged that the development will be done in stages. However, for the purpose of this analysis, the proposed Structure Plan Area was observed as fully constructed. The assessment was done for 2026 (assumed year of completion) and 2036. |
| Structure Plan Generated Traffic | Y | Refer to Table 4 - Section 2.3. |
| Extraneous (Through) Traffic | Y | Refer to Table 7 - Section 2.3. |
| Design Traffic Flows (i.e. Total Traffic) | Y | Proposed development traffic flows + existing Main Roads WA and Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale data provides the likely total vehicular flows for the current Structure Plan assessment. Refer to Section 2.3. |
| Road Cross-Sections | Y | Refer to Section 2.7.2 for the Road Cross-Sections within the subject area. |
| Intersection Controls | Y | Refer to Section 2.7.4 for Intersection Controls |
| Access Strategy | Y | The Structure Plan area will be accessed via existing roads including Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west, Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Partridge Bend, Halliday Road, Dearden Road and Lawrence Way to the north and Warrington Road, Turner Road, Soldiers Road to the east. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Pedestrian / Cycle Networks | Y | Internal pedestrian and cyclist networks should be considered along internal roads within the subject site. Refer Appendix 2. |
| Safe Routes to Schools | Y | Pedestrian / Cyclist paths along Lawrence Way and other proposed roads within the Structure Plan Area will provide direct connection to and from the proposed Primary school. |
| Pedestrian Permeability and Efficiency | Y | The proposed improvements and additions to the pedestrian path network promote connectivity, permeability and efficiency as per Appendix 2. |
| Access to Public Transport | N/A | N/A |
| **Analysis of External Transport Networks** | | |
| Extent of Analysis | Y | Review of road and transportation networks undertaken to within an 800m radius of the Structure Plan Area. |
| Base Flows for Assessment Year(s) | Y | Traffic assessed as a base case, based on 2008 and 2015 traffic volumes from MRWA and the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale.<br>KCTT have used a traffic growth rate of 3% per annum for all existing roads adjacent to / within the Structure Plan Area. The traffic impact of the development has been calculated for the years 2026 and 2036. |
| Total Traffic Flows | Y | Refer to Table 7 - Section 2.3. |
| Road Cross-Sections | N/A | Not applicable. |
| Intersection Layouts and Controls | N/A | Not applicable |
| Pedestrian/Cycle Networks | N/A | Not applicable |
| **Conclusions** | | |
| Conclusions | Y | The proposed development has a notable impact on the existing road network, however the proposed new network and upgrades to the existing network allow for this impact to be appropriately accommodated. |

# Appendix 1

## The Layout of the Proposed Development




*Source: Planning Layout outside of L.S.P. Boundary - Taylor Burrell Barnett Town Planning & Design*

*Note: This plan has been prepared at the direction of Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale and is subject to approval from the WAPC.*

0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500m


3410-44E-01 (17.06.2016), 1:5000@A3, 1:2500@A1

# SHIRE OF SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE ALTERNATIVE CONCEPT PLAN (CONSOLIDATED POS)

Orton Road, Byford

# Appendix 2

## Transport Planning and Traffic Plans




LOCATION BOUNDARY

DISTANCE FROM LOCATION

PARKS AND RECREATION

PUBLIC FACILITIES

WATER

BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME

Turner Road — STREET NAME

ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)

PROPOSED ROAD

RAILWAY

NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN

SERPENTINE - JARRAHDALE

## LEGEND

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 25-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 12-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP


TITLE: Locality Plan

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S01

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au

kctt




NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN

SERPENTINE - JARRAHDALE

LEGEND

Certified System Quality ISO 9001 SAI GLOBAL

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 25-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 12-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP


TITLE: Bicycle Network Plan

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S02

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au

kctt




LOCATION BOUNDARY

DISTANCE FROM LOCATION

PARKS AND RECREATION

PUBLIC FACILITIES

WATER

BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME

Turner Road — STREET NAME

ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)

PROPOSED ROAD

RAILWAY

TRAIN STATION

251 — BUS ROUTE NUMBER

BUS ROUTES / STOPS

T — BUS TERMINUS

251 — ARMADALE STATION - BYFORD (VIA SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY)

252 — ARMADALE STATION - MUNDIJONG (VIA BYFORD)

253 — ARMADALE STATION - JARRAHDALE (VIA BYFORD & MUNDIJONG)

254 — ARMADALE STATION - BYFORD (VIA KARDAN BOULEVARD)

PROPOSED BUS ROUTES WITHIN LSP

NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN

## LEGEND

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 25-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 12-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Public Transport Plan

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000 _S03

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au

kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME
ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME
TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS
COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
EXISTING PEDESTRIAN PATH
PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN PATH ON ONE SIDE OF THE ROAD
PROPOSED DUAL USE PATH ON ONE SIDE OF THE ROAD AND PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN PATH ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE ROAD

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 27-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 13-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |


PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Proposed Pedestrian Paths

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000 _S04

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au
kctt




NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN

SERPENTINE - JARRAHDALE

## LEGEND

Certified System Quality ISO 9001 SAI GLOBAL

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 25-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 12-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Existing Traffic Counts

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S05

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au
kctt




Certified System
Quality
ISO 9001
SAI GLOBAL

PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME
ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME
TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS
COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
554 — DAILY TRAFFIC (VPD) DERIVED FROM PARAMICS - ONLY CONSIDERING THE INTERACTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WITH THE SURROUNDING NETWORK

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 27-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 13-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Traffic Flow Diagram

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S08a

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au

kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME
ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME
TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS
COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
621 — DAILY TRAFFIC (VPD) DERIVED FROM PARAMICS - WHOLE NETWORK 2026

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 27-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 13-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Traffic Flow Diagram

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S08b

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au

kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME
ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME
TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS
COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
7,418 — DAILY TRAFFIC (VPD) DERIVED FROM PARAMICS - WHOLE NETWORK 2036

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 27-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 13-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Traffic Flow Diagram

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S08c

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au
kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME
ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME
TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS
COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
7,418 — DAILY TRAFFIC (VPD) DERIVED FROM PARAMICS - WHOLE NETWORK WITH FUTURE TONKIN HIGHWAY / ORTON ROAD INTERCHANGE INCLUDED 2036

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| C | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| B | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| A | 07-06-2016 | ISSUED FOR REVIEW |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP


TITLE: Traffic Flow Diagram

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S08d

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au

kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME

ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME

TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS

COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

INTEGRATOR B*
NEIGHBOURHOOD CONNECTOR 'A'
NEIGHBOURHOOD CONNECTOR 'B'

ACCESS STREET B
ACCESS STREET C
ACCESS STREET D
LANE WAY

*NOTE*: ORTON ROAD CAN BE CLASSIFIED AS INTEGRATOR B OR NEIGHBOURHOOD CONNECTOR A.*

*INTEGRATOR B WILL BE IN A SECOND PHASE ACCOUNTING THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WITH THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN SURROUNDING. IT COULD HAVE 2X8.2M WIDE PAVEMENT (INCLUSIVE OF BICYCLE LANES) IN 32.0M WIDE ROAD RESERVATION*

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| F | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| E | 02-03-2017 | ROAD RESERVE UPDATED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 07-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Road Hierarchies Sketch

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S10

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au
kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME
ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME
TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS
COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
ROUNDABOUT
LEFT-IN / LEFT-OUT (LILO)

NOTE: ALL OTHER INTERSECTIONS WITHIN THE DEVELOPMENT OF ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP, BYFORD WILL HAVE GIVE-WAY TRAFFIC CONTROL.

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

**LEGEND**

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 27-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 13-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |


PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Proposed Intersection Configurations

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S11

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au
kctt




PARKS AND RECREATION
PUBLIC FACILITIES
LOCATION BOUNDARY
BYFORD — LOCAL GOVERNMENT NAME

ROAD (VARIED WITH ROAD WIDTH)
PROPOSED ROAD
RAILWAY
Orton Road — STREET NAME

TRADITIONAL LOTS
OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPACT LOTS
COTTAGE LOTS

COMMERCIAL / MIXED USE
PRIMARY SCHOOL
PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

MORE THAN 7,000VPD*
5,000VPD TO 7,000VPD
3,000VPD TO 5,000VPD

2,000VPD TO 3,000VPD
1,000VPD TO 2,000VPD
500VPD TO 1,000VPD
LESS THAN 500VPD

*NOTE*: ORTON ROAD WILL HAVE 7,000VPD IN THE FIRST PHASE (THE EXISTING TRAFFIC AND THE PROPOSED TRAFFIC) AND UP TO 15,000VPD IN THE SECOND PHASE (INCLUDING THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN SURROUNDING)*

*NOTE: THE PLAN IS COURTEOUSY OF CLEPLAN*

## LEGEND

| No | DATE | AMENDMENT |
|---|---|---|
| E | 10-03-2017 | LOCATION BOUNDARY AMENDED |
| D | 21-06-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| C | 27-05-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |
| B | 13-01-2016 | PROPOSED LAYOUT AMENDED |

PROJECT: ORTON ROAD & WARRINGTON ROAD LSP

TITLE: Future Trafic Volumes - Heat Plan (2036)

DRAWING NUMBER: KC00400.000_S13

DRAWN BY: A.N.

Civil & Traffic Engineering Consultants
Suite 7 No 10 Whipple Street Balcatta WA 6021
PH: 08 9441 2700
WEB: www.kctt.com.au
kctt

# Appendix 3

## SIDRA Intersection Analysis

# Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION ..... 4

2. INTERSECTION ANALYSIS ..... 6

2.1 INTERSECTION 1 – ORTON ROAD – DOLEY ROAD ..... 6
2.1.1 1.1A ORTON ROAD - DOLEY ROAD - 2026 AM ..... 7
2.1.2 1.1P ORTON ROAD - DOLEY ROAD - 2026 PM ..... 7
2.1.3 1.2A ORTON ROAD - DOLEY ROAD - 2036 AM ..... 8
2.1.4 1.2A_V1 ORTON ROAD - DOLEY ROAD - 2036 AM ..... 8
2.1.5 1.2P ORTON ROAD - DOLEY ROAD - 2036 PM ..... 9
2.1.6 1.2P_V1 ORTON ROAD - DOLEY ROAD - 2036 PM ..... 9
2.2 INTERSECTION 2 – ORTON ROAD – LAWRENCE WAY ..... 10
2.2.1 2.1A ORTON ROAD - LAWRENCE WAY - 2026 AM ..... 11
2.2.2 2.1P ORTON ROAD - LAWRENCE WAY - 2026 PM ..... 11
2.2.3 2.2A ORTON ROAD - LAWRENCE WAY - 2036 AM ..... 12
2.2.4 2.2A_V1 ORTON ROAD - LAWRENCE WAY - 2036 AM ..... 12
2.2.5 2.2P ORTON ROAD - LAWRENCE WAY - 2036 PM ..... 13
2.2.6 2.2P_V1 ORTON ROAD - LAWRENCE WAY - 2036 PM ..... 13
2.3 INTERSECTION 3 – ORTON ROAD – WARRINGTON ROAD ..... 14
2.3.1 3.1A ORTON ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2026 AM ..... 15
2.3.2 3.1P ORTON ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2026 PM ..... 15
2.3.3 3.2A ORTON ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 AM ..... 16
2.3.4 3.2A_V1 ORTON ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 AM ..... 16
2.3.5 3.2P ORTON ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 PM ..... 17
2.3.6 3.2P_V1 ORTON ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 PM ..... 17
2.4 INTERSECTION 4 – TURNER ROAD – WARRINGTON ROAD ..... 18
2.4.1 4.1A TURNER ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2026 AM ..... 19
2.4.2 4.1P TURNER ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2026 PM ..... 19
2.4.3 4.2A TURNER ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 AM ..... 20
2.4.4 4.2A_V1 TURNER ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 AM ..... 20
2.4.5 4.2P TURNER ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 PM ..... 21
2.4.6 4.2P_V1 TURNER ROAD - WARRINGTON ROAD - 2036 PM ..... 21

# List of Figures

Figure 1 – Location ..... 6
Figure 2- Schematic Geometry ..... 6
Figure 3 - LOS Table (Model 1.1a Orton Road - Doley Road - 2026 AM) ..... 7
Figure 4 - LOS Table (Model 1.1p Orton Road - Doley Road - 2026 PM) ..... 7
Figure 5 - LOS Table (Model 1.2a Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 AM) ..... 8
Figure 6 - LOS Table (Model 1.2a_V1 Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 AM) ..... 8
Figure 7 - LOS Table (Model 1.2p Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 PM) ..... 9
Figure 8 - LOS Table (Model 1.2p_V1 Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 PM) ..... 9
Figure 9 -Location ..... 10
Figure 10- Schematic Geometry ..... 10
Figure 11 - LOS Table (Model 2.1a Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2026 AM) ..... 11
Figure 12 - LOS Table (Model 2.1p Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2026 PM) ..... 11
Figure 13 - LOS Table (Model 2.2a Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 AM) ..... 12
Figure 14 - LOS Table (Model 2.2a_V1 Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 AM) ..... 12
Figure 15 - LOS Table (Model 2.2p Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 PM) ..... 13
Figure 16 - LOS Table (Model 2.2p_V1 Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 PM) ..... 13
Figure 17 - Location ..... 14
Figure 18- Schematic Geometry ..... 14
Figure 19 - LOS Table (Model 3.1a Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2026 AM) ..... 15
Figure 20 - LOS Table (Model 3.1p Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2026 PM) ..... 15
Figure 21 - LOS Table (Model 3.2a Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM) ..... 16
Figure 22 - LOS Table (Model 3.2a_V1 Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM) ..... 16
Figure 23 - LOS Table (Model 3.2p Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM) ..... 17
Figure 24 - LOS Table (Model 3.2p_V1 Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM) ..... 17
Figure 25 - Location ..... 18
Figure 26- Schematic Geometry ..... 18
Figure 27 - LOS Table (Model 4.1a Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2026 AM) ..... 19
Figure 28 - LOS Table (Model 4.1p Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2026 PM) ..... 19
Figure 29 - LOS Table (Model 4.2a Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM) ..... 20
Figure 30 - LOS Table (Model 4.2a_V1 Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM) ..... 20
Figure 31 - LOS Table (Model 4.2p Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM) ..... 21
Figure 32 - LOS Table (Model 4.2p_V1 Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM) ..... 21

# 1. Introduction

This short report provides details on the SIDRA Analysis conducted to support the findings of the report KC00400.000 Orton Road – Warrington Road Byford, Rev A. The intersections have been modelled in the AM and PM peak hours for 2026 and 2036.

The dimensions of the intersection elements have been scaled from aerial imagery which was obtained through our commercial arrangement with Nearmaps and through publically available Intramaps. These images are suitable for use in concept drafting applications with a level of accuracy to within +/- 10 centimetres.

Base traffic data utilised for the modelling was obtained from MRWA and from the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale. The data was collected between 2008 and 2015. These results were utilised for creating base SIDRA models.

It is likely that AM and PM peaks will coincide with journey to / from work times. As such morning and afternoon peaks are likely to be between 7:30 – 8:30 and 17:00 - 18:00 during work days. In summary, the proposed development is expected to generate approximately up to **14,000 vehicular movements per day** with a forecasted impact of around **2,000 vehicles per hour in the peak hour** upon completion.

Taking into consideration the breakdown for the typical residents' locations of work in the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale (refer the Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale ID profile above), and the locations of the nearest shopping centres, schools and recreational centres, KCTT believe that the traffic from the proposed Structure Plan Area will be distributed into the adjacent road network as follows:

- 30% to / from the northeast via Soldiers Road - 0.30*14,000= 4,200 VPD;
- 10% to / from the southwest via Soldiers Road - 0.10*14,000 = 1,400 VPD;
- 19% to / from the north via Doley Road - 0.19*14,000 = 2,660 VPD;
- 6% to / from the south via the future road (Doley Road Extension) - 0.06*14,000 = 840 VPD
- 10% to / from the north via Warrington Road - 0.10*14,000 = 1,400 VPD;
- 3% to / from the north via Lawrence Way - 0.03*14,000 = 420 VPD;
- 16% to / from the west via Orton Road - 0.16*14,000 = 2,240 VPD.
- 6% to / from the west via Perrone Avenue - 0.03*14,000 = 420 VPD

The traffic assessment was completed with all of the surrounding developments accounted for (The Glades) while the passing traffic on the existing roads was derived utilising 3% annual growth rate (for 2026 and 2036).

It should be noted that the models named _V1 for the year 2036 show the impact on the specific intersection when the Tonkin Highway / Orton Road interchange is completed.

## Summary of Results

SIDRA modelling has shown that the development will have minimal impact on the operation of the surrounding road network and namely the following intersections: -

- Orton Road / Doley Road;
- Orton Road / Lawrence Way;
- Orton Road / Warrington Road;
- Turner Road / Warrington Road.

Each intersection was analysed in the AM and PM peaks with the expected traffic volumes in 2026 and 2036.

The results and findings of the SIDRA Intersection analysis show that the development has a notable impact on the adjacent road network; however, there is sufficient spare capacity in the existing and the proposed network to cater for the proposed development.

A summary of the results of the SIDRA analysis are shown on the following pages. The full SIDRA output report can be provided on request.

# 2. Intersection Analysis

## 2.1 Intersection 1 – Orton Road – Doley Road




Figure 1 – Location





Figure 2- Schematic Geometry

### 2.1.1 1.1a Orton Road - Doley Road - 2026 AM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 98 | 2.0 | 1066 | 0.092 | 100 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 98 | 2.0 | | 0.092 | | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.7 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 267 | 3.0 | 1270 | 0.211 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 267 | 3.0 | | 0.211 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | | | | |
| North: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 132 | 2.0 | 1084 | 0.121 | 100 | 4.9 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 132 | 2.0 | | 0.121 | | 4.9 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 206 | 3.0 | 1164 | 0.177 | 100 | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.5 | Full | 129 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 206 | 3.0 | | 0.177 | | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.5 | | | | |
| Intersection | 703 | 2.7 | | 0.211 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | | | | |

Figure 3 - LOS Table (Model 1.1a Orton Road - Doley Road - 2026 AM)

### 2.1.2 1.1p Orton Road - Doley Road - 2026 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 102 | 2.0 | 1055 | 0.097 | 100 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.8 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 102 | 2.0 | | 0.097 | | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.8 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 266 | 3.0 | 1322 | 0.201 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.2 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 266 | 3.0 | | 0.201 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.2 | | | | |
| North: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 162 | 2.0 | 1110 | 0.146 | 100 | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.1 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 162 | 2.0 | | 0.146 | | 5.1 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.1 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 234 | 3.0 | 1166 | 0.200 | 100 | 5.5 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.7 | Full | 129 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 234 | 3.0 | | 0.200 | | 5.5 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.7 | | | | |
| Intersection | 764 | 2.7 | | 0.201 | | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.2 | | | | |

Figure 4 - LOS Table (Model 1.1p Orton Road - Doley Road - 2026 PM)

### 2.1.3 1.2a Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 AM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 118 | 2.0 | 999 | 0.118 | 100 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.8 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 118 | 2.0 | | 0.118 | | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.8 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 354 | 3.0 | 1345 | 0.263 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 354 | 3.0 | | 0.263 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | | | | |
| North: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 112 | 2.0 | 1062 | 0.105 | 100 | 5.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.3 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 112 | 2.0 | | 0.105 | | 5.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.3 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 281 | 3.0 | 1120 | 0.251 | 100 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.3 | Full | 129 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 281 | 3.0 | | 0.251 | | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.3 | | | | |
| Intersection | 864 | 2.7 | | 0.263 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | | | | |

Figure 5 - LOS Table (Model 1.2a Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 AM)

### 2.1.4 1.2a_V1 Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 AM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 115 | 2.0 | 944 | 0.122 | 100 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 115 | 2.0 | | 0.122 | | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 392 | 3.0 | 1261 | 0.311 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.5 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 392 | 3.0 | | 0.311 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.5 | | | | |
| North: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 138 | 2.0 | 1015 | 0.136 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 138 | 2.0 | | 0.136 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.7 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 329 | 3.0 | 1128 | 0.292 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.8 | Full | 129 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 329 | 3.0 | | 0.292 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.8 | | | | |
| Intersection | 974 | 2.7 | | 0.311 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.5 | | | | |

Figure 6 - LOS Table (Model 1.2a_V1 Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 AM)

### 2.1.5 1.2p Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | 95% Back of Queue Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 132 | 2.0 | 999 | 0.132 | 100 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.4 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 132 | 2.0 | | 0.132 | | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.4 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 354 | 3.0 | 1344 | 0.263 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.6 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 354 | 3.0 | | 0.263 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.6 | | | | |
| North: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 112 | 2.0 | 1054 | 0.106 | 100 | 5.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.3 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 112 | 2.0 | | 0.106 | | 5.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.3 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 281 | 3.0 | 1106 | 0.254 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.5 | Full | 129 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 281 | 3.0 | | 0.254 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.5 | | | | |
| Intersection | 878 | 2.7 | | 0.263 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.6 | | | | |

Figure 7 - LOS Table (Model 1.2p Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 PM)

### 2.1.6 1.2p_V1 Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | 95% Back of Queue Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 114 | 2.0 | 943 | 0.121 | 100 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 114 | 2.0 | | 0.121 | | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 386 | 3.0 | 1249 | 0.309 | 100 | 6.6 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.5 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 386 | 3.0 | | 0.309 | | 6.6 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.5 | | | | |
| North: Doley Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 147 | 2.0 | 978 | 0.151 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.4 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 147 | 2.0 | | 0.151 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.4 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 385 | 3.0 | 1141 | 0.338 | 100 | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.7 | Full | 129 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 385 | 3.0 | | 0.338 | | 6.1 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.7 | | | | |
| Intersection | 1033 | 2.7 | | 0.338 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.7 | | | | |

Figure 8 - LOS Table (Model 1.2p_V1 Orton Road - Doley Road - 2036 PM)

## 2.2 Intersection 2 – Orton Road – Lawrence Way




Figure 9 -Location





Figure 10- Schematic Geometry

### 2.2.1 2.1a Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2026 AM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 96 | 2.0 | 1058 | 0.090 | 100 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.6 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 96 | 2.0 | | 0.090 | | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.6 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 256 | 3.0 | 1278 | 0.200 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.2 | 9.0 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 256 | 3.0 | | 0.200 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.2 | 9.0 | | | | |
| North: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 181 | 2.0 | 1095 | 0.165 | 100 | 5.2 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.0 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 181 | 2.0 | | 0.165 | | 5.2 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.0 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 256 | 3.0 | 1186 | 0.216 | 100 | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | Full | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 256 | 3.0 | | 0.216 | | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | | | | |
| Intersection | 788 | 2.6 | | 0.216 | | 5.9 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | | | | |

Figure 11 - LOS Table (Model 2.1a Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2026 AM)

### 2.2.2 2.1p Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2026 PM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 93 | 2.0 | 1053 | 0.088 | 100 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 93 | 2.0 | | 0.088 | | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 266 | 3.0 | 1286 | 0.207 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.3 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 266 | 3.0 | | 0.207 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.3 | | | | |
| North: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 162 | 2.0 | 1080 | 0.150 | 100 | 5.2 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.3 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 162 | 2.0 | | 0.150 | | 5.2 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.3 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 278 | 3.0 | 1187 | 0.234 | 100 | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.4 | Full | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 278 | 3.0 | | 0.234 | | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.4 | | | | |
| Intersection | 799 | 2.7 | | 0.234 | | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.4 | | | | |

Figure 12 - LOS Table (Model 2.1p Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2026 PM)

### 2.2.3 2.2a Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 AM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 117 | 2.0 | 1006 | 0.116 | 100 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 117 | 2.0 | | 0.116 | | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.7 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 325 | 3.0 | 1289 | 0.252 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.9 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 325 | 3.0 | | 0.252 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.7 | 11.9 | | | | |
| North: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 167 | 2.0 | 1049 | 0.160 | 100 | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 167 | 2.0 | | 0.160 | | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.7 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 303 | 3.0 | 1138 | 0.266 | 100 | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.2 | Full | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 303 | 3.0 | | 0.266 | | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.2 | | | | |
| Intersection | 913 | 2.7 | | 0.266 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.2 | | | | |

Figure 13 - LOS Table (Model 2.2a Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 AM)

### 2.2.4 2.2a_V1 Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 AM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 119 | 2.0 | 932 | 0.128 | 100 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 119 | 2.0 | | 0.128 | | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 367 | 3.0 | 1190 | 0.309 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.4 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 367 | 3.0 | | 0.309 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.4 | | | | |
| North: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 211 | 2.0 | 1011 | 0.208 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.1 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 211 | 2.0 | | 0.208 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.1 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 319 | 3.0 | 1193 | 0.267 | 100 | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | Full | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 319 | 3.0 | | 0.267 | | 5.8 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | | | | |
| Intersection | 1016 | 2.7 | | 0.309 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.4 | | | | |

Figure 14 - LOS Table (Model 2.2a_V1 Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 AM)

### 2.2.5 2.2p Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 108 | 2.0 | 974 | 0.111 | 100 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 108 | 2.0 | | 0.111 | | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 367 | 3.0 | 1297 | 0.283 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.8 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 367 | 3.0 | | 0.283 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.8 | | | | |
| North: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 167 | 2.0 | 1053 | 0.159 | 100 | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 167 | 2.0 | | 0.159 | | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.7 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 303 | 3.0 | 1127 | 0.269 | 100 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.3 | Full | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 303 | 3.0 | | 0.269 | | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.3 | | | | |
| Intersection | 946 | 2.7 | | 0.283 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.8 | | | | |

Figure 15 - LOS Table (Model 2.2p Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 PM)

### 2.2.6 2.2p_V1 Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 117 | 2.0 | 922 | 0.127 | 100 | 7.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 117 | 2.0 | | 0.127 | | 7.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 384 | 3.0 | 1185 | 0.324 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.4 | Full | 172 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 384 | 3.0 | | 0.324 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.4 | | | | |
| North: Lawrence Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 199 | 2.0 | 957 | 0.208 | 100 | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.2 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 199 | 2.0 | | 0.208 | | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.2 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 393 | 3.0 | 1203 | 0.326 | 100 | 5.9 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.2 | Full | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 393 | 3.0 | | 0.326 | | 5.9 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.2 | | | | |
| Intersection | 1093 | 2.7 | | 0.326 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 2.3 | 16.4 | | | | |

Figure 16 - LOS Table (Model 2.2p_V1 Orton Road - Lawrence Way - 2036 PM)

## 2.3 Intersection 3 – Orton Road – Warrington Road




Figure 17 - Location





Figure 18- Schematic Geometry

### 2.3.1 3.1a Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2026 AM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 98 | 2.0 | 1060 | 0.092 | 100 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 98 | 2.0 | | 0.092 | | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.7 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 256 | 3.0 | 1307 | 0.196 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.9 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 256 | 3.0 | | 0.196 | | 4.1 | LOS A | 1.2 | 8.9 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 84 | 2.0 | 1005 | 0.084 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.3 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 84 | 2.0 | | 0.084 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.3 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 326 | 3.0 | 1329 | 0.246 | 100 | 5.0 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.7 | Full | 170 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 326 | 3.0 | | 0.246 | | 5.0 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.7 | | | | |
| Intersection | 764 | 2.8 | | 0.246 | | 4.9 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.7 | | | | |

Figure 19 - LOS Table (Model 3.1a Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2026 AM)

### 2.3.2 3.1p Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2026 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 74 | 2.0 | 1047 | 0.070 | 100 | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.8 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 74 | 2.0 | | 0.070 | | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.8 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 268 | 3.0 | 1293 | 0.208 | 100 | 4.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 268 | 3.0 | | 0.208 | | 4.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.5 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 95 | 2.0 | 1008 | 0.094 | 100 | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.8 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 95 | 2.0 | | 0.094 | | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.8 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 324 | 3.0 | 1349 | 0.240 | 100 | 5.0 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.4 | Full | 170 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 324 | 3.0 | | 0.240 | | 5.0 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.4 | | | | |
| Intersection | 761 | 2.8 | | 0.240 | | 4.9 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.4 | | | | |

Figure 20 - LOS Table (Model 3.1p Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2026 PM)

### 2.3.3 3.2a Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | 95% Back of Queue Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 100 | 2.0 | 1022 | 0.098 | 100 | 6.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 3.9 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 100 | 2.0 | | 0.098 | | 6.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 3.9 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 288 | 3.0 | 1265 | 0.228 | 100 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 288 | 3.0 | | 0.228 | | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.5 | 10.7 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 118 | 2.0 | 989 | 0.119 | 100 | 6.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.9 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 118 | 2.0 | | 0.119 | | 6.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.9 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 338 | 3.0 | 1310 | 0.258 | 100 | 5.1 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | Full | 170 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 338 | 3.0 | | 0.258 | | 5.1 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | | | | |
| Intersection | 844 | 2.7 | | 0.258 | | 5.1 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.5 | | | | |

Figure 21 - LOS Table (Model 3.2a Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM)

### 2.3.4 3.2a_V1 Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | 95% Back of Queue Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 97 | 2.0 | 995 | 0.097 | 100 | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.6 | 3.9 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 97 | 2.0 | | 0.097 | | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.6 | 3.9 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 317 | 3.0 | 1247 | 0.254 | 100 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.0 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 317 | 3.0 | | 0.254 | | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.0 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 109 | 2.0 | 972 | 0.113 | 100 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.6 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 109 | 2.0 | | 0.113 | | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.6 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 360 | 3.0 | 1311 | 0.275 | 100 | 5.4 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.2 | Full | 170 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 360 | 3.0 | | 0.275 | | 5.4 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.2 | | | | |
| Intersection | 883 | 2.8 | | 0.275 | | 5.4 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.2 | | | | |

Figure 22 - LOS Table (Model 3.2a_V1 Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM)

### 2.3.5 3.2p Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 111 | 2.0 | 974 | 0.113 | 100 | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.7 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 111 | 2.0 | | 0.113 | | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.7 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 348 | 3.0 | 1278 | 0.273 | 100 | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.4 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 348 | 3.0 | | 0.273 | | 4.3 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.4 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 112 | 2.0 | 949 | 0.118 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.9 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 112 | 2.0 | | 0.118 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.7 | 4.9 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 382 | 3.0 | 1293 | 0.296 | 100 | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.9 | Full | 170 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 382 | 3.0 | | 0.296 | | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.9 | | | | |
| Intersection | 953 | 2.8 | | 0.296 | | 5.2 | LOS A | 2.1 | 14.9 | | | | |

Figure 23 - LOS Table (Model 3.2p Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM)

### 2.3.6 3.2p_V1 Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 107 | 2.0 | 973 | 0.110 | 100 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 107 | 2.0 | | 0.110 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | | | | |
| East: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 348 | 3.0 | 1236 | 0.282 | 100 | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.6 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 348 | 3.0 | | 0.282 | | 4.7 | LOS A | 1.9 | 13.6 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 115 | 2.0 | 938 | 0.122 | 100 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.1 | Full | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 115 | 2.0 | | 0.122 | | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.1 | | | | |
| West: Orton Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 399 | 3.0 | 1311 | 0.304 | 100 | 5.4 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.2 | Full | 170 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 399 | 3.0 | | 0.304 | | 5.4 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.2 | | | | |
| Intersection | 969 | 2.8 | | 0.304 | | 5.5 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.2 | | | | |

Figure 24 - LOS Table (Model 3.2p_V1 Orton Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM)

## 2.4 Intersection 4 – Turner Road – Warrington Road




Figure 25 - Location





Figure 26- Schematic Geometry

### 2.4.1 4.1a Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2026 AM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 93 | 2.0 | 1199 | 0.077 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.0 | Full | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 93 | 2.0 | | 0.077 | | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.0 | | | | |
| East: Turner Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 129 | 2.0 | 1350 | 0.096 | 100 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | Full | 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 129 | 2.0 | | 0.096 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 195 | 2.0 | 1381 | 0.141 | 100 | 3.4 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | Full | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 195 | 2.0 | | 0.141 | | 3.4 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | | | | |
| West: Road 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 33 | 2.0 | 1159 | 0.028 | 100 | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.0 | Full | 176 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 33 | 2.0 | | 0.028 | | 5.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.0 | | | | |
| Intersection | 449 | 2.0 | | 0.141 | | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | | | | |

Figure 27 - LOS Table (Model 4.1a Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2026 AM)

### 2.4.2 4.1p Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2026 PM

| Lane Use and Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 102 | 2.0 | 1187 | 0.086 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.3 | Full | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 102 | 2.0 | | 0.086 | | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.3 | | | | |
| East: Turner Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 142 | 2.0 | 1362 | 0.104 | 100 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.8 | Full | 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 142 | 2.0 | | 0.104 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.8 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 186 | 2.0 | 1384 | 0.135 | 100 | 3.4 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | Full | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 186 | 2.0 | | 0.135 | | 3.4 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | | | | |
| West: Road 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 28 | 2.0 | 1140 | 0.025 | 100 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | Full | 176 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 28 | 2.0 | | 0.025 | | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | | | | |
| Intersection | 459 | 2.0 | | 0.135 | | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | | | | |

Figure 28 - LOS Table (Model 4.1p Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2026 PM)

### 2.4.3 4.2a Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 118 | 2.0 | 1193 | 0.099 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.9 | Full | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 118 | 2.0 | | 0.099 | | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.9 | | | | |
| East: Turner Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 133 | 2.0 | 1318 | 0.101 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.7 | Full | 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 133 | 2.0 | | 0.101 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.7 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 248 | 2.0 | 1390 | 0.179 | 100 | 3.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 7.7 | Full | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 248 | 2.0 | | 0.179 | | 3.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 7.7 | | | | |
| West: Road 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 29 | 2.0 | 1134 | 0.026 | 100 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.0 | Full | 176 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 29 | 2.0 | | 0.026 | | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.0 | | | | |
| Intersection | 528 | 2.0 | | 0.179 | | 4.4 | LOS A | 1.1 | 7.7 | | | | |

Figure 29 - LOS Table (Model 4.2a Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM)

### 2.4.4 4.2a_V1 Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 78 | 2.0 | 1210 | 0.064 | 100 | 4.2 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.5 | Full | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 78 | 2.0 | | 0.064 | | 4.2 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.5 | | | | |
| East: Turner Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 127 | 2.0 | 1294 | 0.098 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | Full | 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 127 | 2.0 | | 0.098 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 186 | 2.0 | 1393 | 0.134 | 100 | 3.2 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.5 | Full | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 186 | 2.0 | | 0.134 | | 3.2 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.5 | | | | |
| West: Road 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 33 | 2.0 | 1171 | 0.028 | 100 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.0 | Full | 176 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 33 | 2.0 | | 0.028 | | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.0 | | | | |
| Intersection | 424 | 2.0 | | 0.134 | | 4.6 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.5 | | | | |

Figure 30 - LOS Table (Model 4.2a_V1 Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 AM)

### 2.4.5 4.2p Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 120 | 2.0 | 1214 | 0.099 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.9 | Full | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 120 | 2.0 | | 0.099 | | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.9 | | | | |
| East: Turner Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 121 | 2.0 | 1355 | 0.089 | 100 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.2 | Full | 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 121 | 2.0 | | 0.089 | | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.2 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 185 | 2.0 | 1357 | 0.136 | 100 | 3.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | Full | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 185 | 2.0 | | 0.136 | | 3.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | | | | |
| West: Road 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 33 | 2.0 | 1141 | 0.029 | 100 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.1 | Full | 176 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 33 | 2.0 | | 0.029 | | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.1 | | | | |
| Intersection | 459 | 2.0 | | 0.136 | | 4.5 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.6 | | | | |

Figure 31 - LOS Table (Model 4.2p Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM)

### 2.4.6 4.2p_V1 Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM

**Lane Use and Performance**

| | Demand Flows Total veh/h | HV % | Cap. veh/h | Deg. Satn v/c | Lane Util. % | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% Back of Queue Veh | Dist m | Lane Config | Lane Length m | Cap. Adj. % | Prob. Block. % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| South: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 111 | 2.0 | 1225 | 0.090 | 100 | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | Full | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 111 | 2.0 | | 0.090 | | 4.1 | LOS A | 0.5 | 3.5 | | | | |
| East: Turner Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 116 | 2.0 | 1316 | 0.088 | 100 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.1 | Full | 60 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 116 | 2.0 | | 0.088 | | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.1 | | | | |
| North: Warrington Road | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 167 | 2.0 | 1359 | 0.123 | 100 | 3.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | Full | 50 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 167 | 2.0 | | 0.123 | | 3.2 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | | | | |
| West: Road 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane 1 d | 40 | 2.0 | 1149 | 0.035 | 100 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.3 | Full | 176 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach | 40 | 2.0 | | 0.035 | | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Intersection | 434 | 2.0 | | 0.123 | | 4.5 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.0 | | | | |

Figure 32 - LOS Table (Model 4.2p_V1 Turner Road - Warrington Road - 2036 PM)

# Appendix 4

Paramics Modelling

Rev C

**Figure 1 – Whole network**



**Figure 2 – LOS (Daily) - Only considering development interaction with surrounding network (2026)**



**Figure 3 - LOS (Daily) Theoretical Full Network 2026**



**Figure 4 – LOS (Daily) Theoretical Full Network 2036**




**Figure 5 – LOS (Daily) Theoretical Full Network 2036 (with future Tonkin Highway / Orton Road Interchange completed)**



**Figure 6 – Intersection LOS (Daily) - Only considering development interaction with surrounding network (2026)**



**Figure 7 – Intersection LOS (Daily) – Theoretical full network (2026)**



**Figure 8 – Intersection LOS (Daily) – Theoretical full network (2036)**



**Figure 9 – Intersection LOS (Daily) – Theoretical full network (2036) - with future Tonkin Highway / Orton Road Interchange completed**



APPENDIX 5

**Local Water Management Strategy**

# Byford, Doley Road

## Local Water Management Strategy

Prepared for ABN Group

By Essential Environmental

July 2016

## *Disclaimer and Limitation*

This document is published in accordance with and subject to an agreement between Essential Environmental and the Client, ABN Group, for who it has been prepared for their exclusive use. It has been prepared using the standard of skill and care ordinarily exercised by environmental professionals in the preparation of such Documents.

This report is a qualitative assessment only, based on the scope of services defined by the Client, budgetary and time constraints imposed by the Client, the information supplied by the Client (and its agents), and the method consistent with the preceding. Essential Environmental has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information supplied.

Any person or organisation that relies upon or uses the document for purposes or reasons other than those agreed by Essential Environmental and the Client without first obtaining the prior written consent of Essential Environmental, does so entirely at their own risk and Essential Environmental, denies all liability in tort, contract or otherwise for any loss, damage or injury of any kind whatsoever (whether in negligence or otherwise) that may be suffered as a consequence of relying on this Document for any purpose other than that agreed with the Client.

Copying of this report or parts of this report is not permitted without the authorisation of the Client or Essential Environmental.

# CONTENTS

1 Introduction ..... 3
1.1 Principles and design objectives ..... 3
1.2 Strategy area ..... 4
1.3 Planning background ..... 4
1.4 Previous studies ..... 5

2 Proposed development ..... 7
2.1 Key elements of the structure plan ..... 7
2.2 Previous land use ..... 7
2.3 Finished lot levels ..... 7
2.4 Identification of key risks ..... 8

3 Existing site characteristics ..... 11
3.1 Location and climate ..... 11
3.2 Topography and landform ..... 12
3.3 Soils and geotechnical ..... 12
3.4 Hydrology ..... 16
3.5 Environmental and social ..... 24

4 Water management strategy ..... 28
4.1 Water sustainability initiatives ..... 28
4.2 Stormwater management ..... 29
4.3 Groundwater management ..... 38
4.4 Water quality management ..... 39
4.5 Management of disease vectors and nuisance insects ..... 40
4.6 Dieback management ..... 41

5 Implementation ..... 43
5.1 Pre-development monitoring ..... 43
5.2 Post-development monitoring ..... 43
5.3 Delivery ..... 44

# APPENDICES

Appendix 1 – LWMS checklist
Appendix 2 – Geotechnical report
Appendix 3 – Water balance modelling report
Appendix 4 – Water demands analysis
Appendix 5 – Stormwater modelling details
Longitudinal sections
Appendix 6 – Groundwater modelling results
Appendix 7 – UNDO water quality modelling report
Appendix 8 – Landscape plans

# TABLES

Table 1: Predevelopment flow characteristics ....16
Table 2: Pre-development average groundwater quality (JDA, 2009) ....20
Table 3: Broad scale site water balance ....23
Table 4: Summary of development option benefits and challenges: ....24
Table 5: Annual summary water demands ....28
Table 6: Annual non-drinking water demands, sources and volumes ....29
Table 7: Raingarden requirements (interim and ultimate) ....31
Table 8: Peak flow and flood levels at key locations ....32
Table 10: summary of roles and responsibilities ....44
Table 11: Modelled surface types ....Appendix 5
Table 12: Peak flow and flood levels at key locations ....Appendix 5
Table 13: Groundwater model results – Annual maxima at key locations ....Appendix 5

# FIGURES

Figure 1: Planning framework, integrating drainage planning with the land planning process (WAPC 2008) ....3
Figure 2: Location plan ....6
Figure 3: Proposed local structure plan ....9
Figure 4: Previous land use ....10
Figure 5: Climate summary data (Rainfall: Cardup, Temperature: Medina, BoM, 2015) ....11
Figure 6: Topography and soils ....14
Figure 7: Acid sulfate soils ....15
Figure 8: Surface water resources ....18
Figure 9: Snapshot of groundwater level contours from *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008) ....21
Figure 10: Modelled maximum groundwater level (S0 scenario) from Lower Serpentine Hydrological Studies (DoW 2012) ....21
Figure 11: Inferred groundwater contours based on data presented in the Glades LWMS (JDA 2009) ....22
Figure 12: Cross section through the strategy area showing natural surface, modelled maximum groundwater levels (DoW 2012) and contours derived from monitoring data (JDA 2009) ....22
Figure 13: Vegetation and bushfire prone areas ....27
Figure 14: Small event stormwater management ....33
Figure 15: Typical raingarden configuration ....34
Figure 16: Minor event (20% AEP) stormwater management ....35
Figure 17: Major event (1% AEP) stormwater management ....36
Figure 18: Interim stormwater management system ....37
Figure 19: Brickwood Bushland Reserve hydrology ....42
Figure 20: Model layout - existing system ....Appendix 5
Figure 21: Model layout – interim development ....Appendix 5
Figure 22: Model layout - ultimate system ....Appendix 5
Figure 23: Swale cross section on arterial roads ....Appendix 5
Figure 24: MUC channel cross section ....Appendix 5
Figure 25: SammEE modelled cross section ....Appendix 6
Figure 26: SammEE model results – 30 year future climate model results showing groundwater level traces at key locations ....Appendix 6

# 1 INTRODUCTION

ABN Group has commissioned Essential Environmental to produce a Local Water Management Strategy (LWMS) to support development of a Local Structure Plan for their landholdings as well as surrounding land.

## 1.1 Principles and design objectives

Consistent with *State Planning Policy 2.9: Water Resources* and *Better urban water management* (WAPC, 2008), a local water management strategy is required to be submitted to support any rezoning of land in a Local Planning Scheme or adoption of a Local Structure Plan to ensure that appropriate water management strategies are identified at the appropriate time.

The position of this strategy within the state government planning framework is defined in *Better urban water management* (WAPC 2008a) and *Planning bulletin no. 92, urban water management* (WAPC 2008c) and is outlined in Figure 1.





**Figure 1: Planning framework, integrating drainage planning with the land planning process (WAPC 2008)**

In accordance with *Better urban water management* (WAPC 2008) preparation of a local structure plan is to be supported by a local water management strategy (LWMS) prepared in accordance with the department's *Interim: Developing a local water management strategy* (2008). The LWMS should demonstrate to the satisfaction of the WAPC in accordance with this plan and any approved DWMS:

- how the key principles and strategies of this plan have been addressed
- how the urban structure will address water use and management
- existing and required water management infrastructure
- detailed land requirements for water management

The principles and strategies contained within section 4 of this *Local water management strategy* will be implemented as part of detailed land use planning and development requirements and are consistent with the framework and requirements in *Better urban water management* (WAPC 2008).

This strategy provides local level water management strategies that will be addressed during subsequent stages of the planning process.

Subdivision is the next stage of the planning process and should be supported by an urban water management plan (UWMP) prepared in accordance with the department's Urban water management plan: Guidelines for preparing plans and complying with subdivision conditions (DoW 2008). The UWMP should demonstrate in accordance with this plan and any approved DWMS and/or LWMS:

- how the key principles and strategies of this plan have been addressed
- how the final urban form will use and manage water

The UWMP should present key aspects of a finalised urban water management design and commit to a final engineering design for drainage infrastructure.

Table 8 summarises the roles and responsibilities relating to implementation of this *Local water management strategy*.

## 1.2 Strategy area

The strategy area consists of approximately 120 hectares of land in the vicinity of Orton Rd, Doley Rd and Warrington Rd in Byford. The area currently comprises single dwelling rural residential lots of between 1 and 6 hectares in size.

ABN Group landholdings, within the strategy area are bound by Orton Road to the south, Doley Road to the west and Lawrence Way to the east and have an area of 21.75ha.

## 1.3 Planning background

The strategy area is zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Regional Scheme and 'Urban Development' under Serpentine-Jarrahdale Shire's Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

The study area is within the Doley / Warrington Rd Precinct of the *Byford District Structure Plan* area.

The *Byford District Structure Plan*, adopted in August 2005, provides a district level framework to guide more detailed planning for the Byford area between the proposed Tonkin Hwy and the Darling Scarp, from Thomas Rd to Cardup Siding Rd. It requires local structure plans to be prepared to provide the level of detailed planning required to facilitate subdivision and development within the district structure plan area. The Byford District Structure Plan recommends that a road reserve is provided adjacent to Brickwood Reserve, separating it from the residential area. It also recommends that public open space link is provided between

Brickwood Reserve and the multiple use corridor on Turner Road. The strategy area is within the Doley / Warrington Rd Precinct.


'The Glades' development flanks the north, west and southern boundaries of the strategy area. The local structure plan for this development was adopted in April 2011. As of mid-2015, the development is largely completed to the north and half completed to the west. No works have been undertaken south of the strategy area, where the development flanks the Cardup Brook.

## 1.4 Previous studies

A number of previous studies have been undertaken that are relevant to this strategy area. In addition to *State Planning Policy 2.9*, these documents inform the strategies and management principles contained within this Local Water Management Strategy:

- *Byford townsite drainage and water management plan* (GHD and DoW, 2008) presents a drainage and water management plan (DWMP) to address water issues in proposed development areas.
- Lower Serpentine hydrological studies
- *Local Scale Groundwater Modelling of Byford* (CyMod, 2007). Prepared for the Department of Water. Modelling was undertaken to assess any impacts from variations in climate or planned development in the strategy area which includes the Byford area.
- *Byford Floodplain Management Strategy* (SKM, 2007). Prepared for the Department of Water. A floodplain management study including two-dimensional flood modelling has been completed by SKM (2007) for DoW. A high resolution digital elevation model, created to assist flood modelling, has been made available as part of the surface water modelling outputs to supplement Landgate information.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 2: Study area location




*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8,000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

# 2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## 2.1 Key elements of the structure plan

The local structure plan will guide the subdivision and development of approximately 120 hectares in Byford. The structure plan contains:

- approximately 13.4 ha of public open space (POS);
- Multiple use corridor (MUC) through the northern portion of the structure plan, tying in with adjacent developments (3.91ha);
- 3.5 Ha for a primary school;
- a neighbourhood node; and
- a mixture of residential lots.

During the preparation of this report, the design of the local structure plan has been modified to incorporate water quality treatment and flood detention areas into the plan, based on the natural hydrology of the strategy area in order to minimise any potential environmental impacts resulting from the development. Importantly, the existing water course present on the strategy area is to be retained, rehabilitated and incorporated into a multiple use corridor as a feature of the public open space. Landscape plans are provided in Appendix 8.

## 2.2 Previous land use

Department of Planning historical mapping shows the strategy area as 'Rural' under the previous regional scheme.

Historical aerial photography from Landgate shows the land was parcelled and single dwellings built during the late 70's to early 80's. Prior to this, aerial photography indicated the land may have been used for crop farming. No further changes to land use from the 1980's onwards are indicated in the aerial photography.

Given that the strategy area has been used for largely rural activities for the past 60 years, contamination risks may exist. These risks include hydrocarbons from farm plant, legacy nutrients from farming practice and other contamination that may occur on rural land parcels. However, a search of the Department of Environment Regulation contaminated sites database shows no registered contaminated sites within or near the strategy area.


## 2.3 Finished lot levels

Finished lot levels will be developed as a part of detailed design process and presented in a future UWMP. Preliminary assessment of earthworks and drainage for the strategy area has been undertaken to inform the development of this strategy. The proposed landform of the strategy area will typically provide 1.2 m of sand over the underlying clay surface.

## 2.4 Identification of key risks

Key water resource risks to the development include:

- large parts of the strategy area are seasonally inundated or saturated by shallow groundwater with maximum groundwater level less than two metres below ground level;
- water balance assessment suggests that reduced evapotranspiration at the strategy area may result in increased groundwater levels which will require management through installation of subsoil drainage; and
- release of legacy nutrients that have been stored in the surficial soil profile through decades of agricultural land uses.




This plan is an indicative land use concept depicting one hypothetical development scenario for the land and is prepared for illustrative purposes only. It has no formal endorsement or approval status. Any lot boundaries, areas, road networks, public open space or any other land use detail depicted should be considered notional and will be subject to change as part of any subsequent formal planning approval processes. This plan remains the property of CLE.

*Source: Planning Layout outside of L.S.P. Boundary - Taylor Burrell Barnett Town Planning & Design*

*Note: This plan has been prepared at the direction of Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale and is subject to approval from the WAPC.*

0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500m


3410-44D-01 (10.05.2016), 1:5000@A3, 1:2500@A1

# SHIRE OF SERPENTINE JARRAHDALE ALTERNATIVE CONCEPT PLAN (CONSOLIDATED POS)

Orton Road, Byford

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy

## Figure 4: Land use and zoning




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

# 3 EXISTING SITE CHARACTERISTICS

## 3.1 Location and climate

Byford, where the strategy area is located, lies approximately 40 km to the south east of Perth on the Swan Coastal Plain close to the foot of the Darling Scarp. The climate is typically Mediterranean having hot summers and mild winters with low annual rainfall.

Average annual rainfall recorded at the nearest Bureau of Meteorology weather station at Cardup (no. 9137) (approx. 4 km to the south) since 1971 is 857 mm but has declined in recent years to an average of 799 mm since 1995. The minimum recorded annual rainfall was in 2010 at just 488 mm and the maximum recorded was in 1973 at 1,168 mm.

The majority of rainfall is experienced in the winter between May and September with the driest months being December to February.





**Figure 5: Climate summary data (Rainfall: Cardup, Temperature: Medina, BoM, 2015)**

The nearest weather station with a temperature record is Medina Research Centre (no. 9294) (approx. 18 km to the west). Average maximum temperatures range between 18.3°C in July and 31.5°C in February, while average minimum temperatures range between 8.2°C in July and 17.6°C in February.

## 3.2 Topography and landform

### 3.2.1 Topography

The structure plan area generally grades gently from 50m AHD in the south east to 35mAHD along the western boundary as shown in . Existing dwellings are generally elevated from the surrounding landform by as much as 1m to protect buildings and property from stormwater flooding and groundwater inundation during winter.

## 3.3 Soils and geotechnical

### 3.3.1 Surface geology and soils

The surface geology of the structure plan area is described broadly as Guildford formation: Alluvial sand and clay with shallow-marine and estuarine lenses and local basal conglomerate (WA surface geology 1:250,000 scale geological maps, Geological Survey of WA, and Geoscience Australia).

Environmental geology (soils) mapping (1:50,000 scale geological maps, Geological Survey of WA) further classifies the soils throughout the structure plan area as Sandy Clay; described as white grey to brown, fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity gravel and silt layers near scarp (Figure 6).

### 3.3.2 Acid sulfate soils

A review of Department of Environment Regulation acid sulfate soils risk mapping identifies as Class II 'low to moderate risk of acid sulfate soils (ASS) within the strategy area (Figure 7).

### 3.3.3 Geotechnical

A desktop geotechnical study has been undertaken for the strategy area by Galt Geotechnics (see Appendix 2) supported by limited fieldwork within the ABN landholdings area undertaken during September 2015.

The report finds that the strategy area is predominantly underlain by Sandy Clay, described as:

- SANDY CLAY – white-grey to brown, fine to coarse-grained, subangular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity, gravel and silt layers near scarp.

In the east of the site, there are two isolated areas of SAND and Gravelly Sandy CLAY. These are described as follows:

- SAND – white to pale grey at surface, yellow at depth, fine to medium grained, moderately sorted, subangular
- to sub-rounded; and
- Gravelly Sandy CLAY - variable, with lenses of silt and gravel, quartz sand, subangular with eolian rounded
- component, heavy minerals common, gravel rounded of colluvial origin.

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the boreholes during the field investigation.

### Predevelopment site classification

Galt Geotechnics found that based on the current state of the strategy area the following preliminary site classifications apply:

- "Class A" where the overlying sand thickness is greater than 1.8 m;
- "Class S" where the overlying sand thickness is between 0.3 m and 1.8 m;
- "Class M" where the overlying sand thickness is between 0 m and 0.3 m.


### Drainage characteristics

Galt Geotechnics indicated that the in-situ sands and sand fill at the site are suitable for the disposal of stormwater by infiltration by means of soakwells. Notwithstanding the results of the permeability testing, they recommended a design value of permeability (k) not greater than 1 m/day for the sand fill to allow for the variability in materials and reduced permeability as a consequence of:

- densification of sand during site preparation works;
- natural variation in sands; and
- clogging of the sand around soakwells and soakage basins over time with fines.

It is noted that imported sand fill may have a higher permeability. Typically an infiltration rate of 5 m/day is considered suitable for imported (yellow) sand fill.

# ABN Group: Orton Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 6: Topography and soils




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 7: Acid sulfate soils risk




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

essential environmental

## 3.4 Hydrology

### *3.4.1 Surface water resources*

The structure plan area is generally flat with gentle undulations and sits astride the boundary between two catchments of contributing watercourses to the Birrega Drain catchment (see Figure 8).

The northern portion of the structure plan area drains to a minor water course referred to in the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008) as 'tributary 7'. The watercourse traverses the strategy area east to west approximately 100 m from the northern boundary. The *Byford Townsite DWMP* proposes modification of this watercourse into a living stream embedded within a multiple use corridor and provides peak flows, levels and catchment contribution requirements for development of the surrounding land which are presented in Table 1.

The southern portion of the structure plan area drains to a small drain located within the Orton Road reserve which is to be upgraded under the Byford Townsite Development Contribution Plan. It is understood that designs have not yet been prepared for Orton Road or associated drainage.

The strategy area has been modelled using an updated version of the InfoWorks model originally developed for the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008). The model provides predevelopment peak flows and top water levels at key locations. Two key locations from the Byford Townsite DWMP are of interest; termed 7c1 located upstream of Doley Road at Tributary 7 and Location 8c located at the junction of Orton and Doley Road. These predevelopment characteristics, presented in Table 1, provide the basis for drainage designs associated with this structure plan area.

**Table 1: Predevelopment flow characteristics**

| | 1 EY | | 20% AEP | | 1% AEP | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location | Peak flow | Top water level | Peak flow | Top water level | Peak flow | Top water level |
| **Tributary 7:** | | | | | | |
| 7c1 - upstream of Doley Rd | | | 1.5 | n/a | 2.51 | 36.1 |
| **Orton Road drain:** | | | | | | |
| 8c - junction of Orton and Doley Rd | | | 3.45 | 39.3 | 8.16 | 39.3 |

*Note: All flows are expressed as m3/s and all top water levels are expressed as mAHD*

#### Surface water quality


Surface water sampling has been undertaken by JDA from August 2006 to September 2009 and the values provided in Table 2 are averages over the three year period. Sites of particular interest to this LWMS are S3 which is located on Orton Road drain upstream of its discharge into Cardup Brook, and S6 which is located on Tributary 7 downstream of the Orton/Warrington precinct.

Results show that on average total nitrogen exceeds the Swan Canning WQIP target for total nitrogen at sites S1, S2 and S3 but not the ANZECC target. Conversely, total phosphorous exceeds the ANZECC target at sites S1 and S2 but not the Swan Canning WQIP target.

**Table 2 - Pre-development average surface water quality values (JDA, 2009)**

| Parameter and unit of measurement | ANZECC values | Mean ARQ Urban Stormwater Concentration | Peel-Harvey Estuary WQIP Target for the Upper Serpentine | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Electrical conductivity (mS/cm) | 0.12-0.3 | - | - | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.54 |
| pH | 6.5-8.0 | 6.8 | - | 7.09 | 6.92 | 7.15 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 6.92 |
| Total Nitrogen (mg/L) | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.26 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.69 |
| Nitrate/Nitrite NOx as N (mg/L) | 0.15 | - | - | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0.84 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.41 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L) | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.83 | 0.43 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.32 |
| Total Phosphorus (mg/L) | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Filterable Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) | 0.04 | - | - | 0.05 | 0.026 | 0.003 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.002 |

# ABN Group: Orton Rd, Byford - environmental report
## Figure 8: Surface water resources




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason. Data source: Landgate, DoW, DPaW. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

### 3.4.2 Groundwater resources

#### Groundwater levels

The Department of Water's Perth Ground Water Atlas groundwater levels do not extend to the strategy area. However, the strategy area has been subject to a number of groundwater investigations including both monitoring and modelling in recent years.

Groundwater monitoring and modelling undertaken to support the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008) and reported therein indicates that maximum groundwater levels range from approximately 36 m at Warrington Road to 32 m at the western boundary of the strategy area. At the time of this investigation, it was suggested that these levels are representative of the regional groundwater table and that locally perched shallow groundwater exists extensively throughout Byford typically located at or near the natural surface as a result of the local geotechnical conditions (Figure 9).

More recently, integrated surface and groundwater modelling has been undertaken by the Department of Water as a part of the Lower Serpentine hydrological studies. The results of this model suggest groundwater levels that are typically 10 m higher than those presented in the DWMP and are virtually at natural surface level throughout the strategy area (Figure 10).

Groundwater level monitoring was undertaken to support development at 'The Glades' from September 2005 to August 2006. The Glades strategy area wraps around the strategy area but groundwater monitoring occurred at two locations within the strategy area. Contours based on design groundwater levels developed for the Glades LWMS are presented in Figure 11. These inferred groundwater contours largely agree with the Department of Water's recent modelling, indicating that the groundwater is at or close to the natural surface.

Figure 12 presents a cross section through the strategy area (marked by the yellow line in Figure 11) with groundwater levels from both of these sources plotted together with the surface elevation. Blue markers identify the contours derived from The Glades monitoring data, whilst the red dotted line indicates the Department of Water's modelled maximum groundwater level surface. Red and black markers indicate where the cross section intersects the strategy area boundary and landholding boundary.

#### Groundwater quality

Groundwater quality monitoring was undertaken by JDA from 2006 to 2009 monthly, the results bellow are average values of the listed parameters at each bore location over the thee year time frame. Only two of these bores are located within the strategy area (BDM 10 and BDM 11) however, the complete data set is presented in Table 2 to provide a broader understanding of groundwater quality in the vicinity.

It is noted that total nitrogen is greater than the ANZECC & ARMCANZ guideline level (1.5 mg/L) at all bores except BDM7, BDM10, BDM11 and BDM12. Interestingly, these bores are clustered within the catchment of surface water tributary 7. This catchment differs from Tributary 6, Orton Road drain (Tributarty 8) and Cardup Brook through having no extension into the hills.

Nitrogen oxide concentrations (NOx-N) were greater than the ANZECC & ARMCANZ guideline level (0.1 mg/L) at all bores. The lowest concentrations were again recorded in bores clustered around tributary 7 including BDM 10 and BDM 11 within the strategy area. The high proportion of NOx-N present at the majority of bores may be indicative of fertilizer use.

Total phosphorous concentrations exceeded the ANZECC & ARMCANZ guideline level for wetlands in south-west Australia (0.06 mg/L) at six bores (BDM1, BDM2, BDM5, BDM10, BDM 11

and BDM 12). Four of these bores, including BDM10 and BDM11 located within the strategy area, produced a single elevated sample during August 2007 whilst a fifth (BDM1) produced a single elevated sample during September 2007. However, it is likely that average values at these bores would still have exceeded guidelines had these outliers been excluded from calculations.

Filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) concentrations were less than the limit of reporting at all bores.

**Table 2: Pre-development average groundwater quality (JDA, 2009)**

| Site | EC (mS/cm) | pH | Total Nitrogen (mg/L) | NOx (as N) (mg/L) | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | Total Phosphorus | Filterable Reactive Phosphorus |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BDM1 | 0.11 | 5.34 | 3.8 | 2.44 | 1.34 | 0.4 | <0.005 |
| BDM2 | 0.3 | 6.42 | 6.4 | 5.14 | 1.29 | 0.13 | <0.005 |
| BDM3 | 0.21 | 6.25 | 8.22 | 5.9 | 2.25 | 0.04 | <0.005 |
| BDM5 | 0.37 | 5.13 | 4.26 | 4.47 | 0.55 | 0.53 | <0.005 |
| BDM6 | 1.6 | 6.49 | 2.18 | 1.66 | 0.67 | 0.05 | <0.005 |
| BDM7 | 0.28 | 5.99 | 0.93 | 0.69 | 0.27 | 0.04 | <0.005 |
| BDM8 | 0.29 | 5.65 | 3.84 | 3.96 | 0.76 | 0.05 | <0.005 |
| BDM9 | 0.63 | 6.39 | 2.04 | 1.3 | 0.39 | 0.05 | <0.005 |
| BDM10 | 0.21 | 5.74 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.37 | 0.4 | <0.005 |
| BDM11 | 0.59 | 5.81 | 0.97 | 0.74 | 0.45 | 0.47 | <0.005 |
| BDM12 | 1.28 | 5.05 | 1.2 | 0.75 | 0.43 | 0.12 | <0.005 |
| BDM13 | 0.15 | 5.51 | 1.93 | 1.5 | 0.56 | 0.07 | <0.005 |

### Groundwater use and availability

The structure plan area is within the groundwater area of Serpentine and sub-area of Byford 3. The aquifers present in this part of the sub-area are (in order of increasing depth):

- Superficial Swan
- Cattamarra Coal Measures
- Leederville

Groundwater within the Byford 3 subarea is reported to be available in the above three aquifers.

There are four current groundwater licenses within the structure plan area:

- One license (two drawpoints)within lot 3 Lawrence Way (8180kL) – No: 175890
- One license within Lot 4 Lawrence Way (10300kL) – No:156048
- One license within Lot 61 Lawrence Way (15650kL) – No:178331
- One license within Lot 47 Turner Road (5000L) – licence 178688

One of these (License No: 175890) is located within the ABN landholdings, arrangements are underway for the transfer of this license.





**Figure 9: Snapshot of groundwater level contours from *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008)**





**Figure 10: Modelled maximum groundwater level (S0 scenario) from Lower Serpentine Hydrological Studies (DoW 2012)**





**Figure 11: Inferred groundwater contours based on data presented in the Glades LWMS (JDA 2009)**





**Figure 12: Cross section through the strategy area showing natural surface, modelled maximum groundwater levels (DoW 2012) and contours derived from monitoring data (JDA 2009)**

### *3.4.3 Site water balance*

Development of land for urban uses has potential to significantly alter the local water balance, reducing evapotranspiration and changing the volumes and rates by which rainfall is stored, infiltrated and discharged from the site. These changes affect seasonal variations in shallow groundwater systems creating additional management considerations and potential impacts to adjacent wetlands or downstream systems.

Groundwater monitoring indicates the maximum groundwater level is close to or at the existing ground level surface. The general approach to groundwater management involves provision of subsoil drainage and filling of development sites to reduce the potential of surface expressions of groundwater and to control soil moisture.

A site water balance for shallow groundwater was prepared to consider the potential impact of the land use change, and how different scenarios could assist in minimising off-site impacts. The water balance model was developed using the 18 months (2007-08) of rainfall record selected to allow comparison of model results against observed groundwater levels at the site. The Jan-07 to Dec-07 record has a total annual rainfall of 879 m while the Jul-07 to Jun-08 had 1042 mm. For comparison the average total annual rainfall at Cardup since 1971 is 857 mm.

The water balance allows us to estimate the net transport of groundwater into and out of the site in the predevelopment environment by balancing evapotranspiration against the daily rainfall flux and observed groundwater levels. Once this baseline is established, we can modify the scenario to illustrate the change in land use (through addition of fill, changes to infiltration and/or installation of subsurface drainage) can have on annual hydrological fluxes. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 4 and calculation sheets are presented in Appendix 3.

Two post-development scenarios are described below and summarised in Table 5. In both developed scenarios, irrigation water is sourced from the local superficial aquifer at a demand rate of 7,500 kL/Ha of public open space. It is recognised that additional groundwater licenses will be required to meet the non-potable demands for the site. Applications for licenses to take water should be specified for superficial aquifers in the Byford 3 subarea, where groundwater is available.

**Table 3: Broad scale site water balance**

| Scenario | INPUTS | OUTPUTS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rainfall | Evapo-transpiration | Surface Drainage | Subsoil Drainage | GW Draw | Net Recharge |
| Predevelopment | 1581.4 (100%) | 615.0 (39%) | 356.4 (23%) | 0 | 65.5 (4%) | 544.5 (34%) |
| Traditional development | 1581.4 (100%) | 52.8 (3%) | 955.4 (60%) | 0 | 123.6 (8%) | 449.6 (28%) |
| Proposed development | 1581.4 (100%) | 52.8 (3%) | 356.4 (23%) | 345.4 (22%) | 123.6 (8%) | 676.8 (42%) |

Notes:

- All quantities are in ML for the 18 month model period Jan-08 to June-09
- Assumptions and predicted water levels for each model are included in Appendix 3.

### Traditional development

The post-development (traditional) scenario demonstrates that development will reduce evapotranspiration from the site through the loss of vegetation, introduction of fill increasing the depth to groundwater and the construction of buildings and other impervious areas. This scenario also results in significantly increased surface water drainage. Overall recharge reduces which, without changes to the water balance of surrounding land would result in a decline in local groundwater levels.

The assumed coverage of deep rooted trees reduces from 15% (predevelopment) to 10% to reflect clearing of the area to be used for lots, roads and POS but retention of trees in the local parklands within the development area.

### Proposed development

This scenario adopts the standard design approach used elsewhere on the Swan Coastal Plain where drainage maximises infiltration at source to result in reduced surface drainage discharge and increased recharge.

Increased evapotranspiration is achieved through retention and/or replacement of trees and other deep-rooted vegetation to maintain the predevelopment site coverage of 15%. Subsurface drainage is provided at the natural surface level to impede groundwater level rise as a consequence of increased recharge.

**Table 4: Summary of development option benefits and challenges:**

| Scenario | Benefits | Challenges |
|---|---|---|
| Traditional | • Maximises developable area<br>• Reduced reliance on subsoil drainage system | • Reduced local recharge causing changes to the groundwater levels and impact on external environment<br>• Increased annual volumes of stormwater flow to downstream environment potentially causing water quality impacts |
| Proposed development | • Water quality impacts of increased annual flows to downstream environment managed through treatment<br>• Increased recharge partially offset by tree planting | • Net increase in groundwater level; reliance on subsoil drainage<br>• Treatment of subsoil flow for discharge to downstream environment |

## 3.5 Environmental and social

The strategy area has been classified as multiple use wetland by the Department of Parks and Wildlife in its Swan Coastal Plain geomorphic wetlands database (mapped in Figure 5) identified as the Armadale Palusplain: seasonally waterlogged flat land. No otherwise classified surface water features are present within the structure plan area.

Brickwood Bushland Reserve, which is classified as a Bush Forever Site and Conservation Category Wetland, is adjacent to the eastern boundary of the structure plan but is upstream of the strategy area and therefore no surface water hydrological impacts are likely.

Cardup Brook, which is classified as a Resource Enhancement Wetland, traverses east to west for the full extent of the structure plan area approximately 350m to the south. None of the land within the structure plan area is within the catchment of Cardup Brook and therefore no hydrological impacts are likely.

Environmentally sensitive areas associated with bushfire prone areas, identified protected species and threatened ecological communities (Figure 13) within the Brickwood Reserve extend partially across the structure plan area but do not have significant implications for water management since they originate in the upstream environment.

### 3.5.1 Vegetation and flora

An environmental report has been prepared for the strategy area by Essential Environmental. The report identifies the following key findings:

*The vegetation on the site is degraded and the site does not contain any areas with an intact understorey. No Declared Rare Flora are likely to be on the site and no priority species are likely to be present. It is recommended that no further study on the site is required and therefore flora is not an impediment to the development of the area.*

*Development will require clearing of the majority of remaining vegetation on site. This vegetation is not ecologically significant; however, during the detailed design process it is recommended that any trees that can be retained in street verges, landscaped areas, parking areas and in road/entry areas should be identified and included in the detailed design plans for the area. Mature trees to be retained or transplanted must be identified and clearly and visibly marked prior to commencement of any pre-construction activities.*

There were no specific findings or recommendations for water management strategies required for protection of vegetation and flora in the strategy area

### 3.5.2 Fauna

An environmental report has been prepared for the strategy area by Essential Environmental. The report identifies the following key findings:

*Due to the degradation onsite, historic clearing activities and lack of native remnant vegetation, particularly understory, any fauna habitat is considered of low value to native fauna that may have previously utilised the site.*

*The Black Cockatoo assessment identified no trees with obvious hollows suitable for Black Cockatoo breeding (only potential breeding), with less than 1 ha of foraging habitat (only isolated trees) identified within the central portion of the site. It is therefore considered that development of the ABN landholdings is highly unlikely to have a significant impact according to the Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1.*

There were no specific findings or recommendations for water management strategies required for protection of fauna.

### 3.5.3 Aboriginal heritage

A search of the Department of Aboriginal Affairs of Western Australia aboriginal heritage enquiry system showed no registered Aboriginal sites within or adjacent to the structure plan area. The closest site is the Cardup Brook, south of the strategy area categorised as 'other heritage places: mythological'.


### 3.5.4 European heritage

A search of the State Heritage Register showed no current listing of state heritage within the structure plan area.

### 3.5.5 Infrastructure

The strategy area contains approximately 10 residential properties throughout. No other infrastructure is present in the area.

ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
Figure 13: Vegetation and bushfire prone areas




* ©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, SJ Shire, DER, DPaw. Created by: RM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

essential environmental

# 4 WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

Water management strategies for the strategy area are informed by statutory requirements, relevant policies, by-laws and guidelines.

The following proposed strategies adapt previous objectives identified in the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW, 2008) and the *Byford floodplain management strategy* (SKM, 2007) to provide strategies that address site specific risks and opportunities.

## 4.1 Water sustainability initiatives

- Ensure the efficient use of all water resources in the newly-developing urban form and aim to achieve highest value use of fit-for-purpose water;
- maintain opportunities for future generations by using water more efficiently.

### *4.1.1 Post development water demands*

A broad scale water demand model has been developed, using Essential Environmental's Water Balance Tool, to identify the various post-development water demands from residential and non-residential areas. Summary results of this water balance modelling are presented in Table 5 and details are provided in Appendix 4.

The analysis is based on the development comprising 1,567 traditional lots (average lot size of 386m2) and 341 cottage lots (average lot size of 245m2), with an approximate population of 4,900 residents. Analysis assumes the use of standard water efficiency measures within households and irrigation of up-to 25% of the total lot area consistent with current State Government policies (watering for 2 days per week through summer).

These demands are consistent with a target of less than100 kilolitres per person per year which is expressed in the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008). The most significant non-drinking water demand for the development (public open space irrigation) is proposed to be sourced from groundwater. Other non-drinking water demands include toilets and washing machines in homes and garden irrigation. Although there is no proposal to provide for these demands through a non-drinking water scheme there remains potential for significant reductions in scheme water use to be achieved through resident uptake of rainwater tanks plumbed into toilets and washing machines and/or greywater recycling systems to meet irrigation demands.

**Table 5: Annual summary water demands**

| Scenario | Drinking | Non-drinking | Total |
|---|---|---|---|
| Residential (kL) | 164,729 | 270,351 | 435,080 |
| Commercial (kL) | 7,287 | 4,858 | 12,145 |
| Public Open Space (kL) | - | 70,140 | 11,362 |
| Total (kL) | 172,016 | 275,209 | 69,117 |
| Estimated Household Consumption (kL/person/year) | 34 | 60 | 89 |

### Drinking water supply

The strategy area is located in an area served by the Water Corporation's integrated water supply scheme. All dwellings will be connected reticulated drinking water distribution network.

### Non-drinking water supply

Groundwater will be used as the primary non-drinking water source for public open space irrigation. Additional groundwater licenses will be required to implement this strategy, as outlined in section 3.4.3.

Analysis of the various water sources available within the strategy area suggests that there is sufficient 'light-grey' (showers and wash-hand basins) water to supply approximately 75% of the predicted domestic irrigation demand (see Table 6). Similarly; provision of a 5 kL rainwater tank for each traditional residential lot and 2.5 kL rainwater tank for each cottage lot could supply approximately 75% of the in-house domestic non-drinking water demand (toilets and washing machines).

It is proposed that developments in the strategy area will provide advice and incentives to future lot-purchasers to inform them of the opportunities for grey-water and rainwater use and promote the uptake of water saving initiatives. These will include:

- Provision of information and advice for the purchase and installation of rainwater tanks and grey water systems
- Provision of waterwise gardening packages and advice

A summary of non-drinking water requirements and availability for the site, relevant source, and volume demand is presented in Table 6 below.

**Table 6: Annual non-drinking water demands, sources and volumes**

| Non-drinking water demand | Source | Volume required (kL) | Volume available (kL) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Domestic - irrigation | Domestic greywater | 135,960 | 102,137 |
| Domestic – in house | Rainwater | 134,391 | 30?? |
| Public Open Space | Groundwater | 70,140 | 70,140* |
| **TOTAL (permanent)** | | **340,491** | |
| Temporary (construction & dust suppression) | Groundwater | X | |

*Additional groundwater licenses will be required, as per section 3.4.3

### Wastewater management

The strategy area is located in an area served by the Water Corporation's integrated sewerage scheme and all dwellings will be connected to a reticulated sewerage network.

## 4.2 Stormwater management

The key objectives for surface water management are:

- Protection of wetlands and waterways from the impacts of urban runoff
- Protection of infrastructure and assets from flooding and inundation

The following planning measures are adopted from the DWMP to achieve the above objectives:

- Residential, industrial or commercial premises in existing or proposed areas must have their floor levels elevated 500 mm above the 1% AEP flood level in the MUC and 300 mm above the 1% AEP flood level in the local drainage system
- The design of the new urban areas should incorporate current best practice in water-sensitive urban design to mitigate the impacts of urbanisation in the catchments on regional water quantity and quality.
- For the first 15 mm of rainfall, the post-development discharge volume and peak flow rates shall be maintained relative to predevelopment conditions in all parts of the catchment.
- The catchment runoff shall be managed up to and including the1% AEP event within the development area to predevelopment peak flow rates.
- Water-sensitive urban design and best management practices promoting on-site retention of the first 15 mm of rainfall form the basis of the surface water quantity management strategy for minor events.

### *4.2.1 Small event management*

The development will retain no less than the first 15 mm of rainfall on-site within lots and streets.

Residential lots will be required to install soakwells with grated lids within the front setback, which will be graded to allow for runoff into the street when the capacity of soakwells is exceeded.

Streets and commercial areas will be required to provide for on-site retention within raingardens and tree-pits within the streetscape. These systems are expected to provide for infiltration of the first 15 mm of rainfall which will be ultimately discharged via subsoil drainage into the downstream environment to prevent local groundwater levels from rising and becoming a nuisance to the future community.

Modelling, based on a robust unit-hydrograph method assuming a typical infiltration rate of 5 m/day for imported sand fill suggests that soakwell or raingarden capacity of 0.01 $m^3/m^2$ of connected impervious area is required on lots and streets. This equates to three 1.2m diameter, 0.6 m deep soakwells for a typical 300 $m^2$ lot and 2 $m^2$ of raingarden/treepit (with 300 mm detention depth) per 100 $m^2$ of road reserve.

While the Galt Geotechnics report recommends an infiltration rate of 1 m/day (section 3.3.3), a rate of 5 m/day was used in modelling to reflect the likely infiltration rate of imported sand fill which is proposed for the site. Drainage structures (soakwells and raingardens) will be located within this imported fill and subsoil drainage will be installed to prevent groundwater level rise and manage soil moisture.

Indicative locations for small event management are presented in Figure 14. These indicative locations provide a greater area than will ultimately be required as presented in Table 6.

These locations will be refined at future stages of planning and design and the excess allocation at this stage provides confidence that sufficient area can be ultimately provided.

Typical raingarden and tree-pit arrangements are presented in Figure 15.

**Table 7: Raingarden requirements (interim and ultimate)**

| | Interim (ABN landholdings) area | Strategy area |
|---|---|---|
| Total land area ($m^2$) | 215,200 | 1,205,000 |
| Road reserve area ($m^2$) | 57,600 | 367,300 |
| Required raingarden area ($m^2$) | 1,152 | 7,346 |
| Raingarden area indicated ($m^2$) | 6,000 | 27,000 |

### *4.2.2 Minor event management*

Stormwater in excess of the capacity of on-site retention systems will be conveyed through a combination of piped and overland drains to discharge into a rehabilitated living stream within the northern multiple use corridor or the drain within Orton Road reserve.

Surface conveyance will be used wherever possible, with piped drains used only where gutter flows are predicted to exceed stipulated standards and where swale drains cannot be reasonably accommodated. This will include the utilisation of flush kerbing adjacent to public open space areas where practical. Broken kerbing may also be considered as an alternative.

Critical flowpaths with peak flows and top water levels for the minor event are presented in Figure 16.

### *4.2.3 Floodplain (major event) management*

Major flood events will be conveyed in drains and road reserves to join major flowpaths in the multiple use corridor or Orton Road.

Critical flowpaths with peak flows and top water levels for the major event are presented in Figure 17.

### *4.2.4 Stormwater modelling and design*

Stormwater modelling undertaken to support the preparation of the Doley/Warrington precinct structure plan was guided by the following key design criteria:

- Peak discharge and flood levels are not to exceed the figures provided in the DWMP at key locations post-development
- Flood storage is to be provided in the Multiple Use Corridor (MUC) and along the current waterway alignment
- The first 15 mm of rainfall from lots is to be infiltrated on site
- The first 15 mm of rainfall from roads is to be treated on site using bio-retention structures and infiltrated in the road reserve
- Swales to be provided where possible within road reserves (typically where the reserve is larger than 20m)
- The minor drainage system to be designed to convey runoff generated from the 20% AEP (5yr ARI) rainfall event.

Three land use scenarios were modelled during the study:

- Existing land use (existing)

- ABN landholdings 'interim development' scenario
- Ultimate development in the Doley/Warrington precinct

Details of stormwater modelling undertaken including parameters, subcatchments and conceptual cross sections are presented in in Appendix 5.

Each of the three modelled scenarios was run with the 1% AEP (100yr ARI) and 20% AEP (5yr ARI) storm events with a range of durations to obtain a worst cast (critical) duration for both top water level and peak flow at each location.

Two key locations from the Byford Townsite DWMP are of interest; termed 7c1 located upstream of Doley Road at Tributary 7 and Location 8c located at the Junction of Orton and Doley Road. These locations, at the downstream boundary of the structure plan area enable a direct comparison of the modelled scenarios. A summary of results from the model runs are provided in Table 7. Volumes detained at key locations at the site during the 1% AEP event are presented in Table 9 below.

**Table 8: Peak flow and flood levels at key locations**

| Event | 1% AEP | | | | | | 20% AEP | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Existing (DWMP) | | Interim | | Ultimate | | Existing (DWMP) | | Interim | | Ultimate | |
| Location | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL |
| 7C1 | 4.46 | 37.2 | 2.55 | 36.1 | 2.46 | 36.8 | 1.87 | 37.1 | 1.55 | 36.1 | 1.11 | 36.6 |
| 8C | 9.28 | 39.4 | 7.40 | 39.3 | 4.49 | 39.2 | 3.51 | 39.3 | 2.34 | 39.2 | 1.43 | 39.2 |

*Note: All flows are expressed as m3/s and all top water levels are expressed as mAHD*


### Staging and interim provisions

Lots under the ownership of ABN Group (Figure 1) are proposed to be developed in advance of the balance of the strategy area. These landholdings will be the subject of a future urban water management plan to be prepared to support their subdivision.

The multiple use corridor; where the bulk of major and minor storm event management is proposed to occur, is located outside of the ABN Group landholdings. An interim solution is proposed to enable development of these lots prior to the creation of the multiple use corridor. Peak flow rates and top water levels at critical locations are presented as the "interim" model scenario in Table 8.

Lots along the northern boundary of the landholdings will be retained in ABN Group ownership and a cut-off drain will be provided to convey stormwater discharges from the site towards Doley Road. An easement in favour of the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale will be placed over this temporary drain until the Multiple Use Corridor is developed. From here, an open drain within the road reserve will convey flows to join 'tributary 7'. Figure 18 presents the conceptual design and modelling results for this interim stormwater management system.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 14: Small event stormwater management system





*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 6,500 at A4

0 130m


N

essential environmental





## BIO-RETENTION BASIN

Scale - 1:100




A SECTION

Scale - 1:50




B SECTION

Scale - 1:50

# Typical Detail
# BIO-RETENTION BASIN

© 2014. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and our client make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.

| ISSUE | DATE | DESIGN | CHECK |
|---|---|---|---|
| B | 08 DEC 2015 | BM | HB |

DATA SOURCES


A3 SCALE 1:100

0 2 4m

Projection: MGA50 | Datum: AHD

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 16: Minor event (20% AEP) stormwater management system




*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 6,500 at A4

0 130m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 17: Major event (1% AEP) stormwater management system




*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.


Scale 1: 6,500 at A4

0 130m

N

essential environmental

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy
## Figure 18: Interim stormwater management system




*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 6,500 at A4

0 130m

N

essential environmental

## 4.3 Groundwater management

The key objectives for groundwater management are:

- Protecting infrastructure and assets from flooding and inundation by high seasonal groundwater levels, perching and/or soil moisture.
- Protecting groundwater dependent ecosystems from the impacts of urban runoff.
- Managing and minimising changes in groundwater levels and groundwater quality following development/redevelopment.

Key design criteria:

- Controlled groundwater level (inverts of subsoil drains) will be the maximum recorded groundwater level or the natural surface whichever is the lower.
- The bio-retention system and drainage inverts are set at or above controlled groundwater level although existing inverts below the level may remain.
- Subsurface drainage must be designed with free-draining outlets.

### *4.3.1 Groundwater modelling and design*

While the Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan (developed by the Department of Water in 2008) has previously been utilised to define required minimum separation distance between groundwater and finished lot levels for developments in Byford, this local water management strategy proposes to use the new IPWEA specification; *Separation distances for groundwater controlled urban development*.

A 1-dimensional groundwater model was constructed for a typical cross section through back to back residential lots with subsoil drains located in adjacent road verges. The model includes the following characteristics and assumptions:

- Raingardens are provided in the verge to retain and infiltrate the first 15 mm of rainfall from the road reserve.
- Soakwells are provided in the front setback of lots to retain and infiltrate the first 15 mm of rainfall from roof's and driveways.
- Subsoil drains are located at the natural surface level and in-situ soils are assumed to be impervious.
- Imported sand fill used to create the finished surface for the development has a hydraulic conductivity of 5 m/day.
- The modelled cross section is presented in Figure 25.
- Zero recharge is experienced directly beneath impervious surfaces but the first 15 mm of rainfall from these areas is redirected to adjacent cells modelled as containing raingardens or soakwells.
- Recharge from soakwells is assumed to be 100%.
- Recharge from raingardens is assumed to be 90%.
- 30 years of future wet climate rainfall obtained from the Department of Water was run through the model to enable generation of annual exceedance probability (AEP) heights for the phreatic surface between subsoil drains.

Modelling demonstrates that the 50% AEP phreatic surface reaches the following heights above the subsoil drain invert (Controlled Groundwater Level) at various locations of interest:

- 0.7 m in backyards (furthest point from the subsoil drain)
- 0.6 m in front setbacks (beneath soakwells)
- 0.5 m in verges (beneath raingardens)

Figure 26 presents the complete modelled time-series results at key locations within the cross section demonstrating that the minimum recommended 300 mm separation from the 50% AEP phreatic surface level to the base of raingardens can be achieved with provision of just 700mm of sand beneath the base of the raingarden over the underlying clay surface. Allowing 300 mm detention depth for the raingardens results in a total requirement of 1.0m of sand over clay.

Details of groundwater modelling undertaken are presented in in Appendix 6.

## 4.4 Water quality management

Site specific targets have been proposed for estuarine catchments of the Swan Coastal Plain as a part of background work undertaken by the Department of Water during the development of the UNDO water quality modelling tool. The targets were developed based on consideration of the sensitivity of the receiving water body and the proximity of the development site. Applying this approach, the Doley/Warrington precinct would lie outside of the suggested 'proximity zones' of the estuarine portion (>1000 m) of the downstream waterways and the Cardup Brook conservation category wetland (>500 m). This would indicate that the following targets could be applied:

- 3.6 kg/ha/yr of Nitrogen
- 0.2 kg/ha/yr of Phosphorous

### *4.4.1 Water quality modelling and design*

UNDO (Urban Nutrient Decision Outcomes) is a simple empirical decision support model with a flexible framework that evaluates nutrient reduction decisions for new urban developments on the Swan Coastal Plain. It has been developed by the Department of Water to provide urban development proponents with an easy to use tool for assessment by local and state government authorities.

An UNDO model has been developed for the site with two sub-regions identified (1: Residential & POS and 2: Road reserve & commercial). These sub-regions have been defined as recommended by the type of drainage strategy proposed for each. Residential and public open space areas are assumed to be served by on-site soakage with subsoil drainage but no piped connection to stormwater drainage. Commercial areas and road reserves are provided with piped stormwater drainage.

Results of UNDO modelling, in the form of a report which is generated by the software containing details of all assumptions and inputs, are provided in Appendix 7.

The outcomes of UNDO modelling indicate that on-site retention of the first 15 mm of rainfall within soakwells on lots and raingardens in streets will provide discharge loads of

- 1.94 kg/year/hectare of total nitrogen
- 0.18 kg/year/hectare of total phosphorous

These loads are within recommended targets for developments within estuarine catchments of the Swan Coastal Plain that have been developed for a discussion paper as a part of supporting information for the UNDO modelling tool by the Department of Water. The recommended target export loads for areas outside the proximity zone (>2000 m from the estuarine portion of the river) are 3.6 kg/ha/yr of Nitrogen and 0.20 kg/ha/yr of Phosphorous.

It is noted that groundwater quality data reported for the site and surrounds suggests the presence of high levels of legacy nutrients within the soils and local groundwater. The introduction of subsoil drainage to control groundwater has the potential to mobilise these legacy nutrients.

To manage the risk of legacy nutrient mobilisation and prevent any potential impacts to threatened ecological communities in the Brickwood Reserve from lowering of groundwater levels, it is proposed that the controlled groundwater level will be set at no more than 300 mm below natural surface. This will allow for the removal of 300 mm of topsoil prior to compaction, grading and laying of subsoil drains in a layer of clean imported fill as discussed in section 4.3. This strategy will minimise the export of in-situ groundwater predominantly draining newly infiltrated stormwater from above.

## 4.5 Management of disease vectors and nuisance insects

The presence of permanent or seasonal water bodies close to residential areas provides the potential for Mosquitos and Chironomid Midges to become a nuisance to the resident population.

This strategy does not propose to construct any new permanent or semi-permanent water bodies. However, the construction of above ground water quality treatment systems is proposed. These systems will need to be designed to minimise detention times throughout the year.

This strategy also proposes to construct new surface drainage and retain and restore natural watercourses in the strategy area. New surface drainage systems will be designed to minimise standing water and natural water courses will be rehabilitated to provide healthy functioning ecosystems that will support natural predators to assist in controlling populations of nuisance insects.

Additionally, there are constructed and natural water courses and water bodies in surrounding land where there is potential for mosquitoes and midges to breed. This strategy cannot influence the design or management of water systems in surrounding land areas but is required to recognise their presence and propose strategies that can assist with managing their potential impacts on the developing land and future community.

Physical, chemical and biological control methods can be used to manage mosquito populations. Methods which are likely to be employed (and their order of priority) include:

### Physical

Engineering and Landscape design and construction will aim not to create any new permanent or semi-permanent water bodies. There will be no standing water bodies within stage 8.

### Biological

Improved water quality is a key way that nuisance insects can be managed by minimising nutrient loads and thereby reducing potential for algal blooms and through encouraging and sustaining healthy populations of predator species including fish and dragonflies. Subsoil drainage flows and minor event stormwater discharges will pass through water quality treatment areas prior to entering permanent or semi-permanent water bodies.

#### Chemical

Should Mosquitos and Chironomid Midges become a nuisance, pesticides (larvicides and/or adulticides) will be used as required to kill mosquito larvae in breeding sites.

## 4.6 Dieback management

The risk of spreading dieback (*Phytophthora cinnamomi*) has been considered as part of the design of the stormwater management system, to reduce the potential for spreading the disease from Brickwood Reserve.

Figure 19 presents local hydrological information associated with the Brickwood Reserve at its boundary adjacent to the strategy area.

A drain exists along the eastern side of the Recreation Road reserve which is an unmade road along the boundary of Brickwood Reserve. This drain conveys runoff from the Brickwood Reserve to the north to join the watercourse identified as "Tributary 6" in the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008) and *The Glades LWMS* (JDA 2009). This tributary does not enter the strategy area although a small portion of the strategy area, to the east of Warrington Road drains into it.


The future development of this land and creation of Recreation Road has the potential to provide more frequent surface water discharges from dieback affected areas in the Reserve into the downstream environment. For this reason, future development in this area should avoid disturbance of the existing drain if possible. Construction of a new drainage system to serve the new road and the portion of the Tributary 6 catchment within the strategy area is proposed to avoid contamination of the system and downstream watercourse.

There is a second drain along the southern side of the Turner Road reserve. This drain conveys runoff from the southern portion of the Brickwood Reserve to the west to join the watercourse identified as "Tributary 7" in the *Byford Townsite DWMP* (DoW 2008) and *The Glades LWMS* (JDA 2009). This tributary enters the strategy area and is contained within the central multiple use corridor. No developing land drains into this drain.

The future development of land downstream of Brickwood Reserve is not expected to increase the frequency of discharge from dieback affected areas within the Reserve. This is because the strategy area is entirely downstream of any discharge from the Reserve and there are no works proposed within Turner Road or its reserve upstream of the Brickwood Reserve boundary.

# ABN Group: Doley Rd, Byford - Local Water Management Strategy

## Figure 19: Brickwood Bushland Reserve hydrology and proposed dieback management area




*©2015. While Essential Environmental has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, Essential Environmental and ABN Group make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. Essential Environmental and client cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.

Data source: Landgate, ABN Group, DoP. Created by: BM. Projection: MGA: zone 50.

Scale 1: 8,000 at A4

0 160m

N

essential environmental

# 5 IMPLEMENTATION

## 5.1 Pre-development monitoring

It is considered that there is very little value in additional groundwater level monitoring prior to construction. Previous observations of groundwater at the strategy area correlate well to district scale modelling undertaken by the Department of Water and suggest that groundwater level coincides with the natural surface throughout the strategy area. The close correlation of both modelled and monitored data gives no indication that more detail is warranted.

In addition to the previously collected groundwater quality data it is proposed to undertake quarterly snapshot sampling at the two existing bores located within the strategy area which will be reported in a subsequent Urban Water Management Plan. This data, in conjunction with data previously collected in support of The Glades LWMS will be used to develop appropriate trigger values for assessment of post-development water quality.

## 5.2 Post-development monitoring

A post-development monitoring program will be designed in cooperation with Serpentine-Jarrahdale Shire and the Department of Water, and in accordance with the Department of Water's *Water monitoring guidelines for better urban water management strategies and plans* (2012). The monitoring program will be outlined in full in a subsequent UWMP and should include the following as a minimum:

### Surface water

Surface water monitoring sites should be selected to address the key objectives of site specific post-development monitoring. Monitoring should include:

- Flow
- Quality
- Visual inspection and photographic record of drainage outlets and water quality treatment systems. Any outflows observed at these locations during inspection should be sampled opportunistically to coincide with other sampling.
- Visual inspection and photographic record of overland flowpaths to detect the occurrence of any maintenance and management issues such as the deposition of waste, sediment, and the presence of mosquitoes or algal growth.

Surface water sampling should be undertaken during August to October to capture peak winter baseflows, and in March to capture the first baseflows post-summer. Surface water samples should be submitted to a NATA-accredited laboratory in accordance with Australian Standards and analysed for the following parameters:

- In situ pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen, temperature;
- pH
- Total suspended solids (TSS);
- Total nitrogen (TN) and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN)
- Ammonium (NH4);
- Nitrate and nitrite (NOx-N);
- Total phosphorous (TP); and
- Filterable reactive phosphorous (FRP).

The following additional parameters should be included in the laboratory analysis on an annual basis:

- Major anions (chloride, bromide and sulphate);
- Major cations (calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium); and
- Iron (Fe) and aluminium (Al).

### Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring sites should be selected to address the key objectives of site specific post-development monitoring. Monitoring should include both levels and quality.

Monitoring of groundwater levels and the collection of groundwater samples should be undertaken on a quarterly basis. Groundwater samples should be submitted to a NATA-accredited laboratory in accordance with Australian Standards and analysed for the following parameters:

- In situ pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen, temperature;
- pH
- Total suspended solids (TSS);
- Total nitrogen (TN) and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN);
- Ammonium (NH4);
- Nitrate and nitrite (NOx-N);
- Total phosphorous (TP); and
- Filterable reactive phosphorous (FRP).

The following additional parameters should be included in the laboratory analysis on an annual basis:

- Major anions (chloride, bromide and sulphate);
- Major cations (calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium); and
- Iron (Fe) and aluminium (Al).

Post-development monitoring will be undertaken for a period of three (3) years. Commencement will be scheduled to include at least one (1) year following completion of the majority (80%) of development. The results of post-development monitoring will be reported to Serpentine-Jarrahdale Shire and the Department of Water on an annual basis, in a manner acceptable to each.

## 5.3 Delivery

Key tasks, roles and responsibilities relating to delivery of urban water management objectives are outlined in Table 8.

**Table 9: summary of roles and responsibilities**

| Task | Responsibility | Planning stage |
|---|---|---|
| Potable water supply planning and connection to main distribution network | Water Corporation | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Design of water distribution networks | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Water and wastewater planning and connection to main distribution networks | Water Corporation | Subdivision (UWMP) |

| Task | Responsibility | Planning stage |
|---|---|---|
| Design of wastewater reticulation networks | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Design of drainage networks including design of water quality treatment areas | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Development of detailed Landscaping plan incorporating stormwater management strategies | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Obtain bed and banks permit | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Obtain groundwater allocation licenses | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Confirmation of ongoing management and maintenance requirements and agreement with Shire for handover of responsibilities | Landowner/ developer/ Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale | Subdivision (UWMP) |
| Confirmation of post-development water quality monitoring program (including bore locations) and reporting | Landowner/ developer | Subdivision (UWMP) |

# APPENDIX 1 – LWMS CHECKLIST

| Local water management strategy Item | Deliverable | ☑ | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Executive summary** | | | |
| Summary of the development design strategy, outlining how the design objectives are proposed to be met | Table 1: Design elements & requirements for BMPs and critical control points | ☑ | |
| **Introduction** | | | |
| Total water cycle management – principles & objectives<br>Planning background<br>Previous studies | | ☑ | |
| **Proposed development** | | | |
| Structure plan, zoning and land use.<br>Key landscape features<br>Previous land use | Site context plan<br>Structure plan | ☑<br>☑ | |
| Landscape - proposed POS areas, POS credits, water source, bore(s), lake details (if applicable) | Landscape Plan | ☑ | |
| **Design criteria** | | | |
| Agreed design objectives | | ☑ | |
| **Pre-development environment** | | | |
| Existing information and more detailed assessments (monitoring). How do the site characteristics affect the design? | | ☑ | |
| Site Conditions - existing topography/ contours, aerial photo underlay, major physical features | Site condition plan | ☑ | |
| Geotechnical - topography, soils including acid sulphate soils and infiltration capacity, test pit locations | Geotechnical plan | ☑ | |
| Environmental - areas of significant vegetation, wetlands and buffers, waterways and buffers, contaminated sites | Environmental Plan plus supporting data where appropriate | ☑ | |
| Surface Water – topography, 100 year floodways and flood fringe areas, water quality of flows entering and leaving (if applicable) | Surface Water Plan | ☑ | |
| Groundwater – topography, pre development groundwater levels and water quality, test bore locations | Groundwater Plan plus details of groundwater monitoring and testing | ☑ | |
| **Water sustainability initiatives** | | | |
| Water supply & efficiency measures – private and public open spaces | | ☑ | |
| Fit-for-purpose strategy and agreed actions. If non-potable supply, support with water balance | | ☑ | |
| Wastewater management | | ☑ | |
| **Stormwater management strategy** | | | |
| Flood protection - peak flow rates, volumes and top water levels at control points,100 year flow paths and100 year detentions storage areas | major event Plan<br>Long section of critical points | ☑<br>☑ | |
| Manage serviceability - storage and retention required for the critical 5 year ARI storm events Minor roads should be passable in the 5 year ARI event | minor event Plan | ☑ | |

| Local water management strategy Item | Deliverable | ☑ | Comments |
|---|---|---|---|
| Protect ecology – detention areas for the 1 yr 1 hr ARI event, areas for water quality treatment and types of (including indicative locations for) agreed structural and non-structural best management practices and treatment trains. Protection of waterways, wetlands (and their buffers), remnant vegetation and ecological linkages | small event Plan<br>Typical cross sections | ☑<br>☑ | |
| **Groundwater management strategy** | | | |
| Post development groundwater levels and fill requirements (including existing and likely final surface levels), outlet controls, and any subsoils | Groundwater/subsoil Plan | ☑ | |
| Actions to address acid sulfate soils or contamination | | ☑ | |
| **The next stage – subdivision and urban water management plans** | | | |
| Content and coverage of future urban water management plans to be completed at subdivision. Include areas where further investigations are required prior to detailed design. | | ☑ | |
| **Monitoring** | | | |
| Recommended future monitoring plan including timing, frequency, locations and parameters, together with arrangements for ongoing actions | | ☑ | |
| **Implementation** | | | |
| Developer commitments | | ☑ | |
| Roles, responsibilities, funding for implementation | | ☑ | |
| Review | | ☑ | |

# APPENDIX 2 – GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

# APPENDIX 3 – WATER BALANCE MODELLING REPORT

# APPENDIX 4 – WATER DEMANDS ANALYSIS

# APPENDIX 5 – STORMWATER MODELLING DETAILS

## Introduction and background

Stormwater modelling undertaken to support the preparation of the Doley/Warrington precinct structure plan was guided by the following key design criteria:

- Peak discharge and flood levels are not to exceed the figures provided in the DWMP at key locations post-development
- Flood storage is to be provided in the Multiple Use Corridor (MUC) and along the current waterway alignment
- The first 15 mm of rainfall from lots is to be infiltrated on site
- The first 15 mm of rainfall from roads is to be treated on site using bio-retention structures and infiltrated in the road reserve
- Swales to be provided where possible within road reserves (typically where the reserve is larger than 20m)
- The minor drainage system to be designed to convey runoff generated from the 20% AEP (5yr ARI) rainfall event.

Hydrological and hydraulic modelling was conducted using InfoWorks ICM. InfoWorks utilises a number of rainfall runoff generation methodologies and routs excess runoff through a proposed drainage system.

## Hydrological modelling

Three land use scenarios were modelled during the study. The scenarios included:

- Existing land use (existing)
- ABN landholdings 'interim development' scenario
- Ultimate development in the Doley/Warrington precinct

The existing scenario modelled catchments and loss parameters replicate the parameters utilised in the Byford Townsite DWMP.

For the interim development scenario, a detailed storm water drainage model was constructed for the area covered by the ABN land holdings. The modelling was also used to size a piped drainage network for the development site. This model was nested within the existing scenario model.

Ultimate development scenario modelling was conducted to understand peak flow and flood levels at key locations post ultimate development of the entire Doley/Warrington precinct. This model also included the ABN land holding development scenario model embedded within the overall structure plan area model.

### *Land use parameterisation*

Land use categories and surface type parameters used in modelling are presented in Table 9. The first flush (15mm) rainfall will be captured at the lot and be infiltrated to the groundwater aquifer. The first flush was modelled as an initial loss.

**Table 10: Modelled surface types**

| Runoff surface type | Initial loss (mm) | Runoff coefficient |
|---|---|---|
| Pervious surfaces: | | |
| Upper forest | 0 | 0.2 |
| Rural pasture(Slow Infiltration) | 10 | 4mm/hr infiltration |
| Urban Pervious (Slow Infiltration) | 10 | 4mm/hr infiltration |
| Garden Pervious | 10 | 0.2 |
| Public open space | 10 | 0.6 |
| Verge | 10 | 0.8 |
| Impervious surfaces | | |
| Existing Impervious | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Constructed Impervious | 15 | 1.0 |
| Roof | 15 | 1.0 |
| Hardstand/paving | 15 | 0.7 |
| Road | 15 | 1.0 |

### *Subcatchment delineation*

Subcatchments for each of the development scenarios were delineated with consideration of the following factors:

- Site topography,
- Local Structure Plan layouts,
- Previous studies,
- Existing watercourse alignment,
- Existing drainage structures, and
- Proposed road layouts.

Catchments for the existing case scenario were largely adopted from the Byford Townsite DWMP with some minor modifications and corrections.

For the interim and ultimate development scenarios the DWMP subcatchments were removed from the areas covered by the Local Structure Plan and replaced with detailed catchments taking into consideration the proposed lot layout, roads, POS and drainage layout. For areas of the Local Structure Plan in ABN ownership an increased level of detail was possible.

Catchment delineation for each of the modelled scenarios is presented in Figure 20, Figure 21 and Figure 22.



**Figure 20: Model layout - existing system**




**Figure 21: Model layout – interim development**




**Figure 22: Model layout - ultimate system**

## Hydraulic modelling

The runoff excess generated from each catchment was routed through existing and proposed hydraulic structures towards the catchment outlet using InfoWorks ICM.

Bioretention systems, piped drainage and roadside or median swales will be provided to drain runoff towards the catchment outlet.

The existing 'tributary 7' watercourse will be rehabilitated and restored to form a living stream embedded within the Multiple Use Corridor (MUC). Riffles will be constructed to assist with the management of erosive velocities during flood events and stormwater quality during low flows.

Detailed drainage system layouts for each of the modelled scenarios are presented in Figure 20, Figure 21 and Figure 22.

### *Details of proposed structures*

It is proposed that the first 15mm of rainfall will be captured an infiltrated in lots. Similarly, the first 15 mm of rainfall from road reserves will also be captured for treatment in roadside bioretention systems. A combination of open and piped stormwater drainage is proposed to convey runoff generated from the 20% AEP (5yr ARI) storm event including roadside or median swales along Orton, Warrington and Doley Roads.

Flow attenuation will be provided in the MUC and current watercourse. Permeable riffles will be provided allow slow conveyance of baseflows and minor storms whilst allowing for the free flow of more significant events. The storage provided by riffles will assist with provision of detention capacity during major storm events. Cross sections of proposed channels are provided in the following figures.

### *Interim development*

The interim development solution proposes to construct an open cut-off drain along the northern boundary of the ABN landholdings to capture discharges from each of the north-south streets. This drain conveys flows towards an area of public open space adjacent to Doley Road where it joins the MUC via an existing drain in the Doley road reserve.

Lots along this boundary will be retained for this purpose until development proceeds in the remainder of the structure plan area.

## Model results

Each of the three modelled scenarios was run with the 1% AEP (100yr ARI) and 20% AEP (5yr ARI) storm events with a range of durations to obtain a worst cast (critical) duration for both top water level and peak flow at each location.

Two key locations from the Byford Townsite DWMP are of interest; termed 7c1 located upstream of Doley Road at Tributary 7 and Location 8c located at the Junction of Orton and Doley Road. These locations, at the downstream boundary of the structure plan area enable a direct comparison of the modelled scenarios. A summary of results from the model runs are provided in Table 10.





**Figure 23: Swale cross section on arterial roads**





**Figure 24: MUC channel cross section**

**Table 11: Peak flow and flood levels at key locations**

| Event | 1% AEP | | | | | | 20% AEP | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Existing (DWMP) | | Interim | | Ultimate | | Existing (DWMP) | | Interim | | Ultimate | |
| Location | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL | Q | TWL |
| 7C1 | 4.46 | 37.2 | 2.55 | 36.1 | 2.46 | 36.8 | 1.87 | 37.1 | 1.55 | 36.1 | 1.11 | 36.6 |
| 8C | 9.28 | 39.4 | 7.40 | 39.3 | 4.49 | 39.2 | 3.51 | 39.3 | 2.34 | 39.2 | 1.43 | 39.2 |

*Note: All flows are expressed as m3/s and all top water levels are expressed as mAHD*

# LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS

*Interim development scenario, Multiple Use Corridor*



**20% AEP:**

**1% AEP:**


*Interim development scenario, Doley Road Drain*



**20% AEP:**

**1% AEP:**


*Ultimate development scenario, Multiple Use Corridor*



**20% AEP:**

**1% AEP:**

*Ultimate development scenario, Doley Road Drain*



**20% AEP:**

**1% AEP:**

# APPENDIX 6 – GROUNDWATER MODELLING RESULTS

Groundwater modelling was undertaken using Essential Environmental's Shallow Aquifer Management Model (SammEE). The model allows consideration of groundwater levels in a developing area to facilitate the development of earthworks and subsoil drainage strategies.

A 1-dimensional groundwater model was constructed using SammEE for a typical cross section through back to back residential lots with subsoil drains located in adjacent road verges. The model includes the following characteristics and assumptions:

- Raingardens are provided in the verge to retain and infiltrate the first 15 mm of rainfall from the road reserve.
- Soakwells are provided in the front setback of lots to retain and infiltrate the first 15 mm of rainfall from roof's and driveways.
- Subsoil drains are located at the natural surface level and in-situ soils are assumed to be impervious
- Imported sand fill used to create the finished surface for the development has a hydraulic conductivity of 5 m/day
- The modelled cross section is presented in Figure 25
- Zero recharge is experienced directly beneath impervious surfaces but the first 15 mm of rainfall from these areas is redirected to adjacent cells modelled as containing raingardens or soakwells.
- Recharge from soakwells is assumed to be 100%
- Recharge from raingardens is assumed to be 90%
- 30 years of future wet climate rainfall obtained from the Department of Water was run through the model to enable generation of annual exceedance probability (AEP) heights for the phreatic surface between subsoil drains

Modelling demonstrates that the 50% AEP phreatic surface reaches the following heights above the subsoil drain invert (Controlled Groundwater Level) at various locations of interest:

- 0.7 m in backyards (furthest point from the subsoil drain)
- 0.6 m in front setbacks (beneath soakwells)
- 0.6 m in verges (beneath raingardens)

Figure 25 shows the modelled cross section as it appears within the model interface with locations of key items labelled to assist with interpretation.





**Figure 25: SammEE modelled cross section**

Table 11 presents the modelled maximum groundwater level (phreatic surface) at key locations within the cross-section and the resulting 30 year maximum and 50% AEP levels.

Figure 26presents the complete modelled time-series results at key locations within the cross section demonstrating that the minimum recommended 300 mm separation from the 50% AEP phreatic surface level to the base of raingardens can be achieved with provision of just 700mm of sand beneath the base of the raingarden over the underlying clay surface. Allowing 300 mm detention depth for the raingardens results in a total requirement of 1.0m of sand over clay.

**Table 12: Groundwater model results – Annual maxima at key locations**

| Modelled year | Max of Backyard | Max of Soakwell | Max of Verge |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2016 | 1.68 | 1.53 | 1.45 |
| 2017 | 1.64 | 1.53 | 1.46 |
| 2018 | 1.80 | 1.66 | 1.64 |
| 2019 | 1.87 | 1.82 | 1.78 |
| 2020 | 1.79 | 1.56 | 1.48 |
| 2021 | 1.72 | 1.55 | 1.49 |
| 2022 | 1.78 | 1.57 | 1.51 |
| 2023 | 1.75 | 1.58 | 1.49 |
| 2024 | 1.67 | 1.56 | 1.46 |
| 2025 | 1.76 | 1.59 | 1.50 |
| 2026 | 1.66 | 1.58 | 1.50 |
| 2027 | 1.67 | 1.58 | 1.52 |
| 2028 | 1.81 | 1.64 | 1.55 |
| 2029 | 1.78 | 1.64 | 1.63 |
| 2030 | 1.76 | 1.67 | 1.63 |
| 2031 | 1.73 | 1.52 | 1.45 |
| 2032 | 1.78 | 1.55 | 1.48 |
| 2033 | 1.79 | 1.60 | 1.55 |
| 2034 | 1.66 | 1.55 | 1.48 |
| 2035 | 1.73 | 1.55 | 1.47 |
| 2036 | 1.71 | 1.72 | 1.73 |
| 2037 | 1.72 | 1.60 | 1.53 |
| 2038 | 1.80 | 1.58 | 1.52 |
| 2039 | 1.71 | 1.58 | 1.50 |
| 2040 | 1.71 | 1.55 | 1.47 |
| 2041 | 1.77 | 1.64 | 1.57 |
| 2042 | 1.83 | 1.58 | 1.50 |
| 2043 | 1.81 | 1.60 | 1.51 |
| 2044 | 1.65 | 1.57 | 1.48 |
| 2045 | 1.72 | 1.56 | 1.49 |
| **30 year max** | **1.87** | **1.82** | **1.78** |
| 50% AEP | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Filled surface level | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| **Separation achieved** | **0.7** | **0.6** | **0.6** |





**Figure 26: SammEE model results – 30 year future climate model results showing groundwater level traces at key locations**

# APPENDIX 7 – UNDO WATER QUALITY MODELLING REPORT

# APPENDIX 8 – LANDSCAPE PLANS

**Client: ABN Group**

| Report | Version | Prepared by | Reviewed by | Submitted to Client | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Copies | Date |
| Draft | V1 | BM/BW/HB | HB | Electronic | Dec 2015 |
| Draft for consultation | V2 | BM/BW/HB | HB | Electronic | Dec 2015 |
| Final | V3 | BM/BW/HB | HB | Electronic | 21 Jan 2016 |
| Final – revised following agency comments | V4 | HL/HB | HB | Electronic | July 2016 |

**Essential Environmental**
***land & water solutions***
622 Newcastle St Leederville 6007
p: 08 9328 4663 | f: 08 6316 1431
e: info@essentialenvironmental.com.au
www.essentialenvironmental.com.au

APPENDIX 6

**Retail Needs Assessment**

# Doley-Warrington Road Precinct, Byford

## Retail Needs Assessment

**December 2015**

Prepared by:

**RPS AUSTRALIA EAST PTY LTD**

38 Station Street
Subiaco WA 6008

T: +61 8 9211 1111
E: tim.connoley@rpsgroup.com.au

Client Manager: Tim Connoley
Report Number: PR130257 -1
Version / Date: Final

Prepared for:

**ABN GROUP**

131 Hasler Road
Osborne Park WA 6017

T: +61 8 9526 1167
E: j.cordina@abngroup.com.au
W: www.abngroup.com.au

## IMPORTANT NOTE

Apart from fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, or review as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part of this report, its attachments or appendices may be reproduced by any process without the written consent of RPS Australia East Pty Ltd. All enquiries should be directed to RPS Australia East Pty Ltd.

We have prepared this report for the sole purposes of ABN Group ("**Client**") for the specific purpose of only for which it is supplied ("**Purpose**"). This report is strictly limited to the purpose and the facts and matters stated in it and does not apply directly or indirectly and will not be used for any other application, purpose, use or matter.

In preparing this report we have made certain assumptions. We have assumed that all information and documents provided to us by the Client or as a result of a specific request or enquiry were complete, accurate and up-to-date. Where we have obtained information from a government register or database, we have assumed that the information is accurate. Where an assumption has been made, we have not made any independent investigations with respect to the matters the subject of that assumption. We are not aware of any reason why any of the assumptions are incorrect.

This report is presented without the assumption of a duty of care to any other person (other than the Client) ("**Third Party**"). The report may not contain sufficient information for the purposes of a Third Party or for other uses. Without the prior written consent of RPS Australia East Pty Ltd:

(a) this report may not be relied on by a Third Party; and

(b) RPS Australia East Pty Ltd will not be liable to a Third Party for any loss, damage, liability or claim arising out of or incidental to a Third Party publishing, using or relying on the facts, content, opinions or subject matter contained in this report.

If a Third Party uses or relies on the facts, content, opinions or subject matter contained in this report with or without the consent of RPS Australia East Pty Ltd, RPS Australia East Pty Ltd disclaims all risk and the Third Party assumes all risk and releases and indemnifies and agrees to keep indemnified RPS Australia East Pty Ltd from any loss, damage, claim or liability arising directly or indirectly from the use of or reliance on this report.

In this note, a reference to loss and damage includes past and prospective economic loss, loss of profits, damage to property, injury to any person (including death) costs and expenses incurred in taking measures to prevent, mitigate or rectify any harm, loss of opportunity, legal costs, compensation, interest and any other direct, indirect, consequential or financial or other loss.

### Document Status

| Version | Purpose of Document | Orig | Review | Review Date |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Draft 1.0 | First Draft for Client Review | TC | CL | 10/12/15 |
| Final | Final Version | TC | CL | 22/01/16 |

### Approval for Issue

| Name | Signature | Date |
|---|---|---|
| Tim Connoley |  | 22/01/16 |

# Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION ..... 1
1.1 Background and Purpose ..... 1
1.2 Report Structure ..... 1
2.0 SITE CHARACTERISTICS ..... 2
2.1 Byford ..... 2
2.2 Doley-Warrington Road Precinct ..... 2
3.0 TRADE AREA PROFILE ..... 6
3.1 Trade Area Definition ..... 6
3.2 Catchment Socio-Economic Profile ..... 8
3.3 Retail Expenditure Profile ..... 10
3.4 Retail Floorspace Demand ..... 11
4.0 MARKET VIABILITY ..... 15
4.1 Development Potential ..... 15
4.2 Preliminary Floorplate and Tenancy Assessment ..... 17
4.3 Potential Parking Needs ..... 18
4.4 Site Requirements ..... 18
5.0 DEVELOPMENT RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 20
5.1 Key Findings ..... 20
5.2 Recommendations ..... 20

# Tables

Table 1 Planned Retail/Commercial Centres ....4
Table 2 Socio-Economic Profile, 2011 ....9
Table 3 Convenience Retail Floorspace Demand, 2015 to 2035 ....13
Table 4 Convenience Retail Floorspace ....14
Table 5 Market Shares ....15
Table 6 Viable Retail Floorspace, 2025 ....17
Table 7 Recommended Floorspace Provisions ....20

# Figures

Figure 1 Subject Site Catchment Map ....7
Figure 2 Historical and Forecast Population Growth, Catchment Area ....8
Figure 3 Retail Spending by Area, 2015 ....10
Figure 4 Retail Spending by Store Type, 2015 ....11
Figure 5 Retail Expenditure Pool and Floorspace Need ....11
Figure 6 Retail Spending Growth, Trade Area ....12
Figure 7 Retail Sales Densities by Spending Category, 2015 ....13
Figure 8 Centre Floorspace Potential ....17

# 1.0 Introduction

## 1.1 Background and Purpose

The Doley-Warrington Road Precinct is located in southern Byford. Adjacent to The Glades, this site is planned to accommodate approximately 1,900 lots over the next 15-20 years.

As part of the structure planning process for the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct, RPS has been engaged to assess the viability of a local centre/s within the subject area.

## 1.2 Report Structure

The report is comprised of the following key sections:

- **Site Characteristics** – an assessment of the local characteristics of the site, the existing competitive environment and the urban development outlook;
- **Trade Area Profile** – an assessment and overview of the socio-economic and retail expenditure characteristics of the subject site's trade catchment;
- **Market Viability** – an analysis of the market viability of the proposed floorspace and suitability of nominated sites; and
- **Development Recommendations** – a summary of the findings on the general viability of the proposed retail sites and development recommendations.

# 2.0 Site Characteristics

This section has explored the local characteristics of the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct and the retail and urban development environment which it is located within.

## 2.1 Byford

The Doley Warrington Road Precinct is located in southern Byford. Byford is the major town in the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale. It is located 45km from the Perth CBD and forms the northern part of the Peel region.

As one of Perth's most affordable suburbs for housing, Byford is home to numerous housing developments which are expected to continue to support significant population growth over the next two decades. Byford's population is forecast to grow at an annual rate of 4.1%, increasing the population by over 132% to 30,636 residents by 2036.

Byford is located approximately 5.5km north of Mundijong, which is expected to see growth in the order of 15,000 residents over the next two decades. The north of Byford includes many expanding urban areas, including the Wungong Urban Water Area which is expected to deliver more than 40,000 homes.

To the west of Byford, the area is largely rural urban and is not planned to accommodate urban development according to the draft South Metro Peel Sub-Regional Framework. Kwinana and Rockingham, approximately 20km west of Byford, provide significant local employment for the largely blue-collar workforce in Byford.

## 2.2 Doley-Warrington Road Precinct

The Doley-Warrington Road Precinct covers numerous land holdings in southern Byford. RPS has assessed the area from a retail viability context to inform the subsequent analysis.

### 2.2.1 Precinct Access

Access is an important determinant of the potential trade area of a retail centre. A well located site is generally able to attract a greater share of passing traffic, with poor location decisions difficult and expensive to overcome.

RPS has undertaken an overview of the local transport context for the site. The key findings are summarised below.

- **Orton Road Realignment and Extension**: Orton Road is planned to be extended to the east to connect with Soldiers Road and ultimately the South Western Highway. It is also planned to be realigned to the immediate west of Doley Road to the future Tonkin Highway extension. This will be an important link to Kwinana-Rockingham area and provide the opportunity for increased tertiary trade.
- **Public transport provision**: There is limited existing and planned public transport directly to the subject site. There however expected to be the provision of a bus route along Orton Road and to the future primary school from 2020 onwards.
- **Physical barriers**: Natural and infrastructure barriers that ease or impede travel will influence the relative access of a particular location to a range of locations, and subsequently improve the attraction of one activity over another, especially to residents in more distant catchments. The main physical barrier for the subject site is the current lack of road access to the urban area east of South Western Highway. This will require the construction of an additional rail crossing and is therefore considered a medium to long-term prospect. Additionally, there are no plans to connect local roads through to areas south of Byford (besides existing Soldiers Road and future Tonkin Highway) which will limit north-south movements through the subject site.

It is important to note that travel time is a more important consideration than physical distance when considering the extent of the catchment area. From a travel time perspective, the subject site currently provides sub-five minute access to central Byford shopping options and the urban areas of Cardup. The subject site is also within a two-three minute drive to the planned The Glades Village neighbourhood centre.

On completion of the extension of Orton Road to Soldiers Road, the subject site would be expected to provide easier access to the growing areas in north Mundijong, the proposed light industrial area east of Cardup and central Byford.

Overall, the analysis of site access reveals that any proposed retail in the short term will need to cater to a local catchment, with limited tertiary trade expected until Orton Road is extended. Once the extension is complete, there is an opportunity for convenience retail along the south-eastern edge of the precinct (i.e. corner of Orton Road and Soldiers Road) to capture local spending from Cardup and south-eastern Byford and commuter traffic from Mundijong. Local retail provision further west along Orton Road will be limited to a local catchment and tertiary trade from south-eastern Byford (though this is a longer-term prospect given the need for a rail crossing).

### 2.2.2 Urban Development

RPS has explored the current and future residential development within the wider area of the subject site. The key developments of relevance to the subject have been summarised below.

- **Doley-Warrington Road Precinct**: The Precinct is currently undeveloped, with several homes on larger lots scattered throughout. It is currently undergoing a structure planning process which has identified the potential for 1,917 lots or approximately 5,900 residents. Development within the Precinct is expected to occur from mid-2017 at a rate of 120 lots per annum, on average. Development is likely to extend from the northern and western edges of the development given the existing infrastructure and urban development in these areas.
- **The Glades at Byford**: The Glades is planned to accommodate more than 10,000 residents across 3,500 lots. The estate encompasses the areas to the immediate north, west and south of the subject site. Development to date has extended from Abernethy Road southward, with remaining development primarily to the west and south of the subject site in the order of 1,500-1,600 lots. The development expected to occur within The Glades over the next decade will provide a key catchment for any future convenience retail within the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct.
- **Byford West Private Estate**: East of The Glades, Byford West Private Estate is planned for 374 lots. Approximately two-thirds of the development has been sold to date. Proposed neighbourhood nodes have progressed as residential lots.
- **Byford Town Centre**: The town centre development is planned for medium density development ranging from R30 to R60 on the periphery of the town centre for grouped housing and aged accommodation and low density development to the west of the town centre (maximum R15) interfacing with the Trotting Complex. This plan expects to accommodate 2,500 residents at build-out.
- **The Brook at Byford**: This Cedar Woods development, located south-east of the town centre has approximately 200-250 lots remaining.
- **Byford on the Scarp**: Located to the east of the South Western Highway, the final residential stages of this development are progressing and expected to include another 50-60 lots.

There is limited urban development further north of Abernethy Road. Redgum Brook is nearing final stages in this development along Thomas Road. Byford Central, between Thomas Road and Larsen Road, has only a handful of sites available. Adjacent to Byford Central, Briggs Road is expected to accommodate approximately 200 dwellings.

Further south of the subject site, there is considerable development planned in Mundijong. The Whitby Precinct in northern Mundijong has the potential to provide tertiary trade along Soldiers Road. This precinct is planned to accommodate 3,750 lots and a future District Centre (2025+).

The developments noted above will include a range of community, civic and commercial land uses to cater for the expanding population. The key community, civic and commercial land uses near the subject site have been summarised below (the key competing retail/commercial land uses have been explored in section below).

- **Future Primary School Site (Doley-Warrington Road Precinct)**: The Byford Structure Plan identified the need for primary school site within the Precinct. The site is unlikely to be developed prior to 2020.
- **Future Primary School Site (The Glades)**: A future Byford South West Primary School is planned to open in 2017/18. The primary school site is located to the immediate north-west of the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct.
- **Orton Road Mixed Business Area**: A planned mixed business area along Orton Road, west of Doley Road, has subsequently been progressed as a mixed use zone in the Byford Main Precinct Structure Plan. The structure plan is currently being amended though it is our understanding that the mixed use zone will remain.

Overall, the urban development analysis reveals that there is considerable development planned near and within the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct, including approximately 1,900 lots in the subject site, 1,500-1,600 lots in The Glades (primarily to west and south of the subject area) and 150 lots within the Byford West Private Estate.

The future primary school site is expected to cater to local residents and will therefore not generate notable additional expenditure for any retail within the subject area. Meanwhile, the planned mixed business area is now expected to be developed as a mixed use zone (primarily residential) which will support increased local expenditure in the catchment.

### 2.2.3 Retail Competition Assessment

The distribution of centres plays a key role in consumer's retail shopping behaviour and subsequent contribution to the delineation of trade areas by determining how far residents need to travel to access goods and services. RPS has explored existing and planned retail provision within this section.

There is limited existing competing retail across Byford. The only convenience and traditional retail provision is within central Byford. The intersection of the South Western Highway and Abernethy Road accommodates several restaurant/take-away premises, fruiterer, butcher, an IGA supermarket, chemist as well as another grocery and liquor store. Given recent growth in the area, current tenancies are trading above average.

There are however numerous planned retail centres throughout Byford. The key planned retail sites in relation to the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct have been summarised in the table below.

**Table 1 Planned Retail/Commercial Centres**

| Name | Description | Status |
|---|---|---|
| Byford Town Centre | The new town centre, approximately 3km north-east of the Doley Warrington Road Precinct adjacent to the existing central Byford retail, is initially planned to include 5,631sqm of retail NLA, comprising of a Coles (4,024sqm), speciality stores (1,027sqm), cafe/restaurant facilities (380sqm), a gymnasium (350sqm), medical Centre (301sqm) and a liquor store (200sqm).<br>Planning has also progressed for a Farmer Jacks Supermarket with a NLA of 2,276sqm. | **Coles Centre**: Earth works are underway and the development is expected to be complete in early 2018.<br>**Farmer Jacks**: Planned for completion Sep 2017. |

| Name | Description | Status |
|---|---|---|
| | The IGA on 865 South Western Hwy has been granted approval for a 560sqm addition.<br>In the longer term, there is provision for retail floorspace of between 9,000sqm and 15,500sqm which could accommodate two full-line supermarkets, a limited line supermarket, specialty stores and convenience retail. | **IGA expansion**: Planned for 2016. |
| Cardup Business Park | The 194ha trapeze within the boundaries of Robertson Rd, Norman Street, South Western Hwy, and Cardup Siding Rd, approximately 4.7km east of the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct, is proposed to become a light industrial business park and include a variety of warehouses, factories, and shops. | The development is not expected to occur until post-2018. |
| Whitby District Centre | The precinct lays approximately 6.7km south of the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct and is proposed to contain 22,500sqm NLA. The area is proposed to include a discount department store, two supermarkets, and 70-90 specialty stores | Unlikely to proceed prior to 2025. |
| The Glades Village | The centre is immediately north-west of the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct and proposed to contain 7,000sqm NLA, with retail floorspace currently capped at 4,500sqm NLA and non-retail commercial floorspace capped at 2,500sqm NLA. | The cafe/restaurant component has commenced development, as is estimated to be completed in June 2016.<br>A future Woolworths is likely to be developed post-2020. |

Numerous 'neighbourhood nodes' were identified in the Byford Structure Plan however many of these have subsequently progressed as residential and/or community uses such as a day care centre. There are planned neighbourhood nodes within the undeveloped portion of The Glades which may provide a local retail mix however it is our understanding that these are progressing as residential and mixed use sites.

# 3.0 Trade Area Profile

This section defines a catchment for convenience retail provision at the subject site and examines the future socio-economic and retail expenditure characteristics of the trade area. This section also provides an estimate of the amount and type of retail floorspace that the trade area can support over the next two decades.

## 3.1 Trade Area Definition

### 3.1.1 What is a Trade Area?

A current or proposed centre will have a trade area which shows where the centre will draw most of its trade. Whilst trade areas can be drawn for a variety of land uses, the main purpose for trade area analysis is to derive the retail floorspace potential for a specific site.

Trade area analysis can also be used to understand the likely impact of a development or expansion on surrounding competitive retail floorspace both inside and surrounding the trade area.

Trade areas are usually divided into primary and secondary catchments:

- **Primary catchment**: Depending on the scale of the centre, the primary catchment will typically draw between 60% and 75% of the total trade for the centre. Market shares of resident retail spending levels within this area are higher than in the secondary catchment as residents will typically frequent centres that are closer to their home base. The primary catchment is the most important area to consider in terms of the demographics, future sales growth and marketing strategies for the subject centre. The spatial distance of primary catchments differ based on a range of factors including centre size and location.
- **Secondary catchments**: Between 10% and 25% of the centre's trade will typically be drawn from the secondary catchment. This area will generally have a higher degree of competitive choice for the resident population and there are also a higher degree of factors which lead to these residents making the choice of which centre to frequent. The secondary catchment will generally not reach beyond 10km of the subject site, but it may extend further in lower density areas and where there are less competitive centres.

Centres may also receive trade from tertiary 'beyond' trade. This can include trade from other areas outside the catchment, including passing vehicle trade, the nearby working and tourism population and co-located uses such as sporting ovals and community facilities.

### 3.1.2 Defining the Trade Area

Trade areas can vary significantly based on a range of factors including centre size, role, competition, travel times including an understanding of future infrastructure (new roads and public transport) which may make it easier to travel to the site, as well as physical and manmade barriers (parklands, rivers, railway lines) which may make it harder to access the site. Future residential areas should also be considered in a catchment analysis.

For this analysis, the trade area at the subject site has been defined based on an assumption that the proposed retail will be convenience in nature. We have also taken the following into account the following key considerations analysed in the previous sections:

- **Size and composition of proposed development** – the convenience nature of the proposed floorspace suggests that the site will trade to a predominantly local catchment;
- **Physical characteristics** – the main physical barriers are the current lack of an east road connection to Soldiers Road and the limited road connections to Cardup rural residential areas;

- **Access and travel patterns** – Orton Road, Doley Road, Turner Road and Warrington Road are considered the main traffic routes to the subject site;
- **Local competition** – the major existing completion is central Byford which currently acts as a regional and local convenience centre and the major planned centre which will detract from trade in the catchment will be The Glades Village which is planned to offer retail options; and
- **Development trends** – future urban growth is limited within rural residential areas outside of Byford, though there is considerable growth in excess of 3,500 lots planned for southern and western Byford.

### 3.1.3 Development Trade Area

Based on the above considerations, RPS identified three catchments. These are illustrated in the figure below.

- **Primary Catchment**: The primary trade area is broadly defined by the urban areas which are located within a three to four minute drive from the subject site, including future urban areas to within Byford.
- **Secondary Catchment**: The secondary trade area extends to the rural residential areas to the west, south and east of the subject site. There is expected to be limited trade from urban areas north of Abernethy Road given the existing retail provision, future Byford Town Centre and lack of key north-south roads. There is also unlikely to be significant trade generating from Mundijong unless retail was located along Soldiers Road and/or the mixed business zone developed into a regional commercial area.

The catchment regions have been based on ABS Statistical Area 1 boundaries which are the smallest areas for which statistical data required for a retail report is provided and therefore represent the most detailed and accurate method in explaining the retail market within a small area. It is important to note that the site is expected to also generate 'tertiary trade' due to worker movements past the site and the future school use. This is subsequently explored in more detail.





**Figure 1 Subject Site Catchment Map**

## 3.2 Catchment Socio-Economic Profile

### 3.2.1 Population Forecast

The resident population of the trade area increased considerably over the last decade, with growth of more than 1,000 residents within the primary trade area. The population growth rate is expected to decline over the next five years, in line with declines across Perth, but will remain considerable at 5.7% per annum. The slowing growth rate is largely due to limited growth within the secondary catchments. For instance, the primary catchment's population is still expected to grow at an average annual rate of 12.7% between 2016 and 2021.

In the medium-term, the growth rate in the primary catchment is expected to moderately increase and by 2036 there is expected to be more than 17,000 residents in the trade area, up from approximately 4,500 (this is equivalent to 4,100 lots).





**Figure 2 Historical and Forecast Population Growth, Catchment Area[1]**

### 3.2.2 Socio-Economic Characteristics

RPS explored the characteristics of the resident population of the primary and secondary catchment areas compared with the benchmark regions of Metropolitan Perth and Western Australia. Whilst the core focus of the report is to explore the viability of proposed retail floorspace on the subject site, it is vitally important to understand demographic factors which outline important factors relating to this development.

A summary of the socio-economic characteristics of the trade area compared to Greater Perth, regional Western Australia and the whole of WA is illustrated in the table over the page[2].

[1] ABS (2015) Estimated Resident Population by SA1 (unpublished), Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra; Population projections generated by RPS demographers, based on urban development zoning and trends and Profile id forecasts
[2] ABS (2012) Census of Population and Housing, 2011, Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra

**Table 2 Socio-Economic Profile, 2011**

| | Primary Catchment | Secondary Catchment | Greater Perth | Regional WA | WA |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Med. weekly h/hold income | $1,766 | $2,048 | $1,459 | $1,253 | $1,415 |
| Med. annual h/hold income | $91,832 | $106,470 | $75,868 | $65,156 | $73,580 |
| Var. from Greater Perth benchmark | 21.0% | 40.3% | 0.0% | -14.1% | -3.0% |
| Avg. household size | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Avg. persons per bedroom | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Housing Payments | | | | | |
| Med. weekly loan repayment | $520 | $595 | $462 | $375 | $450 |
| Med. weekly rent repayment | $406 | $313 | $320 | $180 | $300 |
| Loan to income ratio | 29.4% | 29.1% | 31.6% | 30.0% | 31.8% |
| Rent to income ratio | 23.0% | 15.3% | 21.9% | 14.4% | 21.2% |
| Housing status (% of households) | | | | | |
| Owned outright | 16.0% | 16.0% | 30.0% | 31.3% | 30.2% |
| Being purchased | 63.1% | 74.5% | 40.7% | 31.3% | 38.7% |
| Renter | 20.9% | 9.5% | 28.2% | 36.4% | 29.9% |
| Other | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.2% | 1.1% | 1.1% |
| Age distribution (% of population) | | | | | |
| Aged 0-14 | 25.5% | 26.4% | 19.2% | 21.3% | 19.7% |
| Aged 15-24 | 14.7% | 13.7% | 14.3% | 11.8% | 13.7% |
| Aged 25-34 | 15.4% | 11.0% | 14.7% | 13.7% | 14.5% |
| Aged 35-44 | 13.7% | 19.9% | 14.5% | 15.0% | 14.7% |
| Aged 45-54 | 8.8% | 15.3% | 13.6% | 14.7% | 13.9% |
| Aged 55-64 | 8.4% | 9.3% | 11.2% | 11.9% | 11.4% |
| Aged 65+ | 13.6% | 4.4% | 12.5% | 11.5% | 12.3% |
| Med. Age | **31.0** | **32.5** | **36.0** | **37.0** | **36.0** |
| Dwelling Type | | | | | |
| Separate house | 93.1% | 89.5% | 71.1% | 69.4% | 70.7% |
| Semi-detached and townhouse | 0.0% | 0.0% | 10.7% | 4.7% | 9.3% |
| Flat, unit, apartment | 0.0% | 0.0% | 8.2% | 3.0% | 7.0% |
| Other dwelling | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 2.3% | 0.8% |
| Not stated | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.1% |
| **Total Occupied Private Dwellings** | **93.1%** | **89.5%** | **90.4%** | **79.5%** | **87.9%** |
| Unoccupied Private Dwellings | 6.9% | 10.5% | 9.6% | 20.5% | 12.1% |
| **Total Private Dwellings** | **246** | **854** | **693,327** | **210,163** | **903,487** |
| Motor Vehicles Per Dwelling | | | | | |
| No vehicle | 0.0% | 1.6% | 6.3% | 6.1% | 6.3% |
| 1 vehicle | 20.3% | 9.4% | 34.1% | 31.5% | 33.5% |
| 2 vehicles | 51.5% | 48.4% | 39.8% | 38.8% | 39.6% |
| 3+ vehicles | 28.2% | 40.6% | 19.8% | 23.6% | 20.6% |
| Average vehicle number | **2.22** | **2.50** | **1.81** | **1.90** | **1.83** |

This analysis identified where the trade area is significantly different from wider benchmark regions and explored how this impacts on retail floorspace need. Key findings from the assessment included:

- **High incomes:** The primary and secondary catchments were home to higher income residents, with the median household income 21.0% and 40.3% higher than the Perth median, respectively. Whilst high incomes in the more affordable area may seem counterintuitive, it is generally the case that new urban areas have high wages given low unemployment and the working age profile of home buyers.
- **Young and maturing families**: The majority of households in the trade area are larger than the Perth average and a reflection of the housing stock and buyer demographic of young and maturing families. Retail spending is therefore more heavily skewed towards bulky goods and department stores.
- **High care ownership**: Residents in the trade area are much more likely to own more than two vehicles. Households are therefore more inclined to drive to retail centres which can impact on the viability of small convenience retail centres and requires higher car parking provision.

## 3.3 Retail Expenditure Profile

RPS has derived retail expenditure using MarketInfo 2012, the latest version available[3]. MarketInfo is an independently formulated model and is used by the majority of retail economists in understanding the retail floorspace needs of an area. This model estimates an area's propensity to spend on retail goods based on a range of socio-economic characteristics.

Across Greater Perth, each person generally spends around $13,800 on retail goods per annum[4]. As noted in the figure below, residents in the secondary catchments spent higher amounts on retail goods than the Perth average given the secondary catchments include a large portion of high income earning families on rural residential lots. Whilst the primary catchment includes households with high incomes, discretionary income is considered to be lower than the Perth average, with key fixed expenses such mortgage payments.





**Figure 3 Retail Spending by Area, 2015**

[3] Market Data Systems (2013) MarketInfo 2012, Market Data Systems, Brisbane
[4] Excludes motor vehicle and fuel expenditure

The above per capita retail spending has been broken down further into the levels of spending at retail centres by major store type. Key findings are illustrated in the figure below.





**Figure 4 Retail Spending by Store Type, 2015**

## 3.4 Retail Floorspace Demand

### 3.4.1 Approach

Retail demand is a product of the number of people in a location and the amount they each spend on retail goods and service. The total retail expenditure pool of a location (the total amount of money spent by residents and households) is estimated by applying expenditure rates per person to current and future population forecasts. This is then converted to floorspace demand through the application of sales densities. This process is illustrated in the figure below.





**Figure 5 Retail Expenditure Pool and Floorspace Need**

### 3.4.2 Retail Expenditure Projections

It is important to note that the retail market is not immune to structural changes that are occurring in the economy and society. The retail sector in Australia is being tested by numerous factors, including, but not limited to:

- Changing consumers – consumers are altering their trading patterns, with higher levels of spending devoted to experiential items such as sporting events, concerts, restaurants and cafes.
- Online trading – online trading is increasing much faster than traditional 'bricks and mortar' stores, though there is still a strong preference to frequent stores as online retail expenditure accounts for only 6.5% of retail expenditure. Additionally, retail outlets are devoting more resources to accommodating both online and in-store customers.
- Regulatory changes – WA is playing catch up to more mature retail markets, with trading law changes activating centres for longer hours.

In order to consider the changing patterns in the retail sector, RPS has applied current growth rates by expenditure category and population projections to arrive at the total spending profile of the catchment residents. The growth in expenditure categories is based on real growth rates derived from Deloitte Access Economics forecasts[5].

Over the next 10 years, retail spending for trade area residents is expected to increase by an average of 7.3% per annum to more than $300 million, with much higher growth within the primary catchment. This reflects a combination of population-based and real expenditure per capita growth.





**Figure 6 Retail Spending Growth, Trade Area**

[5] Deloitte (2014) Retail Forecasts, 2014, Deloitte Access Economics, Melbourne

### 3.4.3 Catchment Floorspace Requirements

RPS has applied sales densities to each retail category to estimate how the retail spending of residents in the catchment translates into demand for retail floorspace need. Sales densities are the dollar sales per square metre of Gross Floor Area (GFA) required to sustainably operate that floorspace in the catchment. For example, an average supermarket would need $6,500 of sales per square metre per annum to continue to operate that space at a commercially viable level. This is a broad average, with some supermarkets in inner metropolitan markets requiring $7,500 of sales per square metre per annum to operate viably. Industry standard sales densities by retail category for the subject site are outlined in the figure below.





**Figure 7 Retail Sales Densities by Spending Category, 2015**

These densities were applied to the projected expenditure volumes to find the estimated floorspace demand for retail property. This analysis has been summarised below.

**Table 3 Convenience Retail Floorspace Demand, 2015 to 2035**

| | Supermarket and grocery stores | Liquor | Other specialised food | Clothing | Footwear and other personal accessories | Newspaper and book | Entertainment media | Toy and game | Pharmaceutical, cosmetic and toiletries | Other retailing | Cafes, restaurants and catering | Takeaway food services | Retail services | Motor vehicle and fuel retailing | Medical and health |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2015 | 2,881 | 563 | 280 | 561 | 491 | 91 | 158 | 118 | 215 | 619 | 770 | 780 | 514 | 3,249 | 1,590 |
| 2020 | 4,078 | 805 | 399 | 758 | 654 | 123 | 218 | 170 | 287 | 863 | 1,074 | 1,110 | 711 | 4,440 | 2,249 |
| 2025 | 5,980 | 1,192 | 587 | 1,061 | 904 | 172 | 312 | 251 | 398 | 1,246 | 1,556 | 1,637 | 1,018 | 6,294 | 3,297 |
| 2030 | 9,383 | 1,886 | 925 | 1,589 | 1,339 | 257 | 479 | 395 | 593 | 1,921 | 2,413 | 2,580 | 1,561 | 9,551 | 5,170 |
| 2035 | 15,023 | 3,039 | 1,485 | 2,431 | 2,031 | 392 | 748 | 631 | 907 | 3,017 | 3,831 | 4,146 | 2,440 | 14,825 | 8,275 |
| Floorspace need growth (sqm per annum) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2015-2025 | 310 | 63 | 31 | 50 | 41 | 8 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 63 | 79 | 86 | 50 | 304 | 171 |
| 2025-2035 | 904 | 185 | 90 | 137 | 113 | 22 | 44 | 38 | 51 | 177 | 228 | 251 | 142 | 853 | 498 |
| Floorspace need growth (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 - 2023 | 108.0% | 112.5% | 110.0% | 89.5% | 84.4% | 88.8% | 98.5% | 113.8% | 85.4% | 101.7% | 102.3% | 110.5% | 98.6% | 93.9% | 107.7% |
| 2023 - 2033 | 147.0% | 151.1% | 148.8% | 125.2% | 120.3% | 124.5% | 135.6% | 148.6% | 122.7% | 138.5% | 141.6% | 149.3% | 135.7% | 131.3% | 146.8% |

This represents the total amount of retail floorspace that the expenditure of the trade area residents can support. However, this demand will not be met entirely from centres within the trade area. Consumers travel

across cities and regions to different retail centres for different reasons, including convenience, size and extent of offering and built form quality. This level of unmet demand and leakage was therefore explored in the following section.

### 3.4.4 Retail Supply Gap

Based on the above analysis, RPS has examined the current and future expected market gap within the catchment area.

Building on the summary in Section 2.2.3, the following table describes our estimates of future retail provision of relevance at various dates over the next two decades. This analysis suggests that there is currently a high level of retail expenditure leakage to larger shopping centres and retail strips (e.g. Armadale Shopping City).

**Table 4 Convenience Retail Floorspace**

| Square Metres | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Central Byford | 3,120 | 3,560 | 3,560 | 3,560 |
| Farmer Jacks | - | 2,276 | 2,276 | 2,276 |
| Byford Town Centre | - | 5,631 | 5,631 | 8,900 |
| The Glades Village | - | 2,100 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| **Total Competing Convenience Retail Supply** | **3,120** | **13,567** | **15,967** | **19,236** |
| Trade Area Supply Share | 1,255 | 6,512 | 9,261 | 12,503 |
| Total Trade Area Demand | 6,307 | 8,861 | 12,904 | 20,108 |
| **Market Gap** | **5,052** | **2,349** | **3,643** | **7,605** |

Overall, the analysis indicates that several large developments are planned to be completed over the next five years and capture much of the market gap for convenience retail. There is however a notional gap which is expected to increase strongly from 2025 onwards. It is not however appropriate for the subject site or indeed any development within the trade area to capture this entire market gap. Neighbouring developments already likely capture much of the unmet demand and therefore a large development could have adverse impacts on retail activity at these developments. RPS has therefore explored the potential for the subject site in Section 4.0.

# 4.0 Market Viability

This section analyses the market viability of retail floorspace within the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct and assesses the potential tenancy and floorplate mix.

## 4.1 Development Potential

RPS applied the results of the trade area analysis to the subject site in order to assess whether convenience retail floorspace is appropriate and commercially viable.

### 4.1.1 Trade Area Market Share Potential

To determine the level of retail floorspace that can be sustained at the subject area, RPS has applied retail category-specific market shares to the retail floorspace demand projected for the trade area. Market share assumptions are outlined in the following table.

**Table 5 Market Shares**

| Market Shares | Primary Catchment | Secondary Catchment[6] |
|---|---|---|
| Supermarket and grocery stores | 20.0% | 10.0% |
| Liquor | 20.0% | 10.0% |
| Other specialised food | 20.0% | 10.0% |
| Clothing | 5.0% | 3.0% |
| Footwear and other personal accessories | 5.0% | 3.0% |
| Newspaper and book | 10.0% | 5.0% |
| Entertainment media | 10.0% | 5.0% |
| Toy and game | 5.0% | 3.0% |
| Pharmaceutical, cosmetic and toiletries | 10.0% | 5.0% |
| Other retailing | 10.0% | 5.0% |
| Cafes, restaurants and catering | 15.0% | 8.0% |
| Takeaway food services | 15.0% | 8.0% |
| Retail services | 10.0% | 5.0% |
| Medical and health | 15.0% | 8.0% |

Based on the role and function of the centre and the competitive supply from nearby centres, it is expected that the centre is able to capture only small market shares of the total trade area spend. The remaining expenditure is expected to be captured by existing and larger centres outside the trade area.

[6] Additionally, we have assumed that the east Byford secondary catchment market shares will not increase until post the Orton Road extension.

### 4.1.2 Tertiary Trade Potential

A portion of the trade at the subject site will come from areas outside the catchment. This can include:

- Residents who live outside of the catchment and pass the centre infrequently;
- Tourists that stay in or pass through the catchment; and
- Workers located in the catchment.

Generally, tertiary (beyond trade) spending will make up at least 10% of the turnover of a local centre. Centres located on busy roads and in tourist locations however achieve higher levels of tertiary spending. Additionally, the co-location of regional attractions will encourage a greater level of tertiary spending.

Analysing the expected tertiary trade proportion is however not a straightforward task. Signage, parking, ease of access and brand reputation are just some of the key determinants of tertiary trade attraction. Based on tertiary trade levels at similarly located convenience retail centres located within urban areas, along local roads, RPS has applied a tertiary trade proportion of 10%. A centre located along Soldiers Road would attract a larger portion.

### 4.1.3 Supportable Retail Floorspace

The market shares and tertiary trade proportions were applied to the total catchment floorspace demand to arrive at the site-specific retail floorspace potential. This floorspace potential is likely to vary depending on the tenancy mix, however, it is broadly representative of the likely levels that would be sustainable at the subject site.

It should be noted that the supportable retail floorspace is only one cosideration. There are other important considerations that complement this analysis (which will be considered during a development application process), including:

- Role of centre in State and local strategies;
- Market leasing conditions;
- Retail shop demand for tenancy sizes and building floorplates;
- Impact on neighbouring centres; and
- Economic and community benefits.

RPS estimates that a convenience retail centre could support around 1,500sqm of retail shop floorspace by 2025. This includes 990sqm of supermarket floorspace, 250sqm for cafe and takeaway shops and 280sqm for specialty/other stores. Thereafter, a larger centre is modelled to be viable however there is the potential that competing centres are expanded or developed that would impact on market shares. It is therefore considered appropriate to plan for the viable floorspace at 2025 but allow for a moderate expansion.





**Figure 8 Centre Floorspace Potential**

## 4.2 Preliminary Floorplate and Tenancy Assessment

The composition and scale of the identified retail floorspace is an important component of any floorspace viability assessment. Based on the above analysis, a centre with the following retail floorspace attributes is viable by 2025.

**Table 6 Viable Retail Floorspace, 2025**

| Category | NLA (sqm) |
|---|---|
| Supermarket and grocery stores | 990 |
| Café/Restaurant | 250 |
| Specialty | 280 |

A small shopping centre equivalent to an IGA is considered the most likely anchor tenant within a retail centre at the subject area. IGA is typically accommodated within floorplates of 500-1,200sqm. Given the proximity to competing centres, a floorplate of 700sqm-800sqm is considered likely.

The degree of specialty store and café/restaurant provision is commensurate to the ability of co-located uses to attract pedestrian patronage. In the case of convenience retail centres, the greater patronage of co-located supermarkets, the greater the supportable provision of other retail stores. Stores equivalent to 80sqm on average are likely to be suitable and include tenancies such as a bakery/café, take-away food, newsagency and limited personnel services (e.g. hairdresser)[7].

In addition to above, there is a recognised need for medical/health floorspace (and potentially a day care or community use) which may be accommodated for within the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct (in the order of 325sqm at a market share of 15%). There is currently expected to be limited competing supply expected in the area.

[7] Specialty stores average 90-100m2 of gross leasable area, though some are as small as 55-60m2.

## 4.3 Potential Parking Needs

Typically, parking needs are determined based on some attribute of land use, typically size or number of employees. These rates can be somewhat arbitrary as the exact use of the property may not be known, or it changes over time, the hours of operation of uses vary and peak and average rates can differ greatly. These standard rates are however the best approximation of parking demand available and provide a good starting point.

The State Government's Activity Centre policy recommends a minimum of 4-5 parking bays per 100m$^2$ of shops. There are however a number of determinants of car parking need which should be considered, including:

- Size and nature of the centre – parking requirements typically reduce as centre sizes increase given that larger centres are associated with having a greater diversity of land use which requires parking at different times at different rates;
- Urban character and socio-economics – the prevalence of higher car ownership corresponds to greater demand for car trips;
- Public transport availability – the lack of alternative forms of transport;
- Availability of other parking – street and curb parking;
- Operating hours –local centres typically operate from 8am to 9pm on weekdays and more restricted hours on weekends;
- Parking restrictions – generally a convenience retail centre development would provide free, time restricted parking; and
- Land use combinations – the combination of other uses that leads to overlapping parking demand influences the degree of parking demand.

The proposed development at the subject area is for a convenience shopping centre which is a considerable trip generator in the urban environment. Convenience centres are generally associated with the twice weekly shopping. These centres are also home to several food retailers which drives car usage as well. It is therefore considered that 4-5 bays would not be sufficient for the retail/commercial component of the development.

Newly developed centres have become more nuanced when considering parking provision requirements. An average of 6.6 bays per 100m$^2$ has been observed at comparable developments across Perth. This level of provision is considered more appropriate for a development within the subject area.

## 4.4 Site Requirements

From a location perspective, the centre is best-placed to be located along a moderately busy route such as Orton Road, with a north-south connection bordering the site. A site equivalent to approximately 0.5ha would suffice the needs of a centre of this size.

Co-location is an important factor to consider, with the centre benefiting from co-location with the mixed use area west of Doley Road. There is also expected to be urban development at this western portion at an earlier point than the eastern edge of the subject area which would support the viability of the centre.

Furthermore, RPS has recommended that one site be planned to accommodate this centre given fragmenting the centre across an intersection or road would result in minor tenancies unable to capture passing pedestrian traffic despite their proximity. There are also issues surrounding the decline in amenity of small dispersed centres as they are more likely to have fragmented ownership which can lead to a longer

term decline in the level of maintenance and amenity of the centre. While one owner may wish to maintain their tenancy, surrounding tenancies that are not maintained leads to a longer run decline in the viability of maintenance for all tenancies.

# 5.0 Development Recommendations

## 5.1 Key Findings

The Doley-Warrington Road Precinct is considered somewhat constrained by limited road access and any retail provision in the short to medium term is therefore expected to cater to a primarily local catchment. There is unlikely to be significant tertiary trade until Orton Road is extended and re-aligned and even when this progresses there is expected to be limited north-south tertiary trade to support trade levels.

There is considerable urban development planned within the subject site and the nearby The Glades development which will support a substantial increase in retail expenditure over the next two decades. Additionally, the planned mixed business area now appears likely to develop into mixed use – predominantly residential. However, in line with this growth there is a planned neighbourhood centre (The Glades Village) and substantial plans at the future Byford Town Centre.

We have analysed the likely growth in competing retail centres above and this analysis identified a key need for local retail in the trade area. Additionally, it was identified that there are no small-scale retail developments planned in southern Byford. There is therefore a potential opportunity for a small-scale retail centre for the nearby 10,000 residents expected to move to the area over the coming decades.

An analysis of the potential scale and timing of a retail centre within the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct revealed that a convenience retail centre could support around 1,500sqm of retail shop floorspace by 2025. Thereafter, a larger centre is modelled to be viable however there is the potential that competing centres are expanded or developed that would impact on market shares. It is therefore considered appropriate to plan for the viable floorspace at 2025 but allow for a moderate expansion.

## 5.2 Recommendations

The analysis has revealed that the Doley-Warrington Road Precinct could support a small retail centre which is likely anchored by a supermarket such as IGA in the order of 800sqm. It is also recommended that there is provision for approximately four specialty tenancies in the order of 320sqm and medical/health uses in the order of 325sqm. Parking equivalent to 95 bays (6.6 bays per 100sqm) is considered appropriate.

**Table 7 Recommended Floorspace Provisions**

| Category | NLA (sqm) |
|---|---|
| Supermarket and grocery stores | 800 |
| Café/Restaurant/Specialty | 320 |
| Medical/Health | 325 |
| **TOTAL** | **1,445** |

From a location perspective, the centre is best-placed to be located along a moderately busy route such as Orton Road, with a north-south connection bordering the site. It will also benefit from co-location with the mixed use area west of Doley Road given urban development at this western portion at an earlier point than the eastern edge of the subject area. Furthermore, the subject site should be planned across one 0.5ha site given fragmenting the centre across an intersection or road would be a barrier to viability and lead to a longer term decline in the level of maintenance and amenity of the centre.

APPENDIX 7

**Engineering Servicing Report**

pritchard francis

Level 1
430 Roberts Road
PO Box 2150
Subiaco WA 6904

Telephone: (08) 9382 5111
Facsimile: (08) 9382 5199
admin@pfeng.com.au

# 15-251

# Engineering Servicing Report

# for

# ABN Group

# on

# Lots on Orton Road, Lawrence Way and Doley Rd, Byford

| Revision | Description | Date |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | For Lodgement | 14-Dec-15 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

## Contents


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | Site Conditions | 2 |
| 3 | Infrastructure | 5 |
| 4 | Conclusion | 7 |


### *Appendices*


| | |
|---|---|
| *Appendix One* | *Galt Geotechnics Preliminary Report, 2015* |
| *Appendix Two* | *Galt Geotechnics Desktop Study, 2015* |
| *Appendix Three* | *Byford Townsite Drainage And Water Management Plan, September 2008* |
| *Appendix Four* | *Water Corporation Sewer Long Term Planning, 2015* |
| *Appendix Five* | *Water Corporation Water Planning, November 2015* |
| *Appendix Six* | *Water Corporation Maps, 2015* |
| *Appendix Seven* | *ATCO Maps, 2015* |
| *Appendix Eight* | *Western Power Maps, 2015* |

# 1 Introduction

On behalf of ABN Developments, Pritchard Francis has prepared the following report to provide strategic engineering advice on the serviceability of the proposed Local Structure Plan (LSP) area adjacent Orton Rd in Byford.

The potential development area is located within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale, and is bounded to the south by Orton Road, to the west by the urban development front approaching from Shackleton Terrace, the east by Lots off Warrington Road and the north by Perrone Ave, Lawrence Way and Partridge Bend. It also covers an extension from the corner of Warrington and Orton Roads through to Soldiers Rd, including land of Turner Rd. All lots within the area shown in Figure 1 are considered.




*Figure 1 - Site Overview*

This report is compiled using information obtained from relevant service providers, Dial Before You Dig and preliminary site investigation.

# 2 Site Conditions

## 2.1 Geology

The Perth 1:50,000 Geological maps show primarily sandy clay conditions across the site (Figure 2).





*Figure 2 - 1:50,000 Geological Mapping Excerpt*

Geotechnical advice received from Galt Geotechnics on 11 September 2015 (Appendix One) suggests that the majority of the site is overlain by 0.4-1.3m of sand underlain by clayey sands transitioning to sandy clays at about 2m depth.

Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS) are shown in Department of Environment mapping to be of moderate to low risk in the top 3 m within the areas indicated as Sandy Clay. Further investigation by an environmental consultant

will be required to determine the extent of ASS present within the development and create a management plan for this development as required.

## 2.2 Topography

The Landgate contours (Figure 3) shows the site generally falls east to west, from a maximum of RL55 to RL35. This gives a roughly 1% grade fall across the site, tending towards to North West.





*Figure 3 - Landgate Contours for site area*

More recent survey undertaken by MNG Group of the area between Doley and Lawrence roads have shown that the Landgate contours are broadly correct. Additional survey should be conducted on the interfaces to neighbouring lots to ensure the overall drainage and sewer system function correctly.

## 2.3 Groundwater Levels

The Perth Groundwater Atlas May 2003 groundwater levels do not cover this area, however very close to the west in similar ground conditions they appears to show levels approximately 2m below the surface, with historical maximums close to 1m or less groundwater depth.

Geotechnical advice received from Galt Geotechnics (Appendix One and Appendix Two) suggests groundwater data shows it is likely that water is 2 to 3m under the surface levels, however the 3m excavations conducted during this investigation found no groundwater despite being conducted in September (normally a time of peak groundwater levels). The preliminary report suggests that perched groundwater may become apparent during very wet periods.

Depending on the amount of fill applied to the site it is unlikely that shallow common services or stormwater infrastructure would require any dewatering, although deeper services such as sewer may require dewatering.

## 2.4 Earthworks/Retaining

The approximate 1% fall across the majority of the site should minimise the amount of retaining required throughout the development.

The Galt reports (Appendix One and Appendix Two) suggest a classification of A can be applied where overlying sand is at 1.8m or Class S where sand fill is between 0.7m and 1.8m depth. Existing sand depths reported from preliminary geotechnical investigations (Appendix One) show class S as being achievable with moderate import fill amounts. The reports identify a clay layer underneath the overlying sand, which may require some grading to reduce the risk of trapped low points.

Final earthworks levels will depend on flood levels as well as invert levels of the proposed Multi Use Corridor (MUC) in the north west, which will provide drainage for the area. This will be outlined in the Local Water Management Strategy (LWMS) for the area.

## 2.5 Other Constraints

There are no Aboriginal or other heritage sites visible within the site boundary in Landgate information. There are also no contaminated sites listed within the area.

The site and surrounding developing sites are part of Armadale Plasuplain, a mutli-use wetland. Any additional constraints arising from this will be detailed in the LWMS.

# 3 Infrastructure

## 3.1 Stormwater Drainage

The Byford Town site Drainage and Water Management Plan (Appendix Three) shows the site area being split into two drainage catchments, with the southern catchment discharging toward Orton Rd and the northern catchment to a trapezoidal drain that follows along the northern boundary of the site. The fall in levels on the site tends toward the north west and thus it is expected that the overland flow path will primarily lead toward the north. Further detailed modelling of the catchment will be undertaken to confirm the appropriate location of the catchment boundary.

Lot connections would normally be expected to connect to a main drainage system leading to these drains. Although in areas where sand cover is significant it may be possible to use soakwells. The overall drainage system will designed in line with the LWMS developed for the structure plan site, which requires a minor system containing a 5 year ARI storm event with major events leading to the MUC.

For the area specific to ABN's landholdings, the intent is for a temporary swale system to constructed on the north side of the area to handle major stormwater events until the final configuring involving the MUC is developed. Stormwater from major events will discharge to the Doley Rd swales to allow stormwater to enter the existing main drainage system.

The Byford Water Management plan also indicates expected flood levels in the area. Based on the northern catchment, the Doley Rd 100yr flood level is ~35.5mAHD. The development of the MUC as per the LWMS may change some details and thus this will be the guiding constraint in relation to flood levels.

## 3.2 Sewerage Reticulation

The majority of the area currently has no existing sewer reticulation, as shown by Water Corporation mapping in Appendix Six.

Water Corporation Planning (Appendix Three) shows that the site lies mostly within catchments feeding to a pump station to the North West. This is split into multiple catchments east to west, centred primarily around a proposed common line on Orton Rd which goes through to Soldiers Rd. These extensions are not currently part of Water Corporations' 5 year plan for works so will likely require developer funding.

The northern ~30% of the site feeds into existing catchments in developments to the north and the levels appear to be favourable for simple connection to existing stubs.

## 3.3 Water Reticulation

The majority of the area currently has no existing water reticulation, as shown by Water Corporation mapping in Appendix Six.

Planning and correspondence from the Water Corporation (Appendix Five) shows that the Water Corporation planning for the area has allowed for the installation of a 250P main along Orton Rd, turning north onto Doley Rd. This would connect the perimeter of the structure plan area to the mains on Soldiers Rd, although due to the general available of water services to the north these may be more likely to be utilised alone in the early stages of development.

## 3.4 Gas

Medium pressure 100PVC gas lines exists on Doley, Lawrence, Orton, Warrington and Turner Roads, and would be expected to be able to provide for connection points to the development.

ATCO mapping acquired from Dial Before You Dig is included in Appendix Six.

## 3.5 Electrical

The area is currently served by an overhead, primarily HV power network on all roads within the area, originating from the Byford Zone Substation off Thomas Road. It is expected that these would require undergrounding to satisfy Western Power conditions.

Western Power's Network Capacity Mapping Tool shows a reasonably small amount of available capacity in currently in the area (<5MVA within 3-4 years) however as any development would be staged this could be limited to a smaller yearly increase in demand that would be considered by Western Power as normal network growth. Similar stage sizes in the area have not tripped any requirements for upgrade.

It is not expected that extension of HV feeder cables into the development areas would be required, and beyond that only normal electrical reticulation would be required. In the event a feeder extension is required, approximately 2.5km of feeder plus an additional breaker at the substation would have to be budgeted for.

Western Power mapping acquired from Dial Before You Dig is included in Appendix Eight.

## 3.6 Communications

Telstra mapping shows that all lots in the development area are currently served. Due to the large number of lots to be installed in the area, it is expected than an application for NBN connection to the development would be made. NBN connected developments exist both to the north west of the site as well as closer to the Byford town site to the east, and as such connection is likely possible with a low to moderate contribution to backhaul costs.

# 4 Conclusion

On behalf of ABN Developments, Pritchard Francis has assessed the serviceability of the proposed LSP area and it is considered that the proposed area is serviceable for the planning provided.

The site is considered in Water Corporation planning and preliminary correspondence with Water Corporation revealed no special difficulty in servicing the development area. More detailed information will require a submission to Water Corporation.

It is expected that both power and communications infrastructure in the area will be sufficient to allow connection of a staged development without major upgrade works, and this is supported by the current developments in the area.

Stormwater disposal is expected to primarily through lot connection pits, with discharge toward the main drains in the Byford area. The quantity of storage and discharge rate will be assessed in the LWMS.

Based on the items assessed in this report, Pritchard Francis believes that the proposed development area is capable of being serviced with all essential services.

## *Appendices*

| | |
|---|---|
| *Appendix One* | *Galt Geotechnics Preliminary Report, 2015* |
| *Appendix Two* | *Galt Geotechnics Desktop Study, 2015* |
| *Appendix Three* | *Byford Townsite Drainage And Water Management Plan, September 2008* |
| *Appendix Four* | *Water Corporation Sewer Long Term Planning, 2015* |
| *Appendix Five* | *Water Corporation Water Planning, November 2015* |
| *Appendix Six* | *Water Corporation Maps, 2015* |
| *Appendix Seven* | *ATCO Maps, 2015* |
| *Appendix Eight* | *Western Power Maps, 2015* |

## *Appendix One Galt Geotechnics Geotechnical Report for ABN, 2015*

# Report on

# GEOTECHNICAL STUDY
# PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
# VARIOUS LOTS
# LAWRENCE WAY, DOLEY & ORTON ROADS
# BYFORD

**Submitted to:**

Alcock Brown Neaves (ABN) Group
Ground Floor
131 Hasler Road
OSBORNE PARK WA 6017

www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6 014
T: +61 (8) 6272-0200
F: +61 (8) 9285-8444

J1501201 001 R Rev0

09 October 2015

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction ..... 1

2. Site Description and Proposed Development ..... 1

3. Project Objectives ..... 1

4. Fieldwork ..... 2

5. Laboratory Testing ..... 3

6. Site Conditions ..... 4

6.1 Geology ..... 4

6.2 Subsurface Conditions ..... 4

6.3 Groundwater ..... 4

7. Geotechnical Assessment ..... 5

7.1 Site Classification ..... 5

7.2 Site Preparation ..... 6

7.3 Compaction ..... 6

7.3.1 Granular Soils ..... 6

7.3.2 Clayey Soils ..... 7

7.3.3 Compaction Testing ..... 7

7.4 Approved Fill ..... 7

7.5 Stormwater Disposal ..... 8

7.6 Pavement Design ..... 8

8. Closure ..... 9

**TABLES (WITHIN TEXT)**

Table 1: Summary of Permeability Test Results ..... 3

Table 2: Summary of Monitoring Well Information ..... 3

Table 3: Summary of Laboratory Test Results ..... 4

Table 4: Summary of Site Classifications AS 2870-2011 ..... 5

**TABLES (ATTACHED)**

Table A1: Summary of Tests

**FIGURES**

Figure 1: Site and Location Plan

Figure 2: Thickness of Sand over Clayey Soil

**APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX B: BOREHOLE REPORTS

APPENDIX C: DYNAMIC CONE PENETROMETER TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX D: PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX E: LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX F: UNDERSTANDING YOUR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the outcomes of Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd's (Galt's) due-diligence level geotechnical study to support a local structure plan application for the proposed residential subdivision of the various lots along Lawrence Way, Doley Road and Orton Road in Byford ("the site"). The location of the site relative to the surrounding area is shown on Figure 1, Site and Location Plan.

The investigation was requested by Jeremy Cordina of ABN Group and authorised in an email dated 1 September 2015.

## 2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Based on the supplied information, the proposed development comprises 8 lots, covering a total plan area of approximately 31.9 ha. We understand that the following lots are to be included:

- Lot 1347 Orton Road
- Lots 27 & 43 Lawrence Way
- Lots 130, 133, 145, 155 & 202 Doley Road

Lots 54 & 64 Lawrence Way were originally included in the initial investigation works, however were subsequently removed from the scope of work. The test results within these lots have been included within this report for completeness.

Publicly available contour mapping indicates that the site surface levels varying from about RL 37 m AHD along Doley Road in the west of the site, sloping up to around RL 44 m AHD along Lawrence Way in the south east of the site.

All of the lots have been predominantly cleared of vegetation, with localised mature trees present mainly along the lot boundaries, although scattered large trees and bushes are also present in the center of most lots. All of the lots, with the exception of Lot 130 Doley Road, have existing residential dwellings occupying part of the lot. Several of the lots are presently being used for livestock (horses), with fencing sub-dividing the properties to form smaller paddocks.

It is understood that the proposed development will comprise the subdivision of the site into several hundred residential lots and the construction of new access roads. Due to the preliminary nature of the project, no details regarding the proposed final site levels, layout of lots or access roads are known at this stage.

It is expected that the new residential structures will be single or double storey brick masonry and founded on shallow footings, with ground-bearing slabs. We understand that the target site classification is likely to be either 'Class A' or 'Class S' in accordance with AS2870-2011.

## 3. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the study were to:

- broadly assess subsurface soil and groundwater conditions across the site;
- provide a preliminary site classification(s) in accordance with AS 2870-2011 "Residential Slabs and Footings";
- provide recommendations for the site to improve the site classification to "Class A" or "Class S" if applicable;
- recommend likely appropriate site preparation procedures including compaction criteria;
- assess the permeability of the soils at the site for potential on-site disposal of stormwater by infiltration; and
- provide a preliminary subgrade California bearing ratio (CBR) value for pavement thickness design by others.

## 4. FIELDWORK

Fieldwork was carried out on 3, 4 and 24 September 2015 and comprised:

- drilling of machine-augered boreholes at 30 locations, extending to a depth of between 2 m and 3 m (for assessment of general conditions across the site);
- testing with a dynamic cone penetrometer adjacent to the boreholes, extending to depths of between 0.5 m and 0.9 m;
- drilling of machine-augered boreholes at a further 14 locations (BH31 to BH44), extending to a depth of up to 2.0 m (for delineation of sand thickness);
- infiltration tests using the 'inverse auger hole' technique at 3 locations (P01 to P03), at depths of between 0.44 m to 0.52 m below ground;
- installation of ground water monitoring wells at 3 locations (MW01 to MW03) to depths of between 1.8 m and 2.4 m; and
- measurement of groundwater levels in the installed monitoring wells.

**General**

A geotechnical engineer from Galt located the test positions, supervised the drilling, logged the materials encountered in the boreholes, conducted the infiltration and penetrometer testing, and collected samples for inspection and laboratory testing.

The test locations are shown on Figure 1, Site and Location Plan. Photographs of the site are presented in Appendix A, Site Photographs. Summary details of the boreholes are presented in Table A1: Summary of Tests, at the end of text.

**Boreholes**

Geotechnical boreholes were drilled with an Eziprobe utility mounted drill rig using solid auger drilling techniques. Borehole reports are presented in Appendix B, Borehole Reports along with a method of soil description and a list of explanatory notes and abbreviations used in the reports. A photograph of the spoil recovered from each borehole is included with selected borehole reports.

**Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Testing**

Dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) tests were carried out adjacent to boreholes to depths of between 0.5 m and 0.9 m. Results of the DCP testing are presented in Appendix C, Penetrometer Test Results. The tests were conducted in accordance with test method AS 1289 6.3.2.

**Permeability Testing**

Permeability testing was carried out at three locations (P01 to P03) using the method described by Cocks[1]. The results of the permeability testing are presented in Appendix D, Permeability Test Results and summarised in Table 1: Summary of Permeability Test Results.

[1] Cocks, G (2007), "Disposal of Stormwater Runoff by Soakage in Perth Western Australia", Journal and News of the Australian Geomechanics Society, Volume 42 No. 3, pp 101-114

**Table 1: Summary of Permeability Test Results**

| Test Location | Description | Pipe Embedment (m) | Minimum Unsaturated Permeability, k (m/day) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Test 1 | Test 2 | Test 3 |
| P01/BH06 | SAND | 0.44 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| P02/BH15 | SAND | 0.47 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| P03/BH28 | SAND | 0.52 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 |

**Note:** 1. The minimum permeabilities shown were recorded throughout the entire duration of the tests, with pressure head varying between about 0.5 m and 0.28 m.

**Groundwater Monitoring Wells**

Three groundwater monitoring wells (MW01 to MW03) were installed across the site. The wells comprised 50 mm PVC standpipes extending to depths of between 2.0 m and 2.4 m with the entire length of pipe slotted. Gravel pack was placed in the annulus between the borehole wall and standpipe.

Groundwater well details and groundwater levels measured during installation (3 September 2015) are summarised in Table 2: Summary of Monitoring Well Information. Groundwater levels within the monitoring wells were not monitored post-installation, however these wells remain in place for future monitoring.

**Table 2: Summary of Monitoring Well Information**

| Borehole/Monitoring Well | Depth of Well (m) | Depth to Groundwater (m) |
|---|---|---|
| | | Installation 3 September 2015 |
| MW01/BH02 | 2.4 | GNE |
| MW02/BH16 | 1.8 | GNE |
| MW03/BH22 | 2.4 | GNE |

**Note**: GNE – groundwater not encountered

## 5. LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing was undertaken by Mining and Civil Geotest Pty Ltd in their NATA accredited laboratory on recovered samples of the clayey fill across parts of the site. The testing comprised determination of:

- particle size distribution on 5 samples; and
- Atterberg limits and linear shrinkage on 5 samples; and
- shrink-swell index on 3 samples.

Laboratory test results along with the test methods followed are presented in Appendix E and are summarised in Table 3: Summary of Laboratory Test Results.

**Table 3: Summary of Laboratory Test Results**

| Test Location | Sample Depth (m) | Gravel (%) | Sand (%) | Fines (%) | LL (%) | PL (%) | PI (%) | LS (%) | $I_{ss}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BH02 | 2.0 – 3.0 | 3 | 39 | 58 | 57 | 23 | 34 | 14.5 | N/A |
| BH06 | 0.6 – 0.8 | 24 | 48 | 28 | 37 | 16 | 21 | 9.5 | 1.2 |
| BH14 | 0.7 – 1.0 | 15 | 47 | 38 | 42 | 19 | 23 | 11 | 1.5 |
| BH17 | 1.0 – 1.3 | 7 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 20 | 27 | 13 | 0.7 |
| BH22 | 2.2 – 3.0 | 2 | 44 | 54 | 57 | 20 | 37 | 14.5 | N/A |

NOTES: LL: Liquid Limit PL: Plastic Limit PI: Plasticity Index
LS: Linear Shrinkage $I_{ss}$: Instability Index

# 6. SITE CONDITIONS

## 6.1 Geology

The Armdale sheet of the 1:50,000 scale Environmental Geology series map indicates that the area is underlain by Sandy Clay, described as *SANDY CLAY – white-grey to brown, fine to coarse-grained, subangular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity, gravel and silt layers near scarp.* This is generally in accordance with our experience in the area of the site.

The findings of our investigation are generally in accordance with the geological mapping; however, a thin layer of surficial clayey fill was encountered covering parts of the site.

## 6.2 Subsurface Conditions

Based on the materials encountered within the boreholes, the subsurface conditions are generally consistent across the site. The typical soil profile may be described as follows:

- SAND (SP), fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, trace organics in top 0.1 m, trace non-plastic fines, with some fines at depth, moist, generally medium dense to dense, from the surface extending to depths of between 0.4 m and 1.3 m; overlying
- Clayey SAND (SC), fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, red-orange, medium plasticity fines, dry to moist, stiff to hard, extending from the base of the sand layer to between 1.2 m and 2.5 m; overlying
- Sandy CLAY (CL) / CLAY, medium to high plasticity, red/orange, sand is fine grained, sub-rounded to sub-angular, dry to moist, stiff to hard, extending to the maximum depth of investigation of 3.0 m.

Figure 2 shows the thickness of sand overlying the clayey soils at the test locations (as well as interpolated sand thicknesses across the site). This includes allowance for a nominal 100 mm topsoil strip.

## 6.3 Groundwater

The Perth Groundwater Atlas (1997) shows the maximum groundwater level be around RL 32 m to RL 33 m AHD at the western boundary of the site. This is within about 2 m to 3 m of the current ground surface.

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the boreholes or standpipes during the field investigation (late winter). A perched groundwater table over clayey soils is expected at wetter times of the year.

# 7. GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

## 7.1 Site Classification

We consider that the site is geotechnically capable of supporting the proposed residential subdivision.

We have assessed the site in accordance with AS 2870-2011 "Residential Slabs and Footings" which defines the site classes as such in Table 4: Summary of Site Classifications AS 2870-2011 (only relevant site classes shown).

**Table 4: Summary of Site Classifications AS 2870-2011**

| Class | Description | Characteristic Surface Movement ($y_s$) |
|---|---|---|
| A | Most sand and rock site with little or no ground movement from moisture change | Not Defined (typically <5 mm) |
| S | Slightly reactive clay sites with only slight ground movement from moisture changes | Up to 20 mm |
| M | Moderately reactive clay sites, which may experience moderate ground movements from moisture change | 20 – 40 mm |

We consider that in its current state the following site classifications apply:

- "Class A" where the overlying sand thickness is greater than 1.8 m;
- "Class S" where the overlying sand thickness is between 0.3 m and 1.8 m;
- "Class M" where the overlying sand thickness is between 0.0 m and 0.3 m.

The site preparation measures outlined in Section 7.2 must be followed to achieve the site classification noted above.

Figure 2 presents the relevant sand thickness at each test location (excluding topsoil, assumed to be 100 mm thick). Contouring of the sand thickness profile is also shown on Figure 2, however this has only been undertaken for the western and central lots where additional testing was undertaken. Based on the existing thickness of sand cover across the site, a site classification of "Class S" is considered applicable for the site.

We understand that optimisation of the site classification may be required as part of the development. We consider that the following site classifications would be applicable:

- "Class S-4" with a characteristic surface movement of up to 20 mm where the overlying sand thickness is between 0.3 m and 1.0 m; and
- "Class S-2" with a characteristic surface movement of up to 10 mm where the overlying sand thickness is between 1.0 m and 1.8 m.

We note that subdivision of 'Class S' is not incorporated in the code, but is a practice adopted by some structural engineering consultants in Perth in order to optimise 'Class S' footing designs.

In order to achieve a "Class S-2" classification across the site, up to 0.7 m of sand fill would be required across localised areas of the development site (although generally less, and in some areas no fill is required). We envisage that this material could be sourced from areas of the site where the thickness of the sand overlying the clay is greater than 1.0 m, or alternatively as imported sand fill.

Improvement of the site to "Class A" may be possible with importation and placement of sand fill to produce a total sand thickness of at least 1.8 m overlying clayey soils. This would require importation, placement and compaction of up to about 1.5 m of sand fill across localised areas of the development site (although generally less).

## 7.2 Site Preparation

The site preparation measures outlined below are aimed at improvement of the site in preparation for construction of the structures including on-ground slabs, shallow footings, retaining walls and pavements.

- Demolish/remove the existing buildings, fences and pavements (including removal of all footings, sewerage tanks and soakwell) and dispose of off-site.
- Remove all topsoil and vegetation from the site, including grubbing out of roots. A topsoil strip of 100 mm may be assumed, however the topsoil strip must be deep enough to remove all roots. Grubbing out of tree roots will be required where trees are removed. Localised remediation may be required for areas where large trees are removed and the soil is disturbed to a depth of greater than 1 m.
- Compact ground surface to achieve the level of compaction specified in Section 7.3 to a minimum depth of 0.9 m below surface. **Note:** Where there is more than 1 m of bulk fill to be placed over the in-situ sand, the depth of compaction can be reduced to 0.45 m.
- Where fill is required to raise site surface levels, approved fill must be used (refer to Section 7.4), placed and compacted in layers of no greater than 300 mm loose thickness.

We note that general disturbance of the clayey soils at the site is not likely to be needed, however if excavation of the clayey soils is required (i.e. services, etc), care should be taken to not mix the overlying sand and underlying clayey soils, so that the sand can be re-used as approved fill.

## 7.3 Compaction

### 7.3.1 Granular Soils

Approved granular fill must be compacted using suitable compaction equipment to achieve a dry density ratio (DDR) of at least 95% MMDD (maximum modified dry density) as determined in accordance with AS 1289 5.2.1 at a moisture content within 2% of optimum moisture content (OMC).

Granular fill must be placed in horizontal layers of not greater than 300 mm loose thickness. Each layer must be compacted by suitable compaction equipment (such as a self-propelled vibrating rollers or large (>800 kg) plate compactor), and carefully controlled to ensure even compaction over the full area and depth of each layer.

Where clean sand (<5% gravel, <5% fines) is used as fill, a Perth sand penetrometer (PSP) may be used for compaction control in accordance with AS 1289.6.3.3. The following minimum blow counts may be assumed to correspond to a DDR of 95% MMDD:

- 0-150 mm: SET
- 150-450 mm: 8
- 450-750 mm: 10
- 750-1050 mm: 12 (or 750-900 mm: 6).

Where the above blow counts cannot be achieved, a site-specific PSP correlation should be carried out to determine the PSP blow count correlating to a DDR of 95% MMDD. The correlation must:

- be done on site;
- use the nuclear density gauge (NDG) to determine density at a minimum of 5 points with varying density to a depth of 300 mm below surface;
- use a calibrated PSP to determine the PSP blow count from 150 mm to 450 mm at each of the NDG test points; and
- be plotted on a chart of PSP blow count vs DDR.

If gravel is used as fill, compaction testing must be done using a nuclear density gauge (NDG) in accordance with AS 1289.5.8.1.

### 7.3.2 Clayey Soils

The *in situ* clayey soils must be compacted using suitable compaction equipment to a minimum dry density ratio of 95% SMDD (standard maximum dry density) as determined in accordance with AS 1289.5.1.1. Compaction control is not required except where in situ soils are disturbed or clay fill is required.

The *in situ* clayey soils will require careful moisture conditioning so that the moisture content of the material is between optimum moisture content (OMC) and 2% wet of OMC at the time of placement and compaction. We note that compaction to specification 95% SMDD can be difficult to achieve for the clayey in situ material when not appropriately moisture conditioned.

A nuclear density gauge should be used for compaction control of clayey soils in accordance with AS 1289.5.8.1.

The clayey soils on the site will drain poorly when inundated during the wetter times of the year and result in saturated conditions that may inhibit compaction of the soil. To reduce the risk associated with this, we recommend that earthworks are carried out during the summer months when perched water is expected to be limited and not within 1-2 weeks following heavy rainfall.

If difficulties are encountered during compaction due to water, further advice should be sought from us.

### 7.3.3 Compaction Testing

After compaction, verify that the required level of compaction has been achieved within any fill and to minimum depth of 0.9 m below foundations.

- on each lift of fill at the rate of 1 test per 500 $m^3$;
- at each spread footing location (unless footings are in clayey soil);
- at 7.5 m centres below on-ground slabs;
- at 15 m centres along retaining walls (foundations and backfill, where present); and
- at 10 m centres on pavements subgrades (on the road centreline or on a grid below car parks/hardstanding).

## 7.4 Approved Fill

Imported granular fill must comply with the material requirements as stated in AS 3798-2007, “Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments”.

Generally, the *in-situ* sand is considered suitable for re-use as inert structural fill. Sand close to the interface with the underlying clayey soil (within 0.3 m) is not recommended for reuse as structural fill due to the elevated fines content (up to about 12%).

We do not consider the in-situ clayey soil is suitable for re-use as structural fill.

Any organic-rich sand (including the nominal 100 mm topsoil strip) or sand containing significant proportions of fines (material less than 0.075 mm in size) must not be used as fill without further treatment.

We expect that sandy topsoil can be suitably blended with clean sand to produce a permeable structural fill, once organics are removed (by screening). This usually requires:

- Drying-out of topsoil (typically by undertaking topsoil strip during late summer, or by stockpiling for several months).
- Screening using a vibrating screen plant (50 mm screen deck or smaller is typically appropriate).
- Blending either mechanically (using scrapers, graders, etc) or through the screen, usually at a rate of 1 to 2 parts clean sand to 1 part screened topsoil.

Where doubt exists, a geotechnical engineer must be engaged to inspect and approve the use of potential fill materials. We must comment on specific topsoil blending regimes once a design permeability has been established for the site.

## 7.5 Stormwater Disposal

The results of the infiltration tests carried out at four locations are included in Appendix D, Permeability Test Results. The minimum measured permeabilities are between 0.6 m/day (P02) and 3.0 m/day (P01).

We consider that the *in-situ* sands and sand fill at the site are not currently suitable for the disposal of stormwater by infiltration by means of soakwells. We consider that at least 1.5 m total sand thickness is required above clayey soils (noting that around the bottom 0.3 m of sand is tending to become clayier across most of the site). This thickness of sand is not currently available, but may possibly be present if a sufficient amount of imported sand is brought to the site. If this is done, we recommend a design value of permeability (k) not greater than 1 m/day for the sand fill to allow for the variability in materials and reduced permeability as a consequence of:

- densification of sand during site preparation works;
- natural variation in sands; and
- clogging of the sand around soakwells and soakage basins over time with fines.

The imported sand fill may have a higher permeability, however we cannot comment at this stage until a specific fill source is proposed.

The underlying *in-situ* clayey soil has a relatively high fines content, and is therefore likely to have a very low permeability. Soakwells may also have a reduced permeability during the wetter times of the year if a perch groundwater table is present over the clayey soils.

Soak wells should be placed outside a line of 1V:2H extending below the edge of the nearest footing, subject to local council regulations. Discharge from soak wells has been known to promote densification of loose sandy soils, leading to settlements of footings and slabs. Soak wells should be carefully wrapped with geotextile to prevent migration of sand and fines into the soak well.

If the sand thickness of the site is kept relatively low (i.e. <1.5 m), we do not recommend the use of soakwells and we consider that lot connections will be required.

## 7.6 Pavement Design

Where the pavement subgrades comprise of at least 0.5 m of *in-situ* sand or sand backfill over the clayey soils and the site preparation measures outline in Section 7.2 have been completed, pavement thickness design may be undertaken assuming a subgrade California bearing ratio, CBR of 10%.

Where the pavement is underlain by less than 0.5 m of sand or by a clayey subgrade, a revised CBR value should be assumed.

## 8. CLOSURE

We draw your attention to Appendix F of this report, Understanding your Geotechnical Engineering Report. The information provided within is intended to inform you as to what your realistic expectations of this report should be. Guidance is also provided on how to minimise risks associated with groundworks for this project. This information is provided not to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by Galt, but to ensure that all parties who rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

**GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD**





Owen Woodland CPEng

Geotechnical Engineer

Paul Woodroof CPEng

Geotechnical Engineer

O:\Jobs\2015\J1501201 - ABN Group DD SI Byford\03 Correspondence\J1501201 001 R Rev0.docx

# Tables



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729

**Table A1: Summary of Tests**

| Test Names | Test Depth (m) | Thickness of Surficial Sand Layer (m)[1] | Depth to Groundwater (m) | Reason for Termination | Stratigraphy |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BH01 | 2.0 | 0.9 | GNE[2] | Target depth | Sand, overlying Clayey SAND; overlying Sandy CLAY |
| BH02 | 3.0 | 0.8 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH03 | 2.0 | 1.2 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH04 | 0.7 | 0.5 | GNE | Refusal | |
| BH05 | 2.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH06 | 2.1 | 0.6 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH07 | 2.0 | 0.4 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH08 | 2.0 | 0.9 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH09 | 3.0 | 1.2 | GNE | Target depth | Sand, overlying Gravelly SAND, overlying Clayey SAND; overlying Sandy CLAY |
| BH10 | 2.0 | 1.3 | GNE | Target depth | Sand, overlying Clayey SAND; overlying Sandy CLAY |
| BH11 | 3.0 | 0.9 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH12 | 2.0 | 0.8 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH13 | 3.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH14 | 2.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH15 | 2.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH16 | 3.0 | 0.6 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH17 | 2.0 | 0.6 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH18 | 1.7 | 1.0 | GNE | Refusal | |
| BH19 | 1.6 | 1.1 | GNE | Refusal | |
| BH20 | 1.7 | 1.3 | GNE | Refusal | |
| BH21 | 2.0 | 0.9 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH22 | 3.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH23 | 2.0 | 1.0 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH24 | 2.0 | 0.8 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH25 | 1.6 | 1.0 | GNE | Refusal | |
| BH26 | 2.0 | 1.0 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH27 | 2.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH28 | 2.0 | 1.1 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH29 | 2.0 | 1.1 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH30 | 3.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH31 | 1.0 | 0.6 | GNE | Target depth | Sand, overlying Clayey SAND |
| BH32 | 1.0 | 0.6 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH33 | 1.4 | 1.0 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH34 | 1.2 | 1.0 | GNE | Target depth | |



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729

| Test Names | Test Depth (m) | Thickness of Surficial Sand Layer (m)[1] | Depth to Groundwater (m) | Reason for Termination | Stratigraphy |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BH35 | 1.2 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | Sand, overlying Clayey SAND |
| BH36 | 1.0 | 0.6 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH37 | 2.0 | 1.3 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH38 | 1.0 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH39 | 1.2 | 0.4 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH40 | 1.2 | 0.8 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH41 | 1.2 | 0.7 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH42 | 1.3 | 1.1 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH43 | 1.3 | 1.0 | GNE | Target depth | |
| BH44 | 1.3 | 1.0 | GNE | Target depth | |

**Notes**
1. Thickness of surficial sand layer includes topsoil which is approximately 100 mm thick
2. GNE – groundwater not encountered




www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729


# Figures



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729




**Legend**

- Site Boundary
- Borehole
- Borehole / Groundwater Monitoring Well

N

0 40 80 120 160 200
Meters




| | | |
|---|---|---|
| SCALE | 1:3,000 | (A3) |
| DRAWN | DAC | |
| DATE DRAWN | 7/10/2015 | |
| CHECKED | PCW | |
| DATE CHECKED | 7/10/2015 | |
| PROJECTION | GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50 | |


Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd
ACN : 138 490 865
Tel : +61 (0)8 6272-0200
Fax : +61 (0)8 9285 8444
Address : U2, 39 Flynn Street, Wembley, WA, 6014


*COPYRIGHT © 2015 THIS FIGURE AND ITS CONTENTS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL. THIS FIGURE SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT.*

| | |
|---|---|
| CLIENT | **ABN GROUP** |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION |
| LOCATION | VARIOUS LOTS ALONG LAWRENCE WAY, DOLEY AND ORTON ROAD, BYFORD |
| TITLE | SITE & LOCATION PLAN |

| Job No | Fig No | Rev |
|---|---|---|
| J1501201 | FIGURE 1 | **A** |

*O:\Jobs\2015\J1501201 - ABN Group DD SI Byford\09 GIS\04 MXD\J1501201-001.mxd (DamonClark) 7/10/2015 9:20:10 AM*




## Legend

- Site Boundary

**Test Locations**

- Borehole
- Borehole / Groundwater Monitoring Well

N

0 40 80 120 160 200
Meters




| | | |
|---|---|---|
| SCALE | 1:3,000 | (A3) |
| DRAWN | DAC | |
| DATE DRAWN | 7/10/2015 | |
| CHECKED | PCW | |
| DATE CHECKED | 7/10/2015 | |
| PROJECTION | GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50 | |

Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd
ACN : 138 490 865
Tel : +61 (0)8 6272-0200
Fax : +61 (0)8 9285 8444
Address : U2, 39 Flynn Street, Wembley, WA, 6014

COPYRIGHT © 2015 THIS FIGURE AND ITS CONTENTS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL. THIS FIGURE SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT.

| | |
|---|---|
| CLIENT | **ABN GROUP** |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION |
| LOCATION | VARIOUS LOTS ALONG LAWRENCE WAY, DOLEY AND ORTON ROAD, BYFORD |
| TITLE | THICKNESS OF SAND OVERLYING CLAYEY SOILS |

| Job No | Fig No | Rev |
|---|---|---|
| J1501201 | FIGURE 2 | **A** |

O:\Jobs\2015\J1501201 - ABN Group DD SI Byford\09 GIS\04 MXD\J1501201-003.mxd (DamonClark) 7/10/2015 9:18:48 AM

# Appendix A: Site Photographs



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729



**Photograph 1: At BH09 facing east**



**Photograph 2: At BH15 facing west**



**Photograph 3: At BH17 facing north**



**Photograph 4: At BH23 facing west**



**Photograph 5: At BH28 facing south**



**Photograph 6: Drilling at BH16**

# Appendix B: Borehole Reports



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729

# BOREHOLE: BH01



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404558 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433391 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, with some fines | | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Grey-red | | | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, approximately 40% fines | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH02



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404699 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433428 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace fines | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | With some fines | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | VSt | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine to coarse grained, sub-angular | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | B(BH02-01) | | | | CI-CH | | D | H | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21


# BOREHOLE: BH03

Sheet 1 OF 1

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404640 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433341 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-red, trace fines | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | With some fines | | MD | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity | | VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH04



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404712 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433283 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-red, with some fines | M | L - MD | |
| | H | | 0.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | D | H | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 0.70 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH05



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404609 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433250 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey, trace fines | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, with some fines | M | L - MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, with some silt (approximately 40% fines) | D | VSt - H | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH06



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404722 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433200 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | B(BH06-01) | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 30% fines), with some gravel | | VSt - H | |
| | | | 1.5 | | B(BH06-02) | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.10 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH07



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404579 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433155 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-red, with some fines | | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH08



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404728 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433100 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | Grey-red, trace clay | | L - MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 30% fines) | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | B(BH08-01) | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH09



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404547 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433060 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SP | Gravelly SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, red-orange, gravel is fine to medium grained, sub-angular, with some fines | | | |
| | F | | 1.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, with some silt (approximately 40% fines) | | St - VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH10



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404621 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6432999 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, black-grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Sand is fine to coarse grained, yellow-grey | M | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, red-orange, clay is high plasticity, approximately 30% fines | | VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH11



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404784 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433405 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey<br>With some fines | | L - MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | B(BH11-01) | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | M | VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-rounded | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH12



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404839 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433296 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, black-grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | L - MD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Grey-red, trace clay | | | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, approximately 40% fines | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-rounded | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH13

Sheet 1 OF 1

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404961 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433287 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, black-grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-red, with some fines | | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | M | | |
| | H | | 2.0 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded | | VSt | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH14



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404780 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433234 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | VD - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | With some fines | | MD | |
| | F | | | | B(BH14-01) | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, 30-40% medium plasticity fines | M | VSt | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH15

Sheet 1 OF 1

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404868 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433161 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, black-grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey<br>Grey-red, with some fines | | L - MD | |
| | F | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, approximately 40% fines | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH16



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404776 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433109 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-orange, trace fines | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | F | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | H | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Dark red-orange | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH17



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404971 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433105 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, black-grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-orange | | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | B(BH17-01) | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 45% fines) | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Approximately 50% fines | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH18



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404845 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433039 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace fines | | L - MD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Grey-red, with some fines | M | | |
| | F | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | H | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.70 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH19



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404771 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6432958 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace fines | | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | F | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Grey-red, with some fines | M | MD | |
| | | | | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | VSt - H | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.60 m<br>Refusal on hard stratum<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH20



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404968 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6432935 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace fines | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Grey-red, with some fines | | | |
| | F | | 1.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red/orange, clay is medium plasticity | D | H | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.70 m<br>Refusal on hard stratum<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH21



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405092 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433514 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey mottled red-orange, with some fines | | L - MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | | | MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | M | VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH22



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405165 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433431 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | DEPTH RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-red, with some fines | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | B(BH22-01) | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | VSt - H | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH23



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405107 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433372 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | With some fines | M | L - MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, with some silt (approximately 40% fines) | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | VSt - H | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH24



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405023 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433333 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, with some fines | M | MD | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Grey-red | | | |
| | F | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH25



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405175 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433301 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | Trace fines | M | L - MD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | With some fines | | | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity, approximately 40% fines | | VSt - H | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | H | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.60 m<br>Refusal on hard Sandy CLAY<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH26



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405045 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433222 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey, trace organics | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | L - MD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Grey-red, with some fines | M | D | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | VSt - H | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | H | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:28 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH27



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405163 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433200 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey, trace organics | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey<br>Grey-red, trace clay | | L - MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | M | VSt - H | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | | H | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH28



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405105 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433168 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey, trace organics | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Grey-red, with some fines | M | | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | B(BH28-01) | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-brown, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | VSt | |
| | | | | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH29



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405043 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433119 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, dark grey, trace organics | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey | M | L - MD | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | With some fines | | | |
| | H | | 1.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | VSt | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH30



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 405164 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 04/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433110 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | EY |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| AD/V | E | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND (TOPSOIL): fine to medium medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, black-grey, trace organics | | | |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey<br>Grey-red, with some fines | | L - MD | |
| | H | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to medium grained, sub-angular, red-orange, clay is medium plasticity (approximately 40% fines) | M | VSt | |
| | | | 1.5 | | B(BH30-01) | | | | CI-CH | Sandy CLAY: medium to high plasticity, red-orange, sand is fine grained, sub-angular | D | H | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 3.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH31



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404542 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433223 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace rootlets, trace low plasticity fines | | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Becoming brown | M | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**

**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP.GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH32



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404676 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433232 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace rootlets, trace fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Pale grey-brown | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 20-30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH33



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404630 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433075 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey, trace fine to medium grained gravel | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Pale grey-brown | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Mottled orange, trace fine to medium grained gravel, trace medium plasticity fines<br>Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 20-30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.40 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH34



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404931 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433424 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, brown-grey, trace rootlets, trace fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Pale brown, no rootlets | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange mottled grey, 20-30% medium plasticity fines | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.20 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH35



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404882 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433367 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, pale grey-brown, trace organics, trace rootlets, trace low plasticity fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 25-30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.20 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH36



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404771 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433321 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown, trace rootlets, trace fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown, 15-20% high plasticity fines | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH37



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404980 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433327 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, brown becoming pale brown, trace rootlets, trace medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Becoming orange-brown, with some fine to medium grained gravel | M | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | SP-SC | Gravelly SAND/Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 20% fine to medium grained gravel, 10-15% medium plasticity fines | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 2.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**

**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP.GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH38



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404938 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433261 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, pale grey, trace fines | | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | With some (10%) medium plasticity fines, increasing fines content with depth | M | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 20-30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.00 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP.GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH39



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404817 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433184 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, pale grey-cream, trace rootlets, trace organics, trace fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown, 15-20% medium plasticity fines | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Orange-brown, trace fine to medium grained gravel, 30-40% medium plasticity fines | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.20 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH40



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404978 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433174 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, pale grey-brown, trace rootlets, trace organics, trace fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 20-30% medium plasticity fines, trace gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.20 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP.GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH41



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404882 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433111 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown, trace rootlets, trace organics | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Mottled orange, no rootlets | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 20-40% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.20 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH42



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404917 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6433013 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown, trace rootlets, trace organics, trace fines | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Pale grey-brown mottled orange, increasing fines content | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-brown, 30% high plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.30 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**

**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP.GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH43



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404770 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6432930 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, pale grey mottled dark grey, trace rootlets | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Pale grey-brown, trace fines | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | | Increasing fines content, mottled orange | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange-grey, 20-30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.30 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP.GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# BOREHOLE: BH44



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Job Number:** | J1501201 | **Easting:** | 404923 m | **Contractor:** | Galt | **Date:** | 24/09/2015 |
| **Client:** | ABN Group | **Northing:** | 6432928 m | **Drill Rig:** | Eziprobe | **Logged:** | SC |
| **Project:** | Due Diligence Level Study | **Datum:** | MGA94 Zone 50 | **Inclination:** | -90° | **Checked Date:** | 06/10/2015 |
| **Location:** | Various Lots, Lawrence Way, Doley & Orton Rd, Byford | | | | | **Checked By:** | PCW |

| Drilling | | | | | Sampling | | | Field Material Description | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| METHOD | PENETRATION RESISTANCE | WATER | DEPTH (metres) | *DEPTH* RL | SAMPLE OR FIELD TEST | ACID SULPHATE SAMPLE | RECOVERED | GRAPHIC LOG | USCS SYMBOL | SOIL/ROCK MATERIAL DESCRIPTION | MOISTURE CONDITION | CONSISTENCY DENSITY | STRUCTURE AND ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS |
| MA | | | 0.0 | | | | | | SP | SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, grey-brown, trace rootlets, trace organics | M | | Holes drilled to assess sand thickness only. Drilling resistance and soil density/consistency not observed. |
| | | | 0.5 | | | | | | | Pale grey-brown, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.0 | | | | | | SC | Clayey SAND: fine to coarse grained, sub-angular to sub-rounded, orange mottled grey, 30% medium plasticity fines, trace fine to medium grained gravel | | | |
| | | | 1.5 | | | | | | | Hole terminated at 1.30 m<br>Target depth<br>Groundwater not encountered | | | |
| | | | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.5 | | | | | | | | | | |

**Sketch & Other Observations**



**Comments:**

See Explanatory Notes and Method of Soil Description sheets for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

GALT LIB 1.01 - TEMP GLB Log GG_EXCAVATION J1501201.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 09/10/2015 12:29 8.30.003 Datgel DGD, CPT, Photo, Monitoring Tools | Lib: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21 Prj: GALT 1.01 2013-02-21

# Appendix C: Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Test Results



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729

DYNAMIC CONE PENETROMETER RECORD SHEET

AS 1298.6.3.2

**Client:** ABN Group **Job No:** J1501201

**Project:** Proposed Residential Development **Date:** 03/09/2015 & 04/09/2015

**Location:** Lawrence Way, Doley Rd and Orton Rd, Byford **Engineer:** EY

| Test No: | DCP01 | DCP02 | DCP03 | DCP04 | DCP05 | DCP06 | DCP07 | DCP08 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location: | BH01 | BH02 | BH03 | BH04 | BH05 | BH06 | BH07 | BH08 |
| **Depth (mm)** | **N° of Penetrometer Blows per 100 mm Depth Interval** | | | | | | | |
| 0-100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 100-200 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 200-300 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 300-400 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| 400-500 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 15+ |
| 500-600 | 11 | 20+ | 13 | | 15+ | 20+ | 15+ | |
| 600-700 | 10 | | 26 | | | | | |
| 700-800 | 17 | | | | | | | |
| 800-900 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Test No: | DCP09 | DCP10 | DCP11 | DCP12 | DCP13 | DCP14 | DCP15 | DCP16 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location: | BH09 | BH10 | BH11 | BH12 | BH13 | BH14 | BH15 | BH16 |
| **Depth (mm)** | **N° of Penetrometer Blows per 100 mm Depth Interval** | | | | | | | |
| 0-100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 100-200 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 200-300 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 300-400 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 400-500 | 10+ | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 18 |
| 500-600 | | 2 | 5 | 19 | 19 | 6 | 16 | 20+ |
| 600-700 | | 2 | 11 | 20+ | 20+ | 7R | 19 | |
| 700-800 | | 4 | 20+ | | | | | |
| 800-900 | | 11 | | | | | | |
| 900-1000 | | | | | | | | |

| Test No: | DCP17 | DCP18 | DCP19 | DCP20 | DCP21 | DCP22 | DCP23 | DCP24 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location: | BH17 | BH18 | BH19 | BH20 | BH21 | BH22 | BH23 | BH24 |
| **Depth (mm)** | **N° of Penetrometer Blows per 100 mm Depth Interval** | | | | | | | |
| 0-100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 100-200 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 200-300 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 300-400 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| 400-500 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 22 | 4 | 3 |
| 500-600 | 20+ | 9 | 3 | 5 | 20 | | 20+ | 11 |
| 600-700 | | 20+ | 5 | 10 | | | | 16 |
| 700-800 | | | 6 | 14 | | | | |
| 800-900 | | | 11 | 12 | | | | |
| 900-1000 | | | | | | | | |

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer tests done in accordance with AS 1289.6.3.2 R: Refusal

DYNAMIC CONE PENETROMETER RECORD SHEET

AS 1298.6.3.2

**Client:** ABN Group **Job No:** J1501201

**Project:** Proposed Residential Development **Date:** 03/09/2015 & 04/09/2015

**Location:** Lawrence Way, Doley Rd and Orton Rd, Byford **Engineer:** EY

| Test No: | DCP25 | DCP26 | DCP27 | DCP28 | DCP29 | DCP30 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location: | BH25 | BH26 | BH27 | BH28 | BH29 | BH30 | | |
| **Depth (mm)** | **N$^{o}$ of Penetrometer Blows per 100 mm Depth Interval** | | | | | | | |
| 0-100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 100-200 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| 200-300 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | | |
| 300-400 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | | |
| 400-500 | 5 | 5 | 15+ | 5 | 4 | 7 | | |
| 500-600 | 4 | 10 | | 6 | 5 | 15 | | |
| 600-700 | 7 | 15+ | | 9 | 5 | 11 | | |
| 700-800 | 15+ | | | 11 | 11 | 13 | | |
| 800-900 | | | | 13 | 13 | 13 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Test No: | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location: | | | | | | | | |
| **Depth (mm)** | **N$^{o}$ of Penetrometer Blows per 100 mm Depth Interval** | | | | | | | |
| 0-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 100-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 200-300 | | | | | | | | |
| 300-400 | | | | | | | | |
| 400-500 | | | | | | | | |
| 500-600 | | | | | | | | |
| 600-700 | | | | | | | | |
| 700-800 | | | | | | | | |
| 800-900 | | | | | | | | |
| 900-1000 | | | | | | | | |

| Test No: | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Location: | | | | | | | | |
| **Depth (mm)** | **N$^{o}$ of Penetrometer Blows per 100 mm Depth Interval** | | | | | | | |
| 0-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 100-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 200-300 | | | | | | | | |
| 300-400 | | | | | | | | |
| 400-500 | | | | | | | | |
| 500-600 | | | | | | | | |
| 600-700 | | | | | | | | |
| 700-800 | | | | | | | | |
| 800-900 | | | | | | | | |
| 900-1000 | | | | | | | | |

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer tests done in accordance with AS 1289.6.3.2 R: Refusal

# Appendix D: Permeability Test Results



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729

# Permeability Calculation - Inverse Auger Hole Method

Galt Geotechnics

| | |
|---|---|
| Job No: | J1501201 |
| Client: | ABN Group |
| Site: | Various Lots |
| Location: | Byford |
| Calc by: | EY |
| BH Name: | P01/BH06 |
| Test Depth: | 0.44 m |

| Spreadsheet author: | ORW | 17-Oct-09 |
|---|---|---|


$$K = 1.15r\,\frac{\log_{10}(h_0 + \frac{1}{2}r) - \log_{10}(h_t + \frac{1}{2}r)}{t - t_0}$$

REFERENCE: Cocks, G. *Disposal of Stormwater Runoff by Soakage in Perth Western Australia*, Journal and News of the Australian Geomechanics Society, Volume 42 No 3 September 2007, pp101-114

**Spreadsheet Legend**

| | |
|---|---|
| | Required input |
| | Calculated field |
| | Comment field |
| | Field not used |
| | Fixed field |

| Parameter | Description | Value | Units |
|---|---|---|---|
| K | Permeability | | m/s |
| r | radius of test hole | 0.045 | m |
| t | time since start of measurement | | s |
| $h_r$ | reference point height above base | 0.64 | m |
| $d_t$ | depth from reference point to water at time t | | m |
| $h_t$ | Water column height at time t | | m |
| $h_0$ | $h_t$ at t=0 | | m |




**Test 1**


| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.24 | 0.4 | | |
| 30 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 5.5E-05 | 4.8 |
| 60 | 0.305 | 0.335 | 6.3E-05 | 5.4 |
| 90 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 5.2E-05 | 4.5 |
| 120 | 0.34 | 0.3 | 5.1E-05 | 4.4 |
| 150 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 4.5E-05 | 3.9 |
| 180 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 4.2E-05 | 3.6 |
| | | AVERAGE | 5.1E-05 | 4.4 |

**Test 2**

| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.245 | 0.395 | | |
| 30 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 4.6E-05 | 4.0 |
| 60 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 4.3E-05 | 3.7 |
| 90 | 0.305 | 0.335 | 3.9E-05 | 3.3 |
| 120 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 3.7E-05 | 3.2 |
| 150 | 0.335 | 0.305 | 3.6E-05 | 3.1 |
| 180 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 3.6E-05 | 3.1 |
| | | AVERAGE | 4.0E-05 | 3.4 |

**Test 3**

| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.25 | 0.39 | | |
| 30 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 3.7E-05 | 3.2 |
| 60 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 3.8E-05 | 3.3 |
| 90 | 0.305 | 0.335 | 3.6E-05 | 3.1 |
| 120 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 3.5E-05 | 3.0 |
| 150 | 0.34 | 0.3 | 3.7E-05 | 3.2 |
| 180 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 3.5E-05 | 3.0 |
| | | AVERAGE | 3.6E-05 | 3.1 |


Permeability by Inverse Auger Hole Method
P01/BH06
Permeability, k (m/day)
6.0
5.0
4.0
3.0
2.0
1.0
0.0
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
Test time, t (seconds)
Test 1
Test 2
Test 3

# Permeability Calculation - Inverse Auger Hole Method


Galt Geotechnics

| | |
|---|---|
| Job No: | J1501201 |
| Client: | ABN Group |
| Site: | Various Lots |
| Location: | Byford |
| Calc by: | EY |
| BH Name: | P02/BH15 |
| Test Depth: | 0.47 m |

| Spreadsheet author: | ORW | 17-Oct-09 |
|---|---|---|

$$K = 1.15r\,\frac{\log_{10}(h_0 + \frac{1}{2}r) - \log_{10}(h_t + \frac{1}{2}r)}{t - t_0}$$

REFERENCE: Cocks, G. *Disposal of Stormwater Runoff by Soakage in Perth Western Australia*, Journal and News of the Australian Geomechanics Society, Volume 42 No 3 September 2007, pp101-114




**Spreadsheet Legend**

| | |
|---|---|
| | Required input |
| | Calculated field |
| | Comment field |
| | Field not used |
| | Fixed field |

| Parameter | Description | Value | Units |
|---|---|---|---|
| K | Permeability | | m/s |
| r | radius of test hole | 0.045 | m |
| t | time since start of measurement | | s |
| $h_r$ | reference point height above base | 0.6 | m |
| $d_t$ | depth from reference point to water at time t | | m |
| $h_t$ | Water column height at time t | | m |
| $h_0$ | $h_t$ at t=0 | | m |

**Test 1**


| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.16 | 0.44 | | |
| 30 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 6.8E-05 | 5.9 |
| 60 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 4.3E-05 | 3.7 |
| 90 | 0.215 | 0.385 | 3.2E-05 | 2.7 |
| 120 | 0.215 | 0.385 | 2.4E-05 | 2.0 |
| 150 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 2.1E-05 | 1.8 |
| 180 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 1.9E-05 | 1.6 |
| | | AVERAGE | 3.4E-05 | 3.0 |

**Test 2**

| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.18 | 0.42 | | |
| 30 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 1.7E-05 | 1.5 |
| 60 | 0.195 | 0.405 | 1.3E-05 | 1.1 |
| 90 | 0.197 | 0.403 | 9.8E-06 | 0.8 |
| 120 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 8.7E-06 | 0.7 |
| 150 | 0.203 | 0.397 | 8.0E-06 | 0.7 |
| 180 | 0.205 | 0.395 | 7.3E-06 | 0.6 |
| | | AVERAGE | 1.1E-05 | 0.9 |

**Test 3**

| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.16 | 0.44 | | |
| 30 | 0.165 | 0.435 | 8.1E-06 | 0.7 |
| 60 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 8.2E-06 | 0.7 |
| 90 | 0.175 | 0.425 | 8.2E-06 | 0.7 |
| 120 | 0.18 | 0.42 | 8.3E-06 | 0.7 |
| 150 | 0.185 | 0.415 | 8.3E-06 | 0.7 |
| 180 | 0.185 | 0.415 | 6.9E-06 | 0.6 |
| | | AVERAGE | 8.0E-06 | 0.7 |


Permeability by Inverse Auger Hole Method
P02/BH15
Permeability, k (m/day)
7.0
6.0
5.0
4.0
3.0
2.0
1.0
0.0
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
Test time, t (seconds)
Test 1
Test 2
Test 3

# Permeability Calculation - Inverse Auger Hole Method

Galt Geotechnics

| | |
|---|---|
| Job No: | J1501201 |
| Client: | ABN Group |
| Site: | Various Lots |
| Location: | Byford |
| Calc by: | EY |
| BH Name: | P03/BH28 |
| Test Depth: | 0.52 m |

| Spreadsheet author: | ORW | 17-Oct-09 |
|---|---|---|


$$K = 1.15r\frac{\log_{10}(h_0 + \frac{1}{2}r) - \log_{10}(h_t + \frac{1}{2}r)}{t - t_0}$$

REFERENCE: Cocks, G. *Disposal of Stormwater Runoff by Soakage in Perth Western Australia*, Journal and News of the Australian Geomechanics Society, Volume 42 No 3 September 2007, pp101-114

**Spreadsheet Legend**

| | |
|---|---|
| | Required input |
| | Calculated field |
| | Comment field |
| | Field not used |
| | Fixed field |

| Parameter | Description | Value | Units |
|---|---|---|---|
| K | Permeability | | m/s |
| r | radius of test hole | 0.045 | m |
| t | time since start of measurement | | s |
| $h_r$ | reference point height above base | 0.62 | m |
| $d_t$ | depth from reference point to water at time t | | m |
| $h_t$ | Water column height at time t | | m |
| $h_0$ | $h_t$ at t=0 | | m |




**Test 1**


| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.115 | 0.505 | | |
| 30 | 0.13 | 0.49 | 2.2E-05 | 1.9 |
| 60 | 0.145 | 0.475 | 2.2E-05 | 1.9 |
| 90 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 2.2E-05 | 1.9 |
| 120 | 0.165 | 0.455 | 1.9E-05 | 1.6 |
| 150 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 2.0E-05 | 1.7 |
| 180 | 0.185 | 0.435 | 1.8E-05 | 1.5 |
| | | AVERAGE | 2.0E-05 | 1.8 |

**Test 2**

| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.115 | 0.505 | | |
| 30 | 0.13 | 0.49 | 2.2E-05 | 1.9 |
| 60 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 1.8E-05 | 1.6 |
| 90 | 0.15 | 0.47 | 1.7E-05 | 1.5 |
| 120 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 1.7E-05 | 1.4 |
| 150 | 0.175 | 0.445 | 1.8E-05 | 1.6 |
| 180 | 0.185 | 0.435 | 1.8E-05 | 1.5 |
| | | AVERAGE | 1.8E-05 | 1.6 |

**Test 3**

| t (s) | $d_w$ (m) | $h_t$ (m) | K (m/s) | K (m/day) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.115 | 0.505 | | |
| 30 | 0.122 | 0.498 | 1.0E-05 | 0.9 |
| 60 | 0.13 | 0.49 | 1.1E-05 | 0.9 |
| 90 | 0.145 | 0.475 | 1.5E-05 | 1.3 |
| 120 | 0.152 | 0.468 | 1.4E-05 | 1.2 |
| 150 | 0.162 | 0.458 | 1.4E-05 | 1.2 |
| 180 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 1.4E-05 | 1.2 |
| | | AVERAGE | 1.3E-05 | 1.1 |


Permeability by Inverse Auger Hole Method
P03/BH28
Permeability, k (m/day)
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
Test time, t (seconds)
Test 1
Test 2
Test 3

# Appendix E: Laboratory Test Results



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729


# Particle Size Distribution & Plasticity Index tests

**Mining & Civil**
**Geotest Pty Ltd**
**unit1/1 Pusey Road, Jandakot, WA 6164**
**Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011**
**Email: matt@mcgeotest.com.au**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Job No:** | 60083 |
| **Report No:** | 60083-P15/6384 |
| **Sample No:** | P15/6384 |
| **Issue Date:** | 17 September 2015 |

Client: Galt Geotechnics (J1501201)
Project: Proposed Residential Development
Location: Various Lots, Byford

Sample location: BH02-1
Sample Depth (m): 2.0 - 3.0




**SIEVE ANALYSIS AS 1289.3.6.1**

| Sieve Size (mm) | % Passing |
|---|---|
| 75.0 | |
| 37.5 | |
| 19.0 | |
| 9.5 | |
| 4.75 | 100 |
| 2.36 | 97 |
| 1.18 | 92 |
| 0.600 | 83 |
| 0.425 | 78 |
| 0.300 | 72 |
| 0.150 | 64 |
| 0.075 | 58 |

**Plasticity index tests**
**AS 1289**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Liquid limit 3.1.1** | 57 | % |
| **Plastic limit 3.2.1** | 23 | % |
| **Plasticity index 3.3.1** | 34 | % |
| **Linear shrinkage 3.4.1** | 14.5 | % |

**Cracked** ☐

**Curled** ☑

**Client Address: 2/39 Flynn Street, Wembley WA**

Sampling Procedure: Tested as received

NATA WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION

Accreditation for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
This document may not be reproduced except in full.
Accreditation No 15545.

Approved signature

Matthew van Herk
AS PSDPI May 2009


# Particle Size Distribution & Plasticity Index tests

**Mining & Civil**
**Geotest Pty Ltd**
**unit1/1 Pusey Road, Jandakot, WA 6164**
**Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011**
**Email: matt@mcgeotest.com.au**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Job No:** | 60083 |
| **Report No:** | 60083-P15/6385 |
| **Sample No:** | P15/6385 |
| **Issue Date:** | 17 September 2015 |

Client: Galt Geotechnics (J1501201)
Project: Proposed Residential Development
Location: Various Lots, Byford

Sample location: BH06-1
Sample Depth (m): 0.6 - 0.8




**SIEVE ANALYSIS AS 1289.3.6.1**

| Sieve Size (mm) | % Passing |
|---|---|
| 75.0 | |
| 37.5 | |
| 19.0 | 100 |
| 9.5 | 97 |
| 4.75 | 87 |
| 2.36 | 76 |
| 1.18 | 68 |
| 0.600 | 57 |
| 0.425 | 49 |
| 0.300 | 42 |
| 0.150 | 33 |
| 0.075 | 28 |

**Plasticity index tests**
**AS 1289**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Liquid limit 3.1.1** | 37 | % |
| **Plastic limit 3.2.1** | 16 | % |
| **Plasticity index 3.3.1** | 21 | % |
| **Linear shrinkage 3.4.1** | 9.5 | % |
| **Cracked** | ☐ | |
| **Curled** | ☑ | |

**Client Address: 2/39 Flynn Street, Wembley WA**

Sampling Procedure: Tested as received

NATA WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION

Accreditation for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
This document may not be reproduced except in full.
Accreditation No 15545.

Approved signature

Matthew van Herk
AS PSDPI May 2009

**Mining & Civil Geotest Pty Ltd**

Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011
Email matt@mcgeotest.com.au
Unit 1/1 Pusey Road, JANDAKOT WA 6164

# Determination of the Shrinkage Index of a Soil
## Shrink Swell Index
## AS 1289.7.1.1

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Job No: | 60083 |
| | | Report No: | 60083-P15/6385 |
| | | Date of issue: | 17-Sep-2015 |
| Client: | Galt Geotechnics (J1501201) | Date tested: | 10-Sep-2015 |
| Project: | Proposed Residential Development | Tested by: | P. Shettigar |
| Location: | Various Lots, Byford | Checked: | S. Davies |
| Sample: | BH06 (0.6m - 0.8m) | Sample No: | P15/6385 |

### Sample details

Sample description : Yellow Gravelly Clay

Sample Type : 63 mm Ø tube sample

| Swell Specimen | | Shrinkage Specimen | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dry Density - Initial (t/m$^{3)}$ | 1.88 | Moisture Content Initial (%) | 14.9 |
| Moisture Content - Initial (%) | 14.9 | Length/Diameter Ratio | 1.7 |
| Moisture Content - Final (%) | 15.5 | Extent of Crumbling | Nil |
| Overburden Pressure (kPa) | 3 | Extent of Cracking | Nil |
| Significant Inert Inclusions (%) | 5 | | |

### Shrink-Swell Index

$I_{ss}$ = **1.2** % Vertical strain per pF change in Total suction

Tested as received



Approved Signature

Matthew van Herk


# Particle Size Distribution & Plasticity Index tests

**Mining & Civil**
**Geotest Pty Ltd**
**unit1/1 Pusey Road, Jandakot, WA 6164**
**Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011**
**Email: matt@mcgeotest.com.au**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Job No:** | 60083 |
| **Report No:** | 60083-P15/6386 |
| **Sample No:** | P15/6386 |
| **Issue Date:** | 17 September 2015 |

Client: Galt Geotechnics (J1501201)
Project: Proposed Residential Development
Location: Various Lots, Byford

Sample location: BH14-1
Sample Depth (m): 0.7 - 1.0




**SIEVE ANALYSIS AS 1289.3.6.1**

| Sieve Size (mm) | % Passing |
|---|---|
| 75.0 | |
| 37.5 | |
| 19.0 | |
| 9.5 | 100 |
| 4.75 | 95 |
| 2.36 | 85 |
| 1.18 | 78 |
| 0.600 | 68 |
| 0.425 | 61 |
| 0.300 | 53 |
| 0.150 | 44 |
| 0.075 | 38 |

**Plasticity index tests**
**AS 1289**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Liquid limit 3.1.1** | 42 | % |
| **Plastic limit 3.2.1** | 19 | % |
| **Plasticity index 3.3.1** | 23 | % |
| **Linear shrinkage 3.4.1** | 11.0 | % |

**Cracked** ☐

**Curled** ☑

**Client Address: 2/39 Flynn Street, Wembley WA**

Sampling Procedure: Tested as received

NATA WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION

Accreditation for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
This document may not be reproduced except in full.
Accreditation No 15545.

Approved signature

Matthew van Herk
AS PSDPI May 2009

**Mining & Civil Geotest Pty Ltd**

Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011
Email matt@mcgeotest.com.au
Unit 1/1 Pusey Road, JANDAKOT WA 6164

# Determination of the Shrinkage Index of a Soil
## Shrink Swell Index
## AS 1289.7.1.1

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Job No: | 60083 |
| | | Report No: | 60083-P15/6386 |
| | | Date of issue: | 17-Sep-2015 |
| Client: | Galt Geotechnics (J1501201) | Date tested: | 10-Sep-2015 |
| Project: | Proposed Residential Development | Tested by: | P. Shettigar |
| Location: | Various Lots, Byford | Checked: | S. Davies |
| Sample: | BH 14 (0.7m - 1.0m) | Sample No: | P15/6386 |

### Sample details

Sample description : Yellow/Orange Clay

Sample Type : 63 mm Ø tube sample

| Swell Specimen | | Shrinkage Specimen | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dry Density - Initial (t/m$^{3)}$ | 1.51 | Moisture Content Initial (%) | 17.3 |
| Moisture Content - Initial (%) | 17.3 | Length/Diameter Ratio | 1.5 |
| Moisture Content - Final (%) | 18.1 | Extent of Crumbling | Nil |
| Overburden Pressure (kPa) | 3 | Extent of Cracking | Nil |
| Significant Inert Inclusions (%) | 2 | | |

### Shrink-Swell Index

$I_{ss}$ = **1.5** % Vertical strain per pF change in Total suction

Tested as received

Shrink-Swell Index September 2010

Approved Signature

Matthew van Herk


# Particle Size Distribution & Plasticity Index tests

**Mining & Civil**
**Geotest Pty Ltd**
**unit1/1 Pusey Road, Jandakot, WA 6164**
**Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011**
**Email: matt@mcgeotest.com.au**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Job No:** | 60083 |
| **Report No:** | 60083-P15/6387 |
| **Sample No:** | P15/6387 |
| **Issue Date:** | 17 September 2015 |

Client: Galt Geotechnics (J1501201)
Project: Proposed Residential Development
Location: Various Lots, Byford

Sample location: BH17-1
Sample Depth (m): 1.0 - 1.3




**SIEVE ANALYSIS AS 1289.3.6.1**

| Sieve Size (mm) | % Passing |
|---|---|
| 75.0 | |
| 37.5 | |
| 19.0 | |
| 9.5 | 100 |
| 4.75 | 98 |
| 2.36 | 93 |
| 1.18 | 88 |
| 0.600 | 78 |
| 0.425 | 70 |
| 0.300 | 62 |
| 0.150 | 51 |
| 0.075 | 46 |

**Plasticity index tests**

| **AS 1289** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Liquid limit 3.1.1** | 47 | % |
| **Plastic limit 3.2.1** | 20 | % |
| **Plasticity index 3.3.1** | 27 | % |
| **Linear shrinkage 3.4.1** | 13.0 | % |
| **Cracked** | ☐ | |
| **Curled** | ☑ | |

**Client Address: 2/39 Flynn Street, Wembley WA**

Sampling Procedure: Tested as received

NATA WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION

Accreditation for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
This document may not be reproduced except in full.
Accreditation No 15545.

Approved signature

Matthew van Herk
AS PSDPI May 2009

**Mining & Civil Geotest Pty Ltd**

Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011
Email matt@mcgeotest.com.au
Unit 1/1 Pusey Road, JANDAKOT WA 6164

# Determination of the Shrinkage Index of a Soil
## Shrink Swell Index
## AS 1289.7.1.1

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Job No: | 60083 |
| | | Report No: | 60083-P15/6387 |
| | | Date of issue: | 17-Sep-2015 |
| Client: | Galt Geotechnics (J1501201) | Date tested: | 10-Sep-2015 |
| Project: | Proposed Residential Development | Tested by: | P. Shettigar |
| Location: | Various Lots, Byford | Checked: | S. Davies |
| Sample: | BH 17 (1.0m 1.3m) | Sample No: | P15/6387 |

### Sample details

Sample description : Yellow Clay

Sample Type : 63 mm Ø tube sample

| Swell Specimen | | Shrinkage Specimen | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dry Density - Initial (t/m$^{3)}$ | 1.63 | Moisture Content Initial (%) | 17.2 |
| Moisture Content - Initial (%) | 17.2 | Length/Diameter Ratio | 1.8 |
| Moisture Content - Final (%) | 18.3 | Extent of Crumbling | Nil |
| Overburden Pressure (kPa) | 3 | Extent of Cracking | Nil |
| Significant Inert Inclusions (%) | 2 | | |

### Shrink-Swell Index

$I_{ss}$ = **0.7** % Vertical strain per pF change in Total suction

Tested as received



Approved Signature

Matthew van Herk


# Particle Size Distribution & Plasticity Index tests

**Mining & Civil**
**Geotest Pty Ltd**
**unit1/1 Pusey Road, Jandakot, WA 6164**
**Ph (08) 9414 8022 Fax (08) 9414 8011**
**Email: matt@mcgeotest.com.au**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Job No:** | 60083 |
| **Report No:** | 60083-P15/6388 |
| **Sample No:** | P15/6388 |
| **Issue Date:** | 17 September 2015 |

Client: Galt Geotechnics (J1501201)
Project: Proposed Residential Development
Location: Various Lots, Byford

Sample location: BH22-1
Sample Depth (m): 2.2 - 3.0




**SIEVE ANALYSIS AS 1289.3.6.1**

| Sieve Size (mm) | % Passing |
|---|---|
| 75.0 | |
| 37.5 | |
| 19.0 | |
| 9.5 | |
| 4.75 | 100 |
| 2.36 | 98 |
| 1.18 | 95 |
| 0.600 | 85 |
| 0.425 | 78 |
| 0.300 | 70 |
| 0.150 | 59 |
| 0.075 | 54 |

**Plasticity index tests**
**AS 1289**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Liquid limit 3.1.1** | 57 | % |
| **Plastic limit 3.2.1** | 20 | % |
| **Plasticity index 3.3.1** | 37 | % |
| **Linear shrinkage 3.4.1** | 14.5 | % |

**Cracked** ☐

**Curled** ☑

**Client Address: 2/39 Flynn Street, Wembley WA**

Sampling Procedure: Tested as received

NATA WORLD RECOGNISED ACCREDITATION

Accreditation for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.
This document may not be reproduced except in full.
Accreditation No 15545.

Approved signature

Matthew van Herk
AS PSDPI May 2009

# Appendix F: Understanding Your Geotechnical Engineering Report



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729

# UNDERSTANDING YOUR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

GALT FORM PMP11 Rev1

## 1. EXPECTATIONS OF A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

This document has been prepared to clarify what is and is not provided in your geotechnical report. It is intended to inform you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be and how to manage your risks associated with geotechnical conditions.

Geotechnical engineering is a less exact science than other engineering disciplines. We include this information to help you understand where our responsibilities as geotechnical engineers begin and end, to help the client recognise his responsibilities and risks. You should read and understand this information. Please contact us if you do not understand the report or this explanation. We have extensive experience in a wide variety of geotechnical problems and we can help you to manage your risk.

## 2. THIS REPORT RELATES TO PROJECT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

This report was developed for a unique set of project-specific conditions to meet the needs of the nominated client. It took into account the following :

- The project objectives as we understood them and as described in this report;
- the specific site mentioned in this report; and
- the current and proposed development at the site.

It should not be used for any purpose other than that indicated in the report. You should not rely on this geotechnical report if any of the following conditions apply:

- the report was not written for you;
- the report was not written for the site specific to your development;
- the report was not written for your project (including a development at the correct site but other than that listed in the report); or
- the report was written before significant changes occurred at the site (such as a development or a change in ground conditions).

You should always inform us of changes in the proposed project (including minor changes) and request an assessment of their impact.

Where we are not informed of developments relevant to your geotechnical engineering report, we cannot be held responsible or liable for problems that may arise as a consequence.

Where design is to be carried out by others using information provided by us, we recommend that we be involved in the design process by being engaged for consultation with other members of the design team and by being able to review work produced by other members of the design team which relies on geotechnical information provided in our report.

## 3. GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING LOGS

Our reports often include logs of intrusive and non-intrusive geotechnical investigation techniques. These logs are based on our interpretation of field data and laboratory results. The logs should only be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with and should not be re-drawn for inclusion in other documents not prepared by us.

## 4. THIRD PARTY RELIANCE

We have prepared this report for use by the client. This report must be regarded as confidential to the client and the client's professional advisors. We do not accept any responsibility for contents of this document from any party other than the nominated client. We take no responsibility for any damages suffered by a third party as a consequence of any decisions or actions they may make based on this report. Any reliance or decisions made by a third party based on this report are the responsibility of the third party and not of us.

## 5. CHANGE IN SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The geotechnical recommendations in this report are based on the ground conditions that existed at the time when the study was undertaken. Changes in ground conditions can occur in numerous ways including as a result of anthropogenic events (such as construction on or adjacent to the site) or natural events (such as floods, groundwater fluctuations or earthquakes). We should be consulted prior to use of this report so that we can comment on its reliability. It is important to note that where ground conditions have changed, additional sampling, testing or analysis may be required to fully assess the changed conditions.


## 6. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION


Practical constraints mean that we cannot know every minute detail about the subsurface conditions at a particular site. We use engineering judgement to form an opinion about the subsurface conditions at the site. Some variation to our evaluated conditions is likely and significant variation is possible. Accordingly, our report should not be considered as final as it is developed from engineering judgement and opinion.

The most effective means of dealing with unanticipated ground conditions is to engage us for construction support. We can only finalise our recommendations by observing actual subsurface conditions encountered during construction. We cannot accept liability for a report's recommendations if we cannot observe construction.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Unless specifically mentioned otherwise in our report, environmental considerations are not included. The investigation techniques used by us in developing our report differ from those for an environmental investigation. Our report was not prepared with environmental considerations in mind and it is the client's responsibility to satisfy himself that environmental considerations have been taken into account for the site. If you require guidance on how to proceed on evaluating environmental risk at the site, we can provide further information and contacts.




## Legend

- Site Boundary
- Borehole
- Borehole / Groundwater Monitoring Well

N

0 40 80 120 160 200
Meters




| | |
|---|---|
| SCALE | 1:2,946 (A3) |
| DRAWN | DAC |
| DATE DRAWN | 11/09/2015 |
| CHECKED | – |
| DATE CHECKED | – |
| PROJECTION | GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50 |

Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd
ACN : 138 490 865
Tel : +61 (0)8 6272-0200
Fax : +61 (0)8 9285 8444
Address : U2, 39 Flynn Street, Wembley, WA, 6014

COPYRIGHT © 2015 THIS FIGURE AND ITS CONTENTS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL. THIS FIGURE SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT.

| | |
|---|---|
| CLIENT | ABN GROUP |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION |
| LOCATION | VARIOUS LOTS ALONG LAWRENCE WAY, DOLEY AND ORTON ROAD, BYFORD |
| TITLE | THICKNESS OF SAND CONTOURS |
| Job No | J1501201 |
| Fig No | FIGURE 2 |
| Rev | A |

O:\Jobs\2015\J1501201 - ABN Group DD SI Byford\09 GIS\04 MXD\J1501201-002.mxd (DamonClark) 11/09/2015 1:34:22 PM

## *Appendix Two Galt Geotechnics Desktop Study for the LSP Area, 2015*

# Report on

# DESKTOP GEOTECHNICAL STUDY
# PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
# BYFORD

**Submitted to:**

Alcock Brown Neaves (ABN) Group
Ground Floor
131 Hasler Road
OSBORNE PARK WA 6017

www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6 014
T: +61 (8) 6272-0200
F: +61 (8) 9285-8444

J1501201 003 R Rev1

12 November 2015

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction ..... 1

2. Site Description and Proposed Development ..... 1

3. Project Objectives ..... 2

4. Site Conditions ..... 2

4.1 Geology and Expected Ground Conditions ..... 2

4.2 Groundwater ..... 2

5. Geotechnical Assessment ..... 3

5.1 Site Classification ..... 3

5.2 Site Preparation ..... 3

5.3 Stormwater Disposal ..... 4

5.4 Pavement Design ..... 5

6. Further investigation ..... 5

6.1 Scope ..... 5

7. Closure ..... 6

**TABLES (ATTACHED)**

Table A1: Proposed Residential Development Lot Details ..... 1

**FIGURES**

FIGURE 1: SITE & LOCATION PLAN

FIGURE 2: GEOLOGY

FIGURE 3: GROUNDWATER CONTOURS

**APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A: UNDERSTANDING YOUR REPORT

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the outcomes of Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd's (Galt's) desktop geotechnical study for the proposed residential subdivision of the various lots along Warrington Road, Soldier Road, Lawrence Way, Doley Road and Orton Road in Byford ("the site"). The location of the site relative to the surrounding area is shown on Figure 1, Site and Location Plan.

The desktop study was requested by Jeremy Cordina of ABN Group and authorised in an email dated 23 October 2015.

No intrusive investigation was undertaken across the majority of the site as part of this desktop study. All information provided herein is therefore subject to confirmation based on intrusive testing of the remaining area (other than the existing advice for several of the lots which were previously investigated, J1501201 001 R Rev0).

## 2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Based on the supplied information, the proposed development comprises 42 existing lots, covering a total plan area of approximately 114 ha (approximately 120 ha including the roads and reserves). A summary of the lot numbers and details is included in the attached Table A1 – Proposed Residential Development Lot Details, which is located at the end of the text of this report. The location of the lots to the surrounding area is shown on Figure 1, Site and Location Plan.

Publicly available contour mapping indicates that the site surface levels vary from about RL 36 m AHD along the western edge of the proposed development of the site, sloping up to around RL 57 m AHD along Soldiers Road in the east of the site.

All of the lots have been predominantly cleared of vegetation, with localised mature trees present mainly along the lot boundaries, although scattered large trees and bushes are also present in the center of most lots. Most of the lots also have existing residential dwellings occupying part of the lot. Several of the lots are also presently being used for livestock (horses), with fencing sub-dividing the properties to form smaller paddocks.

It is understood that the proposed development will comprise the subdivision of the site into several hundred residential lots and the construction of new access roads. Due to the preliminary nature of the project, no details regarding the proposed final site levels, layout of lots or access roads are known at this stage.

It is expected that the new residential structures will be single or double storey brick masonry and founded on shallow footings, with ground-bearing slabs. We understand that the target site classification is likely to be either 'Class A' or 'Class S' in accordance with AS2870-2011.

We have previously undertaken a due diligence investigation for several of the lots within the proposed development, including:

- Lot 1347 Orton Road
- 27, 43, 54 & Lot 64 Lawrence Way
- 133, 145, 155 & Lots 130 and 202 Doley Road

We note that 54 and Lot 64 Lawrence Way were originally included in the initial investigation works, however were subsequently removed from the scope of work. The findings of our previous investigation were presented in our report, J1501201 001 R Rev0, dated 9 October 2015.

## 3. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the desktop study were to:

- broadly assess subsurface soil and groundwater conditions across the sites, based on publicly available information and our experience in this area;
- provide a preliminary site classification(s) in accordance with AS 2870-2011 "Residential Slabs and Footings" and advise on possible measures to improve the site classification to Class S or A;
- advise on likely site preparation procedures including compaction criteria;
- advise on the likely permeability of the soils for potential on-site disposal of stormwater by infiltration; and
- advise on the likely subgrade California bearing ratio (CBR) value for pavement thickness design by others.

## 4. SITE CONDITIONS

### 4.1 Geology and Expected Ground Conditions

The Armdale sheet of the 1:50,000 scale Environmental Geology series map indicates that the area is predominantly underlain by Sandy Clay, described as

- *SANDY CLAY – white-grey to brown, fine to coarse-grained, subangular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity, gravel and silt layers near scarp.*

In the east of the site, there are two isolated areas of SAND and Gravelly Sandy CLAY. These are described as follows:

- *SAND – white to pale grey at surface, yellow at depth, fine to medium grained, moderately sorted, sub-angular to sub-rounded; and*
- *Gravelly Sandy CLAY - variable, with lenses of silt and gravel, quartz sand, subangular with eolian rounded component, heavy minerals common, gravel rounded of colluvial origin*.

An overview of the regional geology is included as Figure 2 – Indicative Geotechnical Aspects.

Based our experience in the area and the results of our previous investigation, we anticipate that most of the site will be underlain by a variably thick layer of sand, overlying Clayey SAND / Sandy CLAY. Based on the geological mapping the north east corner of the site is likely to have a thicker layer of sand overlying clayey soils, whereas the south east corner is likely to have a thinner layer of sand (potentially clayey soils at the surface).

### 4.2 Groundwater

The Perth Groundwater Atlas (1997) does not extend to the site, however the noted historical maximum groundwater level is around RL 34 m AHD in close proximity to the western boundary of the development. This is within about 2 m of the current ground surface.

A perched groundwater table over clayey soils is expected at wetter times of the year.

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the boreholes or standpipes (maximum depth of 3 m) during the previous field investigation of several of the lots (conducted late winter).

# 5. GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

## 5.1 Site Classification

We consider that the site is likely to be geotechnically capable of supporting the proposed residential subdivision.

We have assessed a preliminary site classification in accordance with AS 2870-2011 "Residential Slabs and Footings". Based on the findings from the previous investigation, we consider the following preliminary site classifications are likely to be applicable:

- "Class A" where the overlying sand thickness is greater than 1.8 m;
- "Class S" where the overlying sand thickness is between 0.3 m and 1.8 m;
- "Class M" where the overlying sand thickness is between 0.0 m and 0.3 m.

The findings of the previous investigation (part of the development area) found between 0.3 m and 1.2 m of sand over the clayey soils. Based on this we consider that a preliminary site classification of 'Class S' is likely to be applicable for most of the site.

A site classification of "Class M" may be applicable in the south east of the site where a thinner cover of sand is expected over the clayey soils.

Site classifications will be highly dependent on the sand cover at the site and the reactivity of the underlying clayey soils, which can only be confirmed with intrusive geotechnical studies.

Where at least 1.8 m of inert sand is encountered over clayey soils (potentially in the north east of the site) a site classification of "Class A" will be applicable. Improvement of the site classification to 'Class A' in other areas of the site is also possible with importation and placement of sand fill to produce a total sand thickness of at least 1.8 m overlying clayey soils.

The preliminary site preparation measures outlined in Section 5.2 must be followed to achieve the site classification noted above.

Confirmation of the applicable site classification for the different areas of the site must be undertaken as part of an intrusive investigation.

## 5.2 Site Preparation

The preliminary site preparation measures outlined below are aimed at improvement of the site in preparation for construction of the structures including on-ground slabs, shallow footings, retaining walls and pavements.

- Demolish/remove the existing buildings, fences and pavements (including removal of all footings, sewerage tanks and soakwell) and dispose of off-site.
- Remove all topsoil and vegetation from the site, including grubbing out of roots. A topsoil strip of 100 mm may be assumed, however the topsoil strip must be deep enough to remove all roots. Grubbing out of tree roots will be required where trees are removed. Localised remediation may be required for areas where large trees are removed and the soil is disturbed to a depth of greater than 1 m.
- Compact ground surface to achieve the achieve a minimum dry density ratio (DDR) of at least 95% maximum modified dry density (granular soils) or 95% standard maximum dry density (clayey soils) to a minimum depth of 0.9 m below surface. **Note:** Where there is more than 1 m of bulk fill to be placed over the in-situ sand, the depth of compaction can be reduced to 0.45 m.

- Where fill is required to raise site surface levels, use approved granular fill, placed and compacted in layers of no greater than 300 mm loose thickness.

We note that general disturbance of the clayey soils at the site is not likely to be needed, however if excavation of the clayey soils is required (i.e. services, etc), care should be taken to not mix the overlying sand and underlying clayey soils, so that the sand can be re-used as approved fill.

Special attention may need to be given to:

- areas where large trees are removed;
- remediation and backfilling of former farm dams (including removal of any embankments back down to natural ground and trimming of soft soil at the base);
- any former landfill areas (if present), noting that they may not be evident until earthworks take place.

## 5.3 Stormwater Disposal

We consider that the *in-situ* sands at the site are unlikely to be suitable for the disposal of stormwater by infiltration by means of soakwells, due to the shallow thickness of sand overlying clayey soils expected over most of the site. Disposal may be possible in the north east of the site where the sand thickness is expected to be greater, however this will also depend on the maximum groundwater levels in this area (which we are not aware of at this stage).

Typically, we consider that at least 1.5 m total sand thickness is required above clayey soils to facilitate the use of soakwells (noting that around the clay interface that the overlying sand tending to become clayier). To achieve this, imported sand is likely to be required for raising surface levels. Subsoil drainage would also be recommended in this instance to drain infiltrated water away from houses and roads.

If the sand thickness of the site is kept relatively low (i.e. <1.5 m), we consider that lot connections will be required.

Testing conducted as part of the previous investigation indicated that the permeability of the in-situ sand ranged between 0.6 m/day and 3.0 m/day.

If raising of the site levels was undertaken to facilitate stormwater disposal, we recommend a preliminary design value of permeability (k) not greater than 1 m/day for the in-situ sand to allow for the variability in materials and reduced permeability as a consequence of:

- densification of sand during site preparation works;
- natural variation in sands; and
- clogging of the sand around soakwells and soakage basins over time with fines.

Imported sand fill may have a higher permeability, however we cannot comment at this stage until a specific fill source is proposed.

The underlying *in-situ* clayey soil has a relatively high fines content, and is therefore likely to have a very low permeability. Soakwells may also have a reduced permeability during the wetter times of the year if a perch groundwater table is present over the clayey soils.

Soak wells should be placed outside a line of 1V:2H extending below the edge of the nearest footing, subject to local council regulations. Discharge from soak wells has been known to promote densification of loose sandy soils, leading to settlements of footings and slabs. Soak wells should be carefully wrapped with geotextile to prevent migration of sand and fines into the soak well.

## 5.4 Pavement Design

Where the pavement subgrades comprise of at least 0.5 m of *in-situ* sand or sand backfill over the clayey soils and the site preparation measures outline in Section 5.2 have been completed, pavement thickness design may be undertaken assuming a subgrade California bearing ratio, CBR of 10%.

Where the pavement is underlain by less than 0.5 m of sand or by a clayey subgrade, a revised CBR value should be assumed.

# 6. FURTHER INVESTIGATION

## 6.1 Scope

We understand that a local structure plan application is required to enable re-zoning of the existing lots to a purpose other than its current rural zoning. At a high level, we consider that the site is geotechnically adequate for the proposed target use. For detailed design (and almost certainly to support a development application), investigation is required to confirm that the land is capable of supporting such development and to identify likely constraints to such development. Based on this, we consider that the objectives of detailed due diligence study are to:

- Assess the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions across the site, with an emphasis on:
  - thickness and density of existing sand cover over clayey soils
  - depth to groundwater;
  - presence of filling within the site (if at all); and
  - presence of shallow rock or cemented soils.
- provide a preliminary site classification(s) in accordance with AS 2870-2011 “Residential Slabs and Footings”;
- provide recommendations for the site to improve the site classification to “Class A” or “Class S” if applicable;
- recommend likely appropriate site preparation procedures including compaction criteria;
- assess the permeability of the soils at the site for potential on-site disposal of stormwater by infiltration; and
- provide a subgrade California bearing ratio (CBR) value for pavement thickness design by others.

We consider that accurate characterisation of the clayey soils and the thickness of overlying sand (if at all) is likely to be critical for confirming the viability of the proposed residential development. Characterisation of the clayey soils will be necessary for assessing the required thickness of natural sand or imported granular fill required over the different areas of the site, in order to achieve at least a “Class S” classification (understood to be the minimum required site classification). As such, a scope of work and testing program which focuses on the characterisation of the in-situ clayey soils will be required. We can provide a proposal for this if required.

## 7. CLOSURE

As previously discussed, the preliminary findings and recommendations presented in this report must be confirmed through intrusive investigation prior to detailed design.

We draw your attention to Appendix A of this report, Understanding your Report. The information provided within is intended to inform you as to what your realistic expectations of this report should be. Guidance is also provided on how to minimise risks associated with groundworks for this project. This information is provided not to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by Galt, but to ensure that all parties who rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

**GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD**





Owen Woodland CPEng

Geotechnical Engineer

Paul Woodroof CPEng

Geotechnical Engineer


\\GALT-SBS2011\Data\Jobs\2015\J1501201 - ABN Group DD SI Byford\03 Correspondence\J1501201 003 R Rev1.docx

# Figures



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729







## Legend

- Site Boundary
- AREA PREVIOUSLY INVESTIGATED
- AREA OF DESKTOP STUDY

N

0 120 240 360 480 600
Meters




| | | |
|---|---|---|
| SCALE | 1:7,500 | (A3) |
| DRAWN | DAC | |
| DATE DRAWN | 9/11/2015 | |
| CHECKED | PCW | |
| DATE CHECKED | 9/11/2015 | |
| PROJECTION | GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50 | |


Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd
ACN : 138 490 865
Tel : +61 (0)8 6272-0200
Fax : +61 (0)8 9285 8444
Address : U2, 39 Flynn Street, Wembley, WA, 6014

COPYRIGHT © 2015 THIS FIGURE AND ITS CONTENTS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL. THIS FIGURE SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT.

| | |
|---|---|
| CLIENT | **ABN GROUP** |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT |
| LOCATION | ORTON ROAD<br>BYFORD |
| TITLE | SITE & LOCATION PLAN |

| Job No | Fig No | Rev |
|---|---|---|
| J1501201 | FIGURE 1 | **A** |


O:\Jobs\2015\J1501201 - ABN Group DD SI Byford\09 GIS\04 MXD\J1501201-004.mxd (DamonClark) 9/11/2015 5:04:19 PM




## GEOLOGY MAP

| ARMADALE:20331 | CODE, NARRATIVE |
|---|---|
| Cs | Cs: SANDY CLAY - white-grey to brown, fine to coarse-grained, subangular to rounded sand, clay of moderate plasticity gravel and silt layers near scarp |
| Csg | Csg: GRAVELLY SANDY CLAY - variable, with lenses of silt and gravel, quartz sand, subangular with eolian rounded component; heavy minerals common; gravel rounded, of colluvial origin |
| Msc1 | Msc1: CLAYEY SANDY SILT - pale brown, angular to rounded sand, low cohesion, of alluvial origin |
| S10 | S10: SAND - as S8 over sandy clay to clayey sand of the Guildford Formation, of eolian origin |
| S12 | S12: SAND - structureless, yellow, fine-grained, subangular and medium to coarse-grained subrounded to rounded quartz, feldspar and heavy minerals common, minor silt and clay, of colluvial origin |
| S8 | S8: SAND - white to pale grey at surface, yellow at depth, fine to medium-grained, moderately sorted, subangular to subrounded, minor heavy minerals, of eolian origin |
| SH | SH: SHALE - brown-green to black, silty, thinly bedded with interbeds of siltstone and fine-grained sandstone |
| Scg | Scg: GRAVELLY CLAYEY SAND - decomposed bedrock, gravel rock fragments, angular quartz/feldspar sand, clay minerals may flocculate to silt/sand size, of colluvial origin |

## GEOLOGY MAP LEGEND




**Legend**

Site Boundary

N

0 240 480 720 960 1200

Meters





| | | |
|---|---|---|
| SCALE | 1:15,000 | (A3) |
| DRAWN | DAC | |
| DATE DRAWN | 9/11/2015 | |
| CHECKED | PCW | |
| DATE CHECKED | 9/11/2015 | |
| PROJECTION | GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50 | |

Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd
ACN : 138 490 865
Tel : +61 (0)8 6272-0200
Fax : +61 (0)8 9285 8444
Address : U2, 39 Flynn Street, Wembley, WA, 6014

COPYRIGHT © 2015 THIS FIGURE AND ITS CONTENTS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL. THIS FIGURE SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT.

| | |
|---|---|
| CLIENT | **ABN GROUP** |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT |
| LOCATION | ORTON ROAD<br>BYFORD |
| TITLE | GEOLOGY |

| Job No | Fig No | Rev |
|---|---|---|
| J1501201 | FIGURE 2 | **A** |







## Legend

- Site Boundary
- AREA PREVIOUSLY INVESTIGATED
- AREA OF DESKTOP STUDY

**Ground Water Contours (m AHD)**

**Maximum**

- 5
- 1

**Minimum**

- 5
- 1

N

0 160 320 480 640 800
Meters




| | | |
|---|---|---|
| SCALE | 1:10,000 | (A3) |
| DRAWN | DAC | |
| DATE DRAWN | 9/11/2015 | |
| CHECKED | PCW | |
| DATE CHECKED | 9/11/2015 | |
| PROJECTION | GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50 | |

Galt Geotechnics Pty Ltd
ACN : 138 490 865
Tel : +61 (0)8 6272-0200
Fax : +61 (0)8 9285 8444
Address : U2, 39 Flynn Street, Wembley, WA, 6014

*COPYRIGHT © 2015 THIS FIGURE AND ITS CONTENTS REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF GALT GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL. THIS FIGURE SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACCOMPANYING REPORT.*

| | |
|---|---|
| CLIENT | **ABN GROUP** |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT |
| LOCATION | ORTON ROAD<br>BYFORD |
| TITLE | GROUNDWATER CONTOURS |

| Job No | Fig No | Rev |
|---|---|---|
| J1501201 | FIGURE 3 | **A** |

# Tables



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014

ABN: 64 625 054 729

Table A1: Proposed Residential Development Lot Details

| Location | Area (ha) | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| Lot 32 Doley Road | 3.5431 | |
| 88 Doley Road | 3.9404 | |
| 109 Doley Road | 2.0618 | |
| 119 Doley Road | 2.0447 | |
| 128 Doley Road | 3.5370 | |
| Lot 130 Doley Road | 2.0631 | Previously Investigated (J1501201 001 R Rev0) |
| 133 Doley Road | 2.0445 | |
| 145 Doley Road | 2.0850 | |
| 155 Doley Road | 2.0228 | |
| Lot 201 Doley Road | 0.8626 | |
| Lot 202 Doley Road | 1.1512 | Previously Investigated (J1501201 001 R Rev0) |
| 1299 Orton Road | 4.1716 | |
| 1339 Orton Road | 2.0934 | |
| 1347 Orton Road | 4.1410 | Previously Investigated (J1501201 001 R Rev0) |
| 1367 Orton Road | 0.8107 | |
| 1379 Orton Road | 1.6303 | |
| 1387 Orton Road | 2.0410 | |
| 27 Lawrence Way | 4.1543 | Previously Investigated (J1501201 001 R Rev0) |
| 43 Lawrence Way | 4.1038 | |
| 54 Lawrence Way | 3.9773 | |
| 55 Lawrence Way | 4.1071 | |
| Lot 64 Lawrence Way | 5.7686 | Previously Investigated (J1501201 001 R Rev0) |
| Lot 136 Lawrence Way | 0.8004 | |
| Lot 21 Warrington Road | 1.9615 | |
| Lot 45 Warrington Road | 2.0236 | |
| 78 Warrington Road | 4.0789 | |

| Location | Area (ha) | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| 83 Warrington Road | 2.0238 | |
| 84 Warrington Road | 3.8185 | |
| 91 Warrington Road | 2.0237 | |
| 106 Warrington Road | 1.9691 | |
| 110 Warrington Road | 1.9658 | |
| 111 Warrington Road | 1.9291 | |
| 116 Warrington Road | 1.9788 | |
| 124 Warrington Road | 1.9806 | |
| 142 Warrington Road | 2.0240 | |
| 143Warrington Road | 3.3659 | |
| 147Warrington Road | 3.3662 | |
| 155 Warrington Road | 3.3662 | |
| 84 Turner Road | 1.3247 | |
| 97 Turner Road | 1.5010 | |
| 140 Soldiers Road | 2.0636 | |
| 150 Soldiers Road | 1.9883 | |
| 154 Soldiers Road | 6.0685 | |
| **Total** | **113.9775** | |



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729

# Appendix A: Understanding Your Report



www.galtgeo.com.au
2/39 Flynn St, WEMBLEY WA 6014
ABN: 64 625 054 729

# UNDERSTANDING YOUR REPORT

GALT FORM PMP11 Rev2

## 1. EXPECTATIONS OF THE REPORT

This document has been prepared to clarify what is and is not provided in your report. It is intended to inform you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be and how to manage your risks associated with the conditions on site.

Geotechnical engineering and environmental science are less exact than other engineering and scientific disciplines. We include this information to help you understand where our responsibilities begin and end. You should read and understand this information. Please contact us if you do not understand the report or this explanation. We have extensive experience in a wide variety of projects and we can help you to manage your risk.

## 2. THIS REPORT RELATES TO PROJECT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

This report was developed for a unique set of project-specific conditions to meet the needs of the nominated client. It took into account the following:

- the project objectives as we understood them and as described in this report;
- the specific site mentioned in this report; and
- the current and proposed development at the site.

It should not be used for any purpose other than that indicated in the report. You should not rely on this report if any of the following conditions apply:

- the report was not written for you;
- the report was not written for the site specific to your development;
- the report was not written for your project (including a development at the correct site but other than that listed in the report); or
- the report was written before significant changes occurred at the site (such as a development or a change in ground conditions).

You should always inform us of changes in the proposed project (including minor changes) and request an assessment of their impact.

Where we are not informed of developments relevant to your report, we cannot be held responsible or liable for problems that may arise as a consequence.

Where design is to be carried out by others using information provided by us, we recommend that we be involved in the design process by being engaged for consultation with other members of the project team. Furthermore, we recommend that we be able to review work produced by other members of the project team that relies on information provided in our report.

## 3. SOIL LOGS

Our reports often include logs of intrusive and non-intrusive investigation techniques. These logs are based on our interpretation of field data and laboratory results. The logs should only be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with and should not be re-drawn for inclusion in other documents not prepared by us.

## 4. THIRD PARTY RELIANCE

We have prepared this report for use by the client. This report must be regarded as confidential to the client and the client's professional advisors. We do not accept any responsibility for contents of this document from any party other than the nominated client. We take no responsibility for any damages suffered by a third party because of any decisions or actions they may make based on this report. Any reliance or decisions made by a third party based on this report are the responsibility of the third party and not of us.

## 5. CHANGE IN SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The recommendations in this report are based on the ground conditions that existed at the time when the study was undertaken. Changes in ground conditions can occur in numerous ways including anthropogenic events (such as construction or contaminating activities on or adjacent to the site) or natural events (such as floods, groundwater fluctuations or earthquakes). We should be consulted prior to use of this report so that we can comment on its reliability. It is important to note that where ground conditions have changed, additional sampling, testing or analysis may be required to fully assess the changed conditions.

## 6. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION

Practical constraints mean that we cannot know every minute detail about the subsurface conditions at a particular site. We use professional judgement to form an opinion about the subsurface conditions at the site. Some variation to our evaluated conditions is likely and significant variation is possible. Accordingly, our report should not be considered as final as it is developed from professional judgement and opinion.

The most effective means of dealing with unanticipated ground conditions is to engage us for construction support. We can only finalise our recommendations by observing actual subsurface conditions encountered during construction. We cannot accept liability for a report's recommendations if we cannot observe construction.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL AND GEOTECHNICAL ISSUES

Unless specifically mentioned otherwise in our report, environmental considerations are not addressed in geotechnical reports. Similarly, geotechnical issues are not addressed in environmental reports. The investigation techniques used for geotechnical investigations can differ from those used for environmental investigations. It is the client's responsibility to satisfy themselves that geotechnical and environmental considerations have been taken into account for the site.

O:\Administration\Standard Forms and Documents\PMP11-Rev2 Understanding your Report.docx

## *Appendix Three Byford Townsite Drainage And Water Management Plan, September 2008*




# Byford townsite drainage and water management plan

Looking after all our water needs

Department of Water

DWMP1

September 2008

**Department of Water**
168 St Georges Terrace
Perth Western Australia 6000
Telephone +61 8 6364 7600
Facsimile +61 8 6364 7601
www.water.wa.gov.au

© Government of Western Australia 2008

September 2008

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Department of Water.

Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by Helen Brookes and associates at GHD for Department of Water. For more information about this report contact the team leader of urban drainage planning, Drainage and Waterways Branch, Department of Water.

National Library of Australia
Cataloguing-in-Publication

ISSN 1835-7059 (print)
ISSN 1835-7067 (online)

ISBN 987-1-921508-89-9 (print)
ISBN 978-1-921508-90-5 (online)

# Contents

Contents ..... iii
Summary ..... vii
1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Planning background ..... 2
1.2 Previous studies ..... 3
1.3 Summary plan and checklist ..... 3
Local water management strategy, checklist for developers ..... 7
2 Pre-development environment ..... 9
2.1 Study area ..... 9
2.2 Geotechnical information ..... 9
2.3 Soils ..... 10
2.4 Environmental assets and water-dependent ecosystems ..... 10
2.5 Social considerations ..... 11
2.6 Surface water ..... 11
2.7 Groundwater ..... 13
3 Proposed development ..... 15
3.1 Key elements of the structure plan ..... 15
4 Protection of environmental assets ..... 17
5 Urban water use ..... 19
5.1 Potable water supply ..... 19
5.2 Fit-for-purpose use water ..... 19
6 Stormwater management strategy ..... 21
6.1 Floodplain management ..... 21
6.2 Surface water quantity management ..... 23
6.3 Surface water quality management ..... 28
6.4 Key design criteria ..... 30
7 Groundwater management strategy ..... 33
7.1 Glossary of groundwater terms ..... 33
7.2 Groundwater quantity management ..... 34
7.3 Groundwater quality management ..... 36
7.4 Key design criteria ..... 37
8 Commitment to best management practice ..... 39
9 Implementation ..... 41
9.1 Requirements for following stages ..... 41

9.2 Review of Drainage and water management plan ..... 41
9.3 Monitoring strategy ..... 42
9.4 Action plan ..... 45
10 Figures ..... 49
Appendices ..... 51
List of shortened forms ..... 63
References ..... 65

# Appendices

Appendix A – Stormwater modelling in InfoWorks CS ..... 51

# Figures

Figure ES1 Summary plan
Figure 1-1 Planning framework integrating drainage planning with land planning processes
Figure 4-1 Typical pre- and post-development runoff hydrograph comparison.
Figure 4-2 Typical pre- and post-development runoff hydrograph comparison, with compensated post-development flows.
Figure A-1 Location plan
Figure A-2 Topography and geology
Figure A-3 Acid sulphate soil risk
Figure A-4 Environmental assets and water-dependent ecosystems
Figure A-5 Controlled groundwater level
Figure A-6 Existing infrastructure
Figure A-7 Byford structure plan
Figure A-8 Stormwater strategy
Figure A-9 Typical cross-sections
Figure A-10 Longitudinal sections
Figure 1 Hydrologic model validation – peak flow: 6h 100y
Figure 2 Hydrologic model validation – peak flow: 6h 100y
Figure 3 Hydrologic model validation – total volume: 6h 100y
Figure 4 Historic rainfall event – February 1992

# Tables

Table 6-1 Subcatchment drainage planning criteria – ultimate development ..... 26
Table 6-2 Flows, levels and floodway widths at critical locations..... 27
Table 6-3 Recommended best management practices ..... 29
Table 9-1 Monitoring programme summary..... 44
Table 9-2 Assessment requirements of development proposals - monitoring ..... 44
Table 9-3 Actions and responsibilities for implementation of the Drainage and water management plan ..... 45
Table A.1 Culverts roughness coefficients (Mannings n)..... 52
Table A.2 InfoWorks model runoff area properties ..... 54
Table A.3 InfoWorks model land use surface breakdown..... 54
Table A.4 InfoWorks model catchment properties for pre-development scenario..... 54
Table A.5 InfoWorks model catchment properties for post-development scenario ..... 56
Table A-6 Pre-development peak flow comparison between SKM flood study and Drainage and water management plan InfoWorks CS Model..... 59
Table A-7 Modelled hydraulic structures..... 59
Table A-8 Pre-development peak flow comparison between parameters..... 61

# Summary

This *Drainage and water management plan* forms a key part of the Department of Water's urban drainage initiative. The focus of this initiative is the preparation of drainage and water management plans to help address water issues in proposed development areas.

The *Drainage and water management plan* presents the Department of Water's guidance for the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire, the Western Australian Planning Commission, land developers and other state agencies about water management issues to help development proceed within the Byford Townsite area.

The *Drainage and water management plan* also assists in integrating land and water planning as required by *State planning policy 2.9* and outlined in *Better urban water management* (in preparation for the Department for Planning and Infrastructure, Department of Water, Western Australian Local Government Association and Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts by Essential Environmental Services, 2008).

All water management strategies, local structure plans, local planning scheme amendments and subdivision plans prepared for areas of proposed new development must demonstrate compliance with the strategies, objectives and design criteria detailed in this document.

A summary plan and checklist for developers has been developed and included with this document.

A regional scale controlled groundwater level is established and advice for developers and stakeholders for the management of groundwater quantity and quality within the Byford townsite area is given.

Section 9 – implementation presents monitoring requirements for local water management strategies and an Implementation action plan.

# 1 Introduction

The Byford structure plan was approved in 2005 by the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire and the Western Australian Planning Commission. The structure plan provides a guide to subdivision and development/redevelopment of the townsite and surrounding rural residential development.

The *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* was adopted by the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire in 2003. The strategy set out guidelines for water-sensitive urban design. It was simplified to form the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy developer guidelines* in 2005. This *Drainage and water management plan supersedes* the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* and *Byford urban stormwater management strategy developer guidelines.*


Total water cycle management, also referred to as integrated water cycle management, 'recognises that water supply, stormwater and sewage services are interrelated components of catchment systems and therefore must be dealt with using a holistic water management approach that reflects the principles of ecological sustainability' (Department of Water 2004, *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia*, Ch. 2, p. 14).

The scope of the *Drainage and water management p*lan is to cover all aspects of total water cycle management, including:

- protection of significant environmental assets within the structure plan area, including meeting water requirements and managing potential impacts from development
- water demands, supply options, opportunities for conservation and demand management measures and wastewater management
- surface runoff, including peak event (flood) management and the application of water-sensitive urban design principles to frequent events
- groundwater, including the impact of urbanisation, variation in climate, installation of drainage to reduce groundwater levels, potential impacts on the environment and the potential to use groundwater as a resource
- water quality management, which includes source control of pollution inputs by catchment management, acid sulphate soil management, control of contaminated discharges from industrial areas and management of nutrient exports from surface runoff and groundwater through structural measures

The position of the *Drainage and water management plan* within the state government planning framework is defined in *Better urban water management* (prepared for the Department of Planning and Infrastructure, Department of Water, Western Australian Local Government Association and Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts by Essential Environmental Services, in press) and outlined in Figure 1-1 below.

*Figure 1-1 Planning framework integrating drainage planning with land planning processes*




This document presents the proposed arterial drainage scheme for the Byford townsite in accordance with the responsibilities for drainage planning assigned to the Department of Water by the state government.

## 1.1 Planning background

In addition to *Better urban water management,* the *Drainage and water management plan* uses the following documents to define its key principles and objectives:

- *Liveable Neighbourhoods - edition 4*
- *State Planning Policy no. 2.9: Water resources*
- *Byford townsite detailed area plan* (Statewest Surveying & Planning, Chris Antill Planning & Urban Design, McDowall Affleck, Thompson Partners and Landform Research, 2005)
- *Byford structure plan* (Taylor Burrell Barnett, 2005)

## 1.2 Previous studies

A number of key investigations have been undertaken in the Byford locality. It is the aim of this *Drainage and water management plan* to incorporate information from all of these studies and present design criteria and management strategies.

The *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* was completed by Parsons Brinkerhoff in 2003. It presented stormwater management strategies for the study area and many of the proposed strategies have been incorporated into this study. The drainage hydraulic modelling carried out within this study has incorporated key hydraulic features of the strategy's XP-Storm model. The *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* was later simplified and issued as developer guidelines in 2005.

Local-scale groundwater modelling has recently been completed by CyMod Systems (2007) for the Department of Water to assess any impacts from variations in climate or planned development in the study area.

A floodplain management study including two-dimensional flood modelling has been completed by SKM (2007) for the Department of Water. A high resolution digital elevation model, created to assist flood modelling, has been made available as part of the surface water modelling outputs to supplement Landgate information.

The study area has been assessed for acid sulphate soil risk, the results of which are presented in the *Western Australian Planning Commission planning bulletin No. 64 'acid sulphate soils'* (2003).

Environmental water requirements of groundwater dependent-ecosystems have not yet been published for this area.

## 1.3 Summary plan and checklist

Figure ES1 provides an overview of the arterial drainage scheme that is proposed for the Byford townsite area and which forms a major part of this *Drainage and water management plan*, addressing stormwater quantity management. In addition, recommendations and key design criteria for stormwater quality management are detailed.

**Water Conservation**

- Consumption target for water of 100 kL/person/yr including not more than 40-60 kL/person/yr scheme water;
- Meeting 5 Star Plus provisions for all new dwellings;
- The use of native plants is to be promoted, with native species constituting a minimum of 30-35% of total POS area;
- The use of on-site rainwater tanks is to be promoted, to achieve water consumption targets whilst also having the ability to fully or partially meet on site stormwater retention requirements.

**Floodways**

- Development outside of the Floodway should ensure finished floor levels at a minimum of 0.5 m above the 100 year flood level;
- The existing cross sectional area of waterways must be maintained. Restoration of waterways is essential and in some cases channel realignments and channel profile modifications may be carried out, provided it is demonstrated that the predevelopment cross-sectional area has been preserved subject to approval.

**Roads**

- Defined major arterial roads should remain passable in the 100 year ARI event;
- Minor roads should remain passable in the 5 year ARI event;
- Emergency evacuation areas defined at least 2.0 m above 100 year ARI event level.
- Water Quality treatment systems and Water Sensitive Urban Design structures must be designed in accordance with the Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia (DoW, 2007) and Australian Runoff Quality (Engineers Australia, 2006).

**Water Quality Design Targets**

Water quality management BMPs to acheive design targets:

- Vegetated bioretention systems sized at 2% of the constructed impervious area they receive runoff from

OR

- to achieve:
  - At least 80% reduction of total suspended solids;
  - At least 60% reduction of total phosphorus;
  - At least 45% reduction of total nitrogen;
  - At least 70% reduction of gross pollutants

**Approval Process**

Proponents shall develop and present the strategies for water quantity and quality management in the Local Water Management Strategy and Urban Water Management Plans to support the 'Planning Approvals' required for the development to proceed.

Engineering drawings submitted to council for approval must be supported by clear and auditable documentation, providing details of proposed staging and implementation of the surface and groundwater quantity and quality management strategy.

It is strongly recommended that proponents meet with the local authority to discuss proposed surface and groundwater management strategies and to gain further guidance on site-specific requirements of the local authority prior to the completion of any LWMS or UWMP.

**Groundwater Management**

- The bio-retention system, subsurface drainag and drainage inverts are set at or above CGL although existing drainage inverts below CGL may remain;
- Subsurface drainage must be designed with free-draining outlets;
- Where development may discharge pollutants from the shallow groundwater to receiving environments, the following interim targets will be adopted:
  - As compared with a development that does not actively manage water quality, achieve:
    - at least 60% reduction of total phosphorous;
    - at least 45% reduction of total nitrogen.
- Discharge to water dependent ecosystems must be in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Environment and Conservation.

**Fill**

- The clean fill imported onto the site is to incorporate a band of material that will reduce phosphorus export via soil leaching, whilst also meeting soil permeability and soil compaction criteria specified by the Local Government Authority
- The development should ensure finished lot levels at a minimum of 0.8 m above the phreatic line;
- Where a perched water table exists or the predicted MGL is at or within 1.2 m of natural ground level, the importation of clean fill and/or the provision of sub surface drainage will be required.

**Monitoring**

- Monitoring programs needs to commence 2 years prior to proposed development
- Monitoring sampling should follow Australian Standards AS/NZ 5667 Water quality sampling guidelines and a NATA accredited laboratory is required for testing.
- The extent and density of groundwater monitoring bore network should spatially represent hydrogeology. The surface water monitoring sites should capture the sites inflows and outflows, detention or retention storages inflows and outflows and water dependent ecosystems.
- If treatment measure is infiltration, then both filtered and unfiltered samples of total nutrient concentrates should be measured.

**Risk**

- Monitoring program to include a contingency action plan with associated trigger values.
- The LWMS should include an assessment of risks associated with failure of infrastructure, climate change, maintenance and other future circumstances.

## Purpose of the Local Water Management Strategy

A key component of a local structure plan is a LWMS, which describes the strategy to meet total water cycle management and is consistent with the District Water Management Plan. A LWMS must provide sufficient detail that the proposed water management system is appropriately sized and designed as proof of concept. It must also illustrate that sufficient land has been set aside to implement the strategy.

**Stormwater Attenuation**

- The 1 year 1 hour ARI event shall be detained at source.
- The post-development critical 1 year ARI peak flow and volume and the 100 year ARI peak flow shall be consistent with pre-development flows at:
  - the discharge points of all subdivisions into waterways;
  - the discharge points from the Structure Plan area (Hopkinson Road);
  - the discharge points of each subcatchment.
- Finished lot levels at minimum of 0.5m above 100-year ARI flood levels

Flows from developed areas must be attenuated, in accordance with this table, which protects the regional system, in flood detention/storage areas incorporated into POS within the subdivision and located outside defined floodways.

**Local Drainage – Subcatchment Planning Criteria**

| Subcatchment ID | Area (ha) | Peak discharge Flow ($m^3/s$) | | Detention Volume ($m^3$) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 5 year | 100 year | 5 year | 100 year |
| 10C | 24.7 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2800 | 5800 |
| 3C | 68.1 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 9000 | 20100 |
| 3D1 | 65.1 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 8100 | 15000 |
| 3D2 | 49.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 6200 | 12900 |
| 3D3 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1800 | 3400 |
| 3D4 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1500 | 3000 |
| 5B | 40.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 5100 | 12400 |
| 3B3 | 24.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3100 | 7500 |
| 5E | 21.2 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1800 | 4400 |
| 5F | 6.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 500 | 1300 |
| 5G | 108.9 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 5400 | 13700 |
| 6B | 26.9 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 3300 | 5800 |
| 6C | 19.8 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2400 | 4800 |
| 6D1 | 77.2 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 8000 | 20200 |
| 6F | 17.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1900 | 4700 |
| 6D2 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2000 | 3500 |
| 6E | 20.9 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2200 | 4900 |
| 7A | 57.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 6700 | 15700 |
| 7B | 46.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 5600 | 10600 |
| 7C | 29.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2700 | 6000 |
| 7C1 | 40.8 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 5300 | 10400 |
| 7D | 34.0 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3300 | 8100 |
| 8A | 19.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2100 | 6000 |
| 8B | 43.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 4700 | 13600 |
| 8C | 55.6 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 8400 | 19200 |
| 8D | 47.8 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 6600 | 13300 |
| 9B | 37.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1700 | 5800 |
| 9C | 85.4 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 5300 | 12800 |
| 9D | 22.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1000 | 3100 |
| 3B1 | 31.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 5400 | 8200 |
| 3B2 | 55.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 9500 | 14200 |

**Regional Drainage – Flows, Levels and Floodway Widths at Critical Locations**

| Location | Peak Flows ($m^3/s$) | | Peak Levels (m AHD) | | Indicative Floodway Width (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number and Description | 5 Year ARI | 100 Year ARI | 5 Year ARI | 100 Year ARI | 100 Year ARI |
| 1. Oaklands drain d/s George Road (north) | 5.5 | 10.2 | 53.2 | 53.5 | 40 |
| 2. Oaklands drain d/s George Road (south) | 2.4 | 10.7 | 51.8 | 52.0 | 40 |
| 3. Oaklands drain d/s Evans Road | 10.7 | 34.5 | 44.3 | 44.6 | 32 |
| 4. Oaklands drain d/s Briggs Road | 11.0 | 30.3 | 32.7 | 32.9 | 70 |
| 5. Oaklands drain at Thomas Road and Masters Road | 9.5 | 25.7 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 50 |
| 6. Oaklands drain d/s Malarkey Road | 20.8 | 59.3 | 29.8 | 30.2 | 50 |
| 7. Oaklands drain at Hopkinson Road | 15.7 | 48.9 | 26.4 | 26.9 | 60 |
| 8. Beenyup Brook d/s South Western Hwy | 8.1 | 31.2 | 58.5 | 58.7 | 40 |
| 9. u/s end piped section of Beenyup Brook d/s Abernethy Road | 2.8 | 3.1 | 56.5 | 56.6 | - |
| 10. u/s end swale from Beenyup Brook to Oaklands drain | 5.2 | 16.1 | 56.5 | 56.6 | 10 |
| 11. u/s end swale down Abernethy Rd from Beenyup Brook to Trib 6 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 56.3 | 56.8 | 10 |
| 12. Overland flow down Warrington Road | 0.0 | 1.3 | 44.5 | 45.4 | 10 |
| 13. Overland flow down Doley Road | 0.0 | 2.7 | 34.5 | 35.5 | 10 |
| 14. Beenyup Brook at Hopkinson Road | 8.1 | 9.6 | 26.0 | 26.3 | 40 |
| 15. Tributary 6 u/s Briggs Road (Extn) | 1.4 | 3.4 | 41.6 | 41.7 | 40 |
| 16. Tributary 6 at Hopkinson Road | 1.6 | 6.7 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 50 |
| 17. Tributary 7 at Hopkinson Road | 2.0 | 5.1 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 50 |
| 18. Cardup Brook d/s South Western Hwy | 5.8 | 23.5 | 55.1 | 57.1 | 70 |
| 19. Cardup Brook at Hopkinson Road | 9.4 | 33.2 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 50 |

1:12,500 at A1

0 100 200 400 600 800 1,000

Metres

Map Projection: Transverse Mercator
Horizontal Datum: Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994
Grid: Map Grid of Australia, Zone 50

N

LEGEND

- Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area - GHD - 20070625
- Modelled Subcatchment - GHD - 20070823
- Cadastre - DLI - 20061201
- Critical Flow and Level Locations - GHD - 20080718

**Drains - GHD - 20080131**

- Main Waterway (Restored)
- Modelled Overland Flowpath
- Modelled Swale Drain
- Modelled Piped Drain

- 100 Year ARI Floodways (Not to be developed or obstructed in any way) - GHD - 20080215
- 5m Contours - GHD - 20080718

# Byford Drainage & Water Management Plan
# Summary Plan & Checklist

Job Number 6122524
Revision 1
Date 17 Sept 2008

Figure ES1

G:\61\22524\GIS\mxds\6122524-G1_Rev1.mxd

© 2007. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and DATA SUPPLIER(S) make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and DATA SUPPLIER(S) cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.
Dataset names include published date where available. Created by: N Tan

239 Adelaide Terrace Perth WA 6004 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com.au

# Local water management strategy, checklist for developers

The checklist provides a summary of items to be addressed by developers in the preparation of local water management strategies for assessment by the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire when an application for a local structure plan is lodged.

The checklist must be completed and signed by a suitably qualified professional and submitted to council together with the local water management strategy.

Applicant:……

Name of structure plan:……

Version of structure plan: ……

Contact: ……

Address: ……

Telephone number:……Email: ……

Authorised Signature: ……

Date: ……

| | **Item** | **Submission** | | **Assessment** | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Document ref. 1** | **Comments2** | **Compliance** | **Comment** |
| 1.0 | Introduction | | | | |
| 1.1 | Drainage and water management principles and design objectives for this structure plan | | | | |
| 1.2 | Planning background (subject land) | | | | |
| 1.3 | Previous studies (related to drainage and water) | | | | |
| 2.0 | Proposed development | | | | |
| 2.1 | Key elements of structure plan | | | | |
| 2.2 | Previous land use and potential sources of contamination | | | | |
| 2.3 | Finished lot levels – (determined by greater of 100 year flood protection criteria or minimum separation of building foundations to MGL or CGL) | | | | |
| 2.4 | Assessment of risk undertaken | | | | |
| 3.0 | Existing site characteristics | | | | |
| 3.1 | Topography and landform identified | | | | |
| 3.2 | Environmental geology of the site identified (including soil types, ASS and PASS) | | | | |
| 3.3 | Soil hydraulic conductivity and infiltration capacity of the site identified | | | | |
| 3.4 | Groundwater levels, flows and quality of the site mapped (include identification and monitoring of any local or regional groundwater bores) | | | | |
| 3.5 | Surface water flows and quality of the site identified (include flow monitoring of existing drainage) | | | | |
| 3.6 | Environmental assets and water-dependent ecosystems mapped | | | | |
| 3.7 | Indigenous sites identified | | | | |
| 3.8 | Existing infrastructure and constraints to design identified (include management strategies for any identified constraints) | | | | |
| 3.9 | Site water balance pre-development and post-development identified | | | | |
| 3.10 | Water sustainability Initiatives | | | | |
| 4.0 | Stormwater management | | | | |
| 4.1 | Pre- and post-development hydrology (1 year, 5 year and 100 year ARI events) | | | | |
| 4.2 | 1 year ARI event managed for ecological protection in accordance with *Drainage and water management plan* section 6.2 | | | | |
| 4.3 | 5 year ARI event managed for serviceability in accordance with *Drainage and water management plan* section 6.2 | | | | |
| 4.4 | 100 year ARI event managed for flood protection in accordance with *Drainage and water management plan* section 6.2 (include flow paths and emergency | | | | |

[1] Identify the section in the local structure plan in which this item has been addressed. It is possible that some items are not applicable and if this is the case, please put an explanation in the comments section.

[2] Please make comments as to the applicability of this criterion.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | access routes and fully identify flood plain and protection measures) | | | | |
| 4.5 | Finished lot levels at minimum of 0.5m above 100-year ARI flood levels. | | | | |
| 4.6 | POS credits identified | | | | |
| 4.7 | Water quality management BMPs to achieve design targets:<br><br>Vegetated bioretention systems sized at 2% of the constructed impervious area they receive runoff from<br>OR<br>to achieve:<br>at least 80% reduction of total suspended solids<br>at least 60% reduction of total phosphorus<br>at least 45% reduction of total nitrogen<br>at least 70% reduction of gross pollutants | | | | |
| 5.0 | Groundwater management | | | | |
| 5.1 | Groundwater level management strategy | | | | |
| 5.2 | Bio-retention system, subsurface drainage and drainage inverts | | | | |
| 5.3 | Subsurface drainage design | | | | |
| 5.4 | Groundwater management strategies to achieve:<br>at least 60% reduction of total P<br>at least 45% reduction of total N | | | | |
| 5.5 | Discharge to water-dependent ecosystems | | | | |
| 5.6 | Specifications for imported fill (where proposed) | | | | |
| 5.7 | Finished lot levels at a minimum of 0.8 m above the phreatic line | | | | |
| 6.0 | Monitoring | | | | |
| 6.1 | Monitoring programs commenced 2 years prior to proposed development | | | | |
| 6.2 | Monitoring/sampling to follow Australian Standards | | | | |
| 6.3 | Monitoring/sampling locations | | | | |
| 6.4 | Water quality parameters to be monitored (refer to section 9.5 of *Drainage and water management plan* | | | | |
| 6.5 | Monitoring program to include a contingency action plan to manage risk | | | | |
| 7.0 | Implementation | | | | |
| 7.1 | Commitments | | | | |
| 7.2 | Maintenance schedules | | | | |
| 7.3 | Roles and responsibilities (for pre-development, during construction and all periods post-development) | | | | |
| 7.4 | Funding | | | | |
| 7.5 | Review | | | | |

# 2 Pre-development environment

Documents referred to for background information include:

- *Byford urban stormwater management strategy developer guidelines* (Parsons Brinkerhoff 2005)
- *Byford floodplain management strategy* (SKM, 2007)
- *Byford townsite detailed area plan* (Statewest et al. 2005)
- *Framework for developing the Jandakot water resources management strategy* (Parsons Brinkerhoff B 2004)
- *Planning bulletin No. 64* (Western Australian Planning Commission 2003)
- *Swan coastal geomorphic wetland mapping*

## 2.1 Study area

The study area of the *Drainage and water management plan* is the Byford townsite and surrounding locality as covered by the 2005 Byford structure plan).




The Byford town centre is located approximately 35 km south-east of the Perth CBD, within the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.

The *Byford structure plan* site is approximately 1500 ha and is bounded by Thomas Road to the north, Hopkinson Road and the future Tonkin Highway to the west, Cardup Siding Road to the south and the Byford townsite and Darling Range foothills to the east. Although the Byford Trotting Centre and surrounding rural residential area were excluded from the 2005 *Byford structure plan*, they will be included in this *Drainage and water management plan*.

The existing catchment land use is predominantly rural or rural residential, with the majority of rural land consisting of open grassland. The urban areas, which contain a mix of urban residential, commercial, light industrial, community and public open space, are currently concentrated between the South Western Highway and the lower foothills of the Darling Range.

The site location plan is presented in Figure A-1.

## 2.2 Geotechnical information

The topography of the Byford locality is characterised by steep slopes in the foothills of the Darling Range, with an elevation of 120 m AHD falling rapidly to 80 m AHD at Linton Street and then gradually to 55 to 60 m AHD at the South Western Highway. To the west of the South Western Highway, the terrain is relatively flat palusplain (seasonally waterlogged land) (Figure A-2).

## 2.3 Soils

There are three primary soil types across the study area (Figure A-2). The soil types are:

- Ridge Hill colluvium from the Yogannup formation – highly variable layers of gravelly to sandy clay with lenses of silt and gravel
- Guildford clay – lenses of sandy clay, clayey sand, iron-rich cemented sand and sand. Low horizontal conductivity and very low vertical conductivity
- Bassendean sand – bleached grey to pale yellow sand with little ability to retain moisture or nutrients

Ridge Hill colluvium is found to the east of the study area, in the region of the Darling Scarp. To the west of the study area Guildford clay can be found interlaced with Ridge Hill colluvium. Overlaying the Guildford clay is Bassendean sand, which occurs in thin layers across the majority of the site.

The on-site soils are highly variable in phosphorous retention capacity, with grey-brown sands having a low capacity to retain phosphorous.

**Acid sulphate soils**

The Western Australian Planning Commission's *Planning Bulletin No. 64 (2003),* which is based upon a review of existing geomorphological, geological and hydrological information, indicates that the soils in the Byford area to the west of the South Western Highway consist of moderate to low risk of actual acid sulphate soils or potential acid sulphate soils occurring generally at greater than 3 m depth.

Low to no risk of actual acid sulphate soils or potential acid sulphate soils occurring generally at greater than 3 m depth can be found to the east of the South Western Highway in the Byford area (Figure A-3).

The risk of acid sulphate soils being exposed to oxidation due to development in the study area is considered low. As part of development requirements, new developments will need to introduce fill to a depth that is acceptable for residential construction as well as provide suitable flood clearance and adequate subsoil drainage.

## 2.4 Environmental assets and water-dependent ecosystems

Environmental assets and water-dependent ecosystems are presented in Figure A-4.

The Department of Environment and Conservation's *Swan coastal geomorphic wetland mapping* indicates there are wetlands classified as conservation category and resource enhancement within the Brickwood Reserve in the south-eastern section of the study area. Conservation category wetland classification also applies to the area of land between the South Western Highway and rail line north of Cardup Brook and along Cardup Brook to the east of the South Western Highway. These

wetlands are under the protection of the *Revised draft environmental protection policy wetlands* (EPA, 2004) with high conservation and environmental values.

Brickwood Reserve also contains remnant vegetation that is considered highly significant. The reserve is listed as Bush Forever site 321 and contains at least five priority taxa and three declared rare flora species (Statewest 2005), as well as eucalyptus woodland.

The northern portion of the remnant vegetation between the rail line and South Western Highway north of Cardup Brook is currently proposed to be listed as Bush Forever site 350.

Cardup nature reserve, which lies just outside the southern boundary of the study area, is classified as Bush Forever sites 271 and 352 and contains at least four priority taxa.

The two old shale quarries at the base of the scarp carry permanent water and have some conservation value, but are not now listed as wetlands under the *EPP policy* (Statewest et al. 2005).

## 2.5 Social considerations

The Department of Indigenous Affairs has previously identified several sites of indigenous significance in the Byford townsite study area. These sites are mostly concentrated around the Cardup Brook and its floodplain, but also other smaller sites around the catchment.

The locations of these sites are identified in Figure A-4 and have been considered in developing this report. Prior to construction of individual developments, assessment should be undertaken by a qualified consultant to determine whether a more thorough Aboriginal heritage investigation of the area needs to be undertaken for any specific location to identify unregistered sites.

## 2.6 Surface water

A number of small creeks drain across the site from the scarp, including Oaklands Drain, Beenyup Brook and Cardup Brook. The most ecologically significant of these is Cardup Brook. The brook touches the southern edge of the study area. Beenyup Brook drains through the central south and two small creek lines drain across the northern parts. Each of these creeks is highly incised and the bottoms of these creeks are usually a few metres below the surrounding land surface.


Oaklands Drain (GHD,2006)

Beenyup and Cardup Brooks have linkages to the west through constructed drains and discharge to the Birrega main drain. These watercourses eventually discharge to the Serpentine River system, which links to the Peel-Harvey Estuary.

To the west of Hopkinson Road, surface drainage consists of rural open drains. Some of these drains are declared and managed by the Water Corporation. They were originally designed to carry specified flows that would comply with the Department of Agriculture and Food's requirement that inundation of rural land should last no longer than three days. More recent monitoring and modelling, carried out by the Water Corporation, have indicated that this design criterion is approximately equivalent to the two-year average recurrence interval for main drains and the six-month interval for sub-drains.

The surface water drainage system comprises numerous small catchments draining from east to west. The upper catchments of the Darling Range foothills are well defined with steep catchment slopes, whereas the lower catchments are less defined.

The Byford area is known to experience regular water logging in the low-lying areas to the west of the study area. This inundation is due to a combination of persistent winter rainfall elevating the shallow water table, which rises to the surface and inundates vast areas of the flat terrain, as well as poor drainage, with insufficient capacity that does not allow runoff to leave the area. There is also potential for wetlands within the study area to receive additional flood water from outside their natural catchment by overtopping of drains and watercourses.

There are several local depressions east and west of the South Western Highway, which result in local perching of surface water after a large rainfall event.

Virtually the whole study area is designated as multiple use wetlands, with several areas designated resource enhancement or conservation category. The most significant of these wetlands lie within the Brickwood Reserve and along the course of the Cardup Brook (Figure A-4). A further conservation category is located downstream of the study area on the Beenyup Brook.

**Surface water quality**

Limited surface water quality data is available within the study area. The S*napshot survey of the Serpentine, Murray and Harvey catchments of the Peel-Harvey Estuary* (Wilson & Paling, 2002) included 10 sites within the Byford catchment. Samples were recorded for October 2001 and September 2002 but were only reported for 2002.

Four sites were located in Oaklands drain, one at Hopkinson Road and one on each of the three upstream branches. There were two sites on the Cardup Brook, one at Hopkinson Road and one close to the railway. Beenyup Brook was also served by two sites, again at Hopkinson Road, and close to the railway. The two remaining sites were at the Hopkinson Road end of two of the minor drains between Beenyup Brook and Cardup Brook.

Total phosphorous concentrations recorded at most of the sites in the Byford catchment were below 0.065 mg/L. This was the target concentration suggested by the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* (PB 2003), although the downstream end of Beenyup Brook recorded total phosphorus concentrations in the range 0.065-0.20 mg/L and the downstream ends of both of the minor drains recorded total phosphorus concentrations greater than 0.20 mg/L.

Total nitrogen concentrations recorded in two of the upstream branches of Oaklands drain were below 1.2 mg/L, which was the target concentration suggested by the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* (PB 2003). Total nitrogen concentrations in the third branch and the downstream end were in the range 1.2-3.0 mg/L. Beenyup Brook was also below 1.2 mg/L upstream, but was greater than 3.0 mg/L at its downstream location. In Cardup Brook, this trend was reversed with total nitrogen concentrations greater than 3.0 mg/L recorded upstream and less than 1.2 mg/L downstream. One of the minor drains was in the range 1.2-3.0 mg/L and the other was greater than 3.0 mg/L.

The *Draft water quality improvement plan for the rivers and estuary of the Peel-Harvey System* (2007) has considered further the water quality of the Serpentine, Harvey and Murray catchments. Specifically this plan states objectives for total phosphorous and indicates the percentage reduction required in each subcatchment to achieve the objectives. Recommendations from the plan have been incorporated into the water quality management strategies presented in section 6.3.

## 2.7 Groundwater

### Groundwater flows

Geotechnical and groundwater investigations have been undertaken by Parsons-Brinkerhoff as part of the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* and separately by the Water Corporation. Results from field measurements indicate that groundwater levels are typically shallow across the study area, varying between 0 – 6 m below natural surface level. Near Beenyup Brook for example, Department of Water data indicate groundwater varies between 1 – 5.4 m below natural surface level.

There are approximately 100 private groundwater bores in the study area, the majority of which target groundwater in sand lenses at the base of the Guildford clay at 17.5 – 25 m below natural surface level. For details of current groundwater allocations in Byford townsite, the Department of Water should be contacted directly.




Because of the local geology, groundwater in the study area is often perched during the winter months. The installation of improved surface and subsurface drainage systems is likely to quickly export this perched water into the drainage system, rather than allowing it to sit and gradually subside. This is likely to result in reduced deep aquifer recharge and increased drain baseflows.

Local scale groundwater modelling has also been completed by CyMod Systems (2007) for the Department of Water to assess any impacts from variations in climate or planned development in the study area.

The groundwater model was run for three scenarios: no development under average rainfall conditions (current climate); proposed development under average conditions;

and proposed development under wet rainfall conditions. Dry conditions were not selected as a post-development groundwater model.

Further information regarding the selection of pre- and post-development model scenarios and the construction and calibration of the groundwater model may be gained by requesting a copy of the *Groundwater modelling report* (CyMod Systems, 2007) from the Department of Water.

The study suggests that historical groundwater levels may be used as a basis for groundwater design objectives, given the overall downward trend in groundwater levels after 1995 due to the combination of abstraction of groundwater from bores and decreased rainfall.

There is only one long-term monitoring bore within the study area (T170, AWRC site No - 61410153), which is situated close to the intersection of Thomas Road and Hopkinson Road/Tonkin Highway (Figure A-5). The long-term maximum groundwater level at this location is 26.8 m Australian height datum, which correlates well to the *Perth groundwater atlas* 27 m maximum groundwater level contour that passes within 70 m of the bore's location. However, because the groundwater atlas coverage extends less than a kilometre into the study area and the CyMod model has not been used to analyse a pre-development wet scenario, maximum groundwater level cannot be presented at this time.

In order to provide recommendations for fill requirements appropriate to protection of infrastructure against likely maximum groundwater levels, further groundwater measurement, modelling or investigation must be carried out by developers to determine maximum groundwater levels over the proposed development site.

**Groundwater quality**

There is very limited groundwater quality data available for the study area. The *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* stated that shallow groundwater quality monitoring shows low levels of total phosphorous and very small concentrations of ortho-phosphorous in the groundwater. Total nitrogen concentrations were moderate, with moderate concentrations of nitrate and nitrite.

The report states that although these concentrations exceed relevant water quality guidelines, these concentrations are relatively low compared to other typical sites on the Swan Coastal Plain with historically pastoral or horticultural land uses.

Regarding salinity of groundwater within the study area, CyMod Systems (2007) found that the surface superficial groundwater is generally fresh or slightly brackish, whilst the groundwater of the Leederville aquifer is generally fresh (<1000 mg/L TDS).

# 3 Proposed development

## 3.1 Key elements of the structure plan

The *Byford structure plan* (Taylor Burrell Barnett, 2005) (Figure A-7) outlines the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire's proposed plan for future subdivision and development/ redevelopment of the townsite and the surrounding rural residential area. The plan will have an impact on the surface water management strategy for the study area, as it will define on a district-scale the areas available for surface drainage infrastructure and corridors. There is flexibility within the plan on the types of best management practices that may be used for surface and groundwater quantity and quality management.

The *Byford structure plan* excludes the Byford Trotting Complex and surrounding rural residential areas that are not intended to be developed within the next 20 years.

The plan proposes substantial development of low-lying, rural floodplain areas. Much of the proposed development is residential (R2.5 to R60) and rural residential with a number of schools and pockets of mixed commercial and town centre.

Drainage corridors and proposed drainage basin locations have been included in the plan in accordance with the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* (PB, 2003).

Since 2004, residential subdivisions in the *Byford structure plan* area have commenced. These developments have involved some minor clearing of trees and very extensive amounts of filling.

A particular concern, raised by the *Byford floodplain management study* (SKM, 2007), and confirmed by hydrologic and hydraulic modelling carried out as a part of this study, is that the main proposed town centre site is situated in an area at substantial risk of flooding. The *Byford structure plan* currently indicates that drainage through this area will be piped (there are no indicated drainage corridors) with an indicative overland flow path down Abernethy Road. However, the plan does include a public open space corridor between the railway line to the east and the trotting complex to the west and it may be possible to incorporate a waterway into this corridor subject to detailed engineering design at the local structure plan stage.

Future local structure plans, accompanied by local water management strategies, must address this issue. They must incorporate appropriate drainage corridors and infrastructure for the Beenyup Brook at this location as well as making provision for overland flood routing between the Beenyup Brook, Abernethy Road and the most southerly branch of the Oaklands drain as outlined in Section 6.2 of this report.


# 4 Protection of environmental assets

The following strategies have been developed to protect and enhance the value of environmental assets in the Byford structure plan area.

**Minimise changes to hydrology to prevent impacts on watercourses and wetlands**


Changes in land use from rural to urban may lead to local increases in peak flows and volumes of runoff due to increases in impervious area (Figure 4.1 below). Large increases in peak flows and volumes have the potential to adversely impact on receiving environments by causing erosion and increasing the period of inundation of vegetation.

*Figure 4-1 Typical pre- and post-development runoff hydrograph comparison.*




Surface water management must ensure that urban development does not increase the peak flows discharging to receiving environments although there may be increases in total runoff volumes (Figure 4.2 below). Development must also ensure that watercourses and wetlands do not dry out due to over abstraction of water resources or lowering of groundwater levels.

*Figure 4-2 Typical pre- and post-development runoff hydrograph comparison, with compensated post-development flows.*




Virtually the whole study area is designated as multiple use wetlands, with several areas designated resource enhancement wetlands or conservation category. The

most significant of these wetlands lie within the Brickwood Reserve and along the course of the Cardup Brook (Figure A-4). The Brickwood Reserve is upstream of the proposed development area and as such, no significant impact is anticipated. The preservation of the pre-development hydraulic grade line along the Cardup Brook will ensure that the potential for development impacts to this area will be minimised.

A further conservation category is located downstream of the study area on the Beenyup Brook. It is anticipated that the maintenance of pre-development peak flow rates at the downstream end of the study area, using the Hopkinson Road culverts as a critical control point, will ensure that there is no impact on this wetland.

Development will result in the loss of significant areas of multiple use wetlands. The addition of imported fill and subsurface drainage will control groundwater levels and soil wetness and therefore reduce the extent of inundated areas. In addition, improvements to surface water drainage will result in less extensive surface inundation, which will be confined to predetermined locations within public open space areas.

**Manage and restore watercourses and wetlands**

There are wetlands classified as conservation category and resource enhancement wetlands within the Brickwood Reserve in the south-eastern section of the study area (Figure A-4). The Environmental Protection Agency requires all conservation categories to be protected and managed for conservation purposes. The agency also recommends the consideration of existing watercourses and inclusion of requirements for restoration, revegetation and reservation of an appropriate corridor width. Various guidelines are available for all aspects of wetland and watercourse protection and restoration and are published by the Department of Water and Department of Environment and Conservation.

**Assess and manage impacts on native flora and fauna**

There are a number of declared rare and priority flora species within the study area, with one species shown (CALM 2003). Detailed flora and fauna assessments are required to be undertaken as part of more detailed levels of planning to ensure that development and subdivision is cognisant of and sensitive to the protection of native flora and fauna.

**Assess and manage impacts on sites of indigenous significance**

As discussed in section 2.5 of this report, the Department of Indigenous Affairs has identified several sites of indigenous significance in the study area (Figure A-4). Prior to construction of individual developments, assessment should be undertaken by a qualified consultant to determine whether a more thorough Aboriginal heritage investigation of the area needs to be undertaken for any specific location to identify unregistered sites.

# 5 Urban water use

The key objectives for urban water use are to:

- ensure the efficient use of all water resources in the newly-developing urban form and aim to achieve highest value use of fit-for-purpose water
- maintain opportunities for future generations by using water more efficiently. This is best achieved by combining several approaches such as raising community awareness, regulation, market mechanisms to facilitate recognition of the true value of water and financial incentives/assistance to facilitate change

## 5.1 Potable water supply

The State Government has identified demand reduction and efficient use of potable water as a priority. The *State water plan* (Government of Western Australia 2007) sets household consumption targets of less than 100 kilolitres per person per year (kL/person/year for consumers within Perth and not more than 40 to 60 kL/person/yr of scheme water.

Gardens (private and public) and public open space areas need to be waterwise in design to minimise irrigation requirements. Low water requirement plants should be predominantly used and turf areas should be kept to a minimum.

**Tools**

*5 star plus: water use in houses code–stage 1*

5 star plus is based around two new codes: the *Energy use in houses code* and the *Water use in houses code* The *Water use in houses code* has two stages; stage 1 apples to new homes approved for construction after 1 September 2007. The implementation date for stage 2 is yet to be determined (Department of Housing and Works 2007).




Water Efficiency Labelling and Standards Example Label, 2008.

## 5.2 Fit-for-purpose use water



*Recycled water tap, GHD*, 2006.

Fit-for-purpose water is often used in applications outside buildings, commonly for maintenance of public open space and passive and active recreation areas. Traditionally demand has been met by groundwater resources.

Development pressure and the drying climate have influenced the need for smarter urban form design and use of water in the urban landscape. Various programs are in place to build in water conservation and efficiency measures for public facilities and space.

The *State water recycling strategy* (Department of Premier and Cabinet and Department of Water 2008) identifies opportunities for new housing developments in Western Australia to access a variety of alternative water supplies. Innovative alternative water supply projects will come to the fore in a time when traditional water

sources reach maximum allocation limits. Assessment of risk, cost-benefit and practicality of these projects will be critical to the success of alternative water supply proposals.

### Tools

***H2Options*** (Water Corporation 2008) – a seven-step guide for developers to maximise water efficiency in the Perth metropolitan area. More information can be found at www.watercorporation.com.au/P/publications_alternative_water_supply.cfm

**Water conservation/efficiency plans**– – the State water recycling strategy (Department of Premier and Cabinet and Department of Water 2008) introduced the concept of water users developing and implementing water conservation/efficiency plans as part of the water licensing process undertaken by the Department of Water and integrating water use efficiency measures into water users' daily operations.

Water conservation/efficiency plans s enable licensees to obtain a thorough knowledge of their water use and then provide details of a water efficiency implementation program to achieve improved water use efficiency.

***International council for local environmental issues (ICLEI) water campaign™*** – a collaborative program (of which the State Government is a partner) to build the capacity of local government to reduce water consumption and improve local water quality. More information about this program can be found at <www.iclei.org>.

Other documents that may provide guidance are:

- *Interim position statement: constructed lakes* (Department of Water 2007)
- *Interim position statement: third pipe (community bores*) (Department of Water in press)
- *Structural controls, stormwater management manual for Western Australia* (Department of Environment and Swan River Trust 2007).

# 6 Stormwater management strategy

The key objectives for surface water management are:

- protection of wetlands and waterways from the impacts of urban runoff
- protection of infrastructure and assets from flooding and inundation

## 6.1 Floodplain management

Recommendations for floodplain management are presented in the *Floodplain management strategy* (SKM, 2007). This study developed two-dimensional modelling of the Byford catchment and resulted in the identification of floodway and flood fringe areas. The proposed *Floodplain management plan* includes structural and non-structural measures for flood mitigation focussed on managing potential flooding impacts on the site and to the immediate neighbouring land and drainage infrastructure.


Oaklands Drain
GHD, 2007


**Flood mitigation measures**

Flood mitigation measures are focussed on correct planning for appropriate land use in the structure plan areas and setting aside the land required for floodplain inundation depths. Existing and developed scenarios were presented within the *Floodplain management strategy* (SKM, 2007) and the 100-year *existing case depth of inundation* is reproduced in Figure 6-1 below. The 'developed' case includes raised ground levels within subdivisions but no other modifications, such as waterway realignments or new or modified road crossings and so has not been reproduced in this report.

Planning measures recommended by the *Flood plain management strategy* are:

- New dwellings in proposed and existing residential areas must have their floor levels elevated 500 mm above the 100 year annual recurrence interval flood level.
- New industrial or commercial premises should have their floor levels elevated 500 mm above the 100 year annual recurrence interval flood level.
- Major arterial roads with immunity to the 100-year annual recurrence interval flood level that access new residential areas and can provide egress to emergency services must be identified. Other residential streets should be designed to be serviceable up to the five-year annual recurrence interval flood event.

*Figure 6-1 100 Year ARI existing case depth of inundation (SKM, 2007)*



- The design of the new urban areas should incorporate current best practice in water-sensitive urban design to mitigate the impacts of urbanisation in the catchments on regional water quantity and quality.
- The proposed town centre in the structure plan was found by the *Floodplain management strategy,* to be located in an area of existing high or extreme flood hazard. *Drainage and water management plan* modelling indicates that this risk is manageable and a strategy for addressing the flood risk for the proposed town centre is presented in Section 6.2 of this plan.
- Waterways within the structure plan area should be constructed to manage the flooding from the 100-year annual recurrence interval flood event within their channels and floodplains without allowing flooding from the upstream catchment to enter adjacent residential areas.
- New drainage corridors should be designed with consideration of the current practice in water-sensitive urban design by incorporating water quality management controls and riparian vegetation to allow the drainage paths to recover to a more natural state once the agricultural pressures are removed.

A key recommendation within the *Flood management study* (SKM, 2007) was that emergency management planning should be undertaken to identify evacuation routes access for critical emergency services, as well as ensuring that locations are identified for temporary shelter that have sufficient floor level flood clearance.

## 6.2 Surface water quantity management

**Minimise changes in hydrology to prevent impacts on receiving environments**

Urbanisation results in increased impervious area. Increased rates and volumes of stormwater runoff must be managed to protect infrastructure and assets from flooding and inundation, while water quantity and quality must be managed to protect wetlands and waterways from risk of increased inundation and contaminant loads. Surface water management must ensure that urban development does not increase the peak flows discharging to receiving environments.

Surface water quantity management is not only restricted to preventing runoff from increasing due to development, but must also manage the maintenance or even restoration of desirable environmental flows and/or hydrological cycles where potential impacts on significant ecosystems such as wetlands are identified.

**Design objectives**

- For the critical one-year annual recurrence interval event, the post-development discharge volume and peak flow rates shall be maintained relative to pre-development conditions in all parts of the catchment. Where there are identified impacts on significant ecosystems, desirable environmental flows and/or hydrological cycles shall be maintained or restored as outlined in this report and approved by the Department of Water.
- The catchment runoff shall be managed for all annual recurrence interval events up to and including the 100-year event within the development area to pre-

development peak flow rates. Pre-development critical five and 100-year annual recurrence interval event peak flow rates are specified in Table 6.1 of this report.

- Water-sensitive urban design and best management practices promoting on-site retention of events up to the one–year annual recurrence interval form the basis of the surface water quantity management strategy for minor events.
- The critical five and 100-year annual recurrence interval peak surface water flow rates shall be managed at the downstream end of the development area (Hopkinson Road) to pre-development peak flow rates to minimise the impacts on downstream rural areas to the west of Hopkinson Road.

**Manage surface water flows from major events to protect infrastructure and assets**

Hydrologic and hydraulic modelling of the study area using InfoWorks CS has determined indicative subcatchment scale peak discharge flows and volumes, detention volumes required to manage surface water flows from major events and hydraulic grade lines within the main waterways.

Detention volumes required to meet the specified five and 100-year annual recurrence interval peak flows are presented in Table 6.1 of this report.


Figure A-8 in Appendix C and Table 6-1 below present the proposed surface water management strategy for Byford townsite. Indicative 100-year annual recurrence interval flood levels, overland flow paths, subcatchment delineation (Figure A-8), and discharge flows and detention volumes (Table 6-1), are provided at critical locations as a guide to developers and should be refined and located during local structure planning via the local water management strategy and finalised during subdivision scale planning via the urban water management plan. Longitudinal sections (Figures C-10a-p) of each main watercourse are provided to assist with the design of subdivisional drainage and may be used to accurately determine flows and levels as the waterways pass specific locations that are not necessarily indicated in Figure A-8.

For each subcatchment, the critical five and 100-year annual recurrence interval event pre-development discharge flow rates are presented in Table 6.1 along with an indicative post-development storage volume required to maintain that flow rate. The five-year year event is recommended by the Department of Water as the appropriate event for assessing the serviceability of local drainage, while flow paths and storage areas for the 100-year annual recurrence interval event should be provided for flood management purposes in accordance with the *Australian rainfall and runoff* (IEA,2001) concept of a minor/major drainage system.

It is important to note that the *Drainage and water management plan* model assumes that the one year one hour annual recurrence interval event (from allotments and also from the road network) is retained at source, so this volume is not included in the indicative flood detention volumes provided in table 6.1. Flows from the road network in a one-year annual recurrence interval event should be retained (or detained for the duration of the one-year event) within the road reserve network in a manner that mitigates pollutant export.

Discharge flow rates quoted in Table 6.1 are not within main waterways and do not include flows generated by upstream subcatchments. Discharge criteria are set for whole subcatchments at the point at which they connect to main waterways as indicated by Figure 6.2 below.

*Figure 6-2 Schematic presentation of information provided for subcatchments and main waterways*




For each modelled node (Figure 6.2) along the main waterways, the critical 100-year annual recurrence interval event hydraulic grade line with associated peak flow rates is presented on longitudinal sections (Figures C-10a-p).

Where a proposed development forms a part of one or more of the subcatchments presented in Figure A-8, the storage volume to be provided by that development should be calculated based on the subcatchment surface area as a percentage of the total subcatchment surface area.

Where there is an inconsistency between the drainage planning criteria presented in this report (Table 6-1) and a previous approval, then the previous approval, and associated developers' obligations, should prevail. However, in a case where greater detention volumes are required by this report (Table 6-1) than those previously approved, an assessment will need to be made of the impact on public and private amenity for the determining event and a judgement made on whether remedial work is required. The issue of who has responsibility for investigation and any remedial work need to be discussed and determined.

Waterway dimensions and alignments as originally developed in the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* have been retained where possible. Typical cross-sections are presented in Figure A-9. A summary of peak flows, levels and indicative floodway widths at critical locations (Figure A-8) is presented in table 6.2 below.

*Table 6-1 Subcatchment drainage planning criteria – ultimate development*

| Subcatchment ID | Area | Peak discharge flow ($m^3/s$) | | Detention volume ($m^3$) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Subdivisional storage basins | (ha) | 5 year | 100 year | 5 year | 100 year |
| 10C | 24.7 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2800 | 5800 |
| 3C | 68.1 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 9000 | 20100 |
| 3D1 | 65.1 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 8100 | 15000 |
| 3D2 | 49.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 6200 | 12900 |
| 3D3 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1800 | 3400 |
| 3D4 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1500 | 3000 |
| 5B | 40.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 5100 | 12400 |
| 3B3 | 24.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 3100 | 7500 |
| 5E | 21.2 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1800 | 4400 |
| 5F | 6.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 500 | 1300 |
| 5G | 108.9 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 5400 | 13700 |
| 6B | 26.9 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 3300 | 5800 |
| 6C | 19.8 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2400 | 4800 |
| 6D1 | 77.2 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 8000 | 20200 |
| 6F | 17.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1900 | 4700 |
| 6D2 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2000 | 3500 |
| 6E | 20.9 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2200 | 4900 |
| 7A | 57.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 6700 | 15700 |
| 7B | 46.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 5600 | 10600 |
| 7C | 29.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 2700 | 6000 |
| 7C1 | 40.8 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 5300 | 10400 |
| 7D | 34.0 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3300 | 8100 |
| 8A | 19.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2100 | 6000 |
| 8B | 43.1 | 0.6 | 1 | 4700 | 13600 |
| 8C | 55.6 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 8400 | 19200 |
| 8D | 47.8 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 6600 | 13300 |
| 9B | 37.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1700 | 5800 |
| 9C | 85.4 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 5300 | 12800 |
| 9D | 22.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1000 | 3100 |
| 3B1 | 31.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 5400 | 8200 |
| 3B2 | 55.2 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 9500 | 14200 |

*Table 6-2 Flows, levels and floodway widths at critical locations*

| Location | Peak flows ($m^3/s$) | | Peak levels (m AHD) | | Indicative floodway width (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Number (Fig. A-8 ) and description | 5 Year ARI | 100 Year ARI | 5 Year ARI | 100 Year ARI | 100 Year ARI |
| 1. Oaklands drain d/s George Road (north) | 5.5 | 10.2 | 53.2 | 53.5 | 40 |
| 2. Oaklands drain d/s George Road (south) | 2.4 | 10.7 | 51.8 | 52.0 | 40 |
| 3. Oaklands drain d/s Evans Road | 10.7 | 34.5 | 44.3 | 44.6 | 32 |
| 4. Oaklands drain d/s Briggs Road | 11.0 | 30.2 | 32.7 | 32.9 | 70 |
| 5. Oaklands drain at Thomas Road and Masters Road | 9.5 | 25.7 | 30.9 | 31.1 | 50 |
| 6. Oaklands drain d/s Malarkey Road | 20.8 | 59.3 | 29.8 | 30.2 | 50 |
| 7. Oaklands drain at Hopkinson Road | 15.7 | 48.9 | 26.4 | 26.9 | 60 |
| 8. Beenyup Brook d/s South Western Hwy | 8.1 | 31.2 | 58.5 | 58.7 | 40 |
| 9. u/s end piped Beenyup Brook d/s Abernethy Road | 2.8 | 3.1 | 56.5 | 56.6 | - |
| 10. u/s end swale from Beenyup Brook to Oaklands drain | 5.2 | 16.1 | 56.5 | 56.6 | 10 |
| 11. u/s end swale down Abernethy Rd from Beenyup Brook to Trib 6 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 56.3 | 56.8 | 10 |
| 12. overland flow down Warrington Road | 0.0 | 1.3 | 44.5 | 45.4 | 10 |
| 13. overland flow down Doley Road | 0.0 | 2.7 | 34.5 | 35.5 | 10 |
| 14. Beenyup Brook at Hopkinson Road | 8.1 | 9.6 | 26.0 | 26.3 | 40 |
| 15. Tributary 6 u/s Briggs Road (Extn) | 1.4 | 3.4 | 41.6 | 41.7 | 40 |
| 16. Tributary 6 at Hopkinson Road | 1.6 | 6.7 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 50 |
| 17. Tributary 7 at Hopkinson Road | 2.0 | 5.1 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 50 |
| 18. Cardup Brook d/s South Western Hwy | 5.8 | 23.5 | 55.1 | 57.1 | 70 |
| 19. Cardup Brook at Hopkinson Road | 9.4 | 33.2 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 50 |

*Notes:*

- Flows at Hopkinson Road in the 100 year ARI event provided in this table include flow overtopping the road and are therefore greater than those shown on the longitudinal sections (Figure A-10).

A regional flood management strategy has been developed to address the flood risk at the town centre. The strategy is presented in Figure A-8 and Table 6.2 above and includes the construction of a new high-level overflow into a swale drain along the length of Abernethy Road, as well as provision of an overland flow path or swale connecting Beenyup Brook with Oaklands drain and a piped section of Beenyup Brook.

The location and design of this infrastructure are conceptual only and may be modified to suit local design requirements.

Funding for the design and construction of regional flood management measures will need to be provided via a developer contribution scheme. Details of this scheme are being developed by the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire and the Western Australian Planning Commission.

There will be some flooding of the road surface in Warrington and Doley Roads. Modelling indicates that the product of depths and velocities on these road surfaces will not exceed 0.4 $m^2/s$ as recommended in *Australian rainfall and runoff* (IEA, 2001) to prevent pedestrians being swept along.

## 6.3 Surface water quality management

The environmental values of downstream waterways within and surrounding the study area must be upheld.

Maintaining pre-development discharge rates and volumes from developed catchments is expected to prevent the majority of contaminants from reaching the waterways by ensuring that the majority of flows from high-frequency events are detained or infiltrated on site.

Provided that the initial flow of more significant events is subject to the same detention and treatment received by high-frequency events, surface runoff that occurs during more significant events represents a lower risk to downstream water quality. This is because nutrients and other contaminants that represent a threat to downstream water quality are typically transported within the 'first flush' of an event.



Oaklands drain

GHD, 2007

To minimise the average annual load of pollutants discharged by stormwater management systems into receiving environments, appropriate site-specific targets will be developed and adopted as indicated by the *Draft water quality improvement plan for the rivers and estuary of the Peel-Harvey system, Environmental Protection (Peel Inlet-Harvey Estuary) Policy 1992* (Government of Western Australia) and other investigations underway.

The *Water quality improvement plan* has been developed to address catchment management measures and control actions relating to phosphorus, but does not specify site-specific design criteria. Until the outcomes of other investigations are known, and site-specific targets have been developed, interim targets will be adopted and are presented in section 6.4 of this *Drainage and water management plan*.

**The water quality objectives of the plan are:**

Median loadings of total phosphorus to estuarine waters should be less than 75 tonnes per annum in an average year with;

- the median load of total phosphorus flowing in the estuary from the Serpentine River being less than 21 tonnes

Water qualities in streams in winter are to meet mean concentrations of 0.1 mg/L TP at current mean flows.

In the Upper Serpentine catchment, the plan found that winter concentrations were in

the range 0.1-0.2 mg/l and total winter loads were in the range 15-20 tonnes.

The draft *Water quality improvement plan* specifies that reductions of 30-40 per cent for load and concentration are required in the upper Serpentine catchment to meet its objectives and recommends the following best management practices for urban areas:

*Table 6-3 Recommended best management practices*

| Best management practices | Definition of recommended action |
|---|---|
| Residential fertiliser | Use low water soluble fertiliser applied to sandy textured soils, applied sparingly to gardens and turf.<br>Minimise lawn areas or plant an alternative lawn.<br>Fertilise only when symptoms of nutrient deficiency occur e.g. yellowing.<br>Use a complete lawn fertiliser containing nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, if fertiliser is required.<br>Apply fertiliser at the maximum individual application rate that is 25 grams per square metre for couch and 12 grams per square metre for kikuyu and buffalo grass.<br>If fertiliser is required apply in spring or early autumn (Sept, Oct, Nov, Mar and Apr).<br>Do not fertilise during summer or winter months.<br>Do not over-water. |
| Full sewerage connection | Connect all new urban developments to sewerage.<br>Build into approvals conditions by decision-making authorities for all new subdivisions and new homes to be connected to reticulated sewerage. |
| Soil remediation | Ensure all new urban developments in areas with sandy soils undergo soil remediation at the estate scale.<br>At the lot scale blend or apply a layer of higher PRI soil 0-50 cm beneath the finished ground level to provide increased phosphorus retention.<br>Use soil amendment materials such as yellow Spearwood sands, Karrakatta soils or brown loams.<br>Remediate soil in accordance with Peel-Harvey coastal catchment water-sensitive urban design technical guidelines.<br>Take care to maintain soil permeability. |
| Water and nutrient sensitive principles | Decision-making authorities should take a lead planning role in incorporating best management practices including water-sensitive urban design principles, criteria and outcomes in its strategic landuse planning, policies structure plans and subdivision conditions. |
| Water-sensitive urban design | Comply with environmental quality criteria should be incorporated in local planning policy<br>Ensure design complies with stormwater management policies<br>Apply water-sensitive urban design treatment trains<br>Prepare water management strategies<br>Undertake soil amendment.<br>Ensure total phosphorus and total nitrogen import and export criteria are met.<br>Meet the minimum percentage area of deep-rooted perennial vegetation<br>Impose building and landscaping covenants<br>Ensure sound construction and building site management. |

| Best management practices | Definition of recommended action |
|---|---|
| Drainage reform | Modify drainage management practices to reduce in-channel sediment movement as opportunities arise.<br>Manage drainage as part of the total water cycle with the dual objectives of optimising stormwater runoff and reducing nutrient flows into the rivers and streams |

The *Water quality improvement plan* has been developed to address catchment management measures and control actions relating only to phosphorus loads to the waterways. The Environmental Protection Authority recognises that there are other problems within the Peel-Harvey system. These include the nitrogen concentrations in estuarine waters; estuarine and riverine habitat loss; acid soil drainage; and bacteria concentrations – animal and human effluent. All of these problems require action.

Further investigations are already underway on these issues and will become components of a catchment management plan, as required in the 1989 environmental conditions, subsequently amended in 1991 and 1993 (Environmental Protection Authority, 2003).

Water quality treatment systems and water-sensitive urban design structures to meet these objectives must be designed, implemented and managed in accordance with the *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia* (Department of Water, 2007) and *Australian runoff quality* (Engineers Australia, 2006).

## 6.4 Key design criteria

### Surface water quantity

- The one-year one-hour annual recurrence interval event shall be detained at source for the duration of the event through the use of retention (soakage) or storage devices. Refer to Chapter 9 of the *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia* (Department of Water, 2007) for devices suited to the soil types for this catchment. The manual contains guidance for the appropriate design of retention and detention systems.
- The post-development critical one-year annual recurrence interval peak flow and volume and the 100-year annual recurrence interval peak flow shall be consistent with pre-development flows at:
    - the discharge points of all subdivisions into waterways
    - the discharge points from the structure plan area (Hopkinson Road)
    - the discharge points of each subcatchment
- Flows from developed areas must be attenuated, in accordance with Table 6-1 in flood detention/storage areas incorporated into public open space within the subdivision and located outside defined floodways(Figure A-8);

- Post-development flows for all annual recurrence interval events must be discharged at flow rates that are consistent with pre-development flow rates for those same events.
- Floodways are defined on Figure A-8 and contain the regional 100-year annual recurrence interval event flow. Floodways may not be developed or obstructed in any way and are entirely separate from the storage volumes presented in Table 6.1.
- Developments outside the floodway should ensure finished floor levels at a minimum of 0.5 m above the 100 year flood level.
- The existing cross-sectional area of waterways must be maintained. Restoration of waterways is essential and in some cases channel realignments and channel profile modifications may be carried out, provided it is demonstrated that the pre-development cross-sectional area has been preserved. A permit may be required to alter the beds and banks of waterways under the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914.*
- Public open space and retention basins should operate as dry basins with a minimum clearance of 0.3 m between the controlled groundwater level and the invert of the basin. Wet basins are not recommended by the Department of Water and are unlikely to be approved by the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.
- Defined major arterial roads should remain passable in the 100-year annual recurrence interval event. This requirement applies to but is not confined to Abernethy Road, Kardan Boulevard, Thomas Road and South Western Highway. The local authority should be contacted to identify other roads where this requirement applies.
- Minor roads should remain passable in the five- year annual recurrence interval event.
- Emergency evacuation areas should be defined at least 2.0 m above the 100-year annual recurrence interval event level.
- Water quality treatment systems and water-sensitive urban design structures must be designed in accordance with the *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia* (Department of Water 2007) and *Australian runoff quality* (Engineers Australia 2006).

**Surface water quality**

The Department of Water is currently developing water quality targets that will be finalised in 2008. In the interim, designs may be based on the methodology established in the *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia* (Department of Water 2007).

Targets are to be achieved through adopting a treatment train approach including:

- non-structural measures to reduce applied nutrient loads
- on-site retention of one-year one-hour annual recurrence interval events

- bioretention structures/systems, (also referred to as ‘rain gardens’) to be sized at two per cent of connected impervious areas

If it is proposed to use a computer stormwater modelling tool to assess a proposed water quality management strategy, the following design targets are recommended:

As compared with a development that does not actively manage water quality, developments must achieve:

- at least 80 per cent reduction of total suspended solids
- at least 60 per cent reduction of total phosphorus
- at least 45 per cent reduction of total nitrogen
- at least 70 per cent reduction of gross pollutants

Proponents shall develop and present the strategies for water quantity and quality management in the local water management strategy and urban water management plans to support the planning approvals required for the development to proceed.

Engineering drawings submitted to council for approval must be supported by clear and auditable documentation, providing details of proposed staging and implementation of the surface and groundwater quantity and quality management strategy.

It is strongly recommended that consultants meet with the local authority to discuss proposed surface and groundwater management strategies and to gain further guidance on site-specific requirements of the local authority at commencement of any local water management strategy or urban water management plan.

# 7 Groundwater management strategy

The key objectives for groundwater management are:

- protecting infrastructure and assets from flooding and inundation by high seasonal groundwater levels, perching and/or soil moisture
- protecting groundwater dependent ecosystems from the impacts of urban runoff
- managing and minimising changes in groundwater levels and groundwater quality following development/redevelopment

## 7.1 Glossary of groundwater terms

**Controlled groundwater level**

Controlled groundwater level is a groundwater level endorsed by the Department of Water. Sub-surface drainage may not be installed below the controlled groundwater level.

The actual level selected will vary according to availability of data and/or modelling results. Commonly, when a modelling approach is used, the rainfall record for a year with close to average rainfall for the current climate is run and the winter maximum groundwater level for this scenario becomes the controlled groundwater level. Alternatively, where a historical groundwater record is available, the average of recorded maxima for a selected period of record that is representative of the current climate may be chosen.

**Maximum groundwater level**

Maximum groundwater level is a groundwater level endorsed by the Department of Water. The actual level selected will vary according to availability of data and/or modelling results, but is commonly the maximum recorded groundwater level for a high rainfall condition.

Developments will be required to make the development surface level 1.2 m above the maximum groundwater level, if subsurface drainage is not installed.

**Phreatic line**

The phreatic line is the modified (post-development) maximum groundwater level following the installation of subsurface drainage and is in fact an arc in between subsurface drainage lines, as indicated on the diagram below.


When subsurface drainage is installed the phreatic line becomes the level from which building floor level clearance to groundwater is measured.

**Meeting the groundwater clearance and subsurface drainage criteria**


Examples of different ways in which the groundwater clearance and subsurface drainage criteria may be met under different conditions are presented below.

Case 1: The natural surface is less than 1.2 m above maximum groundwater level. Subsurface drainage is installed at controlled groundwater level to control the maximum groundwater level. However, because the natural surface is less than 1.2


m above the resultant phreatic line, some additional fill has also been provided to meet the minimum clearance requirement.




Case 2: The natural surface is less than 1.2 m above maximum groundwater level. Fill is provided to meet the minimum clearance requirement.




Case 3: The natural surface is greater than 1.2 m above maximum groundwater level. No fill or subsurface drainage is required to meet the minimum clearance requirement.




## 7.2 Groundwater quantity management

**Manage groundwater levels to protect infrastructure and assets**

To protect housing from flooding and damage from groundwater, the predicted maximum groundwater level must be determined, through modelling and/or measurement. Where this information is not available, local studies shall be undertaken and endorsed by the Department of Water. Where the predicted maximum groundwater level is at or within 1.2 m of the surface the importation of clean fill and/or the provision of sub surface, drainage will be required to ensure that

adequate separation of building floor slabs from groundwater is achieved. In such instances, the sub-surface drainage will need to be placed at a Department of Water/Department of Environment and Conservation approved controlled groundwater level.

Groundwater modelling (CyMod Systems, 2007) included three scenarios – no development under average rainfall conditions (current climate) and proposed development under both average and wet rainfall conditions. Dry conditions were not selected as a post-development groundwater model.

Further information regarding the selection of pre- and post-development model scenarios and the construction and calibration of the groundwater model may be gained by requesting a copy of the *Groundwater modelling report* (CyMod Systems, 2007) from the Department of Water.

The district scale predicted maximum groundwater level has not been defined at this time for the study area. Localised perching that is common within this catchment cannot be accurately represented by district scale modelling and must be considered when determining the local scale predicted maximum groundwater level, which is important for the protection of urban infrastructure.

The district scale controlled groundwater level adopted for the study area and presented in Figure A-5 is the pre-development average rainfall scenario as modelled by CyMod Systems (2007).

Further investigations will be required to determine local scale predicted maximum groundwater level for individual developments to determine whether subsurface drainage is required for protection of urban infrastructure. This drainage should always be located at or above the district scale controlled groundwater level as presented in Figure A-5.

**Maintain groundwater regimes for the protection of groundwater-dependent ecosystems**

To ensure protection of groundwater dependent ecosystems, local studies to model and/or measure groundwater levels and refine the district scale controlled groundwater level shall be undertaken and endorsed by the Department of Water.

It has been identified that localised perching of groundwater is quite extensive within the development area. Where there is a groundwater-dependent ecosystem that is reliant on this seasonal perched groundwater, it will be necessary to maintain this regime. Investigations to derive a local scale controlled groundwater level shall be undertaken and endorsed by the Department of Water.

Local controlled groundwater levels must be developed with consideration of ecological water requirements for groundwater-dependent ecosystems, such as wetlands. Determination of ecological water requirements of groundwater-dependent ecosystems is outlined in the *Urban development and determination of ecological water requirements of groundwater-dependent ecosystems* (Department of Water, in preparation).

Once the ecological water requirements have been determined, controlled groundwater levels can then be finalised in accordance with the requirements of the *Decision process for stormwater management in WA* (Department of Environment and Swan River Trust, 2005). Controlled groundwater levels should always aim to meet ecological water requirements. However, if ecological water requirements cannot be met, the likely impacts on the groundwater-dependent ecosystems values should be outlined.

Any proposals to control the seasonal or long-term maximum groundwater levels through a controlled groundwater level approach should demonstrate through adequate field investigations, to the satisfaction of the Department of Water, how any local and regional impacts are to be managed.

**Manage the shallow aquifer to protect the value of groundwater resources**

Groundwater in the area is currently used for domestic and commercial purposes and is potentially an important source of water for new development in the area.

Groundwater modelling (CyMod Systems, 2007) has indicated that unmanaged future bore usage will result in long-term lowering of groundwater levels. Results for both of the proposed development scenarios predict decreases in groundwater levels when compared to the pre-development case. These results indicate that the level of bore usage assumed for the purposes of groundwater modelling cannot be supported. The post-development wet rainfall sequence scenario predicts groundwater levels of 0.40 m higher than those predicted for the average rainfall scenario, although they are still lower than those in the pre-development scenario.

In addition, the introduction of subsurface drainage may result in reduced aquifer recharge as a result of the loss of seasonal perching and its slow subsidence.

If groundwater abstraction is to be supported for new development in the area, a water balance should be prepared as a part of a local water management strategy to identify the potential long-term impacts. Ongoing monitoring and control will be essential.

## 7.3 Groundwater quality management

The environmental values of groundwater within, and surrounding, the study area must be upheld.

**Maintain groundwater quality at pre-development levels (median winter concentrations) and, if possible, improve the quality of water leaving the development area to maintain and restore ecological systems in the (sub) catchment in which the development is located.**

Water sensitive urban design and best management practices must not only promote infiltration to aid in prevention of possible local flooding from increased runoff due to urbanisation; but they must also treat the water prior to its discharge to waterways, wetlands and to groundwater. This is particularly important given the high variability in phosphorus retention capacity of the soils in the study area and the anticipated increase in nutrient load due to urbanisation.

Where subsoil drainage is installed for groundwater level or soil moisture control, a 'treatment system' (swale/bioretention etc) at each subsoil drain outlet point will be required. The *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia* (Department of Water 2004-07) contains guidance for the design of subsoil drainage, appropriate to calculated flow rates.

Where appropriate, field investigations must be undertaken to identify acid sulphate soils. Any reduction in groundwater level should not expose acid sulphate soils to the air, as this may cause groundwater contamination. If field investigations identify acid sulphate soils, further advice should be sought from the Department of Environment and Conservation.

Contaminated sites must be managed in accordance with the *Contaminated Sites Act 2003*.

## 7.4 Key design criteria

- Where a perched water table exists or the predicted maximum groundwater level is at or within 1.2 m of the natural ground level, the importation of clean fill and/or the provision of sub-surface drainage will be required to ensure that adequate separation of building floor slabs from groundwater is achieved. In such instances, the sub-surface drainage will need to be placed at or above the approved controlled groundwater level.
- The bio-retention system and drainage inverts are set at or above controlled groundwater level although existing inverts below the level may remain.
- Subsurface drainage is to be installed at or above controlled groundwater level.
- Subsurface drainage must be designed with free-draining outlets.
- Development should ensure finished lot levels at a minimum of 0.8 m above the phreatic line.
- The clean fill imported onto the site is to incorporate a band of material that will reduce phosphorus export via soil leaching, whilst also meeting soil permeability and soil compaction criteria specified by the local government authority.
- Where development is associated with any new or existing waterway or open drain that intersects the shallow water table, and that may discharge pollutants from the shallow groundwater to receiving environments, the following interim targets will be adopted until such time as appropriate site-specific targets are developed:

As compared with a development that does not actively manage water quality, the following should be achieved:

- at least 60 per cent reduction of total phosphorous
- at least 45 per cent reduction of total nitrogen

Where development is associated with an ecosystem that is dependent on a particular hydrologic regime for survival, the water quality discharged to the

groundwater must be in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Environment and Conservation.

Engineering drawings submitted to council for approval must be supported by clear and auditable documentation, providing details of proposed staging and implementation of the surface and groundwater quantity and quality management strategy.

It is strongly recommended that consultants meet with the local authority to discuss proposed surface and groundwater management strategies and to gain further guidance on site-specific requirements of the local authority at commencement of any local water management strategy or urban water management plan.

# 8 Commitment to best management practice

In order to meet the design criteria of reductions in total phosphorus, total nitrogen, total suspended solids and gross pollutants as compared to developments in which water treatment is not undertaken, it is necessary to use a combination of best management practice strategies.

In addition, best management practice strategies reduce risks of flooding on housing and infrastructure while maximising the potential for stormwater to be treated as a resource.

The existing *Byford structure plan* (Figure A-7) includes realignment of some multiple use corridors away from existing natural drainage features and alignments. It is the recommendation of this study that the *Byford structure plan* should be amended to rectify this anomaly and include the drainage corridors presented in Figure A-8 of this *Drainage and water management plan.*

**The hierarchy of best management practice principles is as follows:**

implement controls at or near the source to prevent pollutants entering the system and/or treat stormwater

- install in-transit measures to treat stormwater and mitigate pollutants that have entered the conveyance system
- implement end-of-pipe controls to treat stormwater, addressing any remaining pollutants prior to discharging to receiving environments

Structural and non-structural best management practice strategies must be used in combination to achieve the required stormwater treatment outcomes.

Recommended best management practices in increasing order of scale include:

- residential lot scale:
    - on-site soakage devices, where appropriate, with overflow outlets (detention)
    - water-wise and nutrient-wise landscaping
    - porous pavements
    - amended topsoils
    - Rainwater tanks for harvesting, detention and re-use
- Commercial lot scale:
    - on-site detention and/or retention
    - water-wise and nutrient-wise landscaping
    - maximised permeable surfaces



**Rainwater tank, GHD, 2007**

  - porous pavements
  - amended topsoils
  - landscaped infiltration structures
  - hydrocarbon management and sediment traps
  - rainwater tanks for harvesting, detention and re-use
- Street scale:
  - infiltration measures
  - sediment traps
  - porous pavements (car parking)
  - conveyance bioretention systems



**Biofiltration pocket**
**GHD, 2007**

- Estate scale:
  - retention/detention (including water quality treatment) areas integrated within public open space, in accordance with the objectives and requirements of Elements 4 (Public parkland) and 5 (Urban water management) of *Liveable Neighbourhoods Edition 4*(In preparation)
  - use of imported fill material with a high phosphorous retention capability
  - retention of existing waterways and restoration of a pre-development ecology and channel morphology in new and existing waterways
  - non-structural best management practices such as interpretive signage, garden education programs, publishing a water-sensitive urban design web-page for the estate and inviting residents to engage with existing community catchment groups
- Area scale:
  - non-structural best management practices such as public education campaigns, support of local community catchment groups, installation of interpretive signage and web pages and the adoption of appropriate planning principles including local laws for on-site detention and retention

The above practices may be limited by several factors, including: local soil and hydrological conditions, the depth and type of fill imported, public safety and public health standards, design life/reliability requirements, maintenance/management costs, legal authority and streetscape aesthetics. Advice should be sought from the local authority on the practices most appropriate for adoption within the Byford townsite.

# 9 Implementation

## 9.1 Requirements for following stages

*State planning policy 2.9: water resources* (Government of WA, 2006) requires that planning should contribute to the protection and wise management of water resources through local and regional planning strategies, structure plans, schemes, subdivisions, strata subdivisions and development applications. *Better urban water ,management* (in preparation for the Department of Planning and Infrastructure, Department of Water, Western Australian Local Government Authority and Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts by Essential Environmental Services, 2008 provides guidance on implementation of *State planning policy 2.9.* It identifies the requirements for water management strategies and plans that must be developed to accompany the land use planning and approvals process in the *Drainage and water management plan* area at each stage of the planning process

In summary, all local structure planning should incorporate a local water management strategy consistent with the strategies and objectives of this *Drainage and water management plan*. Subsequent subdivision applications should be accompanied by an urban water management plan where required by the Department of Water and Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire, and/or should be consistent with any approved local water management strategy and with the strategies and objectives of this *Drainage and water management plan.* Guidelines for local water management strategies and urban water management plans are in preparation by the Department of Water. In the interim the Department of Water regional office can be contacted for guidance on the requirements of these documents. Developers are encouraged to contact the Department of Water and Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire early in the planning process to discuss specific water management requirements for proposals

It is recommended that the *Byford structure plan* (Figure A-7) be modified to reflect the recommendations of this *Drainage and water management plan* and to remove reference to the *Byford urban stormwater management strategy* (SJ Shire 2003). Furthermore, it is recommended that the *Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale town planning scheme No. 2* be amended to remove reference to the *Byford stormwater management strategy.*

## 9.2 Review of Drainage and water management plan

It is intended that the *Drainage and water management plan* be reviewed within ten years or earlier if deemed necessary until development has occurred consistent with the Byford structure plan.

The review should be undertaken by the Department of Water, with agreement from the Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australian Planning Commission, Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire and the Water Corporation. The review should cover, but not be limited to the following:

- assessment of impacts of development
- design objectives
- requirements for local water management strategies and urban water management plans
- cost-recovery mechanisms

## 9.3 Monitoring strategy

A groundwater and surface water monitoring program should be designed as part of the local water management strategy to assess the hydrological impacts of the proposed development and to establish a contingency action plan with associated trigger values for specified parameters.

The baseline monitoring program should be conducted for at least three years prior to development to characterise the sites hydrology and hydrogeology. However in some cases it may be acceptable to provide 18 months of pre-development monitoring with a minimum of two winters where the monitored hydrology and hydrogeology is considered suitably reflective of the long-term environment, and approval has been given by the Department of Water. The results of the baseline monitoring strategy should be presented in the final local water management strategy.

The post-development monitoring program should be tailored to the development, quantifying the development's impact on surfaces water quality, surface water flows, groundwater levels seasonal fluctuation and quality.

The monitoring results can then provide:

- pre-development baseline data
- post-development comparison to target design objectives and criteria
- a trigger for contingency action, as per the contingency plan
- an interim internal assessment tool of the monitoring programme

All monitoring results should be provided to the Department of Water in an agreed format. A report on these results is not usually required; however where a trigger for contingency action has been reached, it will be necessary to report on the action taken.

**Standards**

Monitoring sampling should follow Australian Standards AS/NZ 5667 series of *Water quality sampling* guidance notes and a National Association of Testing Authorities accredited laboratory is required to perform water quality testing.

**Monitoring network**

The groundwater monitoring bore network's extent and density should spatially represent the hydrogeology of the local area, to the satisfaction of the local government and Department of Water.

Surface water monitoring sites should capture inflows and outflows for the whole site, all detention or retention storages, and any water dependent ecosystems.

**Monitoring parameters**

Monitoring of groundwater levels should be initially on a monthly basis to establish water level fluctuations. Surface water monitoring requirements are site-specific and must meet the regulatory bodies' recommendations.

Samples should be analysed for at least the following water quality parameters:

- *in-situ* pH, electrical conductivity and temperature
- heavy metals - arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, zinc, mercury
- total suspended solids
- total nitrogen and total kjeldahl nitrogen
- ammonia (NH4)
- nitrate and nitrite ($NO_x$)
- total phosphorus (TP)
- orthophosphate ($PO_4^{3-}$)




The following additional parameters are recommended in locations where drainage intercepts shallow groundwater systems:

- total titratable acidity and total alkalinity
- major anions (chloride, bromide and sulphate)
- major cations (calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium)
- iron and aluminium

The effective management of urban stormwater quality typically focuses on the treatment of frequent, low-intensity stormwater events. These small but frequent flows account for the majority of nutrient loads and represent the best opportunity for water quality improvement.

The process of infiltration filters the stormwater and is effective in the removal of particulate nutrients. Dissolved nutrients cannot be filtered and are therefore more difficult to treat. Urban runoff is a combination of dissolved and particulate nutrients.

If the treatment measure is infiltration, then filtered and unfiltered samples of total nutrient concentrations should be measured to quantify the proportion of dissolved and particulate nutrients generated within the development site, and the method recorded.

A summary of an example monitoring program is presented in Table 9-1 below. The format and frequency of post-development reporting should be proposed within the local water management strategy and approved by the local government and Department of Water. Where a trigger for contingency action, as specified in the

local water management strategy is reached, it will be necessary to report on the action taken.

*Table 9-1 Monitoring programme summary*

| | Sites | Frequency | Parameters |
|---|---|---|---|
| Surface water | Developments inflow and outflow locations<br>Detention storages inflow and outflow<br>Water bodies | Site specific | -Flows<br>-Water levels |
| | | Monthly grab samples while flowing, to be reviewed after the first year of monitoring | -**In-situ** pH, EC and temperature.<br>-**Unfiltered sample**: pH, EC, TN, FRP, TKN, ammonia, TP, heavy metals<br>-**Filtered sample**: nitrate/nitrite and PO4, |
| Groundwater | Network of monitoring bores providing a suitable spatial representation of the study area. | Monthly | Water level |
| | | Quarterly (typically Jan, Apr, July, Oct) | -**In-situ** pH, EC and temperature.<br>-**Unfiltered sample**: pH, EC, TN, FRP, TKN, ammonia, TP, heavy metals<br>-**Filtered sample**: nitrate/nitrite and PO4 |

A summary of monitoring requirements and responsibilities is provided in Table 9-2.

*Table 9-2 Assessment requirements of development proposals - monitoring*

| Responsible Agency | Timing | Monitoring Requirement |
|---|---|---|
| Developers | Period of 3 years pre-development (minimum of 18 months with at least 2 winters with approval of DoW) | Monitor key criteria for maintenance of hydrologic regimes, buffers and ecological corridors/linkages of environmental assets<br>Monitor local superficial aquifer groundwater levels<br>Monitor flow and water quality (including nutrients, TSS, and gross pollutants) at regular intervals (monthly)<br>Monitor peak flows (snapshots) within developments and wetlands |
| | Period of 3 years post-development, including at least 1 year following completion of the majority (80%) of developments | Monitor key criteria for maintenance of hydrologic regimes, buffers and ecological corridors/linkages of environmental assets<br>Monitor local superficial aquifer groundwater levels<br>Monitor flow and water quality (including nutrients, TSS, and gross pollutants) at regular intervals (monthly)<br>Monitor peak flows (snapshots) within developments and wetlands<br>Monitor behavioural patterns with respect to non-structural measures for water quality management<br>Monitor performance of new drainage systems |
| DoW | Ongoing | Monitor efficacy of water conservation measures and achievement of water consumption targets<br>Monitor regional surface water flows and quality<br>Monitor confined aquifer groundwater levels and regional superficial aquifer groundwater levels and quality<br>Monitor groundwater abstraction in the DSP area<br>Monitor surface water quality and flows at strategic locations in main drains and waterways<br>Monitor structural BMPs for efficacy with advice from the BMP technical reference group<br>Monitor performance of new drainage systems across catchments and property boundaries |
| SJ Shire – with funding from developer contributions scheme | From 3 years post-development | Monitor key criteria for maintenance of hydrologic regimes, buffers and ecological corridors/linkages of environmental assets<br>Monitor local superficial aquifer groundwater levels<br>Monitor water quality and flows within developments and wetlands<br>Monitor behavioural patterns with respect to non-structural measures for water quality management |
| DEC | Ongoing | Evaluate health of significant environmental assets |

## 9.4 Action plan

*Table 9-3* presents the key actions necessary to implement the proposed *Drainage and water management plan*, identifying the responsible agency and proposed time for completion. SJ Shire refers to Serpentine-Jarrahdale Shire.

*Table 9-3 Actions and responsibilities for implementation of the Drainage and water management plan*

| Strategy | Action | Lead agency | Timing |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Protection of environmental assets** | | | |
| Minimise changes to hydrology to prevent impacts on watercourses and wetlands | Establish a process for ongoing evaluation of the impacts of development on significant environmental assets and review of the strategy | DEC | As part of the planning process |
| | Identify land required for protection of environmental assets and to allow for the management of their hydrologic regimes | DEC | As part of the planning process |
| | Incorporate environmental assets as a key part of community planning | DPI and SJ Shire | Through assessment of planning proposals |
| Manage and restore watercourses and wetlands | Determine post development hydrology for the Cardup and Beenyup Brooks | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| | Develop management plans for the Cardup and Beenyup Brooks consistent with the post development hydrology | SJ Shire | Commencing immediately & ongoing |
| Assess and manage impacts on native flora and fauna | Provide appropriate buffers and ecological corridors/linkages in local structure plans | WAPC and SJ Shire | Through assessment of planning proposals |
| | Establish responsibilities for ongoing management of natural areas | DEC and SJ Shire | As part of the planning process |
| | Undertake more detailed fauna assessments at the local structure plan stage, including details of management measures to deal with issues such as habitat protection, fauna relocation and non-native animal control | WAPC and SJ Shire | Through assessment of planning proposals |
| Assess and manage impacts on sites of indigenous significance | Undertake more detailed assessments at the local structure plan stage, including details of management measures as required | Developers in consultation with DIA and SJ Shire | Through local structure planning |
| **Surface water management** | | | |
| Minimise changes in hydrology to prevent impacts on receiving environments | Ensure development complies with the stormwater design objectives for flooding and ecological protection | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| Manage surface water flows from major events to protect infrastructure and assets | Ensure development in the DSP area complies with the stormwater design criteria for flood management in this *Drainage and water management plan* | SJ Shire | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| | Secure land that might be required for arterial drainage in the Byford townsite catchment | WAPC and SJ Shire | Through local structure planning |

| Strategy | Action | Lead agency | Timing |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Design and construct regional flood management infrastructure | SJ Shire with funding from developer contribution scheme | Through local structure planning |
| Apply the principles of water sensitive urban design | Seek opportunities to include environmental and social objectives in planning of stormwater management, such as incorporation of multiple use corridors to provide habitat values and opportunities for recreation | SJ Shire | Through assessment of local structure plans |
| | Retain existing natural waterways and drainage lines in the design of stormwater management systems for urban development – requires modification of existing BSP | SJ Shire | Through modification of BSP and assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| Adopt nutrient load reduction design objectives for stormwater runoff | Ensure development in the DSP area complies with the design objectives for stormwater quality | SJ Shire | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| **Groundwater management** | | | |
| Manage groundwater levels to protect infrastructure and assets | Monitor superficial aquifer groundwater levels pre- and post-development at the local scale | Developers' data to be passed by SJ Shire to DoW for collation | 3 years each pre- and post-development |
| | Monitor confined aquifer groundwater levels and regional superficial aquifer groundwater levels | DoW | Commencing immediately and ongoing |
| | Investigate potential changes to local water balance and implications for groundwater rise | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| | Manage groundwater levels within ranges reported in this *Drainage and water management plan* via a combination of subsoil drainage at local CGLs, imported fill and groundwater abstraction as appropriate for management of groundwater rise, and via recharge mechanisms for falling groundwater levels | Developers for 3 years post-development, after that time responsibility of SJ Shire | Commencing immediately and ongoing |
| Maintain groundwater regimes for groundwater dependent ecosystems | Review developers' investigations of local groundwater regime to establish local groundwater management criteria near GDEs | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| Protect the value of groundwater resources | Prepare a groundwater allocation plan for the DSP area | DoW | Commencing immediately and ongoing |
| Adopt nutrient load reduction design objectives for discharges to groundwater | Ensure development in the DSP area complies with the design objectives for groundwater quality | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| **Monitoring and implementation** | | | |
| Adopt an adaptive management approach | Monitor water quality and flows pre- and post-development, within developments and at strategic locations in waterways<br>This includes both regular (monthly) sampling for flow and water quality and targeted peak flow during storm events<br>Locations to include key outlets to waterways | At the local scale: developers then SJ Shire, data to be passed to DoW for collation<br>At the regional scale (sub-catchment outlets): DoW | 3 years pre- and post-development, then ongoing |

| Strategy | Action | Lead agency | Timing |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Collate and analyse monitoring data to establish baseline water quality data throughout DSP area | Developer to pass data to DoW, DoW to collate and organise data, CSIRO's real-time data collection system to support the data analysis | Commencing immediately and ongoing |
| | Assess behavioural patterns with respect to non-structural measures and the effectiveness of non-structural measures, using a method such as community-based social marketing | Developer to implement with guidance from local government, local government to take over responsibility 3 years post-development | Ongoing |
| | Determine efficacy of structural BMPs, provide feedback to developers and allow for alteration of practices if necessary | DoW and local government with advice from the BMP technical reference group | Ongoing |
| | Engage the research community in the process of evaluation and feedback | DoW with advice from the BMP technical reference group | Ongoing |
| **Water Conservation** | | | |
| Adopt drinking water consumption target | Ensure that residential development complies with the water conservation design objectives | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| | Ensure scheme water substitution does not lead to an overall increase in water consumption | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| Ensure that non-potable water supply systems deliver a net benefit to the community | The impact of a non-potable water supply system on the local water balance must be assessed as part of the local water management strategy | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| | The design of a non-potable water supply system must be subject to a sustainability assessment as part of the local water management strategy to determine the net benefit or cost of the scheme | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| Ensure that non-potable water supply systems are designed as part of an integrated water supply | Non-potable water supply systems must be designed in conjunction with potable water supply systems, to ensure that fire-fighting requirements can be met from one or both of the systems and that both systems are designed for efficiency (e.g. minimising pipe sizes and pumping requirements where possible) | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |
| | Reach agreement between the developer, SJ Shire and licensed service provider (e.g. Water Corporation) on the design, operation and management of a non-potable water supply system, including arrangements for use in public open space and appropriate level of water quality, to ensure that all water demands are met appropriately | DoW | Through assessment of LWMS/UWMP |

# 10 Figures

*Figure A-1 Location plan*

*Figure A-2 Topography and geology*

*Figure A-3 Acid sulphate soil risk*

*Figure A-4 Environmental assets and water-dependent ecosystems*

*Figure A-5 Controlled groundwater level*

*Figure A-6 Existing infrastructure*

*Figure A-7 Byford structure plan*

*Figure A-8 Stormwater strategy*

*Figure A-9 Typical cross-sections*

*Figure A-10 Longitudinal sections*

404000 406000 408000

6436000 6434000 6432000

BYFORD
6122

Shire of Serpentine - Jarrahdale

City Shire of

## LEGEND

Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070601)

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS.
BACKGROUND TAKEN FROM STREETSMART STREETEXPRESS 2007 EDITION.
CURRENT TO 2006 ONLY.

**SCALE**

200 0 200 400 600 m

**1:20,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




*South West WA*

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 01.06.07 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G1.mxd |


| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 0 | 6119569-G1 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS/PEOPLE/PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE - DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-1**
**Location Map**




## LEGEND

Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070601)

5m Contours (GHD, 20080718)

**Armadale & Serpentine 1:50,000 Geology (Geological Survey of WA, 19860101)**

- Qpw — Sand: white to pale brown, fine-grained to medium-coarse grained rounded quartz
- Qa — Alluvium and minor colluvium
- Qpo — Colluvium, soil and undifferentiated sand
- Qpb — Bassendean Sand: quartz sand (fixed dunes)
- Qpb/Qa — Bassendean sand over alluvium and minor colluvium
- Qpa — Guildford Formation: alluvium (clay, loam, sand, gravel) variably lateritized and podsolized
- Qpr — Yoganup Formation: leached and ferruginised beach sand, conglomerate and dunes
- Qc — Gravelly Sandy Clay
- Qha — Sandy Silty Clay - pale brown
- Czl — Laterite- chiefly massive, but includes overlying pisolithic gravel and laterized sand
- Pa — Armadale Shale - brown green to black, silty thinly bedded with interbeds of siltstone & fine-grained sandstone
- Age, Agr, Agp — Granite: fine to course-grained mesocratic rocks, of granite granodiorite and adamellite composition, even-grained or porphyritic
- Am — Migmatite - banded and nebulitic
- An — Gneiss - fine to medium-grained mesocratic gneiss, planar gneissic fabric, occasional fine mesocratic rocks representing sheared dolerites
- Ironstone — Red-brown, grading from coffee rock through vuggy and pisolitic to massive, of alluvial origin
- SS2 — Sandstone - basal conglomerate and silty
- Made ground

For more information, see Perth Metropolitan Region 1:50,000 Environmental and Urban Geology Series map sheets for Armadale and Serpentine

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS.

**SCALE**

275 0 275 550 825 m

**1:30,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | ML | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 18.07.08 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G2_Rev2.mxd |

| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 2 | 6119569-G2 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS|PEOPLE|PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE - DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-2**
**Topography & Geology**

402000 404000 406000 408000 410000

6438000 6436000 6434000 6432000

TONKIN HWY
THOMAS RD
HOPKINSON RD
ABERNETHY RD
BEENYUP RD
NETTLETON RD
ORTON RD
CARDUP SIDING RD
SOLDIERS RD
SOUTH WESTERN HWY
GOSSAGE RD

## LEGEND

Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070601)

Cadastre (DLI, 20061201)

**Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Map, Swan Coastal Plain (DEC, 20060807)**

- High to moderate ASS disturbance risk (<3m from surface)
- Moderate to low ASS disturbance risk (<3m from surface)
- No known ASS disturbance risk (<3m from surface)

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY SOURCED FROM
LANDGATE - 2005 METRO SOUTH MOSAIC

**SCALE**

325 0 325 650 975 m

**1:30,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 31.07.07 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G4.mxd |

| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 0 | 6119569-G4 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS|PEOPLE|PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE - DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-3**
**Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Map**

402000 404000 406000 408000 410000

6438000 6436000 6434000 6432000

TONKIN HWY
THOMAS RD
MALARKEY RD
BRIGGS RD
LARSEN RD
ALEXANDER RD
GEORGE ST
HOPKINSON RD
ABERNETHY RD
BEENYUP RD
DOLEY RD
WARRINGTON RD
NETTLETON RD
SOUTH WESTERN HWY
ORTON RD
CARDUP SIDING RD

Brickwood Reserve

65
266
449
321
350
351
352
271

## LEGEND

- Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070601)
- Road Centreline (DLI, 2007)
- Bush Forever Sites (DPI, 2000)
- Threatened Ecological Communities Buffer (CALM, 200702)
- Aboriginal Heritage Sites (DIA, 200712)

**Geomorphic Wetlands (DEC, 200706)**

- Conservation
- Resource Enhancement
- Multiple Use
- Not Assessed
- Not Applicable

**Declared Rare & Priority Species (DEC, 200706)**

- (R) Declared Rare Flora - Extant Taxa
- Priority 1 - Poorly Known Taxa
- Priority 2 - Poorly Known Taxa
- Priority 3 - Poorly Known Taxa
- Priority 4 - Rare Taxa

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY SOURCED FROM
LANDGATE - 2005 METRO SOUTH MOSAIC

**SCALE**

300 0 300 600 900 m

**1:30,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 30.01.08 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G5_Rev2.mxd |


| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 0 | 6119569-G5 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS/PEOPLE/PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE -**
**DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-4**
**Environmental and Social Considerations**




## LEGEND

- Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070601)
- AWRC No.61410153
- Modelled Maximum Groundwater Level (Current Climate) 1 m Contour*

* Interpolated from data published in CyMod Report "Local Scale Groundwater Modelling for Assess Effects of Climatic Variations and Planned Development", May 2007

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS.
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY SOURCED FROM LANDGATE - 2005 METRO SOUTH MOSAIC.

**SCALE**

300 0 300 600 900 m

**1:30,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




*South West WA*

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 21.08.07 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G7_Rev1.mxd |

| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 0 | 6119569-G7 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS/PEOPLE/PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE -**
**DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-5**
**Controlled Groundwater Level**

404000 406000 408000

6436000

6434000

6432000

TONKIN HWY
THOMAS RD
MALARKEY RD
BRIGGS RD
LARSEN RD
ALEXANDER RD
GEORGE ST
HOPKINSON RD
ABERNETHY RD
BEENYUP RD
DOLEY RD
WARRINGTON RD
TURNER RD
SOUTH WESTERN HWY
NETTLETON RD
ORTON RD
CARDUP SIDING RD
BARGE DR

## LEGEND

- Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070601)

**Water Corporation Infrastructure**

- Water Pumping Installation Location
- Water Main
- Drain
- Sewer (Rising Main)
- Sewer (Gravity Pipe)

**Local Authority Infrastructure**

- Drain
- Natural Stream

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY SOURCED FROM
LANDGATE - 2005 METRO SOUTH MOSAIC

**SCALE**

200 0 200 400 600 m

**1:20,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 18.07.08 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G6_Rev2.mxd |

| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 2 | 6119569-G6 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia


GHD CLIENTS/PEOPLE/PERFORMANCE

# BYFORD TOWNSITE - DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Figure A-6**
**Existing Infrastructure**

## LEGEND

- STRUCTURE PLAN AREA
- RURAL RESIDENTIAL
- RESIDENTIAL (R2.5 - 5)
- RESIDENTIAL (R20)
- RESIDENTIAL (R30-60)
- TOWN CENTRE
- NEIGHBOURHOOD CENTRE
- HIGHWAY COMMERCIAL
- NEIGHBOURHOOD NODE
- SCHOOLS
  EPS - Existing Primary School
  PS - Primary School
  HS - High School
- MIXED BUSINESS
- MULTIPLE USE CORRIDOR (MUC)
- WATERWAY
- DRAINAGE BASIN INDICATIVE LOCATION
- FUTURE ROADS
- EXISTING MRS REGIONAL PARKS AND RECREATION RESERVE
- EXISTING LOCAL PUBLIC OPEN SPACE
- PROPOSED LOCAL PARK (Approx.3000m²)
- PROPOSED LOCAL PARK WITHIN MUC
- PROPOSED NEIGHBOURHOOD PARK (Approx. 4000m²)
- PROPOSED NEIGHBOURHOOD PARK WITHIN MUC
- DISTRICT RECREATION (Approx. 4ha)
- CONSERVATION
- FORESHORE RESERVE
- PUBLIC PURPOSES
- BUFFER BETWEEN TROTTING COMPLEX EQUESTRIAN RELATED ACTIVITIES AND RESIDENTIAL AREA INCLUDED WITHIN EXISTING LOTS
- AREA OF LANDSCAPE SENSITIVITY
- DRAINAGE DIRECTION FLOW
- UNCONSTRUCTED ROAD / BRIDLE PATH
- TURNER ROAD - POSSIBLE CLOSURE SUBJECT TO FURTHER INVESTIGATION BY COUNCIL
- RAILWAY CROSSINGS
- POSSIBLE FUTURE GRADE SEPERATED CROSSING
- POSSIBLE FUTURE RAILWAY STATION
- LAND SUBJECT TO FURTHER STUDY, REGARD TO BE GIVEN TO THE PREFERRED ALIGNMENT OF THE TONKIN HIGHWAY PRIMARY REGIONAL ROAD RESERVATION




**SUBJECT TO REVISIONS**

# BYFORD STRUCTURE PLAN (Taylor, Burrell, Barnett, 2005)

**LEGEND**

**Byford Structure Plan**
**(Taylor Burrell Barnett, 2005)**

**NOT TO SCALE**

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | | |


| | |
|---|---|
| HORIZONTAL DATUM: | PROJECTION: |
| HEIGHT DATUM: | METADATA RECORDED: |
| DATE: 13.02.08 | FILE LOCATION: G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G9_Rev2.mxd |
| REVISION: 0 | DRAWING NO: 6119569-G9 |

Department of Water, Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS/PEOPLE/PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE -**
**DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-7**
**Byford Structure Plan**




## LEGEND

- Byford Drainage and Water Management Plan Area (GHD, 20070625)
- Modelled Subcatchment (GHD, 20070823)
- Cadastre (DLI, 20061201)
- 100 Year ARI Floodways (GHD, 20080215)
- 5m Contours (GHD, 20080718)
- Critical Flow and Level Locations (GHD, 20080718)

**Drains (GHD, 20080131)**

- Main Waterway (Restored)
- Modelled Overland Flowpath
- Modelled Swale Drain
- Modelled Piped Drain

MAP UNITS PROJECTED IN MGA ZONE 50
NOTE THAT POSITIONAL ERRORS CAN BE > 5M IN SOME AREAS.

**SCALE**

200 0 200 400 600 m

**1:20,000 at A3**

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION GHD DOES NOT HOLD ANY RESPONSIBILITY ON THE MISUSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| NT | ML | |

**HORIZONTAL DATUM:** GDA94 **PROJECTION:** MGA ZONE 50

**HEIGHT DATUM:** **METADATA RECORDED:**

| DATE | FILE LOCATION |
|---|---|
| 18.07.08 | G:\61\19569\GIS\mxds\619569-G10.mxd |


| REVISION | DRAWING NO |
|---|---|
| 0 | 6119569-G10 |

Department of Water Government of Western Australia

GHD CLIENTS|PEOPLE|PERFORMANCE


# BYFORD TOWNSITE - DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Figure A-8**
**Stormwater Strategy**





## Suggested Public Open Space Layout

Not to scale, all dimensions vary




## Main Waterway with Fill

Not to scale, all dimensions vary




## Major Swale in Road Reserve with Fill

Not to scale, all dimensions vary

**LEGEND**

**SCALE**

Not to scale

**LOCALITY MAP**




***South West WA***

**COPYRIGHT**

THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR THE COMMISSION

| CREATED BY | CHECKED | APPROVED |
|---|---|---|
| HB | DH | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **HORIZONTAL DATUM:** | GDA94 | **PROJECTION:** | MGA ZONE 50 |
| **HEIGHT DATUM:** | m AHD | **METADATA RECORDED:** | 100% |


| | |
|---|---|
| DATE 14.12.2007 | FILE LOCATION N:\61\19569\GIS\WORS\6119569-G9.wor |
| REVISION 2 | DRAWING NO 6119569-G9 |


Department of Water, Government of Western Australia — GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE

**BYFORD TOWNSITE - DRAINAGE & WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**Figure A-9**
**Proposed Drain Cross sections**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.

N









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.


N





| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.





| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.

N









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.

N









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.

N









| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.

N





| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.












| | | |

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.




| | | |

# Appendices

## Appendix A – Stormwater modelling in InfoWorks CS

A.1 Hydraulic modelling in InfoWorks CS
A.2 Initial modelling assumptions
A.3 Surface runoff parameters
A.4 Hydrologic model validation
A.5 Hydraulic model validation
A.6 Modified parameterisation
A.7 Historic rainfall event – February 1992

## A.1 Hydraulic modelling in InfoWorks CS

InfoWorks CS is a hydraulic modelling package used to simulate stormwater drainage systems. The software package is capable of hydrological modelling of the complete urban water cycle, including stormwater drainage master planning or studies, assessments of flooding in urban drainage systems and hydraulic response of the stormwater network infrastructure to the changes in the land use. The hydraulic software component can resolve open channel and closed conduit flows and model the effect of backwater and reverse flow. The model is used predominantly for calculations of event-based simulations; therefore the initial conditions are usually set to the worst-case scenario.

Time-varying surface runoff generated by the runoff routing model discharges into the hydraulic network. The hydraulic network consists of interconnected nodes (manholes, outfalls and storage basins) and links (weirs, pipes, culverts and open channels).

Mannings roughness coefficients applied to the conduits are summarised in Table A.1.

*Table A.1 Culverts roughness coefficients (Mannings n)*

| Drain Type | Manning's coefficient of roughness |
|---|---|
| Maintained open drain | 0.030 |
| Unmaintained open drain | 0.050 |
| Circular culvert | 0.012 |
| Rectangular culvert | 0.013 |
| Over road flood route | 0.015 |
| Over land flood route | 0.035 |

Local detention basins were sized according to the principles outlined in Chapter 6. The numerical model is run for pre-development land use to determine maximum discharge from each subcatchment for critical one, five and 100-year annual recurrence interval rainfall events. The peak discharges of the subcatchments are to be maintained in the post-development scenario.

The detention storage size is tested by running critical one, five and 100-year annual recurrence interval rainfall events for the post-development scenario. The peak discharge from the detention basin should not exceed the pre-development level and the storage volume should be fully utilised. If the storage volume is inadequate, the basin is resized to achieve required volume utilisation, discharge out of the basin and the shape of the hydrograph.

Groundwater levels in drains and basins were modelled by the application of inflows directly into the drain. Groundwater levels and 100-year annual recurrence interval event flood levels were used to determine indicative fill requirements and the invert of the detention basin.

## A.2 Initial modelling assumptions

The following assumptions used for modelling of the Byford townsite:

- Peak winter groundwater levels (controlled groundwater levels) applied as starting water levels in basins and as baseflows in drains.
- Annual recurrence interval year rainfall events applied to whole catchment with universal start time.
- 100-year flood levels taken from *the Byford floodplain management strategy* SKM, 2007) applied as constant tailwater at the Hopkinson Road end of each modelled waterway.
- Infiltration modelled at a constant rate of 4 mm/hour.
- Catchment parameterisation (pervious/impervious breakdown, catchment slope, roughness and losses) adopted from the *Byford floodplain management strategy* (SKM, 2007).

## A.3 Surface runoff parameters

Recorded stream flow information was not available for the Byford catchments to enable calibration of the loss parameters adopted within runoff routing models of the catchments. When deciding on the appropriate loss parameters applicable to the Byford catchments, the Department of Water considered:

- adopted parameters for calibrated runoff routing models of nearby similar catchments
- incorporation of antecedent flow from the catchment (baseflow)
- adopted parameters during modelling for other major infrastructure projects on the Swan Coastal Plain
- catchment soil characteristics
- vegetation coverage
- future expansion of Tonkin Highway
- uncertainty in design rainfall depths and temporal patterns
- uncertainty due to the impact of climate change
- uncertainty in upper catchment land use/vegetation coverage into the future

The F*loodplain management strategy* adopted runoff coefficients of 10 mm initial loss and 50 per cent continuing proportional loss for the upper catchments and 10 mm initial loss and 4 mm/hr continuing loss on the flatter heavy soils downstream of South Western Highway.

The InfoWorks CS model of Byford townsite uses a constant infiltration model to generate rainfall runoff and the SWMM single non-linear reservoir routing model to provide inflows to the hydraulic component of the model. Each subcatchment in the study area is subdivided into pervious and impervious areas that have surface

roughness, initial losses and infiltration losses applied according to land use (Table A-2).

The land use of the existing catchments was adopted from the *SKM flood management strategy. The distinct structure plan* (Table A-3) provided the land use breakdown for the ultimate development. The percentage of surface types for individual catchments was calculated from the existing land use and district structure plan; the results are summarised in Table A-4 (pre-development scenario) and Table A-5 (post-development scenario).

Design rainfall events for the 1h, 3h, 6h, 12h, 24h, 48h and 72h durations were run for 1y, 5y and 100y ARI.

*Table A.2 InfoWorks model runoff area properties*

| Land use | surface roughness (Mannings N) | | initial loss (mm) | | infiltration loss (mm/hour) | | fixed runoff coefficient | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Perv | Imperv | Perv | Imperv | Perv | Imperv | Perv | Imperv |
| Upper forested | 0.080 | 0.015 | 10 | 1.5 | N/A | N/A | 0.2 - 10y<br>0.5 - 100y (calib.)<br>0.4 - 100y (design) | 1.0 |
| Rural pasture | 0.050 | 0.015 | 10 | 1.5 | 4 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Existing urban | 0.025 | 0.015 | 10 | 1.5 | 4 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Constructed urban | 0.025 | 0.015 | 10 | 15 | 4 | 0 | N/A | N/A |

*Table A.3 InfoWorks model land use surface breakdown*

| Land use category | Pervious area 1 (%) | Effective impervious area 2 (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Roads | 30% | 70% |
| Mixed business | 25% | 75% |
| Neighbourhood centres | 45% | 55% |
| Town centres | 40% | 60% |
| Residential (R20-R60) | 50% | 50% |
| Rural residential (R2) | 100% | 0% |
| Schools | 50% | 50% |

*Notes:*

- Effective impervious areas presented in this table are for modelling at the catchment scale and are not to be used for individual lot runoff calculations.

*Table A.4 InfoWorks model catchment properties for pre-development scenario*

| Subcatchment ID | Total area (ha) | Vector slope (%) | Catchment width (m) | % Impervious |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10C | 24.672 | 1.4 | 280.2 | 0 |
| 2A | 95.713 | 1.5 | 552.0 | 3.049 |
| 2A1 | 20.593 | 2.0 | 256.0 | 29.798 |
| 2B | 79.625 | 4.1 | 503.4 | 1.517 |
| 2C | 44.476 | 11.8 | 376.3 | 1.538 |

| Subcatchment ID | Total area (ha) | Vector slope (%) | Catchment width (m) | % Impervious |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3B1 | 29.222 | 1.8 | 305.0 | 0 |
| 3B2 | 54.433 | 1.8 | 416.3 | 0 |
| 3B3 | 24.668 | 1.8 | 280.2 | 0 |
| 3C | 68.105 | 1.4 | 465.6 | 0 |
| 3CX | 56.251 | 2.0 | 423.1 | 47.952 |
| 3D1 | 65.070 | 3.4 | 455.1 | 0 |
| 3D2 | 49.011 | 2.1 | 395.0 | 0 |
| 3D3 | 12.820 | 2.1 | 202.0 | 0 |
| 3D4 | 11.409 | 2.5 | 190.6 | 0 |
| 3E | 136.379 | 10.8 | 658.9 | 42.016 |
| 3F | 45.228 | 26.3 | 379.4 | 0 |
| 3F1 | 80.810 | 5.6 | 507.2 | 53.968 |
| 3F2 | 27.055 | 3.8 | 293.5 | 60.000 |
| 3F3 | 31.540 | 13.0 | 316.8 | 47.969 |
| 3G1 | 30.298 | 24.6 | 310.6 | 0 |
| 3G2 | 33.347 | 24.3 | 325.8 | 0 |
| 3H | 109.757 | 16.4 | 591.1 | 0 |
| 4A2 | 34.352 | 1.8 | 330.7 | 54.023 |
| 4B | 16.631 | 2.0 | 230.1 | 5.988 |
| 5B | 40.298 | 1.6 | 358.2 | 1.467 |
| 5C | 22.714 | 1.7 | 268.9 | 26.840 |
| 5D | 47.859 | 2.0 | 390.3 | 24.008 |
| 5E | 21.189 | 2.1 | 259.7 | 0 |
| 5F | 6.314 | 3.8 | 141.8 | 0 |
| 5G | 108.901 | 8.1 | 588.8 | 24.022 |
| 5H1 | 182.568 | 17.1 | 762.3 | 0 |
| 5H2 | 108.331 | 13.2 | 587.2 | 0 |
| 5I1 | 74.415 | 17.1 | 486.7 | 0 |
| 5I2 | 13.563 | 19.8 | 207.8 | 0 |
| 5J | 268.448 | 8.8 | 924.4 | 0 |
| 5K | 163.319 | 11.0 | 721.0 | 0 |
| 5L | 246.591 | 5.4 | 886.0 | 0 |
| 5M | 188.239 | 5.8 | 774.1 | 0 |
| 6B | 26.896 | 1.8 | 292.6 | 0 |
| 6C | 19.783 | 1.9 | 250.9 | 0 |
| 6D1 | 77.237 | 2.1 | 495.8 | 0 |
| 6D2 | 16.049 | 1.5 | 226.0 | 0 |
| 6E | 20.920 | 1.8 | 258.1 | 0 |
| 6F | 17.800 | 3.6 | 238.0 | 0 |
| 6G | 74.373 | 4.3 | 486.6 | 0 |
| 7A | 57.144 | 1.2 | 426.5 | 0 |
| 7B | 46.436 | 1.4 | 384.5 | 0 |
| 7C | 29.203 | 1.8 | 304.9 | 0 |
| 7C1 | 40.756 | 1.3 | 360.2 | 0 |
| 7D | 34.041 | 1.9 | 329.2 | 0 |
| 8A | 18.977 | 1.3 | 245.8 | 0 |
| 8B | 43.128 | 1.5 | 370.5 | 0 |
| 8C | 55.552 | 1.5 | 420.5 | 0 |

| Subcatchment ID | Total area (ha) | Vector slope (%) | Catchment width (m) | % Impervious |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8D | 47.806 | 1.9 | 390.1 | 0 |
| 8E | 65.206 | 6.6 | 455.6 | 0 |
| 9B | 37.144 | 2.0 | 343.9 | 0 |
| 9C | 85.439 | 3.9 | 521.5 | 6.007 |
| 9D | 22.645 | 4.0 | 268.5 | 0 |
| 9E | 113.147 | 9.5 | 600.1 | 0 |
| 9F1 | 22.219 | 27.0 | 265.9 | 0 |
| 9F2 | 101.466 | 21.1 | 568.3 | 0 |
| 9G | 355.666 | 15.7 | 1064.0 | 0 |
| 9H | 463.327 | 10.4 | 1214.4 | 0 |
| 9I | 232.132 | 5.7 | 859.6 | 0 |
| B16 | 224.573 | 2.0 | 845.5 | 0 |

*Table A.5 InfoWorks model catchment properties for post-development scenario*

| Subcatchment ID | Total area (ha) | Vector slope (%) | Catchment width (m) | % Impervious |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10C | 24.672 | 1.4 | 280.2 | 38.486 |
| 2A | 95.713 | 1.5 | 552.0 | 3.049 |
| 2A1 | 20.593 | 2.0 | 256.0 | 29.798 |
| 2B | 79.625 | 4.1 | 503.4 | 1.517 |
| 2C | 44.476 | 11.8 | 376.3 | 1.538 |
| 3B1 | 29.222 | 1.8 | 305.0 | 28.681 |
| 3B2a | 10.392 | 1.8 | 180.8 | 31.570 |
| 3B2b | 15.680 | 1.8 | 201.5 | 28.800 |
| 3B2c | 30.907 | 1.8 | 290.2 | 21.370 |
| 3B3 | 24.668 | 1.8 | 280.2 | 47.952 |
| 3C | 68.105 | 1.4 | 465.6 | 38.265 |
| 3CX | 56.251 | 2.0 | 423.1 | 26.702 |
| 3D1 | 65.070 | 3.4 | 455.1 | 33.162 |
| 3D2 | 49.011 | 2.1 | 395.0 | 27.361 |
| 3D3 | 12.820 | 2.1 | 202.0 | 42.016 |
| 3D4 | 11.409 | 2.5 | 190.6 | 53.968 |
| 3E | 136.379 | 10.8 | 658.9 | 60.000 |
| 3F | 45.228 | 26.3 | 379.4 | 0 |
| 3F1 | 80.810 | 5.6 | 507.2 | 47.969 |
| 3F2 | 27.055 | 3.8 | 293.5 | 0 |
| 3F3 | 31.540 | 13.0 | 316.8 | 0 |
| 3G1 | 30.298 | 24.6 | 310.6 | 26.976 |
| 3G2 | 33.347 | 24.3 | 325.8 | 36.151 |
| 3H | 109.757 | 16.4 | 591.1 | 0 |
| 4A2 | 34.352 | 1.8 | 330.7 | 54.023 |
| 4B | 16.631 | 2.0 | 230.1 | 5.988 |
| 5B | 40.298 | 1.6 | 358.2 | 34.950 |
| 5C | 22.714 | 1.7 | 268.9 | 31.609 |
| 5D | 47.859 | 2.0 | 390.3 | 20.449 |
| 5E | 21.189 | 2.1 | 259.7 | 35.969 |
| 5F | 6.314 | 3.8 | 141.8 | 28.798 |
| 5G | 108.901 | 8.1 | 588.8 | 31.791 |
| 5H1 | 182.568 | 17.1 | 762.3 | 0 |

| Subcatchment ID | Total area (ha) | Vector slope (%) | Catchment width (m) | % Impervious |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5H2 | 108.331 | 13.2 | 587.2 | 0 |
| 5I1 | 74.415 | 17.1 | 486.7 | 0 |
| 5I2 | 13.563 | 19.8 | 207.8 | 0 |
| 5J | 268.448 | 8.8 | 924.4 | 0 |
| 5K | 163.319 | 11.0 | 721.0 | 0 |
| 5L | 246.591 | 5.4 | 886.0 | 0 |
| 5M | 188.239 | 5.8 | 774.1 | 0 |
| 6B | 26.896 | 1.8 | 292.6 | 15.110 |
| 6C | 19.783 | 1.9 | 250.9 | 29.278 |
| 6D1 | 77.237 | 2.1 | 495.8 | 39.315 |
| 6D2 | 16.049 | 1.5 | 226.0 | 5.331 |
| 6E | 20.920 | 1.8 | 258.1 | 0 |
| 6F | 17.800 | 3.6 | 238.0 | 33.378 |
| 6G | 74.373 | 4.3 | 486.6 | 40.158 |
| 7A | 57.144 | 1.2 | 426.5 | 39.404 |
| 7B | 46.436 | 1.4 | 384.5 | 40.196 |
| 7C | 29.203 | 1.8 | 304.9 | 24.176 |
| 7C1 | 40.756 | 1.3 | 360.2 | 23.179 |
| 7D | 34.041 | 1.9 | 329.2 | 39.852 |
| 8A | 18.977 | 1.3 | 245.8 | 37.906 |
| 8B | 43.128 | 1.5 | 370.5 | 42.541 |
| 8C | 55.552 | 1.5 | 420.5 | 1.765 |
| 8D | 47.806 | 1.9 | 390.1 | 4.672 |
| 8E | 65.206 | 6.6 | 455.6 | 11.069 |
| 9B | 37.144 | 2.0 | 343.9 | 4.190 |
| 9C | 85.439 | 3.9 | 521.5 | 15.879 |
| 9D | 22.645 | 4.0 | 268.5 | 4.190 |
| 9E | 113.147 | 9.5 | 600.1 | 0 |
| 9F1 | 22.219 | 27.0 | 265.9 | 0 |
| 9F2 | 101.466 | 21.1 | 568.3 | 0 |
| 9G | 355.666 | 15.7 | 1064.0 | 0 |
| 9H | 463.327 | 10.4 | 1214.4 | 0 |
| 9I | 232.132 | 5.7 | 859.6 | 0 |
| B16 | 224.573 | 2.0 | 845.5 | 0 |

## A.4 Hydrologic model validation

Peak and total pre-development catchment runoff generated by the InfoWorks model was compared to peak and total catchment output from the RAFTS model used in the SKM floodplain management study.

In general, the fit is good, though at high flows the peak flows generated by InfoWorks are somewhat smaller than those generated by RAFTS. Figure 1 shows the peak outputs of each model plotted against each other (the 1:1 line is provided for comparison, Figure 2) for the critical 6 hour 100-year event.

A comparison of total generated runoff volume has also been carried out for the six-hour 100-year annual recurrence interval event and shows a good calibration

between the RAFTS and InfoWorks models. The results are presented in Figure 3 below.

*Figure 1 Hydrologic model validation – peak flow: 6h 100y*





*Figure 2 Hydrologic model validation – peak flow: 6h 100y*






*Figure 3 Hydrologic model validation – total volume: 6h 100y*



## A.5 Hydraulic model validation

Peak pre-development flows generated by the InfoWorks model at various critical locations within the major waterways were compared to peak flows reported in the *Floodplain management study* (SKM, 2007) and are presented in Table A-6 below.

In general the InfoWorks model flows compare well to those generated by the flood model (SKM, 2007).

*Table A-6 Pre-development peak flow comparison between SKM flood study and Drainage and water management plan InfoWorks CS Model*

| Location | 10 Year ARI Peak Flows ($m^3/s$) | | 100 Year ARI Peak Flows ($m^3/s$) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Flood Study | DWMP model | Flood Study | DWMP model |
| Oaklands drain at Hopkinson Road | 30.0 | 32.9 | 53.0 | 50.4 |
| WC drain downstream of catchment 5B | 5.2 | 6.1 | 9.4 | 9.9 |
| WC drain downstream of catchment 6B | 3.3 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| WC drain downstream of catchment 7A | 5.0 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 9.7 |
| Cardup Brook at Hopkinson Road | 19.0 | 18.8 | 56.0 | 55.3 |

Table A-7 presents the significant hydraulic structures that have been included within the InfoWorks model of the Byford townsite catchment.

*Table A-7 Modelled hydraulic structures*

| Location | | Shape | Diameter/ width (mm) | Height (mm) | Invert level (m AHD) | Number of barrels |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | Y | | | | | |
| 403208.5 | 6435653 | Rect | 3600 | 1900 | 24.3 | 1 |
| 403229.3 | 6434846 | Rect | 3700 | 1560 | 24.5 | 1 |
| 403239.8 | 6434410 | Circ | 455 | | 26.5 | 2 |
| 403253.7 | 6433783 | Rect | 1200 | 500 | 26.0 | 1 |
| 403262.8 | 6433262 | Circ | 720 | | 26.5 | 2 |
| 403273.1 | 6432784 | Rect | 1800 | 1500 | 26.0 | 1 |
| 404128.2 | 6434914 | Circ | 900 | | 30.2 | 3 |
| 404524.3 | 6434359 | Circ | 750 | | 34.0 | 2 |
| 404696.5 | 6434870 | Circ | 900 | | 34.7 | 3 |
| 404696.9 | 6436247 | Rect | 3200 | 1200 | 30.1 | 1 |
| 405008.4 | 6434863 | Rect | 1210 | 920 | 38.2 | 2 |
| 405010 | 6436013 | Rect | 1880 | 1220 | 31.8 | 1 |
| 405015.2 | 6433493 | Circ | 450 | | 38.6 | 2 |
| 405415.5 | 6433829 | Rect | 1200 | 450 | 44.2 | 1 |
| 405416.3 | 6434165 | Circ | 450 | | 44.1 | 2 |
| 405419.4 | 6433387 | Circ | 450 | | 42.6 | 2 |
| 405555.7 | 6434803 | Rect | 1500 | 600 | 44.4 | 2 |
| 405674.3 | 6435663 | Rect | 1220 | 1220 | 37.7 | 1 |
| 405721.7 | 6435606 | Rect | 1220 | 1200 | 38.6 | 1 |
| 405888.7 | 6433545 | Rect | 1500 | 600 | 51.0 | 1 |
| 405948.4 | 6432459 | Circ | 600 | | 52.0 | 2 |
| 405965.5 | 6432457 | Circ | 1700 | | 50.4 | 1 |
| 406015.3 | 6432454 | Circ | 1700 | | 50.9 | 1 |

| Location X | Location Y | Shape | Diameter/ width (mm) | Height (mm) | Invert level (m AHD) | Number of barrels |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 406075.1 | 6432908 | Circ | 300 | | 56.0 | 3 |
| 406118.2 | 6432906 | Rect | 1220 | 920 | 56.6 | 1 |
| 406240.7 | 6433588 | Rect | 1200 | 450 | 54.4 | 2 |
| 406294.5 | 6433581 | Rect | 1220 | 920 | 55.9 | 1 |
| 406346.6 | 6432438 | Circ | 900 | | 54.9 | 1 |
| 406381.3 | 6433607 | Circ | 380 | | 57.2 | 2 |
| 406470.4 | 6434539 | Rect | 1240 | 1200 | 55.7 | 4 |
| 406493.3 | 6434972 | Rect | 4000 | 1200 | 47.7 | 1 |
| 406560.8 | 6434328 | Rect | 7500 | 1500 | 60.2 | 1 |
| 406577.9 | 6434299 | Rect | 4500 | 1500 | 60.5 | 1 |
| 406604.7 | 6434949 | Circ | 900 | | 54.5 | 3 |
| 406610.4 | 6435019 | Circ | 900 | | 54.4 | 1 |
| 406618.1 | 6435153 | Rect | 1520 | 640 | 54.3 | 2 |
| 406789.4 | 6436146 | Circ | 900 | | 66.0 | 2 |
| 406809.9 | 6434986 | Circ | 900 | | 58.5 | 1 |
| 406926.3 | 6435191 | Circ | 900 | | 62.7 | 1 |
| 406969.5 | 6434893 | Circ | 750 | | 64.1 | 1 |
| 407055.4 | 6435204 | Circ | 900 | | 66.7 | 1 |
| 407064.5 | 6435984 | Circ | 600 | | 78.3 | 2 |
| 407113.2 | 6435934 | Circ | 600 | | 82.0 | 2 |
| 407189.3 | 6435228 | Circ | 900 | | 72.0 | 1 |
| 407334.3 | 6435724 | Circ | 600 | | 92.5 | 2 |
| 407381.5 | 6434623 | Circ | 750 | | 75.0 | 1 |
| 407422.1 | 6434579 | Circ | 750 | | 77.0 | 1 |
| 407462.3 | 6433851 | Circ | 1100 | | 73.5 | 3 |
| 407467.3 | 6435252 | Circ | 300 | | 77.5 | 1 |

## A.6 Modified parameterisation

The original 100 -year annual recurrence interval event upper catchment runoff parameter of 0.5 (or 50% continuing proportional loss) developed for the *Floodplain management strategy* included allowances for the following uncertainties:

- uncertainty in design rainfall depths and temporal patterns
- uncertainty due to the impact of climate change
- uncertainty in upper catchment landuse / vegetation coverage into the future

These allowances provided a conservative analysis of potential flood risk for the catchment. However, it is appropriate to reduce this allowance for design purposes, and so for the design scenarios presented in the main text of this report the Department of Water have adopted runoff coefficients of:

- 10 mm initial loss and 60 per cent continuing proportional loss for the upper catchments
- 10 mm initial loss and 4 mm/hr continuing loss on the flatter heavy soils downstream of the South Western Highway

These adopted parameters are not expected to account for the full extent of the uncertainties in estimating the 100-year annual recurrence interval flow for the catchments but are considered appropriate for providing an adequate level of flood protection to the new residential areas. Table A-8 below presents the results of this modification.

*Table A-8 Pre-development peak flow comparison between parameters*

| Location | 100 Year ARI Peak Flows ($m^3/s$) | |
|---|---|---|
| | Design parameter – 60% continuing loss | Calibration parameter – 50% continuing loss |
| Oaklands drain at Hopkinson Road | 49.5 | 50.4 |
| WC drain downstream of catchment 5B | 9.7 | 9.9 |
| WC drain downstream of catchment 6B | 7.2 | 8.1 |
| WC drain downstream of catchment 7A | 9.0 | 9.7 |
| Cardup Brook at Hopkinson Road | 43.8 | 55.3 |

## A.7 Historic rainfall event – February 1992


Historic rainfall records were available at two locations close to the study area for the significant historic rainfall event that occurred in February 1992. Although no flow or level data exist for any of the waterways within the catchment, it is known that whilst widespread flooding occurred elsewhere on the Swan Coastal Plain, there was no recorded flooding within the study area as a result of this event.

In order to examine the performance of the model in simulating this event, the initial losses of the catchment were increased to 100 mm to allow for the summer conditions within the catchment. The 1992 rainfall event, as recorded at Mt Curtis (see Figure 4) was then run with the InfoWorks model.

The results of this scenario show that while there was some flooding predicted at the inlets to several culverts at road and rail crossings throughout the catchment, the flooding was short-lived (one to two hours) and occurred during and immediately following the most intense part of the event (between midnight and 2 am). The nature of this predicted flooding is such that it would be unlikely to cause any significant disruption to traffic and would not have endangered any dwellings.

It is considered sufficiently unlikely that the type and extent of flooding predicted by the model for this event would be reported, so that the model can be considered to not be significantly over-predicting flooding in the catchment.

*Figure 4 Historic rainfall event – February 1992*



# List of shortened forms

| | |
|---|---|
| **ADS** | Arterial drainage scheme |
| **AHD** | Australian height datum |
| **ARI** | Annual recurrence interval |
| **BFS** | Bush Forever site |
| **CCW** | Conservation category wetland |
| **CGL** | Controlled groundwater level |
| **DEC** | Department of Environment and Conservation |
| **DoW** | Department of Water |
| **DPI** | Department of Planning and Infrastructure |
| **DRF** | Declared rare flora |
| **DSP** | District structure plan |
| **DWMP** | Drainage and water management plan |
| **DWMS** | District water management strategy |
| **EWR** | Environmental water requirement |
| **GDE** | Groundwater-dependent ecosystem |
| **HGL** | Hydraulic grade line |
| **LWMS** | Local water management strategy |
| **MUW** | Multiple use wetland |
| **PWSA** | Public water supply area |
| **REW** | Resource enhancement wetland |
| **SJ Shire** | Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire |
| **TEC** | Threatened ecological community |
| **ToK** | Town of Kwinana |
| **TN** | Total nitrogen |


| | |
|---|---|
| **TP** | Total phosphorous |
| **TWG** | Technical working group |
| **TWL** | Top water level |
| **UWMP** | Urban water management plan |
| **UWPCA** | Underground water protection control area |
| **WAPC** | Western Australian Planning Commission |
| **WDE** | Water-dependent ecosystem |

# References



- ANZECC & ARMCANZ, 2000. *Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality*, Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council & Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Canberra.
- Australian Government [online], 2008, *Water efficiency labelling and standards example label*, available from: http://www.waterrating.gov.au/publications/index.html [9 June 2008].
- CALM, 2003. *Lambertia multiflora var. multiflora*, photograph by M. Kealley.
- CyMod Systems Pty Ltd. 2007, *Local scale groundwater modelling to assess effects of climatic variations and planned development*, Department of Water.
- Department of Water, 2004-07. *Stormwater management manual for Western Australia*, Perth.
- Department of Water, 2007. *Interim position statement: constructed lakes*, Perth.
- Department of Water, 2008 (In Preparation). *Urban water management conditions – guidelines for compliance*, Perth.
- Department of Environment & Swan River Trust, 2005. *Decision process for stormwater management in WA*, Perth.
- Department of Housing and Works, 2007. *5 star plus, energy use in house code, water use in house code*, Perth.
- Environmental Protection Authority, 2004. *Revised draft environmental protection (Swan Coastal Plain wetlands) policy 2004*, Perth.
- Environmental Protection Authority, 2008. *Draft water quality improvement plan for the rivers and estuary of the Peel-Harvey system*, Perth.
- Essential Environmental Services, in press. *Better urban water management,* Department of Planning and Infrastructure, Department of Water, Western Australian Local Government Authority and Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts.
- GHD, 2006. *Urban non-potable water use: guidance for developers and consultants considering non-potable water options*, Water Corporation.
- Government of Western Australia, 1992. *Environmental protection (Peel Inlet-Harvey Estuary) policy 1992*, Government Gazette Perth.
- Government of Western Australia, 2007. *State water plan* [online], available from:<http://portal.water.wa.gov.au/portal/page/portal/PlanningWaterFuture/StateWaterPlan> [27 August 2007].
- IEA, 2001. *Australian rainfall and runoff, a guide to flood estimation*, Institute of Engineers Australia.
- IEA, 2006. *Australian runoff quality guidelines*, Institute of Engineers Australia.

- Parsons Brinkerhoff, 2003. *Byford urban stormwater management strategy*, Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.
- Parsons Brinkerhoff, 2005. *Byford urban stormwater management strategy – developer guidelines*, Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.
- SCARM, 2000. *Floodplain management in Australia – best practice principles and guidelines*, Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Standing Committee on Agriculture and Resource Management Report No 73, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood Victoria.
- Statewest Surveying & Planning, Chris Antill Planning & Urban Design, McDowall Affleck, Thompson Partners and Landform Research, 2005. *Byford townsite detailed area Plan*, Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.
- SKM, 2007. *Byford floodplain management Strategy,* Department of Water.
- Taylor Burrell Barnett, 2005. *Byford structure plan*, Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.
- Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) in the Sydney Region, 2006. *WSUD in the Sydney Region* [online], available from: <http://wsud.org> [31 July 2007].
- Western Australian Planning Commission, 2008 (In Preparation). *Liveable neighbourhoods edition 4*, Perth.
- Western Australian Planning Commission, 2003. *Planning bulletin No. 64: acid sulphate soils*, Perth.
- Western Australian Planning Commission, 2004. *Statement of planning policy No 2.9: water resources policy*, Perth.
- Western Australian Planning Commission, 2002. *Bush Forever information sheet*, Perth.

## *Appendix Four Water Corporation Sewer Long Term Planning, 2015*


BYFORD
SD086
VERTICAL DATUM: AHD
COORDINATE SYSTEM: GDA 1994 MGA ZONE 50
FILE: S:\active\Spatial\Systems\Projects\0871_Byford\mxd\Byford_SD086_Sheets_1_to_10_v3.mxd
AUTHOR: TANM0

## *Appendix Four Water Corporation Sewer Long Term Planning, 2015*


BYFORD
SD086
VERTICAL DATUM: AHD
COORDINATE SYSTEM: GDA 1994 MGA ZONE 50
FILE: S:\active\Spatial\Systems\Projects\0871_Byford\mxd\Byford_SD086_Sheets_1_to_10_v3.mxd
AUTHOR: TANM0

## *Appendix Five Water Corporation Water Planning, November 2015*

## Adrian Stefan

**From:** Simon Ridgewell <Simon.Ridgewell@watercorporation.com.au>
**Sent:** Friday, 6 November 2015 8:43 AM
**To:** Adrian Stefan
**Cc:** Rick Harrison; Kevin Purcher
**Subject:** Orton Road Byford - Water Planning
**Attachments:** 201511060824.pdf

Good Morning Adrian,

We have discussed the planning further amongst the team, and have come up with a compromise plan, based on what Development Services Branch believe is likely to happen in the area. A DN 250 will be sufficient in place of a distribution and DN 200 combination. Please find attached, the updated planning:

Should you have any questions regarding the updated plan, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Best Regards

**Simon Ridgewell**
Water Supply Reticulation Planner
Development Services Branch
Assets Planning
**Water Corporation**
**T:** (08) 9420 2775
629 Newcastle Street, Leederville, WA 6007
PO Box 100, Leederville, WA 6902
www.watercorporation.com.au

This Electronic Mail Message and its attachments are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not disclose or use the information contained in it. If you have received this Electronic Mail Message in error, please advise the sender immediately by replying to this email and delete the message and any associated attachments. While every care is taken, it is recommended that you scan the attachments for viruses. This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com

Perrone Ave

Allanson Dve

Cristonia Tce

Doley Rd

Doley Rd

Orton Rd

Future Distribution


Orton Rd

Existing/Proposed Distribution
Existing/Proposed DN 250
Existing/Proposed DN 200
Existing/Proposed DN 150
Existing/Proposed DN 100

*Note: All mains not indicated are to be DN 100 or smaller*

6/11/15.

## *Appendix Six Water Corporation Maps, 2015*





Scale: 1:6064

Sequence No: **47078759**

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 1 of 5

Water

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.236°


Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 2 of 5

N

Water

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.234°


Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 3 of 5

N

Water

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.232°

Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 4 of 5

Water

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.





Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.229°

Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 5 of 5

Water

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:6064


Sequence No: **47078759**

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 1 of 5

N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.236°


Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 2 of 5

N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.234°


Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 3 of 5

N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.987°, -32.232°

Sequence No: 47078759

Print Date: 04/08/2015 Page: 4 of 5

N

**Sewer**

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on (08) 9420 3496.


SURMAN
AV
STINTON
CAM
ALLANSON
DR
MCEVOY
HERO
RIGBY
LANE
LANE
LANE
1138
1139
1144
1145
1134
1135
1128
1129
9046
1726
1727
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
2230
2231
2232
2233
2234
2235
2236
2237
2238
2239
2240
2241
2242
2243
2244
2245
2246
2247
2248
2249
2250
2251
2252
2253
2254
2255
2256
2257
2258
2259
2260
2261
2262
2263
2264
2265
2266
2267
2268
2269
2270
2271
2272
2273
2274
2275
2276
2277
2278
2279
2280
2281
2282
2283
2284
2285
2286
2287
2288
2289
2290
2291
2292
2293
2294
2295
2296
2297
2298
2299
2300
2301
2302
2303
2304
2305
2306
2307
2308
2309
2310
2311
2312
2313
2314
2315
2316
2317
2318
2319
2320
2321
2322
2323
2324
2325
2326
2327
2328
2329
2330
2331
2332
2333
2334
2335
2336
2337
2338
2339
2340
2341
2342
2343
2344
2345
2346
2347
2348
2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
2356
2357
2358
2359
2360
2361
2362
2363
2364
2365
2366
2367
2368
2369
2370
2371
2372
2373
2374
2375
2376
2377
2378
2379
2380
2381
2382
2383
2384
2385
2386
2387
2388
2389
2390
2391
2392
2393
2394
2395
2396
2397
2398
2399
2400
2401
2402
2403
2404
2405
2406
2407
2408
2409
2410
2411
2412
2413
2414
2415
2416
2417
2418
2419
2420
2421
2422
2423
2424
2425
2426
2427
2428
2429
2430
2431
2432
2433
2434
2435
2436
2437
2438
2439
2440
2441
2442
2443
2444
2445
2446
2447
2448
2449
2450
2451
2452
2453
2454
2455
2456
2457
2458
2459
2460
2461
2462
2463
2464
2465
2466
2467
2468
2469
2470
2471
2472
2473
2474
2475
2476
2477
2478
2479
2480
2481
2482
2483
2484
2485
2486
2487
2488
2489
2490
2491
2492
2493
2494
2495
2496
2497
2498
2499
2500
2501
2502
2503
2504
2505
2506
2507
2508
2509
2510
2511
2512
2513
2514
2515
2516
2517
2518
2519
2520
2521
2522
2523
2524
2525
2526
2527
2528
2529
2530
2531
2532
2533
2534
2535
2536
2537
2538
2539
2540
2541
2542
2543
2544
2545
2546
2547
2548
2549
2550
2551
2552
2553
2554
2555
2556
2557
2558
2559
2560
2561
2562
2563
2564
2565
2566
2567
2568
2569
2570
2571
2572
2573
2574
2575
2576
2577
2578
2579
2580
2581
2582
2583
2584
2585
2586
2587
2588
2589
2590
2591
2592
2593
2594
2595
2596
2597
2598
2599
2600
2601
2602
2603
2604
2605
2606
2607
2608
2609
2610
2611
2612
2613
2614
2615
2616
2617
2618
2619
2620
2621
2622
2623
2624
2625
2626
2627
2628
2629
2630
2631
2632
2633
2634
2635
2636
2637
2638
2639
2640
2641
2642
2643
2644
2645
2646
2647
2648
2649
2650
2651
2652
2653
2654
2655
2656
2657
2658
2659
2660
2661
2662
2663
2664
2665
2666
2667
2668
2669
2670
2671
2672
2673
2674
2675
2676
2677
2678
2679
2680
2681
2682
2683
2684
2685
2686
2687
2688
2689
2690
2691
2692
2693
2694
2695
2696
2697
2698
2699
2700
2701
2702
2703
2704
2705
2706
2707
2708
2709
2710
2711
2712
2713
2714
2715
2716
2717
2718
2719
2720
2721
2722
2723
2724
2725
2726
2727
2728
2729
2730
2731
2732
2733
2734
2735
2736
2737
2738
2739
2740
2741
2742
2743
2744
2745
2746
2747
2748
2749
2750
2751
2752
2753
2754
2755
2756
2757
2758
2759
2760
2761
2762
2763
2764
2765
2766
2767
2768
2769
2770
2771
2772
2773
2774
2775
2776
2777
2778
2779
2780
2781
2782
2783
2784
2785
2786
2787
2788
2789
2790
2791
2792
2793
2794
2795
2796
2797
2798
2799
2800
2801
2802
2803
2804
2805
2806
2807
2808
2809
2810
2811
2812
2813
2814
2815
2816
2817
2818
2819
2820
2821
2822
2823
2824
2825
2826
2827
2828
2829
2830
2831
2832
2833
2834
2835
2836
2837
2838
2839
2840
2841
2842
2843
2844
2845
2846
2847
2848
2849
2850
2851
2852
2853
2854
2855
2856
2857
2858
2859
2860
2861
2862
2863
2864
2865
2866
2867
2868
2869
2870
2871
2872
2873
2874
2875
2876
2877
2878
2879
2880
2881
2882
2883
2884
2885
2886
2887
2888
2889
2890
2891
2892
2893
2894
2895
2896
2897
2898
2899
2900
2901
2902
2903
2904
2905
2906
2907
2908
2909
2910
2911
2912
2913
2914
2915
2916
2917
2918
2919
2920
2921
2922
2923
2924
2925
2926
2927
2928
2929
2930
2931
2932
2933
2934
2935
2936
2937
2938
2939
2940
2941
2942
2943
2944
2945
2946
2947
2948
2949
2950
2951
2952
2953
2954
2955
2956
2957
2958
2959
2960
2961
2962
2963
2964
2965
2966
2967
2968
2969
2970
2971
2972
2973
2974
2975
2976
2977
2978
2979
2980
2981
2982
2983
2984
2985
2986
2987
2988
2989
2990
2991
2992
2993
2994
2995
2996
2997
2998
2999
3000
3001
3002
3003
3004
3005
3006
3007
3008
3009
3010
3011
3012
3013
3014
3015
3016
3017
3018
3019
3020
3021
3022
3023
3024
3025
3026
3027
3028
3029
3030
3031
3032
3033
3034
3035
3036
3037
3038
3039
3040
3041
3042
3043
3044
3045
3046
3047
3048
3049
3050
3051
3052
3053
3054
3055
3056
3057
3058
3059
3060
3061
3062
3063
3064
3065
3066
3067
3068
3069
3070
3071
3072
3073
3074
3075
3076
3077
3078
3079
3080
3081
3082
3083
3084
3085
3086
3087
3088
3089
3090
3091
3092
3093
3094
3095
3096
3097
3098
3099
3100
3101
3102
3103
3104
3105
3106
3107
3108
3109
3110
3111
3112
3113
3114
3115
3116
3117
3118
3119
3120
3121
3122
3123
3124
3125
3126
3127
3128
3129
3130
3131
3132
3133
3134
3135
3136
3137
3138
3139
3140
3141
3142
3143
3144
3145
3146
3147
3148
3149
3150
3151
3152
3153
3154
3155
3156
3157
3158
3159
3160
3161
3162
3163
3164
3165
3166
3167
3168
3169
3170
3171
3172
3173
3174
3175
3176
3177
3178
3179
3180
3181
3182
3183
3184
3185
3186
3187
3188
3189
3190
3191
3192
3193
3194
3195
3196
3197
3198
3199
3200
3201
3202
3203
3204
3205
3206
3207
3208
3209
3210
3211
3212
3213
3214
3215
3216
3217
3218
3219
3220
3221
3222
3223
3224
3225
3226
3227
3228
3229
3230
3231
3232
3233
3234
3235
3236
3237
3238
3239
3240
3241
3242
3243
3244
3245
3246
3247
3248
3249
3250
3251
3252
3253
3254
3255
3256
3257
3258
3259
3260
3261
3262
3263
3264
3265
3266
3267
3268
3269
3270
3271
3272
3273
3274
3275
3276
3277
3278
3279
3280
3281
3282
3283
3284
3285
3286
3287
3288
3289
3290
3291
3292
3293
3294
3295
3296
3297
3298
3299
3300
3301
3302
3303
3304
3305
3306
3307
3308
3309
3310
3311
3312
3313
3314
3315
3316
3317
3318
3319
3320
3321
3322
3323
3324
3325
3326
3327
3328
3329
3330
3331
3332
3333
3334
3335
3336
3337
3338
3339
3340
3341
3342
3343
3344
3345
3346
3347
3348
3349
3350
3351
3352
3353
3354
3355
3356
3357
3358
3359
3360
3361
3362
3363
3364
3365
3366
3367
3368
3369
3370
3371
3372
3373
3374
3375
3376
3377
3378
3379
3380
3381
3382
3383
3384
3385
3386
3387
3388
3389
3390
3391
3392
3393
3394
3395
3396
3397
3398
3399
3400
3401
3402
3403
3404
3405
3406
3407
3408
3409
3410
3411
3412
3413
3414
3415
3416
3417
3418
3419
3420
3421
3422
3423
3424
3425
3426
3427
3428
3429
3430
3431
3432
3433
3434
3435
3436
3437
3438
3439
3440
3441
3442
3443
3444
3445
3446
3447
3448
3449
3450
3451
3452
3453
3454
3455
3456
3457
3458
3459
3460
3461
3462
3463
3464
3465
3466
3467
3468
3469
3470
3471
3472
3473
3474
3475
3476
3477
3478
3479
3480
3481
3482
3483
3484
3485
3486
3487
3488
3489
3490
3491
3492
3493
3494
3495
3496
3497
3498
3499
3500
3501
3502
3503
3504
3505
3506
3507
3508
3509
3510
3511
3512
3513
3514
3515
3516
3517
3518
3519
3520
3521
3522
3523
3524
3525
3526
3527
3528
3529
3530
3531
3532
3533
3534
3535
3536
3537
3538
3539
3540
3541
3542
3543
3544
3545
3546
3547
3548
3549
3550
3551
3552
3553
3554
3555
3556
3557
3558
3559
3560
3561
3562
3563
3564
3565
3566
3567
3568
3569
3570
3571
3572
3573
3574
3575
3576
3577
3578
3579
3580
3581
3582
3583
3584
3585
3586
3587
3588
3589
3590
3591
3592
3593
3594
3595
3596
3597
3598
3599
3600
3601
3602
3603
3604
3605
3606
3607
3608
3609
3610
3611
3612
3613
3614
3615
3616
3617
3618
3619
3620
3621
3622
3623
3624
3625
3626
3627
3628
3629
3630
3631
3632
3633
3634
3635
3636
3637
3638
3639
3640
3641
3642
3643
3644
3645
3646
3647
3648
3649
3650
3651
3652
3653
3654
3655
3656
3657
3658
3659
3660
3661
3662
3663
3664
3665
3666
3667
3668
3669
3670
3671
3672
3673
3674
3675
3676
3677
3678
3679
3680
3681
3682
3683
3684
3685
3686
3687
3688
3689
3690
3691
3692
3693
3694
3695
3696
3697
3698
3699
3700
3701
3702
3703
3704
3705
3706
3707
3708
3709
3710
3711
3712
3713
3714
3715
3716
3717
3718
3719
3720
3721
3722
3723
3724
3725
3726
3727
3728
3729
3730
3731
3732
3733
3734
3735
3736
3737
3738
3739
3740
3741
3742
3743
3744
3745
3746
3747
3748
3749
3750
3751
3752
3753
3754
3755
3756
3757
3758
3759
3760
3761
3762
3763
3764
3765
3766
3767
3768
3769
3770
3771
3772
3773
3774
3775
3776
3777
3778
3779
3780
3781
3782
3783
3784
3785
3786
3787
3788
3789
3790
3791
3792
3793
3794
3795
3796
3797
3798
3799
3800
3801
3802
3803
3804
3805
3806
3807
3808
3809
3810
3811
3812
3813
3814
3815
3816
3817
3818
3819
3820
3821
3822
3823
3824
3825
3826
3827
3828
3829
3830
3831
3832
3833
3834
3835
3836
3837
3838
3839
3840
3841
3842
3843
3844
3845
3846
3847
3848
3849
3850
3851
3852
3853
3854
3855
3856
3857
3858
3859
3860
3861
3862
3863
3864
3865
3866
3867
3868
3869
3870
3871
3872
3873
3874
3875
3876
3877
3878
3879
3880
3881
3882
3883
3884
3885
3886
3887
3888
3889
3890
3891
3892
3893
3894
3895
3896
3897
3898
3899
3900
3901
3902
3903
3904
3905
3906
3907
3908
3909
3910
3911
3912
3913
3914
3915
3916
3917
3918
3919
3920
3921
3922
3923
3924
3925
3926
3927
3928
3929
3930
3931
3932
3933
3934
3935
3936
3937
3938
3939
3940
3941
3942
3943
3944
3945
3946
3947
3948
3949
3950
3951
3952
3953
3954
3955
3956
3957
3958
3959
3960
3961
3962
3963
3964
3965
3966
3967
3968
3969
3970
3971
3972
3973
3974
3975
3976
3977
3978
3979
3980
3981
3982
3983
3984
3985
3986
3987
3988
3989
3990
3991
3992
3993
3994
3995
3996
3997
3998
3999
4000
4001
4002
4003
4004
4005
4006
4007
4008
4009
4010
4011
4012
4013
4014
4015
4016
4017
4018
4019
4020
4021
4022
4023
4024
4025
4026
4027
4028
4029
4030
4031
4032
4033
4034
4035
4036
4037
4038
4039
4040
4041
4042
4043
4044
4045
4046
4047
4048
4049
4050
4051
4052
4053
4054
4055
4056
4057
4058
4059
4060
4061
4062
4063
4064
4065
4066
4067
4068
4069
4070
4071
4072
4073
4074
4075
4076
4077
4078
4079
4080
4081
4082
4083
4084
4085
4086
4087
4088
4089
4090
4091
4092
4093
4094
4095
4096
4097
4098
4099
4100
4101
4102
4103
4104
4105
4106
4107
4108
4109
4110
4111
4112
4113
4114
4115
4116
4117
4118
4119
4120
4121
4122
4123
4124
4125
4126
4127
4128
4129
4130
4131
4132
4133
4134
4135
4136
4137
4138
4139
4140
4141
4142
4143
4144
4145
4146
4147
4148
4149
4150
4151
4152
4153
4154
4155
4156
4157
4158
4159
4160
4161
4162
4163
4164
4165
4166
4167
4168
4169
4170
4171
4172
4173
4174
4175
4176
4177
4178
4179
4180
4181
4182
4183
4184
4185
4186
4187
4188
4189
4190
4191
4192
4193
4194
4195
4196
4197
4198
4199
4200
4201
4202
4203
4204
4205
4206
4207
4208
4209
4210
4211
4212
4213
4214
4215
4216
4217
4218
4219
4220
4221
4222
4223
4224
4225
4226
4227
4228
4229
4230
4231
4232
4233
4234
4235
4236
4237
4238
4239
4240
4241
4242
4243
4244
4245
4246
4247
4248
4249
4250
4251
4252
4253
4254
4255
4256
4257
4258
4259
4260
4261
4262
4263
4264
4265
4266
4267
4268
4269
4270
4271
4272
4273
4274
4275
4276
4277
4278
4279
4280
4281
4282
4283
4284
4285
4286
4287
4288
4289
4290
4291
4292
4293
4294
4295
4296
4297
4298
4299
4300
4301
4302
4303
4304
4305
4306
4307
4308
4309
4310
4311
4312
4313
4314
4315
4316
4317
4318
4319
4320
4321
4322
4323
4324
4325
4326
4327
4328
4329
4330
4331
4332
4333
4334
4335
4336
4337
4338
4339
4340
4341
4342
4343
4344
4345
4346
4347
4348
4349
4350
4351
4352
4353
4354
4355
4356
4357
4358
4359
4360
4361
4362
4363
4364
4365
4366
4367
4368
4369
4370
4371
4372
4373
4374
4375
4376
4377
4378
4379
4380
4381
4382
4383
4384
4385
4386
4387
4388
4389
4390
4391
4392
4393
4394
4395
4396
4397
4398
4399
4400
4401
4402
4403
4404
4405
4406
4407
4408
4409
4410
4411
4412
4413
4414
4415
4416
4417
4418
4419
4420
4421
4422
4423
4424
4425
4426
4427
4428
4429
4430
4431
4432
4433
4434
4435
4436
4437
4438
4439
4440
4441
4442
4443
4444
4445
4446
4447
4448
4449
4450
4451
4452
4453
4454
4455
4456
4457
4458
4459
4460
4461
4462
4463
4464
4465
4466
4467
4468
4469
4470
4471
4472
4473
4474
4475
4476
4477
4478
4479
4480
4481
4482
4483
4484
4485
4486
4487
4488
4489
4490
4491
4492
4493
4494
4495
4496
4497
4498
4499
4500
4501
4502
4503
4504
4505
4506
4507
4508
4509
4510
4511
4512
4513
4514
4515
4516
4517
4518
4519
4520
4521
4522
4523
4524
4525
4526
4527
4528
4529
4530
4531
4532
4533
4534
4535
4536
4537
4538
4539
4540
4541
4542
4543
4544
4545
4546
4547
4548
4549
4550
4551
4552
4553
4554
4555
4556
4557
4558
4559
4560
4561
4562
4563
4564
4565
4566
4567
4568
4569
4570
4571
4572
4573
4574
4575
4576
4577
4578
4579
4580
4581
4582
4583
4584
4585
4586
4587
4588
4589
4590
4591
4592
4593
4594
4595
4596
4597
4598
4599
4600
4601
4602
4603
4604
4605
4606
4607
4608
4609
4610
4611
4612
4613
4614
4615
4616
4617
4618
4619
4620
4621
4622
4623
4624
4625
4626
4627
4628
4629
4630
4631
4632
4633
4634
4635
4636
4637
4638
4639
4640
4641
4642
4643
4644
4645
4646
4647
4648
4649
4650
4651
4652
4653
4654
4655
4656
4657
4658
4659
4660
4661
4662
4663
4664
4665
4666
4667
4668
4669
4670
4671
4672
4673
4674
4675
4676
4677
4678
4679
4680
4681
4682
4683
4684
4685
4686
4687
4688
4689
4690
4691
4692
4693
4694
4695
4696
4697
4698
4699
4700
4701
4702
4703
4704
4705
4706
4707
4708
4709
4710
4711
4712
4713
4714
4715
4716
4717
4718
4719
4720
4721
4722
4723
4724
4725
4726
4727
4728
4729
4730
4731
4732
4733
4734
4735
4736
4737
4738
4739
4740
4741
4742
4743
4744
4745
4746
4747
4748
4749
4750
4751
4752
4753
4754
4755
4756
4757
4758
4759
4760
4761
4762
4763
4764
4765
4766
4767
4768
4769
4770
4771
4772
4773
4774
4775
4776
4777
4778
4779
4780
4781
4782
4783
4784
4785
4786
4787
4788
4789
4790
4791
4792
4793
4794
4795
4796
4797
4798
4799
4800
4801
4802
4803
4804
4805
4806
4807
4808
4809
4810
4811
4812
4813
4814
4815
4816
4817
4818
4819
4820
4821
4822
4823
4824
4825
4826
4827
4828
4829
4830
4831
4832
4833
4834
4835
4836
4837
4838
4839
4840
4841
4842
4843
4844
4845
4846
4847
4848
4849
4850
4851
4852
4853
4854
4855
4856
4857
4858
4859
4860
4861
4862
4863
4864
4865
4866
4867
4868
4869
4870
4871
4872
4873
4874
4875
4876
4877
4878
4879
4880
4881
4882
4883
4884
4885
4886
4887
4888
4889
4890
4891
4892
4893
4894
4895
4896
4897
4898
4899
4900
4901
4902
4903
4904
4905
4906
4907
4908
4909
4910
4911
4912
4913
4914
4915
4916
4917
4918
4919
4920
4921
4922
4923
4924
4925
4926
4927
4928
4929
4930
4931
4932
4933
4934
4935
4936
4937
4938
4939
4940
4941
4942
4943
4944
4945
4946
4947
4948
4949
4950
4951
4952
4953
4954
4955
4956
4957
4958
4959
4960
4961
4962
4963
4964
4965
4966
4967
4968
4969
4970
4971
4972
4973
4974
4975
4976
4977
4978
4979
4980
4981
4982
4983
4984
4985
4986
4987
4988
4989
4990
4991
4992
4993
4994
4995
4996
4997
4998
4999
5000
5001
5002
5003
5004
5005
5006
5007
5008
5009
5010
5011
5012
5013
5014
5015
5016
5017
5018
5019
5020
5021
5022
5023
5024
5025
5026
5027
5028
5029
5030
5031
5032
5033
5034
5035
5036
5037
5038
5039
5040
5041
5042
5043
5044
5045
5046
5047
5048
5049
5050
5051
5052
5053
5054
5055
5056
5057
5058
5059
5060
5061
5062
5063
5064
5065
5066
5067
5068
5069
5070
5071
5072
5073
5074
5075
5076
5077
5078
5079
5080
5081
5082
5083
5084
5085
5086
5087
5088
5089
5090
5091
5092
5093
5094
5095
5096
5097
5098
5099
5100
5101
5102
5103
5104
5105
5106
5107
5108
5109
5110
5111
5112
5113
5114
5115
5116
5117
5118
5119
5120
5121
5122
5123
5124
5125
5126
5127
5128
5129
5130
5131
5132
5133
5134
5135
5136
5137
5138
5139
5140
5141
5142
5143
5144
5145
5146
5147
5148
5149
5150
5151
5152
5153
5154
5155
5156
5157
5158
5159
5160
5161
5162
5163
5164
5165
5166
5167
5168
5169
5170
5171
5172
5173
5174
5175
5176
5177
5178
5179
5180
5181
5182
5183
5184
5185
5186
5187
5188
5189
5190
5191
5192
5193
5194
5195
5196
5197
5198
5199
5200
5201
5202
5203
5204
5205
5206
5207
5208
5209
5210
5211
5212
5213
5214
5215
5216
5217
5218
5219
5220
5221
5222
5223
5224
5225
5226
5227
5228
5229
5230
5231
5232
5233
5234
5235
5236
5237
5238
5239
5240
5241
5242
5243
5244
5245
5246
5247
5248
5249
5250
5251
5252
5253
5254
5255
5256
5257
5258
5259
5260
5261
5262
5263
5264
5265
5266
5267
5268
5269
5270
5271
5272
5273
5274
5275
5276
5277
5278
5279
5280
5281
5282
5283
5284
5285
5286
5287
5288
5289
5290
5291
5292
5293
5294
5295
5296
5297
5298
5299
5300
5301
5302
5303
5304
5305
5306
5307
5308
5309
5310
5311
5312
5313
5314
5315
5316
5317
5318
5319
5320
5321
5322
5323
5324
5325
5326
5327
5328
5329
5330
5331
5332
5333
5334
5335
5336
5337
5338
5339
5340
5341
5342
5343
5344
5345
5346
5347
5348
5349
5350
5351
5352
5353
5354
5355
5356
5357
5358
5359
5360
5361
5362
5363
5364
5365
5366
5367
5368
5369
5370
5371
5372
5373
5374
5375
5376
5377
5378
5379
5380
5381
5382
5383
5384
5385
5386
5387
5388
5389
5390
5391
5392
5393
5394
5395
5396
5397
5398
5399
5400
5401
5402
5403
5404
5405
5406
5407
5408
5409
5410
5411
5412
5413
5414
5415
5416
5417
5418
5419
5420
5421
5422
5423
5424
5425
5426
5427
5428
5429
5430
5431
5432
5433
5434
5435
5436
5437
5438
5439
5440
5441
5442
5443
5444
5445
5446
5447
5448
5449
5450
5451
5452
5453
5454
5455
5456
5457
5458
5459
5460
5461
5462
5463
5464
5465
5466
5467
5468
5469
5470
5471
5472
5473
5474
5475
5476
5477
5478
5479
5480
5481
5482
5483
5484
5485
5486
5487
5488
5489
5490
5491
5492
5493
5494
5495
5496
5497
5498
5499
5500
5501
5502
5503
5504
5505
5506
5507
5508
5509
5510
5511
5512
5513
5514
5515
5516
5517
5518
5519
5520
5521
5522
5523
5524
5525
5526
5527
5528
5529
5530
5531
5532
5533
5534
5535
5536
5537
5538
5539
5540
5541
5542
5543
5544
5545
5546
5547
5548
5549
5550
5551
5552
5553
5554
5555
5556
5557
5558
5559
5560
5561
5562
5563
5564
5565
5566
5567
5568
5569
5570
5571
5572
5573
5574
5575
5576
5577
5578
5579
5580
5581
5582
5583
5584
5585
5586
5587
5588
5589
5590
5591
5592
5593
5594
5595
5596
5597
5598
5599
5600
5601
5602
5603
5604
5605
5606
5607
5608
5609
5610
5611
5612
5613
5614
5615
5616
5617
5618
5619
5620
5621
5622
5623
5624
5625
5626
5627
5628
5629
5630
5631
5632
5633
5634
5635
5636
5637
5638
5639
5640
5641
5642
5643
5644
5645
5646
5647
5648
5649
5650
5651
5652
5653
5654
5655
5656
5657
5658
5659
5660
5661
5662
5663
5664
5665
5666
5667
5668
5669
5670
5671
5672
5673
5674
5675
5676
5677
5678
5679
5680
5681
5682
5683
5684
5685
5686
5687
5688
5689
5690
5691
5692
5693
5694
5695
5696
5697
5698
5699
5700
5701
5702
5703
5704
5705
5706
5707
5708
5709
5710
5711
5712
5713
5714
5715
5716
5717
5718
5719
5720
5721
5722
5723
5724
5725
5726
5727
5728
5729
5730
5731
5732
5733
5734
5735
5736
5737
5738
5739
5740
5741
5742
5743
5744
5745
5746
5747
5748
5749
5750
5751
5752
5753
5754
5755
5756
5757
5758
5759
5760
5761
5762
5763
5764
5765
5766
5767
5768
5769
5770
5771
5772
5773
5774
5775
5776
5777
5778
5779
5780
5781
5782
5783
5784
5785
5786
5787
5788
5789
5790
5791
5792
5793
5794
5795
5796
5797
5798
5799
5800
5801
5802
5803
5804
5805
5806
5807
5808
5809
5810
5811
5812
5813
5814
5815
5816
5817
5818
5819
5820
5821
5822
5823
5824
5825
5826
5827
5828
5829
5830
5831
5832
5833
5834
5835
5836
5837
5838
5839
5840
5841
5842
5843
5844
5845
5846
5847
5848
5849
5850
5851
5852
5853
5854
5855
5856
5857
5858
5859
5860
5861
5862
5863
5864
5865
5866
5867
5868
5869
5870
5871
5872
5873
5874
5875
5876
5877
5878
5879
5880
5881
5882
5883
5884
5885
5886
5887
5888
5889
5890
5891
5892
5893
5894
5895
5896
5897
5898
5899
5900
5901
5902
5903
5904
5905
5906
5907
5908
5909
5910
5911
5912
5913
5914
5915
5916
5917
5918
5919
5920
5921
5922
5923
5924
5925
5926
5927
5928
5929
5930
5931
5932
5933
5934
5935
5936
5937
5938
5939
5940
5941
5942
5943
5944
5945
5946
5947
5948
5949
5950
5951
5952
5953
5954
5955
5956
5957
5958
5959
5960
5961
5962
5963
5964
5965
5966
5967
5968
5969
5970
5971
5972
5973
5974
5975
5976
5977
5978
5979
5980
5981
5982
5983
5984
5985
5986
5987
5988
5989
5990
5991
5992
5993
5994
5995
5996
5997
5998
5999
6000
6001
6002
6003
6004
6005
6006
6007
6008
6009
6010
6011
6012
6013
6014
6015
6016
6017
6018
6019
6020
6021
6022
6023
6024
6025
6026
6027
6028
6029
6030
6031
6032
6033
6034
6035
6036
6037
6038
6039
6040
6041
6042
6043
6044
6045
6046
6047
6048
6049
6050
6051
6052
6053
6054
6055
6056
6057
6058
6059
6060
6061
6062
6063
6064
6065
6066
6067
6068
6069
6070
6071
6072
6073
6074
6075
6076
6077
6078
6079
6080
6081
6082
6083
6084
6085
6086
6087
6088
6089
6090
6091
6092
6093
6094
6095
6096
6097
6098
6099
6100
6101
6102
6103
6104
6105
6106
6107
6108
6109
6110
6111
6112
6113
6114
6115
6116
6117
6118
6119
6120
6121
6122
6123
6124
6125
6126
6127
6128
6129
6130
6131
6132
6133
6134
6135
6136
6137
6138
6139
6140
6141
6142
6143
6144
6145
6146
6147
6148
6149
6150
6151
6152
6153
6154
6155
6156
6157
6158
6159
6160
6161
6162
6163
6164
6165
6166
6167
6168
6169
6170
6171
6172
6173
6174
6175
6176
6177
6178
6179
6180
6181
6182
6183
6184
6185
6186
6187
6188
6189
6190
6191
6192
6193
6194
6195
6196
6197
6198
6199
6200
6201
6202
6203
6204
6205
6206
6207
6208
6209
6210
6211
6212
6213
6214
6215
6216
6217
6218
6219
6220
6221
6222
6223
6224
6225
6226
6227
6228
6229
6230
6231
6232
6233
6234
6235
6236
6237
6238
6239
6240
6241
6242
6243
6244
6245
6246
6247
6248
6249
6250
6251
6252
6253
6254
6255
6256
6257
6258
6259
6260
6261
6262
6263
6264
6265
6266
6267
6268
6269
6270
6271
6272
6273
6274
6275
6276
6277
6278
6279
6280
6281
6282
6283
6284
6285
6286
6287
6288
6289
6290
6291
6292
6293
6294
6295
6296
6297
6298
6299
6300
6301
6302
6303
6304
6305
6306
6307
6308
6309
6310
6311
6312
6313
6314
6315
6316
6317
6318
6319
6320
6321
6322
6323
6324
6325
6326
6327
6328
6329
6330
6331
6332
6333
6334
6335
6336
6337
6338
6339
6340
6341
6342
6343
6344
6345
6346
6347
6348
6349
6350
6351
6352
6353
6354
6355
6356
6357
6358
6359
6360
6361
6362
6363
6364
6365
6366
6367
6368
6369
6370
6371
6372
6373
6374
6375
6376
6377
6378
6379
6380
6381
6382
6383
6384
6385
6386
6387
6388
6389
6390
6391
6392
6393
6394
6395
6396
6397
6398
6399
6400
6401
6402
6403
6404
6405
6406
6407
6408
6409
6410
6411
6412
6413
6414
6415
6416
6417
6418
6419
6420
6421
6422
6423
6424
6425
6426
6427
6428
6429
6430
6431
6432
6433
6434
6435
6436
6437
6438
6439
6440
6441
6442
6443
6444
6445
6446
6447
6448
6449
6450
6451
6452
6453
6454
6455
6456
6457
6458
6459
6460
6461
6462
6463
6464
6465
6466
6467
6468
6469
6470
6471
6472
6473
6474
6475
6476
6477
6478
6479
6480
6481
6482
6483
6484
6485
6486
6487
6488
6489
6490
6491
6492
6493
6494
6495
6496
6497
6498
6499
6500
6501
6502
6503
6504
6505
6506
6507
6508
6509
6510
6511
6512
6513
6514
6515
6516
6517
6518
6519
6520
6521
6522
6523
6524
6525
6526
6527
6528
6529
6530
6531
6532
6533
6534
6535
6536
6537
6538
6539
6540
6541
6542
6543
6544
6545
6546
6547
6548
6549
6550
6551
6552
6553
6554
6555
6556
6557
6558
6559
6560
6561
6562
6563
6564
6565
6566
6567
6568
6569
6570
6571
6572
6573
6574
6575
6576
6577
6578
6579
6580
6581
6582
6583
6584
6585
6586
6587
6588
6589
6590
6591
6592
6593
6594
6595
6596
6597
6598
6599
6600
6601
6602
6603
6604
6605
6606
6607
6608
6609
6610
6611
6612
6613
6614
6615
6616
6617
6618
6619
6620
6621
6622
6623
6624
6625
6626
6627
6628
6629
6630
6631
6632
6633
6634
6635
6636
6637
6638
6639
6640
6641
6642
6643
6644
6645
6646
6647
6648
6649
6650
6651
6652
6653
6654
6655
6656
6657
6658
6659
6660
6661
6662
6663
6664
6665
6666
6667
6668
6669
6670
6671
6672
6673
6674
6675
6676
6677
6678
6679
6680
6681
6682
6683
6684
6685
6686
6687
6688
6689
6690
6691
6692
6693
6694
6695
6696
6697
6698
6699
6700
6701
6702
6703
6704
6705
6706
6707
6708
6709
6710
6711
6712
6713
6714
6715
6716
6717
6718
6719
6720
6721
6722
6723
6724
6725
6726
6727
6728
6729
6730
6731
6732
6733
6734
6735
6736
6737
6738
6739
6740
6741
6742
6743
6744
6745
6746
6747
6748
6749
6750
6751
6752
6753
6754
6755
6756
6757
6758
6759
6760
6761
6762
6763
6764
6765
6766
6767
6768
6769
6770
6771
6772
6773
6774
6775
6776
6777
6778
6779
6780
6781
6782
6783
6784
6785
6786
6787
6788
6789
6790
6791
6792
6793
6794
6795
6796
6797
6798
6799
6800
6801
6802
6803
6804
6805
6806
6807
6808
6809
6810
6811
6812
6813
6814
6815
6816
6817
6818
6819
6820
6821
6822
6823
6824
6825
6826
6827
6828
6829
6830
6831
6832
6833
6834
6835
6836
6837
6838
6839
6840
6841
6842
6843
6844
6845
6846
6847
6848
6849
6850
6851
6852
6853
6854
6855
6856
6857
6858
6859
6860
6861
6862
6863
6864
6865
6866
6867
6868
6869
6870
6871
6872
6873
6874
6875
6876
6877
6878
6879
6880
6881
6882
6883
6884
6885
6886
6887
6888
6889
6890
6891
6892
6893
6894
6895
6896
6897
6898
6899
6900
6901
6902
6903
6904
6905
6906
6907
6908
6909
6910
6911
6912
6913
6914
6915
6916
6917
6918
6919
6920
6921
6922
6923
6924
6925
6926
6927
6928
6929
6930
6931
6932
6933
6934
6935
6936
6937
6938
6939
6940
6941
6942
6943
6944
6945
6946
6947
6948
6949
6950
6951
6952
6953
6954
6955
6956
6957
6958
6959
6960
6961
6962
6963
6964
6965
6966
6967
6968
6969
6970
6971
6972
6973
6974
6975
6976
6977
6978
6979
6980
6981
6982
6983
6984
6985
6986
6987
6988
6989
6990
6991
6992
6993
6994
6995
6996
6997
6998
6999
7000
7001
7002
7003
7004
7005
7006
7007
7008
7009
7010
7011
7012
7013
7014

# WATER CORPORATION
# UNDERGROUND ASSET DETAILS

ABN 28 003 434 917
629 Newcastle Street
Leederville, WA, 6007
PO Box 100
Leederville, WA, 6902
www.watercorporation.com.au
(08) 9424 8115

## Requestor details

Mr Adrian Stefan
Pritchard Francis
PO Box 2150
Subiaco WA 6008

Phone: 0893825111
Mobile: Not Supplied
Fax: Not Supplied
Email: adrian.s@pfeng.com.au

**Sequence No:** **47078813**
DBYD Job No: 9499220
Enquiry Date: 04/08/2015
Issue Date: 04/08/2015

## Requested location details




**Address** Doley Road
Byford WA 6122
**GPS X Coordinate**
**GPS Y Coordinate**
**Map Ref** 556B4,556B5

**Note:** The response for this enquiry has been interpreted from details in the picture location only.

## Water Corporation asset impact

**NO PIPELINES FOUND**
No underground pipes were identified. However be aware that pipes may still exist in your work area.
NOTE: For best results use the polygon function to define your work area. Point and line requests only generate a limited search of the surrounding area.

## Important

1. Plans show approximate location only – verify location by potholing before using powered machinery.
2. Please read all information and attachments.
3. All documents must be kept together and retained on site by the work team.
4. This information is valid for 30 days from date of issue.

# WATER CORPORATION
# UNDERGROUND ASSET DETAILS

ABN 28 003 434 917
629 Newcastle Street
Leederville, WA, 6007
PO Box 100
Leederville, WA, 6902
www.watercorporation.com.au
(08) 9424 8115

## Requestor details

Mr Adrian Stefan
Pritchard Francis
PO Box 2150
Subiaco WA 6008

Phone: 0893825111
Mobile: Not Supplied
Fax: Not Supplied
Email: adrian.s@pfeng.com.au

**Sequence No:** **47078825**
DBYD Job No: 9499223
Enquiry Date: 04/08/2015
Issue Date: 04/08/2015

## Requested location details




**Address** Doley Road
Byford WA 6122
**GPS X Coordinate**
**GPS Y Coordinate**
**Map Ref** 556A5,556B5


**Note:** The response for this enquiry has been interpreted from details in the picture location only.

## Water Corporation asset impact

**NO PIPELINES FOUND**
No underground pipes were identified. However be aware that pipes may still exist in your work area.
NOTE: For best results use the polygon function to define your work area. Point and line requests only generate a limited search of the surrounding area.

## Important

1. Plans show approximate location only – verify location by potholing before using powered machinery.
2. Please read all information and attachments.
3. All documents must be kept together and retained on site by the work team.
4. This information is valid for 30 days from date of issue.





Scale: 1:6064

Sequence No: **49239625**

Print Date: 19/11/2015 Page: 1 of 5

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on 13 13 75.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.997°, -32.236°


Sequence No: 49239625

Print Date: 19/11/2015 Page: 2 of 5

N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on 13 13 75.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.997°, -32.233°


Sequence No: 49239625

Print Date: 19/11/2015 Page: 3 of 5

N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on 13 13 75.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.997°, -32.231°


Sequence No: 49239625

Print Date: 19/11/2015 Page: 4 of 5

N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on 13 13 75.




WATER CORPORATION

Scale: 1:1500 Centre Point: 115.997°, -32.228°

Sequence No: 49239625

Print Date: 19/11/2015 Page: 5 of 5


N

Sewer

The Water Corporation has taken due care in the preparation of this map but accepts no responsibility for any inaccuracies or inappropriate use. This plan may be reproduced in its entirety for the purpose of site work planning but shall not otherwise be altered or published in any form without the permission of the Water Corporation. The Water Corporation may need to be advised of any planned ground disturbing activities near facilities on this map. Refer to Brochure - "Protecting Buried Pipelines". Please report any inaccuracies to Spatial Information Management Group on 13 13 75.

## *Appendix Seven ATCO Maps, 2015*

Overview Map Only




Sequence No: 47078758
Job No: 9499209
Map Tile:
Date: 4/08/2015
Scale: 1:7687
Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 | Map Tile: 1 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499209 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 | Map Tile: 2 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499209 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 | Map Tile: 3 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499209 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 | Map Tile: 4 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499209 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 | Map Tile: 5 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499209 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 Map Tile: 6 Scale: 1:800

Job No: 9499209 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 Map Tile: 7 Scale: 1:800

Job No: 9499209 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078758 | Map Tile: 8 | Scale: 1:800

Job No: 9499209 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Orton Road, Byford 6122

© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975


N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate

Overview Map Only




Sequence No: 47078812
Job No: 9499220
Map Tile:
Date:4/08/2015
Scale: 1:6150
Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078812 | Map Tile: 1 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499220 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078812 Map Tile: 2 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499220 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078812 Map Tile: 3 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499220 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078812 Map Tile: 4 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499220 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078812 Map Tile: 5 Scale: 1:800

Job No: 9499220 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122

© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975


N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate

Overview Map Only




Sequence No: 47078824 Map Tile: Scale: 1:4612

Job No: 9499223 Date:4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 Map Tile: 1 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499223 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 | Map Tile: 2 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499223 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 Map Tile: 3 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499223 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 | Map Tile: 4 | Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499223 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 Map Tile: 5 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499223 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 Map Tile: 6 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9499223 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 Map Tile: 7 Scale: 1:800

Job No: 9499223 Date: 4/08/2015 Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122


© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 47078824 | Map Tile: 8 | Scale: 1:800

Job No: 9499223 | Date: 4/08/2015 | Location: Doley Road, Byford 6122

© 2011 ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ACN 089 531 975


N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© 2011 Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate

Overview Map Only




Sequence No: 49239623 | Map Tile: | Scale: 1:7687

Job No: 9951652 | Date:19/11/2015 | Location: Warrington Road, Byford 6122


© ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 90 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 49239623 Map Tile: 1 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9951652 Date:19/11/2015 Location: Warrington Road, Byford 6122


© ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 90 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 49239623 Map Tile: 2 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9951652 Date:19/11/2015 Location: Warrington Road, Byford 6122


© ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 90 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 49239623 Map Tile: 3 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9951652 Date:19/11/2015 Location: Warrington Road, Byford 6122


© ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 90 089 531 975

N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 49239623 Map Tile: 4 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9951652 Date:19/11/2015 Location: Warrington Road, Byford 6122


© ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 90 089 531 975

N
W E
S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the
Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate




Sequence No: 49239623 Map Tile: 5 Scale: 1:1500

Job No: 9951652 Date:19/11/2015 Location: Warrington Road, Byford 6122

© ATCO Gas Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 90 089 531 975


N W E S

ATCO Gas AUSTRALIA

Please read all **warnings**, conditions and information on the attached "Underground Asset Details" information sheet. This plan is issued subject to that information and those conditions and **warnings** (including, but not limited to, the "NO HOT WORKS" warning). Plans are current for only **30 days** from date of request, indicative only and not warranted to be accurate. It is your responsibility to carefully locate underground assets and follow safe work practises and procedures (eg pot-holing). ATCO Gas Australia will seek compensation for damage caused to assets.

© Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate
Based on information provided by and with the permission of the Western Australian Land Information Authority trading as Landgate

## *Appendix Eight Western Power Maps, 2015*




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:6150 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:6150 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078756

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:4612 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**


**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**

Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

| Sequence Number: 47078822 | Date of Issue: 4/08/2015 |
|---|---|




westernpower

## UNDERGROUND LEGEND

**Structures**

- Pillar
- Metal Pole
- Transformer Site
- UG Crossing *
- Ring Main Unit
- LV Distribution Frame

**Distribution Cables**

- High Voltage Cable (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage Cable (< 1kV)
- Street Light Circuit (< 1kV)
- Street Light Pilot (< 1kV)
- Earth Wire

**Cable Pole Terminations**

- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Underground Cable
- Low Voltage Underground Cable
- Metal Pole
- Pillar
- Transformer site
- HV Termination
- LV Termination

**State Underground Power Project**

- CURRENT Work Area *
- COMPLETED Area *

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Hand exposure via pothole method is MANDATORY.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:4612 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078810

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078810

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078810

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**


**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**

Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078810 | Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:4612 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**


**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**

Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822 | Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 47078822

Date of Issue: 4/08/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:6150 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 49239618

Date of Issue: 19/11/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**


Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 49239618

Date of Issue: 19/11/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**


**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**

Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 49239618 | Date of Issue: 19/11/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**


**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**

Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

A4 | Scale : 1:1500

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**

Sequence Number: 49239618 | Date of Issue: 19/11/2015




westernpower

## OVERHEAD LEGEND

**Structures**

- Power Pole
- Transmission Poles

**Transmission Overhead Powerline**

- Transmission (33kV - 330kV)

**Distribution Overhead Powerline**

- High Voltage (1kV - 33kV)
- Low Voltage (< 1kV)

**Proposed Construction Assets**

- Design Area *
- High Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Low Voltage Overhead Powerline
- Power Pole

**Communications Assets**

- Overhead Pilot Cable

**Feature**

- Area of Interest

*** Please refer to coversheet**

**Privately owned cables NOT SHOWN (including house services)**

**This map is INDICATIVE ONLY. Check that you have enough clearance from the DANGER ZONES near overhead powerlines.**

**Telephone Support: 1300 769 345**
**Mon to Fri - 08:00 to 16:30**

Information valid for 30 days from date of issue

| A4 | Scale : 1:1500 |
|---|---|

**WARNING! Look out for overhead power lines**


| Sequence Number: 49239618 | Date of Issue: 19/11/2015 |
|---|---|

APPENDIX 8

**Amendment 1 documentation**

# AMENDMENT № 1

# DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN




URBANISTA

TOWN PLANNING

IT IS CERTIFIED THAT AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT STRUCTURE PLAN WAS APPROVED BY RESOLUTION OF THE WESTERN AUSTRALIAN PLANNING COMMISSION ON:

**15 MARCH 2022**

Signed for and on behalf of the Western Australian Planning Commission



_____

an officer of the Commission duly authorised by the Commission pursuant to Section 16 of *the Planning and Development Act 2005* for that purpose.

## TABLE OF AMENDMENTS

Each time a structure plan is amended, the amendment is to be recorded in the table of amendments at the front of the structure plan, including the amendment type (minor or major).

| Amendment Number | Summary of the Amendment | Amendment type (minor / major) | Date approved by Western Australian Planning Commission |
|---|---|---|---|
| — | Original Structure Plan as approved by the WAPC | — | 17 July 2017 |
| 1 | Minor changes to LSP in area bound by Lawrence Way, Warrington Road, Allanson Drive, and Orton Road. | Minor | 14 March 2022 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

## TABLE OF DENSITY PLANS

Each time a density plan is approved, the plan is to be recorded in the table of density plans at the front of the structure plan.

| Density Plan No. | Location of density plan | Total area of density plan application | Date endorsed by Western Australian Planning Commission |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

## LEGEND

### ZONES

- Residential R25-R40
- Residential R40-R60
- Residential R60
- Mixed Use R80

### LOCAL RESERVES


- Public Open Space
- Public and Community Purposes

### OTHER

- Structure Plan Boundary
- Neighbourhood Connector
- Access Street

NOTES

1. Public Open Space areas are indicative only and subject to further detail design and drainage considerations.
2. All access streets are indicative only and are subject to refinement as part of the detailed subdivision process.
3. Orton Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).
4. Soldiers Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 30 metres (10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road).
5. Land is required to accommodate a roundabout at the intersection of Orton and Soldiers Road. The land to be ceded and the design of the roundabout is to be to the satisfaction of the Shire on advice from the Department of Planning.
6. Direct access to Soldiers Road is not permitted and an internal road will be required between residential lots and Soldiers Road to provide appropriate access and frontage. Double storey, rear-loaded and dual frontage lots are not permitted in this location due to interface and noise attenuation objectives.




# LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN MAP

0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 500m

SCALE 1:7000 @ A3

DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT
AMENDMENT No. 1

@urbanistaplanning
Tel: (08) 6444 9171
admin@urbanistaplanning.com.au
www.urbanistaplanning.com.au
Level 1, 231 Bulwer St,
Perth, WA 6000 Australia
Urbanista Town Planning
ABN: 47 6209 657 098
MGA94
& AHD

URBANISTA
TOWN PLANNING

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


The *Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan* was prepared to guide the built form outcome of the Local Structure Plan (LSP) area in the western part of the Byford townsite as it transitions from rural small holdings to medium and higher density residential housing. The LSP area covers a total area of approximately 120.5 hectares, originally comprising 47 freehold lots located along and to the north of Orton Road, Byford, within the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan—prepared by CLE Town Planning + Design—was endorsed by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) on 17 July 2017 for a standard period of ten years. The purpose of a LSP is to guide the subdivision and subsequent development of land.

This "minor" LSP amendment (Amendment No. 1) for the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan has been prepared to reconfigure indicative development outcome of residential and Public Open Space (POS) land at and around 55 Lawrence Way (Lot 61 on D61334). The LSP amendment is considered to be "minor" as, it is consistent with the objectives and purpose of the original endorsed LSP, and in accordance with WAPC's 2015 *Structure Plan Framework*, the LSP amendment:

> …does not *materially alter the purpose and intent of the structure plan, …change the intended lot / dwelling yield by more than 10 per cent or adversely impact upon the amenity of adjoining landowners and occupiers, restrict the use and development of adjoining land, or significantly impact on infrastructure provision or impact upon the environment.*

The changes are summarised in Table 1, which show a change in POS (of with further discussion provided within this report. A comparison between the former Doley Road Precinct LSP map and the Amendment No. 1 map is shown in the image below and Appendix 1.



**Current Doley Road Precinct LSP Map Extract**
(as at 1 February 2020)




**Doley Road Precinct LSP Amendment No. 1**
(map extract showing area subject to amendment)

This amendment takes into account the statutory and strategic planning framework applicable to the subject site, and is supported by a comprehensive assessment and review of the development principles and assessments as they relate to environmental, engineering and servicing, transport impact and bushfire risk management issues.

**Table 1—Land Use Summary**

| Item | Description | LSP Reference |
|---|---|---|
| **Total area covered by the LSP** | 120.5ha | Part 2 — 1.2 |
| • Residential<br>• Commercial<br>• Road Reserves<br>• Parks and Recreation<br>• Public Purpose | 68.2 hectares<br>1.0 hectare<br>35.7 hectares<br>11.2 hectares<br>4.0 hectares | Part 2 — 3.1 |
| *Calculated using street type designations in Figure 19, Liveable Neighbourhoods, and other sources.* | | |
| Total estimated lots yield | 1,900–2,200 lots | Part 2 — 3.3.1 |
| Estimated number of dwellings | 1,900–2,200 dwellings | |
| Dwellings (as per Perth & Peel@3.5 million)<br>Dwellings (as per Liveable Neighbourhoods) | 16.4 dwellings / gross hectare<br>28.2 dwellings / site hectare | |
| Estimated Population | ~5,390 people (+40)<br>@ 2.8 people / household | |
| Number of Primary Schools | 1 (4.0 ha) | Part 2 — 3.8 |
| Number of High Schools | 0 | — |
| Estimated commercial floorspace | 1,500sqm | Part 2 — 3.7 |
| Public Open Space | 11.241ha (total POS)<br>11.014ha (unrestricted POS)<br>0.227 (restricted POS) | Table 2, Part 2 — 3.3. |

**Table 2—Land Use Schedule**

| | |
|---|---|
| **Gross Site Area** | **120.5ha** |
| *Less* | |
| • Primary School Site | 4.0ha |
| • Commercial Centre | 1.04ha |
| • Orton Road widening | 0.43ha |
| **Sub-Total** | 5.47ha |
| **Nett Site Area** | **115.03ha** |
| *Deductions (Small Stormwater Events area (first 15mm of rainfall))* *SEE NOTE 1* | |
| • POS 1 | 0.056ha |
| • POS 2 | 0.138ha |
| • POS 5 | 0.153ha |
| • POS 7 | 0.047ha |
| **Sub-Total** | 0.394ha |
| **Gross Subdivisible Area** | **114.64ha** |
| **Public Open Space Contribution** (derived from the 2017 LSP) | |
| • *At least 10% Public Open Space* | *11.465ha* |
| *Comprising of:*<br>• *at least 80% unrestricted POS*<br>• *up to 20% restricted POS* | <br>*9.172ha*<br>*2.293ha* |
| **Total Public Open Space Proposed** | **11.241ha (9.81%)** |
| | |
| *Unrestricted POS* | |
| • POS 1 (Gross Area 1.62ha) | 1.533ha |
| • POS 2 (Gross Area 2.43ha) | 2.213ha |
| • POS 3 (Gross Area 1.23ha) | 1.230ha |
| • POS 4 (Gross Area 0.36ha) | 0.360ha |
| • POS 5 (Gross Area 2.34ha)<br>(Subject of Amendment No. 1) | 2.251ha |
| • POS 6 (Gross Area 1.29ha) | 1.290ha |
| • POS 7 (Gross Area 1.21ha) | 1.137ha |
| • POS 8 (Gross Area 0.54ha) | 0.540ha |
| • POS 9 (Gross Area 0.46ha) | 0.460ha |
| **Sub-Total** | **11.014ha** |
| *Restricted POS (1:5 ARI Rainfall Events area (excluding Small Stormwater Events area))* *SEE NOTE 2* | |
| • POS 1 | 0.032ha |
| • POS 2 | 0.079ha |
| • POS 5 | 0.089ha |
| • POS 7 | 0.027ha |
| **Sub-Total** | **0.227ha** |

**Notes**

**1)** Areas subject to inundation from the Small Stormwater Event (first 15mm of rainfall) are not included as restricted or unrestricted open space and are a deduction from the nett site area.

**2)** Areas for the detention of stormwater for a small stormwater event up to the 1:5 ARI are treated as restricted open space up to 20%.

# CONTENTS


Endorsement Page 2

Local Structure Plan Map 5

Executive Summary 6–8

**Part 1** 10

1: Structure Plan Area 10

2: Operation 10

3: Staging 10

4: Subdivision & Development Requirements 10–13

5: Local Development Plans 14

6: Other Requirements 15

**Part 2—Explanatory Section** 16

1: Planning Background 16–22

2: Site Conditions & Constraints 23–25

3: Land Use & Subdivision Requirements 26–40

4: Context Plans & Figures 41–62

- Context Plans 1–7: Wider Context Plans 42–49
- Figures 10–22: 2017 Doley Road Precinct LSP Figures 50–62

**Appendices** 63

**Amendment & Site Information**

- Appendix 1: Doley Road Precinct LSP Amendment Comparison Map 64–66
- Appendix 2: Vision Surveys Consulting Site Survey Plan for Amendment No. 1 Area 67–69

**Technical Appendices**

- Appendix 3: Linfire Consultancy Bushfire Attack Level Certificate and BAL Assessment Report 70–135
- Appendix 4: Urbaqua Byford Doley Local Water Management Strategy Study 136–144
- Appendix 5: LD Total Landscape Master Plan 145–148
- Appendix 6: 2017 Doley Road Precinct LSP Consultant Reports and Technical Appendices 149–717

**2017 Doley Road Precinct LSP**

- Appendix 7: 2017 Doley Road Precinct LSP 718–790

Part

# 1 Implementation Section

## 1. STRUCTURE PLAN AREA

This Local Structure Plan (LSP) applies to the land identified in the approved Doley Road Local Structure Plan map in Byford, comprising of approximately 120.5ha of land.

Refer to Local Structure Plan Map.

## 2. OPERATION

This structure plan commences operation on the date it is approved by the Western Australian Planning Commission. The structure plan is valid for a period of ten years from such time, unless otherwise specified. The Doley Road Precinct LSP was originally approved on 17 July 2017.

## 3. STAGING

Development staging should follow an orderly sequence and should not exceed the extension of essential service infrastructure or constructed road access. Basic essential infrastructure including roads and utilities are to be provided prior to the release of land for residential or other development. An indicative staging plan is provided in Figure 22.

## 4. SUBDIVISION & DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

### 4.1 LAND USE ZONING

The land use zoning of LSP area is shown on the Local Structure Plan Map.

These land use zoning classifications have been prepared to guide the future subdivision and development of the land. Land Use permissibility (zoning table) is to be consistent with the Shire's current gazetted Local Planning Scheme. Land use permissibility within the Structure Plan area shall be in accordance with the corresponding zone or reserve under the Scheme, or as otherwise outlined in this Structure Plan. Further details of land use composition are provided in Table 1—Land Use Summary.

### 4.2 R-CODE DENSITY

The density coding within the structure plan area consists of residential codings that provide a mix of medium density development. The proposed density codings remain as per the original endorsed structure plan and are R25-40, R40-60, R60 and R80. The code ranges allow for a responsive and flexible approach to development to suit market demand and allow for the built form to reflect the character of the area as the LSP area is built out over the next ten years.

The Structure Plan Map defines the broad residential density ranges that apply to specific areas within the Structure Plan area. Lot specific residential densities, within the defined residential ranges, are to be subsequently assigned in accordance within a Residential Code Plan approved by the WAPC.

### Residential Code Plan

A Residential Code Plan is to be submitted at the time of subdivision to the WAPC and shall be consistent with the Structure Plan, and the Residential Density Ranges and locational criteria contained in Clause 5.2.3.

The Residential Code Plan is to include a summary of the proposed dwelling yield of the subdivision. Approval of the Residential Code Plan shall be undertaken at the time of determination of the subdivision application by the WAPC. The approved Residential Density Code Plan shall then form part of the Structure Plan and shall be used for the determination of future development applications.

Variations to the Residential Code Plan will require further approval of the WAPC, with a revised Residential Code Plan submitted generally consistent with the approved plan of subdivision issued by the WAPC. The revised Residential Code Plan shall be consistent with Residential Density ranges identified on Structure Plan Map and the locational criteria explained below.

Residential Code Plans are not required if the WAPC considers that the subdivision is for one or more of the following:

i. The amalgamation of lots;
ii. Consolidation of land for "superlot" purposes to facilitate land assembly for future development;
iii. The purposes of facilitating the provision of access, services or infrastructure; or
iv. Land which by virtue of its zoning or reservation under the Structure Plan cannot be developed for residential purposes.

### Locational Requirements

The allocation of residential densities shall be in accordance with the following locational criteria:

a) The R25 density code shall apply as the base code to all 'Residential' zoned lots, with the exception of those lots coded R40 or R60 as set out below.
b) The R40 density code may apply to all 'Residential' zoned lots where one or more of the following applies:
    i. The lot is located on a street corner or at the end of a street block; or
    ii. Considered to be in an area of high amenity including within 400m of a commercial centre / neighbourhood node, around public open space, primary school and adjacent to major public transport routes.
c) The R60 density code may apply to 'Residential' zoned lots that meet one or more of the criteria set out in (b) above or where the lot measures 900m² or greater

## 4.3 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

Public open space is to generally be provided in accordance with Table 2 – Land Use Table and Plans 1 (Structure Plan Map).

As a condition of subdivision of Lot 61 Lawrence Way, Byford, the subdivider will be required to make payment to the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale for the sum equivalent to 700m$^2$, being that portion of the land that would otherwise be provided as open space prior to Amendment 1 of the SP.

## 4.4 ROAD TREATMENTS

The road reserves should include the installation of street trees and landscaping, parallel street parking bays, dual-use paths, shared-paths, stormwater retention and infiltration systems, and street lighting to the satisfaction of the Shire and their adopted policies and planning framework. The width of and number of crossovers shall be kept to a functional minimum in accordance with the R-Codes and the Shire's adopted Local Planning Policies, to minimise the extent of hard surface, and reduce vehicle conflict.

Further information is provided below, and within the figures and technical appendices which guide, inform, and accompany this report—including the consultant traffic engineer's report.

a. Orton Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).

b. Soldiers Road, a future Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 30 metres (10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road).

c. Land is required to accommodate a roundabout at the intersection of Orton and Soldiers Road. The land to be ceded and the design of the roundabout is to be to the satisfaction of the Shire on advice from the Department of Planning.

d. Direct access to Soldiers Road is not permitted and an internal road will be required between residential lots and Soldiers Road to provide appropriate access and frontage. Double storey, rear-loaded and dual frontage lots are not permitted in this location due to interface and noise attenuation objectives.

e. Notwithstanding the Shire's endorsed LSP Map, intersections to Orton Road shall be:

    i. restricted and rationalised where possible in accordance Development Control Policy 1.4 and Liveable Neighbourhoods,

    ii. reduced from six to three intersections between Warrington and Soldiers Roads, and

    iii. left-in-left-out only at the intersection with Road 82 unless a roundabout is coordinated with the LSP area south of Orton Road (whichever is preferred by the Shire).

f. Direct lot access is not permitted to lots abutting Orton Road and Doley Road and rear laneway arrangements shall be provided.

g. The construction of Recreation Road is not supported due to BF 321 and TECs. Closure of Recreation Road is recommended and its management and restoration is expected to be outlined and ensured within the Draft Brickwood Reserve Management Plan (authored by the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale) currently being assessed by the Department of Planning.

h. Road paving is not permitted to straddle lot boundaries unless a subdivision application is received that is signed by all landowners.

i. The lots fronting the proposed road which straddles Lot 50 (No. 124) Warrington Road and Lot 20 (No. 142 Warrington Road) will not be supported for creation (via subdivision) until such time as the entire road is created/constructed".

j. The land subject of the "Mixed Use" classification shall not be created or developed until such time as the Orton Road realignment is finalised.

## 4.5 NOISE ATTENUATION

Noise attenuation treatments as per the recommendations outlined in the Lloyd George Acoustics Storer Acoustic Assessment dated 17 June 2016 including notifications on title, and quiet house design within Local Development Plans, but excluding the construction of a noise wall, shall be implemented as conditions of subdivision approval for any lots adjacent to Soldiers Road unless an updated and more accurate Acoustic Assessment is provided with, and approved for, any future subdivision application for the lots in closest proximity of the railway.

## 4.6 DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Development of land within the subject site is to be consistent with TPS No. 2.

## 4.7 NOTIFICATIONS ON TITLES

Where an application proposes to create lots with include land with a bushfire attack level of 12.5 or above, the following notification on the certificate(s) of title of the proposed lot(s) may be required:

This land is within a bushfire prone area as designated by an order made by the Fire and Emergency Services Commissioner and is/may be subject to a bushfire management plan. Additional planning and building requirements may apply to development on this land.

Other standard conditions may be incorporated on the certificates(s) of title as appropriate.

# 5. LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS

The preparation of a Local Development Plan in accordance with clause 5.18.5 of the TPS No. 2 may be required by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC), on the advice of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, as a condition of subdivision approval where deemed necessary to address specific site considerations for development where proposed lots or parcels:

- Abutting POS reservations; or
- Are subject to the development performance standards of State Planning Policy 3.7 Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas, and have a demonstratable bush fire risk; or
- At the discretion of the developer there are additional development considerations or site constraints that need to be addressed through a LDP to enable coordinated development of the site.

Notwithstanding, the WAPC or local government may require the preparation of a LDP in accordance with Part 6, Schedule 2 (Regulation 47) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (W.A.).

The LDP shall demonstrate an additional level of detail to specify how development will achieve the objectives and development standards outlined in the previous section and other planning framework considerations. A LDP shall not vary the proposed land use permissibility or residential density, as detailed in the structure plan.

**Local Development Plan Information Required**

A LDP should draw on this Local Structure Plan to guide its preparation and shall consider other LDPs prepared and approved prior within this LSP area.

LDPs shall demonstrate a coordinated approach to development, and where possible a single LDP should encompass multiple contiguous development sites or lots, as opposed to individual sites and lots.

# 6. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

## 6.1 CONDITIONS OF SUBDIVISIONAL APPROVAL

The following technical reports / strategies are to be prepared and submitted as a condition of subdivision approval (where applicable):

- Urban Water Management Plan;
- Bushfire Management Plan (BAL Assessment); and
- Acid Sulphate Soils Management Plan.

## 6.2 BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT

This Local Structure Plan is supported by a Bushfire Management Plan (Appendices 3 and 6.2). Regardless of whether the land has been formally designated as bushfire prone, any building to be erected on land identified as falling within 100 metres of a bushfire hazard is designated as bushfire prone land and shall comply with the requirements of Australian Standard 3959 under the Building Code of Australia. Stage / subdivision applications will need to provide bushfire assessment documentation and relevant (self-contained) treatments at each subsequent level of planning in accordance with State Planning Policy 3.7—Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas.

## 6.3 DEVELOPMENT CONTRIBUTIONS

The Local Structure Plan is subject to the Byford Development Contribution Scheme pursuant to the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

Part

# 2 Explanatory Section

## 1. PLANNING BACKGROUND

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Part Two of this Local Structure Plan comprises an explanatory report that outlines site details, the applicable planning framework, site conditions and constraints and the design rationale for the structure plan. Part Two should be read in conjunction with the Local Structure Plan Map and any figures and appendices as they relate.

The purpose of the LSP is to guide the subdivision and subsequent development of the LSP area in the western part of Byford's townsite. This LSP has been prepared in accordance with the requirements for Development Areas under Part 5.4 of the Shire's Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS No. 2) the "deemed provisions" of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (W.A.).

The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan was endorsed by the Western Australian Planning Commission on 17 July 2017. In the time since, portions of the LSP area have been developed, generally west and south of the subject site. Based on the information presented in the LSP, the estimated post-development dwelling yield was for 1,875–1,975 dwellings, which has increased to 1,890–1,990 dwellings in Amendment No. 1 over the 120.5ha structure plan are (refer to Table 1—Land Use Summary). The LSP is lodged in accordance with Clause 5.18.1 of TPS2 where a structure plan is required prior to subdivision or development within a Development Zone.

### 1.2 LAND DESCRIPTION

The following section provides a brief summary of the location, land use and ownership within the LSP area.

#### 1.2.1 Location

The LSP area is in the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, approximately 30km south-east of the Perth CBD, 9km south-west of the Armadale Town Centre and 2km south-west of the Byford Town Centre along Orton Road, Byford (Figure 10: Location Plan).

The LSP area is generally bound by:

- Orton Road to the south;
- Brickwood Reserve (Bush Forever Site No. 351) and Soldiers Road to the east; and
- 'The Glades' residential estate to the north, south and west.




*Figure 1 March 2017 aerial of the Doley Road Precinct LSP area.*




*Figure 2 March 2020 Comparison aerial of the Doley Road Precinct LSP area.*

### 1.2.2 Area and Land Use

The LSP comprises a total land area of 120.5ha. The lots have generally been cleared over the years for agricultural use with only small pockets of vegetation remaining.

In recent years many of the original holdings have been subdivided into single, albeit large rural-lifestyle lots with a number of dwellings constructed on these lots during the 1970's. These lots are still used for peri-agricultural activities.

Figure 1 and 2 on the previous page demonstrate the increased development of the LSP area since 2017 when it was first approved. This new development includes Beenyup Primary School and a large number of houses.

### 1.2.3 Ownership and Title Details

The LSP area is comprised of 47 freehold lots.

The LSP has been prepared over all of the aforementioned properties at the request of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale, to ensure integrated planning over the entire site.

### 1.2.4 Surrounding Land Use and Context

The LSP is located within the Byford Urban Growth Cell which is rapidly developing residential front that surrounds the Byford town centre. The LSP will bring this significant growth cell to a logical conclusion.

The following is a summary of the local context:

- Brickwood Reserve abuts the site to the east;
- 'The Glades' residential estate adjoins the LSP area along the northern and western boundaries.
- Land on the southern side of Orton Road consists of cleared rural land. This land is approved for residential development as part of 'The Glades' estate.
- The Byford town centre is located 2km north-east of the LSP, on the South Western Highway.
- The Metropolitan Redevelopment Authority's Forrestdale West Business Park Estate is located 10km to the north. It is a significant 190ha business and service commercial estate, which will support future population growth in the corridor.

## 1.3 PLANNING FRAMEWORK

### 1.3.1 Metropolitan Region Scheme

The Metropolitan Region Scheme is a broad regional planning document which sets out the zoning and use of land in the Perth metropolitan area.

The SP area is zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and the Blackwood Reserve abutting the SP area is reserved for 'Parks and Recreation.





*Figure 3: Metropolitan Region Scheme Map Sheet 28. Source: WAPC 2020.*

### 1.3.2 Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No. 2

The structure plan area is zoned "Urban Development" under the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No. 2.

The objectives and purpose of the Urban Development zoned land are as follows:

*The purpose of the Urban Development zone is to provide for the orderly planning of large areas of land in a locally integrated manner and within a regional context, whilst retaining flexibility to review planning with changing circumstances. The zone will allow for the following:*

a) development of functional communities consistent with orderly and proper planning and the establishment and maintenance of an appropriate level of amenity;

b) variety in the range of lot sizes and dwelling types within communities, consistent with a cohesive and attractively built environment;

c) provision of retail, commercial, industrial and mixed use facilities to service the needs of residents within the communities, and integration of these facilities with social and recreational services, so as to maximise convenience;

d) provision of retail, commercial, business park and industrial facilities to provide local employment opportunities;

e) provision of open space and recreation networks, appropriate community services, school sites and other recreational facilities;

f) establishment of multiple use corridors for drainage, nutrient control and recreational purposes, in association with the development of communities based on the principles of water sensitive urban design;

g) optimisation of convenience in respect of rail, road, cycleway and other transportation means, to and within the communities;

The above components will be facilitated by means of:

a) establishment of Structure Plans to ensure that development takes place in conformity with those Plans;

b) establishment of a mechanism to coordinate the provision of infrastructure for subdivision and development to and within the communities;

c) establishment of an equitable method for the distribution, between owners within area, of the costs of nominated infrastructure components required for subdivision and development of the areas into communities; and

d) provision of administrative procedures to ensure the expedient and successful execution of the above matters.

It is considered that the proposed local structure plan amendment, and potential development as a result, is wholly consistent with the objectives of "Urban Development" zoned land, as elaborated on and detailed in the Planning Assessment and Justification section of this report. The proposed local structure plan is consistent with the existing approved structure plan insofar as its achievement and satisfaction of its objectives and site context.

The structure plan area is also located within Development Area 3, which is known as the Byford Development Area. The Byford Development Area covers an area of approximately 1370ha and is separated into 15 precincts as per Plan 9A of TPS2.

In addition, the structure plan area is also located within Development Contribution Area 1, which is known as the Byford Traditional Infrastructure Development Contribution Plan. This plan broadly requires a monetary contribution from landowners for:

- The upgrades and construction of existing and new roads.
- Improvements to the existing sporting facilities and playing fields within Byford as well as the provision for and development of new facilities.
- The development of land for local and neighbourhood parks, multiple-use corridors for recreation and drainage areas and land for purely for drainage.
- The compensation costs to acquire land for roads, public open space and drainage.





*Figure 4: Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale TPS No. 2 Map 1 of 7. Source: WAPC 2020.*

### 1.3.3 Byford District Structure Plan (2005)

The LSP is within the Byford Structure Plan. This is the district level structure plan for the area generally bound by Thomas Road to the north, Hopkinson Road and the future Tonkin Highway extension to the west, Cardup Siding Road to the south and the Byford town centre and the Darling Range foothills to the east.

The Byford Structure Plan establishes 12 precincts, and allows for the preparation of individual local structure plans over any one of these precincts, in lieu of one large local structure plan covering the entire Byford Structure Plan area. The LSP corresponds with Precinct 7 of the Byford Structure Plan (Figure 5: Byford Structure Plan).

The LSP is consistent with Byford Structure Plan in that it:

- Proposes predominately residential development;
- Creates a primary school site in the general location identified by the Byford Structure Plan;
- Locates a neighbourhood centre at the corner of Orton and Doley Roads;
- Provides road interface between Brickwood Reserve and residential development;
- Creates a multiple use corridor through the site from the Brickwood Reserve, to accommodate an existing waterway; and
- Provides for the widening of Orton Road to accommodate stormwater drainage.





*Figure 5: Byford District Structure Plan*

### 1.3.4 Strategic Planning Framework

Perth and Peel@3.5million

Perth and Peel@3.5million is the overarching strategic planning framework for the Perth and Peel metropolitan regions. Perth and Peel@3.5million proposes five strategic themes for a liveable, prosperous, connected, sustainable and collaborative Shire. The framework aspires to a Shire that provides '…a network of connected activity centres which deliver employment, entertainment and high-density lifestyle choices'. The site is located within the South Metropolitan Peel Sub-Region. The framework further identifies that additional an additional 14,680 dwellings will be required to be accommodated in the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale (urban infill) over the 35 years to 2050.

The structure plan responds to the objectives and intent of Perth and Peel@3.5million by achieving the following:

1. The site recognises the priority of public transport over the use of private vehicles, and will take advantage of future public transport options, as urban densification occurs;
2. The site provides for appropriate and responsive urban consolidation;

3. The site provides for higher-density residential development;
4. The site provides a minimal impact of the existing fabric of the surrounding local area; and
5. The site contributes to an increase in density and diversity of beneficial land-uses by allowing for a variety of complementary uses in the Local Centre zone.

Based on this information, and given the above, the structure plan is considered to achieve the intent and objectives of Perth and Peel@3.5million.

### 1.3.5 Other Planning Considerations

**Liveable Neighbourhoods**

Liveable Neighbourhoods is an operational policy, adopted by the WAPC, for the design and assessment of new structure plans and subdivisions. The elements of Liveable Neighbourhoods primarily relate to larger-scale structure plans and subdivisions and accordingly a detailed assessment of this structure plan against these elements is not considered necessary in this instance due to its small scale and simple nature. There are two versions of Liveable Neighbourhoods—the 2009 approved version and 2015 draft version.

Nethertheless, it is acknowledged that the general intent and objectives of Liveable Neighbourhoods are relevant in terms of addressing such elements as connectivity and walkability, provision of public parkland, urban water management and utilities. A detailed description of the design rationale for the LSP has accordingly been provided in Section 3 of this LSP report as a result.

**State Planning Policy 3.7 (SPP3.7) – Planning in Bush Fire Prone Areas**

SPP 3.7 – Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas was gazetted in December 2015 and sets out a range of matters that need to be addressed through the planning process to provide an appropriate level of protection of life and property from bushfires. The LSP is accompanied by a Bushfire Management Plan which includes a Bushfire Hazard Assessment as per the Guidelines. The manner in which the LSP responds to bushfire risk is discussed in further detail in Section 3.4.

**Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Policies**

The following Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Policies (LPP) are applicable to the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan area. Their relevance will depend on the proposed use/development of land within the Structure Plan Area and therefore should be considered in any future development or subdivision of the subject site:

- LPP 2.4 – Water Sensitive Urban Design Guidelines
- LPP 2.7 – Bio-Diversity Planning Policy
- LPP 2.8 – Public Open Space Policy
- LPP 4.7 – Placement of Fill in Non-Urban Areas
- LPP 4.13 – Revegetation Policy
- LPP 4.16 – Landscape and Vegetation Policy
- LPP 4.18 – Street Trees Policy

# 2. SITE CONDITIONS & CONSTRAINTS

## 2.1 TOPOGRAPHY & GEOLOGY

The topography and soils within the LSP area are suitable for urban development. The LSP area is relatively flat, grading from 43m Australian Height Datum (AHD) in the east to 37.5m AHD in the west. Regional geology mapping broadly describes the LSP area as "Guildford Formation". This is further classified as Sandy Clay, comprising white grey to brown, fine to coarse grained sand and clay of moderate plasticity gravel and silt layers. A preliminary earthworks strategy responding to the geology of the LSP area is discussed further in Section 3.9.

### 2.1.1 Acid Sulphate Soils

The Department of Environment and Regulation (DER) Acid Sulphate Soil (ASS) Risk Map shows the majority of the site as "low to moderate risk of ASS occurring within 3m of the natural soils surface". The LSP area is therefore suitable for urban development with an ASS investigation (if necessary) and management plans to be prepared in the usual manner, prior to any excavation as part of the subdivision, as set out in the WAPC's Planning Bulletin 64/2009—Acid Sulphate Soils.

### 2.1.2 Contamination

The LSP area is not located within any DER listed contaminated sites, with the past and present use of the site not generating a high risk of contamination.

## 2.2 BIODIVERSITY & NATURAL ASSETS

The subject site is primarily vacant with only open grassland and shrubbery existing on the site.

### 2.2.1 Flora and Vegetation

The land has been subject to long periods of clearing and agricultural activity and has little remaining ecological value. In accordance with the EPA (2003) "Guidance Statement No. 51 – Terrestrial Flora and Vegetation Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment", a Level 1 (desktop) Flora and Vegetation Survey was undertaken to identify flora species of conservation significance.

The survey found:

- Past clearing of the LSP area for agriculture has adversely affected the biodiversity rating and ecological value, making the site suitable for development.
- Vegetation onsite is degraded and does not contain any areas with an intact understorey.
- There are no declared rare flora or priority species found within the LSP area.

### 2.2.2 Fauna

A Level 1 Fauna Impact Assessment and Black Cockatoo site assessment was undertaken by 360 Environmental, identifying fauna values of the site. The Fauna Impact Assessment is included as part of the Environmental Report.

The Assessment found:

- No recorded species of conservation significance in the LSP area.

- No quality Black Cockatoo foraging habitat, with no evidence of roosting.
- Extensive clearing and the significant degradation of remnant vegetation has resulted in any potential habitat being of low value to native fauna.

The Fauna Impact Assessment concludes that development of the LSP area has the potential to increase the ecological value of the site through the provision and treatment of the multiple use corridor and public open space.

## 2.3 HYDROLOGY

The management of ground and surface water is comprehensively addressed in the Local Water Management Strategy (LWMS) prepared by Urbaqua that clearly demonstrate hydrology is not a constraint to development.

The LWMS was prepared in accordance with the "Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan" (GHD and Department of Water, 2008) which is the district water management strategy for the locality.

The existing hydrological conditions of the LSP are summarised below, while the key principles of the LWMS are discussed further in Section 3.6 of this report.

The structure plan amendment is supported by an addendum (2021) to the LWMS (2016) to demonstrate the suitability of the realigned multiple use corridor.

### 2.3.1 Surface Water

The Department of Parks and Wildlife Swan Coastal Plain geomorphic wetlands database classifies the LSP area as Multiple Use Wetland (Armadale Palusplain: seasonally waterlogged flat land), a low management category that has little ecological value, making it suitable for development.

Surface water from northern portion of the LSP area is conveyed to a minor watercourse that flows through the site in a westerly direction. The LSP has this watercourse being modified into a "living stream" embedded in a multiple use corridor, consistent with the "Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan".

Surface water from the southern portion of the LSP area flows to a drain located in the Orton Road reserve. The LSP provides a 5m road widening of Orton Road, allowing for Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale planned upgrades to this drain, accommodating surface water requirements.

There are no mapped Environmental Protection (Swan Coastal Plain Lakes) Policy 1992 wetlands located within or in proximity to the LSP area.

### 2.3.2 Ground Water

Water modelling carried out by the Department of Water as part of the Lower Serpentine hydrological studies in 2010 found that groundwater levels are close to the natural surface throughout the LSP area. Groundwater monitoring carried out as part of the adjacent "The Glade" Structure Plan confirmed the findings of the Department of Water hydrological studies. Ground water levels can be managed through importing clean fill and the use of subsoil drainage.

## 2.4 BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT

Linfire has prepared a Bushfire Management Plan (FMP) in accordance with WAPC's "Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas". The BMP includes a Bushfire Hazard Assessment that identifies bushfire hazards (post development) (Figures 8) in the amendment area. Figure 14 provides a complete BMP for the overall LSP area. A complete copy of the BMP is included in Appendices 3 and 6.2.

The BMP concludes that bushfire risk is not an impediment to development, subject to the implementation of building protection zones and the construction of dwellings within 100m of bushfire prone vegetation in accordance with AS3959 "Construction of buildings in bushfire prone areas".

The manner in which the LSP responds to bushfire hazards is discussed in further detail within Section 3.4 of this report.

## 2.5 HERITAGE

A desktop search of the Department of Aboriginal Affairs' Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System has indicated that the subject site has no known European heritage or Indigenous Australian heritage significance.

# 3. LAND USE & SUBDIVISION REQUIREMENTS

## 3.1 PROPOSED LSP AMENDMENT

**Local Structure Plan Amendment Proposal and Justification.**

No change in density is proposed and the land which is zoned for residential purposes (subject to this amendment) will remain with a split density of R25 / 40.

The purpose of the proposed LSP amendment is to amend the configuration and location of the POS and the road layouts within No. 55 (Lot 61) Lawrence Way, Byford. The proposed amendment does not alter the POS locations or road network layout that adjoins Lot 61.

The original endorsed LSP illustrated the POS being located in the south-western corner of Lot 61, directly abutting Lawrence Way. Lawrence Way currently exists as a cul-de-sac road, which terminates alongside Lot 61. The endorsed LSP illustrated the POS extending beyond the cul-de-sac, effectively resulting in Lot 61 being landlocked, until such time adjoining lots developed.

The amendment proposes to include a residential area to the south and north of Lot 61 with the POS being situated through the centre of the site. This allows the Multiple Use Corridor (MUC) to connect to Lot 4 to the west and Lot 51/Lot 52 to the east, whilst providing a sizeable active open space area for the community.

## 3.2 LAND USE

A Development Concept Plan has been prepared for the site to demonstrate how development could occur based on the principles and requirements of the LSP (Figure 15: Development Concept Plan). It is important to note that this Development Concept Plan represents only one-way development could occur and that it will be refined at the time of subdivision.

The key principles of the Development Concept Plan are as follows:

- Enable the creation of a diverse range of high-quality housing choices that appeal to a wide market segment.
- Provide an urban form that responds to the local context.
- Recognise the natural amenity and value of the area through interfacing with the adjacent Brickwood Reserve.
- Provide for the existing watercourse that flows through the site, originating from Brickwood Reserve.
- Create accessible and integrated multi-functional public open spaces that offer a range of active and passive recreational opportunities.
- Extend all necessary services and infrastructure in a timely and efficient manner.
- Establish a legible and permeable road network.
- Provide the primary school site planned for in the Byford Structure Plan.

Building on these broader key principles, the LSP provides for the following:

- Approximately 1,850–1,950 lots across the LSP area, with residential densities of R25, R40 and R60. The R40 and R60 densities are focused around key access roads, primary school, neighbourhood centre and areas of high local amenity. R60 will also be allocated to grouped or multiple dwelling development sites.
- Approximately 11.2ha of open space distributed throughout the LSP area, meeting active and passive recreational needs.
- Rehabilitation of the existing waterway that originates from the Brickwood Reserve, to accommodate local and district storm water drainage.
- Construction of a permeable movement network that connects into existing regional and local road networks and provides for pedestrian and cycling infrastructure, and future public transport services.
- Appropriate separation between Brickwood Reserve and all future dwellings to minimise potential risk from bushfire.
- Creation of 4ha primary school site collocated with an area of active open space.
- Provision a Neighbourhood Centre at the intersection of Orton and Doley Roads, providing residents with convenient access to retail.

A Land Use Schedule has been included as Table 2, and should be read in conjunction with the Landscape Masterplan for the amendment area (Figure 5) and the overall LSP area (Figure 16). This is accompanied by the Public Open Space Schedule (Table 2).

## 3.3 RESIDENTIAL

The LSP provides for the delivery of a range of lot types, housing products and lifestyle options in a manner that satisfies state density targets. The delivery of quality housing and streetscapes is one of the primary objectives of the LSP.

### 3.3.1 Dwellings Yields and Density Targets

The LSP has the potential to create approximately 1,850–1,950 residential lots, with a density range of R25 to R60. This is based around the following principles:

- A base density code of R25 applies.
- Medium density R40 areas are typically located adjacent areas of public open space (where a higher level of amenity exists), in proximity to the school and neighbourhood centre, or at the end of street blocks. This coding provides the opportunity to deliver "cottage" style housing (single or double storey, front of rear loaded) or for contemporary "compact" lots that typically bookend street blocks. Single lots sizes will generally range from 180m2 to 300m2.
- The R60 code will be applied adjacent areas of public open space and in proximity to the school or neighbourhood centre, similar to the aforementioned R40 criteria. This coding provides opportunities for smaller cottage lots that can accommodate contemporary two storey residential development. This coding will also be allocated to grouped or multiple dwelling sites, providing for an efficient built form response on these sites.

The LSP has the potential to achieve 16.4 dwellings per gross urban zoned hectare, meeting the 15 dwellings per gross urban zoned hectare density target set out in Perth & Peel@3.5million. Similarly, the LSP achieves a target of approximately 28.2 dwellings per site hectare (being the pure residential area, excluding open space, roads and other non-residential uses), exceeding the density requirement of 22 dwellings per site hectare required by Liveable Neighbourhoods.

### 3.3.2 R-Code Variations

Local Development Plans (LDPs, formerly known as Detailed Area Plans) will be required for a select number of circumstances where specific development requirements are needed to deliver a suitable built form response. These LDPs will be imposed as a condition of subdivision and will be determined by the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale in accordance with section 5.18.5 of TPS NO. 2.

The following outlines the key provisions that will be addressed in these LDPs, with a brief explanation of their application.

Lots abutting areas of Public Open Space Liveable Neighbourhoods supports residential lots directly abutting open space under particular circumstances and where the functionality of the POS is not compromised, and where the dwelling addresses the open space providing adequate visual surveillance.

Typically the interface to public open space at the time of subdivision will be via roads, however, in some instances direct lot frontage is an appropriate design response to provide greater product diversity, address amenity and increase surveillance of the public spaces.

To ensure these public open spaces are not compromised by such a design initiative subsequent subdivision design and engineering detail will ensure that visitor parking is provided along adjacent streets, and the adjacent residential lots are elevated a minimum of 500mm above the POS ground level to create a visible separation between the private and public realm.

Where lots interface with open space, LDPs will need to be prepared to control built form. These LSPs will address:

- minimum setbacks to the public open space.
- major openings (other than bedrooms) to address the space.
- permeable fencing to ensure constant surveillance.

### 3.3.3 Lots within a designated Bushfire Prone Area

LDPs will be prepared for lots identified as located within a designated bushfire prone area, discussed further in Section 3.4.

These LDPs will ensure that landowners will be notified of bushfire risk, and that dwellings must be constructed to an appropriate Building Attack Level (BAL) standard. Mandatory boundary setbacks can also form part of any required building protection zone (if applicable).

## 3.4 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

The Landscape Masterplan prepared by LD Total Landscaping describes the intended function and design principles of each open space area, shown in the Landscape Master Plan in Figure 5 below. The overall Landscape Master Plan for the LSP area has been provided in Figures 6 and 16.

The LSP ensures that public open space can serve a variety of functions throughout the LSP area, balancing active and passive recreational pursuits, whilst allowing for the rehabilitation of the “living stream” that conveys surface water through the site from Brickwood Reserve.

Structure Plan Amendment 1 slightly reduces the POS component on Lot 61 Lawrence Way. The reconfiguration of POS5 has resulted in a relocation of a residential area to the south of POS5 that is able to connect to the public road network. This also allows development to commence prior to the construction of the section of Lawrence Way abutting Lot 61 that has not yet been ceded as road reserve by the respective landowner.

A cash-in-lieu component will be required upon subdivision of Lot 61, in respect of the POS shortfall of 700sqm, compared to the 2017 SP.

As illustrated and described below the POS design will include the following key elements:

- A living stream with natural vegetation within the MUC.
- An open, levelled lawn area to be used as an active POS area in the north-eastern portion of the POS. It is envisaged this space will act as a ‘kick-a-bout’ space that may include sporting goals/posts and/or a half basketball court or the like. The sporting equipment provided should target the age group of approximately

8+ years and should be contained to the western side of the active POS area as residential lots will abut in the eastern side in the future.

- 'Turf pockets' are also proposed to the north of residential block 4, which is abutting the proposed lots and to the south of the residential block 1. These spaces will include footpath connections to Lawrence Way as well as heavier landscaping directly abutting the lots for privacy.

- A smaller active space is also provided to the east of residential block 4 on the opposite side of the MUC to the larger active space. It is envisaged that this space would contain exercise equipment and a seating/rest node as well as a bridge connection over the living stream to connect the two active POS spaces.

The landscaping of the amendment area will include an attractive pedestrian friendly path network flowing alongside the living stream, interspersed with seating, grassed areas, and native and WaterWise plantings.

The Landscape Masterplan is included in Appendices 5 and 6.



*Figure 5: Landscape Master Plan. Source: LD TOTAL 2021.*




*Figure 6: Landscape Master Plan. Source: LD TOTAL 2017.*

### 3.4.1 Multiple Use Corridor (Living Stream)

The LSP provides for a 16m wide multiple use corridor that passes through the LSP area, incorporating an existing waterway originating from Brickwood Reserve.

In accordance with the "Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan", this is to be landscaped as a "living stream" and can potentially provide the following:

- Native and WaterWise groundcover, plants, and trees for easy and low cost maintenance.
- Pedestrian pathways and seating, including a respite area for passive recreation outside areas affected by frequent storm events.
- Viewing nodes for viewing opportunities over the "living stream", including through visually permeable fencing.
- A design which achieves the principles and objectives of Water Sensitive Urban Design.

This multiple use corridor is critical in that it conveys local and district drainage for all rainfall events as well as providing a high-quality landscaped space for passive recreation and local amenity. The multiple use measures approximately 30m in width. There are opportunities to further the amenity of this space through the inclusion of pedestrian pathways, along with seating and shaded areas, as per the detailed section Landscape Plans of Appendices 5 and 6.3.

### 3.4.2 Local Parks

Local parks of between 3000m² and 8000m² are provided to ensure all dwellings are within a 400m walk, in accordance with Liveable Neighbourhoods. The design of local parks is based on the following principles:

- Retention of remnant trees wherever appropriate.
- Comprising native or low water use shrubs and grasses, for easy and low cost maintenance.
- Open turfed areas will provide an informal play and a kick-about spaces within selected local parks.
- Opportunities to provision shelter and picnic facilities.
- Potential for fitness nodes and play equipment.

## 3.4 BUSHFIRE MANAGEMENT

A review of the Department of Fire and Emergency Services (DFES) dataset revealed that the subject site is designated as a Bushfire Prone Area.

A Bushfire Management Plan (BMP) has been prepared for Lot 61 (No. 55) Lawrence Way by Linfire for the Local Structure Plan Amendment No. 1 area, and accompanies the BMP which was previously prepared by Essential Environment which covered the whole Structure Plan area (refer to Appendices 3 and 6.2). These BMP have been prepared as a strategic document to guide the delivery of compliant development at future planning stages in accordance with the Guidelines.

In accordance with the WAPC's "Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas", the Bushfire Management Plan (BMP) includes a Bushfire Hazard Assessment identifying bushfire prone areas (a bushfire prone area is defined as any land that may experience a bushfire that has the potential to impact development). The images effectively show that the post-development bushfire hazard level is low, and ultimately there are no risks or concerns which have been identified which would otherwise limit or restrict residential development. The images shown below illustrate that Amendment No. 1 area will result in a "low" bushfire hazard level.





*Figure 7: Post-development vegetation classification and effective slope. Source: Linfire Consultancy 2021.*





*Figure 8: Post-development bushfire hazard level and bushfire management measure. Source: Linfire Consultancy 2021.*

The low-risk nature of the post-development condition has been assigned to the Amendment No. 1 area by Linfire Consultancy as follows:

> *The bushfire hazard levels have been assigned on the basis of the vegetation discussed in Section 3.1.4 and the future expected vegetation extent within and surrounding the project area.*

The LSP provides a design response and the planning framework to address potential bushfire risk. It demonstrates that bushfire risk is not an impendent to development as this can be managed through a combination of Asset Protection Zones (APZ) and appropriate construction standards.

The FMP requires the APZ to be sufficient to achieve a Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) of 29 or less in accordance with AS 3959 "Construction of buildings within bushfire prone areas". Fences constructed from non-combustible materials away from the vulnerable parts of the building such as windows and doors.

The LSP responds by creating an APZ to provide sufficient separation from surrounding classified vegetation and ensuring all landscaping within the project complies with the APZ standards. Proposed residential dwellings will also be constructed to the specifications of AS 3959 for the assessed BAL rating. All areas of POS will be landscaped and maintained to a "low hazard" standard within the LSP area.

Vehicle access to the proposed development will be configured to comply with the Guidelines and include any temporary access arrangements to ensure compliance during staged development. The northern and southern portions of Lawrence way will be linked, removing the cul-de-sac.

The existing town main and street hydrant connections will be extended to the project area in accordance with the requirements for reticulated water supplies of the Guidelines.

In light of the above, Linfire considers the associated bushfire risks to be manageable through standards bushfire management responses in compliance with the Guidelines and AS 3959 to combat any potential bushfire hazards within and adjacent to the project area.

Any future lots constructed to a BAL12.5 standard or greater needs to provide appropriate notifications on titles to inform landowners of the need to construct dwellings to higher (BAL) construction standard as a condition of subdivision. Any mandatory constructions standards will be implemented via Local Development Plans at the time of subdivision approval, as discussed in Section 3.2.2. In addition, the retention of vegetation within the Lawrence Way road reserve will occur where possible.

## 3.5 MOVEMENT NETWORK

A comprehensive Transport Impact Assessment has been prepared by KCTT traffic engineers, included as Appendix 6.4.

The following section discusses the key elements of the Assessment, including existing and planned movement network, road hierarchy classification and an overview of the cyclist and pedestrian network.

### 3.5.1 Existing Movement Network

The LSP is supported by a road network that allows for excellent connections to local, district and regional destinations. Key aspects of this existing road network are:

- Hopkinson Road runs in a north-south direction, approximately 1.3km west of the LSP area.
- Orton Road abuts the southern edge of the LSP area, intersecting with Hopkinson Road to the west and Soldiers Road to the east.
- Doley Road passes through the LSP area, connecting The Glades development to the north with Orton Road to the south.
- Warrington Road provides local access to the area, connecting to Orton Road. Soldiers Road abuts the eastern most edge of the LSP.
- Perrone Avenue, Allanson Drive, Patridge Bend, Haliday Road and Lawrence Way provide local traffic connections to adjacent development.
- Recorded weekday traffic flows (MRWA) are as follows:
    - Hopkinson Road (south of Abernathy Road): 3,097 vpd
    - Doley Road (south of Abernathy Road): 2,743 vpd.
    - Orton Road: 449 vpd
    - Soldiers Road (south of Abernathy Road): 2,158 vpd.
- Public bus service 254 connects to Armadale Train Station and runs along Doley Road, approximately 400m north of the LSP area, providing an quarter hour service Monday to Friday, with an hourly service on Saturdays.
- Abernethy Road located approximately 2.3km north of the LSP area, is considered a good riding environment in accordance with the Department of Transport's Perth Bike Map series.
- Main Roads Western Australia planning shows the future Tonkin Highway extension replacing Hopkinson Road with a full interchange at Orton Road. No timeframe has been established for this infrastructure works. Once complete this will dramatically improve regional access for the LSP area.

### 3.5.2 Proposed Movement Network

Road Network

The road network reflects the principles and standards of Liveable Neighbourhoods and has been designed to provide a permeable and legible movement structure. Road alignments also reflect the constraints imposed by surrounding land uses and existing road connections.

The KCTT Road Hierarchy Plan shows the hierarchy of the movement network planned for the area and the external connections to the existing network (Figure 19: Road Hierarchy Plan). The road network has been planned and modelled on known and forecast traffic volumes and will be refined further at the time of subdivision to relevant Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale standards.

The key aspects of the planned road network are as follows:

- **Orton Road**—to be classified as an Other Regional Road, is to be widened from 20 metres to 32 metres (6 metres to be ceded by landholdings north and south of the road).

- **Soldiers Road**—to be classified as an Other Regional Road, is to be widened to 30 metres with 10 metres to be ceded by landholdings abutting Soldiers Road.
- **Turner Road**—is to be extended westwards to connect to Doley Road and classified as a neighbourhood Connector B, with a reservation width of 19.4m.
- **Doley and Warrington Roads**—to be classified as Neighbourhood Connector A roads, with a reservation width of 24.4m.
- **Lawrence Way**—to be classified as Neighbourhood Connector B roads, with a reservation width of 19.4m.
- **Access Streets**—these will range in width from 14.2 to 17.9m road reserves with the majority to be constructed as 15.4m road reserves with a 6.0m–7.2m carriageway. These road reserve widths will be reduced by 1m when the access street is adjacent to public open space as set out in Liveable Neighbourhoods.
- **Laneways**—to be a minimum width of 6m to accommodate two-way movements and rubbish collection.

This proposed local road network will be refined further at the time of subdivision.

Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities

The LSP provides an excellent level of accessibility and permeability for pedestrians and cyclists, both within the site and via connections to surrounding areas (Figure 20: Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities).

The pedestrian and cyclist facility network will be provided in accordance with the following key principles:

- Footpaths on at least one side of all access roads, constructed to a minimum width of 1.8m.
- Neighbourhood Connector (A and B) roads will be provided with a 2.3m wide footpath to one side, in addition to a 1.8m footpath on the opposite side.
- Orton Road will be provided with a 2.3m wide footpath to the northern side.
- Roads adjacent to the primary school site will be provided with a dual use path.
- Dual use pathways will be provided, connecting to the existing “Trails Network” located within Brickwood Reserve.

The precise location and alignment of footpaths and shared paths will be determined in consultation with the Shire of Serpentine- Jarrahdale as part of the detailed engineering stage following subdivision approval.

Public Transport

It is common for development to precede public transport services as these are not provided until there is a critical population mass to demand and warrant such services. Presently bus route 254 connects to Armadale Train Station and runs along Doley Road, approximately 400m north of the LSP area.

It is anticipated that existing bus routes will be extended to service the LSP area once local population mass warrants these services. Doley Road, Lawrence Way and Warrington Road are planned as Neighbourhood Connectors and will be capable of accommodating a public bus route.

### 3.5.3 Transport Network Analysis

KCTT have undertaken comprehensive traffic modelling for the movement network proposed by the LSP. It demonstrates that the proposed network and associated reserve widths have the capacity to accommodate expected traffic volumes in the year 2036. This is consistent with the long-term planning horizon in Perth and Peel@3.5million and Main Roads regional modelling.

All vehicle movements fall within the acceptable limits outlined by Liveable Neighbourhoods for the respective road categories proposed by the LSP.

It is important to note that the traffic modelling undertaken incorporates all land anticipated for future urban development, as identified by the Byford Structure Plan and Perth and Peel@3.5million. This has ensured a transparent and robust model.

Internal Intersections

The precise nature and function of all internal intersections will be determined once the location and alignment of access streets have been finalised as part of the subdivision approval. All intersection spacing and treatments will be designed to Liveable Neighbourhoods standards.

The Paramics Model and SIDRA capability analysis carried out for the site confirms that uninterrupted traffic flows can be expected at all internal intersections during typical operating conditions.

## 3.6 WATER MANAGEMENT

In accordance with the WAPC's Better Urban Water Management Guidelines, Urbaqua has prepared a Local Water Management Strategy (LWMS) that establishes key principles for the management of stormwater runoff and groundwater quality for the subject site. The amended structure plan involves the realignment of the POS layout and area within Lot 55 and the increase of residential area. Therefore, this LWMS outlines the strategies which will be implemented to manage stormwater within the Multiple Use Corridor.

Pursuant to Schedule 15 (DCA1) of TPS NO. 2, the LWMS is consistent with the "Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan" and has been prepared in consultation with the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and the Department of Water.

This LWMS is supportable for approval of the structure plan by the City as the proposed stormwater and groundwater strategies are appropriate for the management of the project area. Any increase in surface runoff to the POS caused by the expansion of residential development will be limited through the extension of subsoil and stormwater drainage along Lawrence Road.

In addition, due to the increase in length of the main channel by 50m (approximately), the reconfigured layout of POS can provide an increased flood storage capacity, as well as within the MUC. Furthermore, as shown in the concept below, there is an adequate amount of POS available to accommodate the recommended meander length design and therefore avoid sharp bends in the live stream.





*Figure 9: Living Stream and MUC Concept. Source: Urbaqua 2020.*

The management principles set out in the LWMS will be refined and implemented as part of subsequent Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) which will be prepared at the time of subdivision.

A copy of the LWMS is provided in Appendices 4 and 6.5.

### 3.6.1 Stormwater Management

The LWMS proposes stormwater management and groundwater recharge in a manner that is consistent with "Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan" and water sensitive design practices:

Soak wells on individual lots will allow onsite infiltration for the small stormwater event (first 15mm of rainfall). Rainwater from street pavements and commercial sites for the small stormwater event will be infiltrated as close to source as practicable, via bio-retention structures and tree pits located in the road reserves.

Rainwater exceeding the small stormwater event (5 year ARI) will be conveyed through a series of pipes and overland drains to either the multiple use corridor that passes through the LSP area, or the drain located in the Orton Road reserve.

Rainwater from major flood events (100 year ARI) will be conveyed via drains and road reserves to join flow paths leading to the multiple use corridor or the Orton Road reserve drain.

Interim Stormwater Solution

Land under the ownership of Delfina Properties Pty Ltd is proposed to be developed in advance of the remainder of the LSP area. The multiple use corridor where the majority of stormwater flows are to be discharged, falls outside this initial area of development.

An interim stormwater solution is proposed to allow this initial stage of development to proceed.

This involves the northern boundary of the Delfina Properties land being retained in the ownership of Delfina Properties, with a cut-off drain conveying stormwater towards Doley Road being placed in this land. An open drain within the Doley Road reserve will then convey flows northwards, into the multiple use corridor located in the adjacent "The Glades" (Figure 21: Interim Drainage Solution).

Once development to the north of the Delfina Properties land proceeds, stormwater flows will be redirected to the multiple use corridor as set out in the "Byford Townsite Drainage and Water Management Plan".

### 3.6.2 Groundwater Management

A preliminary earthworks strategy has been prepared over the LSP area in order to achieve adequate separation to groundwater. Minimum separation between finished lot levels and groundwater will be achieved by a combination of clean fill and subsoil drainage to protect against any post-development groundwater rise.

Finished lot levels and fill requirements will be confirmed and reported during the Urban Water Management Plan stage, given the level of detailed engineering design involved.

### 3.6.3 Implementation and Delivery

The LWMS establishes the strategy in which development within the LSP can occur in a water sensitive manner. It will be the responsibility of the developer to construct and maintain the stormwater drainage system at subdivision stage.

A post-development monitoring programme will be designed in cooperation with the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and the Department of Water. This will involve monitoring surface water during August to October to capture peak winter flows, and in March to capture the first baseline flows. Groundwater levels and quality will be carried out on a quarterly basis with samples being analysed at a NATA accredited laboratory.

## 3.7 ACTIVITY CENTRES

The LSP is located in close proximity to a number of existing and planned activity centres, ensuring excellent access to retail and employment opportunities:

- The Byford Town Centre is located 2km north-east of the LSP area, identified as a district centre in "State Planning Policy 4.2 – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel".
- The "Byford Village Centre" is a large neighbourhood centre located only 500m north of the LSP area. Construction of the "Byford Village Centre" is expected to commence in 2016.
- A neighbourhood centre and mixed-use strip is situated immediately west of the LSP area on Orton Road.

The Byford Structure Plan provides for a further neighbourhood centre at the intersection of Orton and Doley Roads, "bookending" the mixed use strip on Orton Road. This Centre is included within the LSP.

A Retail Needs Assessment was undertaken by RPS to confirm that the location and size of this neighbourhood centre was appropriate in its context. The Assessment concluded that the location of the neighbourhood centre allowed for excellent customer exposure via its dual frontage to Orton and Doley Roads. The Assessment forecast at ultimate development, the neighbourhood centre can support 1,500m² of retail floor space. A copy of the Retail Needs Assessment is provided as Appendix 6.6.

## 3.8 EDUCATION

The LSP is well serviced by existing and planned education facilities as established by the Byford Structure Plan.

Three primary schools currently serve the Byford Structure Plan area, with an additional four primary schools and one high school planned for the Structure Plan area. The Byford Structure Plan has located each school so as to be centrally located within its surrounding catchment.

The LSP delivers one of the planned primary school sites, at the location shown in the Byford Structure Plan.

The school is provided with excellent access and circulation consistent with the principles of Liveable Neighbourhoods, surrounded by Lawrence Road to the west, Turner Road to the north and two local access roads to the south and east.

The Department of Education supports the size and location of the primary school site.

## 3.9 INFRASTRUCTURE COORDINATION, SERVICING, & STAGING

The following section summarises the engineering considerations in the Engineering Servicing Report prepared by Pritchard Francis Engineers.

A copy of the Engineering Services Report is provided as Appendix 6.7.

### 3.9.1 Earthworks Strategy

A preliminary earthwork strategy has been prepared for the LSP.

Key aspects of the Strategy are:

- Provide at least 1.2 m of clean permeable sand in order to provide adequate clearance for onsite drainage (i.e. soakwells).
- Provide minimal retaining due to minimal fall across the LSP area.
- Match into the ground levels found on adjoining landholdings, including Brickwood Reserve abutting the eastern boundary of the LSP.
- Earthwork the multiple use corridor to allow for free water flows across the site, originating from Brickwood Reserve.
- Create finished levels that accommodate gravity-reliant infrastructure that facilitates sewer and drainage services.

### 3.9.2 Waste Water

The Water Corporation planning shows waste water from LSP being gravity fed southwards to a proposed main along Orton Road. This line feeds to the Byford pump station located adjacent to Hopkinson Road within "The Glades". A small northern portion of the LSP will feed into existing catchments to the north through connections to existing reticulated sewer systems within "The Glades".

### 3.9.3 Water Supply

The Water Corporation has confirmed reticulated water will be provided via a 250P main within the Orton Road reserve, turning north into Doley Road and ultimately connecting into the existing mains along Soldiers Road.

### 3.9.4 Power Supply

Existing overhead High Voltage power lines within the LSP area have capacity to service future development. These power lines will be placed underground as part of Western Power development requirements. Any incremental demand increases will be considered by Western Power as normal network growth which may require additional High Voltage feeder cables being extended into the area.

### 3.9.5 Gas Supply

The LSP will be serviced via medium pressure 100PVC gas mains which exists within the Doley, Lawrence, Orton and Turner Road reserves. These mains have the capacity to service future development.

### 3.9.6 Telecommunications

The size and expected yield of the LSP means that the National Broadband Network (NBN Co.) will be the telecommunications provider, with NBN connected developments already existing to the north-west of the LSP area and within the nearby Byford town centre. The design and installation of a "pit and pipe" network will be undertaken as development of the site progresses, with optic fibre to be provided by the NBN Co.

### 3.9.7 Staging and Timing

The LSP will be staged to ensure the efficient supply of infrastructure and to meet market demand. The first stage of development is planned to be centrally located within the land controlled by the Delfina Properties Pty Ltd, with the subsequent stage moving southward towards Orton Road (Figure 22: Indicative Staging Plan).

Initial lot release is expected in early 2017, subject to subdivision design and approvals being in place.

The remainder of the LSP area will be staged as demand grows, planned around the logical and efficient connection to services. This planned staging should be taken as indicative only is subject to change depending on market demand, landowner timeframes and other variables.

### 3.9.8 Development Contributions

The LSP area is located within Development Contribution Area 1 (DCA1) under appendix 16A (for Byford Development Contribution Area) within TPS No. 2 and is subject to the "Byford Townsite Development Contribution Plan".

# 4. CONTEXT PLANS & FIGURES

## 4.1 CONTEXT PLANS

Context plans have been prepared for the LSP which provide a comprehensive overview of the site conditions and context. These context plans are accompanied and supported by the consultant's reports and technical appendices. The provided context plans which are provided on the following pages include the following:

Context Plan 1: Topography and Cadastre
Context Plan 2: Public Transport
Context Plan 3: Roads
Context Plan 4: Cycling Network
Context Plan 5: Heritage
Context Plan 6: Bush Fire & Soils
Context Plan 7: Flooding & Wetlands


## 4.2 2017 DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT LSP FIGURES

In support of the Doley Road Precinct LSP Amendment No. 1, the figures of the 2017 LSP have been retained and are provided on the following pages for reference. Given the small area which has been affected by Amendment No. 1 these figures (like the associated technical appendices in Appendix 6) remain relevant, however their interpretation should be treated with a common-sense approach, with regard to the changes in the Amendment No. 1 area. These figures include:

Figure 10: Location Plan
Figure 11: Byford Structure Plan
Figure 12: The Glades Local Structure Plan
Figure 13: South Metropolitan Peel Sub-Regional Planning Framework
Figure 14: Bushfire Hazard Assessment Plan
Figure 15: Development Concept Plan
Figure 16: Landscape Masterplan
Figure 17: Multiple Use Corridor Cross Section
Figure 18: Indicative BAL Ratings
Figure 19: Road Hierarchy Plan
Figure 20: Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities
Figure 21: Interim Drainage Solution
Figure 22: Indicative Staging Plan

APPENDIX 9

**Amendment 2 documentation**



# DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT

## *Local Structure Plan*

APPENDIX 9

Explanatory Report for Amendment 2, with Attachments

CLE Town Planning + Design

CLE Town Planning + Design

| | |
|---|---|
| **Title:** | Doley Road Precinct - Local Structure Plan<br>Appendix 9 - Explanatory Report for Amendment 2, with Attachments |
| **Prepared for:** | Parcel Property |
| **CLE Reference:** | 3410Rep135B |
| **Date:** | 11 February 2025 |
| **Status:** | Final |
| **Prepared by:** | CLE Town Planning + Design |

This report is for the exclusive use of the Client, pursuant to Agreement between the Client and CLE Town Planning + Design. CLE accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever in respect of any use of or reliance upon any information contained within this report by anyone who is not party to the Agreement and/or has come into possession of the Report through parties other than the Client or CLE.

CLE is not accountable for any information which may be contained within the Report which has been supplied by others and reproduced by CLE in this report.

Copyright and any other Intellectual Property arising from this report and the provision of the services in accordance with the Agreement belongs exclusively to CLE unless otherwise agreed and may not be reproduced or disclosed to any other person other than the Client without the express written authority of CLE.

Any reproduction by the Client is to reference CLE as the original author.

Plans and figures contained in this report have been prepared for general information purposes only and may inadvertently use uncontrolled data from external sources. CLE does not guarantee the accuracy of the plans and they should not be used for any detailed site design. The content of this report including all plans remains the property of CLE.

© CLE 2025

# CONTENTS


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1.0 | Purpose of the Amendment | 1 |
| 2.0 | Background | 2 |
| 3.0 | Proposal | 3 |
| 4.0 | POS in Context | 3 |
| 5.0 | Stormwater Management | 5 |
| 6.0 | Bushfire Risk Management | 5 |
| 7.0 | Conclusion | 6 |


# APPENDICES


**Appendix 1** Landscape Concept Plan by LD Total

**Appendix 2** Walkable Catchment for the Lot 32 POS (CLE Ref. 3410-137-01)

**Appendix 3** Reference Plan for the POS Schedule (CLE Ref. 3410-138-01)

**Appendix 4** POS Schedule (CLE Ref. 3410POS139)

**Appendix 5** BAL Contour Plan (EcoLogical, March 2023)







Above: Doley Road Precinct (Source: Parcel Property)


# 1.0 Purpose of the Amendment

The purpose of this amendment is to modify the shape and size of the Public Open Space ('POS') parcel planned for Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford, upgrading the current passive design to a more active design. The new layout will make more efficient use of urban-zoned land and enable a higher level of activation. The proposal is shown in the Structure Plan Map within Part 1 of the LSP (as amended; plan CLE Ref. 3410-22C-01) and the Landscape Concept Plan appended to this report.

The structure plan identifies approximately 1.92ha of Lot 32 for urban purposes (future residential land and local roads) and 1.62ha for public open space ('POS'). This amendment proposes to reduce the POS to 1.02ha to reflect a new, more efficient design for the drainage infrastructure and maintain east-west connectivity.

Detailed design work undertaken recently for the developer, Parcel Property, has identified a narrower profile for the Multiple Use Corridor ('MUC') that passes through Lot 32. This reduces the extent of land occupied by the MUC and accordingly, there is an opportunity to reduce the overall size of the POS. In parallel, Parcel has been investigating ways to improve the useability of the POS in its new layout by incorporating hardscape features (a more active template) as a point of difference to lawns and gardens, which are more passive. This is shown at **Attachment 1**.

**Figure 1** below shows the existing and proposed layout for the Lot 32 POS.




PROPOSED STRUCTURE PLAN AMENDMENT

APPROVED STRUCTURE PLAN

**Figure 1:** Existing and proposed layout for the Lot 32 POS

*Source: Urbanista*

## 2.0 Background

The structure plan was originally approved by the WAPC in March 2017 and it was amended in March 2022. It covers land zoned 'Urban' under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and 'Urban Development' under Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Local Planning Scheme No. 3.

The structure plan area is part of an urban precinct west of Byford and south of Abernethy Road that began development in the late 2000s. The Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan is one of two major structure plans covering this area, the other being 'The Glades, Byford' Local Structure Plan. A significant proportion of 'The Glades Byford' Local Structure Plan has been developed and normalised.

The extent of the two above-mentioned structure plans is primarily defined by original land ownership rather than any significant physical features, and when complete, the new urban area will function as one integrated precinct with neighbourhoods loosely delineated by mid-tier roads such as Doley Road, Lawrence Way and Warrington Road.

The two structure plans propose an east-west MUC containing a minor drainage line running through the urban precinct. The local area was farmland before it was urbanised, so there are isolated trees but no significant remnant vegetation, particularly understorey. The drainage line is proposed to be landscaped as a swale and there are places, such as at Lot 32, where the MUC widens out and accommodates informal recreation, augmenting other POS areas nearby.

Lots 32 and 33 were the subject of a subdivision approval issued in 2023. This enables residential lot creation over Lot 33. An Urban Water Management Plan to support this, required by a condition of approval, will be lodged in late April or early May of 2024. The narrower MUC has been incorporated into UWMP, as it is relevant to the drainage of the approved lots, and it performs as required.



Above: Doley Road Precinct (Source: Parcel Property)

## 3.0 Proposal

As shown in **Figure 1** above, the MUC within Lot 32 is proposed to cover 1.62ha and is rectangular in shape. As shown in the approved Landscape Plan (**Appendix 3**), the 'waterway' component of the MUC (a swale) through Lot 32 is approximately 45m wide and there is a space for informal, passive recreation to the south of the swale.

Parcel Property has identified an opportunity to make more efficient use of urban land by reducing the width of the swale within Lot 32, enabling the overall MUC to also be reduced in area. A Landscape Concept Plan has been prepared by LD Total, informed by our project hydrologist Urbaqua, showing a narrower swale and a smaller overall MUC. This appears at **Attachment 1** to this appendix.

The effect of the proposed change is detailed in Table 1 below. In summary, although the reduction in planned POS is 6,000sqm, the loss of useable POS is only 3,500sqm, which is insignificant in the context of the location.

| | Existing (ha) | Proposed (ha) |
|---|---|---|
| Swale area | 0.85 | 0.6 |
| Recreational area | 0.77 | 0.42 |
| Total MUC within Lot 32 | 1.62 | 1.02 |

**Table 1:** Comparison Table for MUC within Lot 32

The reduction in the width and consequent extent of the MUC is a positive outcome. It performs the same hydrological function as the existing MUC but reduces the future maintenance burden on the Shire. The new landscaping concept for the POS also facilitates greater useability by reducing the extent of land devoted to passive activities and providing more for informal active pursuits.

The reduction of 3,500sqm in the recreational component of the MUC POS will not be to the detriment of the community for the reason that the local area is over-provided with POS, as detailed in the following section.

## 4.0 POS in Context

As discussed above, the recreational, amenity and hydrological function of the POS within Lot 32 will be little changed from the approved structure plan in a practical sense. The new landscaping concept for the POS also facilitates greater useability by reducing the extent of land devoted to passive activities and providing more for informal active pursuits.

As mentioned above, hydrological performance is being demonstrated through the UWMP for an adjacent stage of subdivision, and there will be a recreational benefit because Parcel intends to facilitate more active uses (for example, play equipment, exercise equipment and/or parkour infrastructure) than are contemplated in the design approved in the current structure plan.

There will also be little change in terms of the *Liveable Neighbourhoods* POS calculation, as the local area is over-provided with POS even with the planned reduction incorporated into the calculation. Using the definitions in **Liveable Neighbourhoods**, the Lot 32 POS is a 'Local Park' and therefore serves a walkable catchment of up to 300m (Element 4, R14). The extent of this is shown on **Figure 2** below, and in full in **Attachment 2**. The catchment includes the following other parks:

- Icaria Park (covering 1.06ha and constructed but not yet reserved);
- Lot 8031 Allanson Drive (covering 0.45ha and reserved under LPS 3); and
- Other portions of the MUC.





**Figure 2:** Walkable Catchment for Lot 32 POS

The 300m walkable catchment for the Lot 32 POS straddles the Doley Road and The Glades structure plans. Lot 32 is at the western end of the Doley Road structure plan. As such, POS needs to be considered in its broader locational context. As discussed above, the urban precinct will, on completion, function as one neighbourhood irrespective of the structure plan.

POS provision within the two structure plans is as follows:

- Doley Road LSP: 9.81%.
- The Glades LSP: 17.4%.

The Doley Road LSP was originally approved with a POS figure of 10.0%. The reduction to 9.81% was accepted by the Shire and the WAPC through **Amendment 1**, which was progressed by Urbanista for another landowner. The reduction related to a portion of the MUC located further east, approximately 500 metres away on Lot 61 Lawrence Way. In that instance, a similar proposal to reduce the width and extent of the swale was supported.

The over-provision of POS in The Glades structure plan suggests that in a cross-boundary catchment area such as this, there may be adequate POS even with a reduced MUC. To investigate this, the above-mentioned 300m walkable catchment has been overlaid on an amalgam of the two relevant structure plans and a POS Schedule produced – refer to **Attachments 3 and 4**. The POS Schedule confirms that the 300m walkable catchment of the Lot 32 POS has a provision rate of 14.0%, and an unrestricted provision rate of 13.8%. These outcomes exceed the requirements of *Liveable Neighbourhoods*, which are for a 10% rate of provision, 80% of which must be unrestricted.

Amending the Lot 32 POS would ensure that the maintenance and irrigation implications that an over-provision of POS generates are not imposed in this area. It would also have no practical effect on the availability of useable POS. As mentioned, Icaria Park and Lot 8031 are within a short walk (<300m) and there is useable space on both sides of the swale. This contrasts with the existing design for the Lot 32 POS, which only contemplates useable space to the south, not the north.

For the reasons given above, we contend that the smaller Lot 32 POS is compliant with the relevant requirements of *Liveable Neighbourhoods* in its own right and in context, and will deliver ample amenity to local residents. The local area is well-served with POS.

## 5.0 Stormwater Management

The structure plan includes an approved Local Water Management Strategy prepared by hydrologists Essential Environmental. In accordance with *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia*, Urban Water Management Plans are being prepared on a stage-by-stage basis in the context of the LWMS.

In December 2023, Parcel Property received a subdivision approval from the WAPC relating to Lot 33 Doley Road (WAPC Ref. 163451). An Urban Water Management Plan pursuant to the relevant condition on that approval is in preparation by Urbaqua and will be submitted to the Shire in April or May of 2024. This covers both Lots 32 and 33.

The UWMP addresses Lot 32 by adopting the existing MUC POS boundary (the squarer shape) and a related subdivision layout and road network. This is consistent with the existing structure plan and necessary in order to enable Lot 33 to progress. However, the UWMP adopts the new MUC cross-section shown in this LSP amendment request (refer to **Attachment 1**) and is therefore sufficient to justify the new cross-section in terms of its hydrological performance.

We note that Amendment 1 to the structure plan, which made a similar proposal for a reduction in the extent of the MUC, was accompanied by an LWMS Addendum justifying the change. In this case, we respectfully request that this not be required, as the MUC change is minor and is addressed comprehensively via the UWMP.

## 6.0 Bushfire Risk Management

Lot 32 is identified as being bushfire-prone in the map database administered by the Department of Fire and Emergency Services. In accordance with the requirements of State Planning Policy 3.7: Planning in Bushfire-Prone Areas, a Bushfire Management Plan (Essential Environmental, June 2016; 'the BMP') was approved with the structure plan. This confirms that all bushfire hazards affecting the amendment area can be managed through subdivision design and built form controls, and is therefore not a constraint to subdivision and development.

Subdivision applications within the structure plan area are being accompanied by BAL Contour Plans prepared on a stage-by-stage basis in the context of the BMP. The above-mentioned application for Lot 33, WAPC Ref. 163451, was accompanied by the BAL Contour Plan appended to this report at Attachment 5 (EcoLogical, March 2023) and this incorporates both the POS layout proposed in this amendment request and a related lot layout and road network. It confirms that the proposed POS does not generate a bushfire hazard, which is consistent with the outcomes of the BMP approved with the structure plan.

# 7.0 Conclusion

The purpose of this amendment is to modify the shape and size of the POS parcel planned for Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford, upgrading the current passive design to a more active design. The new layout will make more efficient use of urban-zoned land by addressing the over-supply of POS that is in place and planned for the local area via the Glades LSP and the Doley Road Precinct LSP. It will also facilitate better activation of the POS, with Parcel investigating ways to improve useability by incorporating hardscape features as a point of difference to lawns and gardens.

The new landscape design for the POS that is the subject of this amendment request introduces additional hardscaped activity spaces and reduces the proportion of the POS that is occupied by drainage infrastructure. This means that the reduction in useable POS will be less than the reduction in POS in gross terms, and the outcome is a more efficient design that leaves the local area still over-supplied with POS. Consistent with recent discussions with the Shire, the new landscape concept prioritises informal active pursuits over passive recreation.

Overall, the proposed amendment makes a positive contribution to the extent of urban-zoned land in a rapidly-growing part of the metropolitan region, whilst maintaining POS amenity for future residents.

# APPENDICES

**Appendix 1** Landscape Concept Plan by LD Total

**Appendix 2** Walkable Catchment for the Lot 32 POS (CLE Ref. 3410-137-01)

**Appendix 3** Reference Plan for the POS Schedule (CLE Ref. 3410-138-01)

**Appendix 4** POS Schedule (CLE Ref. 3410POS139)

**Appendix 5** BAL Contour Plan (EcoLogical, March 2023)

# APPENDIX 1

Landscape Concept Plan by LD Total

# POS 1 Landscape Concept Plan

Beenyup Grove
Lots 32 & 33 Doley Road




Location Key Plan NTS




north

POS1
NOT TO SCALE

Legend

1. Footpath network for pedestrian circulation
2. Parkour and exercise/obstacle course area. Divided into three distinct areas, providing age-appropriate spaces, allow each group to enjoy tailored experiences - 500 $m^2$
3. Open turf kickabout space
4. Living stream with shrub or reed plantings on the side slopes
5. Planted basins

LD TOTAL

| PARCEL PROPERTY | 10.7188 | B | 06.02.2025 | 1 OF 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CLIENT | PROJECT NO | REVISION | DATE | PAGE |

# APPENDIX 2

Walkable Catchment for the Lot 32 POS (CLE Ref. 3410-137-01)

This plan has been prepared for general information purposes only and uses potentially uncontrolled data from external sources. CLE does not guarantee the accuracy of this plan and it should not be used for any detailed site design. This plan remains the property of CLE.

Woodland Grove Primary School

Christonia Reserve

Icaria Park

Beenyup Park

PERRONE AVENUE

SHACKLETON TCE

CRISTONIA TERRACE

BOULEVARD

KOKODA

ROAD

DOLEY

SHEPPARTON BOULEVARD

ORTON ROAD

LEGEND

- Lot 32 MUC POS
- POS Catchment Area (300m)
- Structure Plan Boundary

0 50 100 150 200 250 300m

CLE Town Planning + Design

# POS CATCHMENT PLAN

Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford

plan no: **3410-130**
date: 17 July 2023
scale: 1:4,000 @A3, 1:2,000 @A1

N

# APPENDIX 3

Reference Plan for the POS Schedule (CLE Ref. 3410-138-01)

This plan has been prepared for general information purposes only and uses potentially uncontrolled data from external sources. CLE does not guarantee the accuracy of this plan and it should not be used for any detailed site design. This plan remains the property of CLE.

PRIMARY SCHOOL 3.5ha

Glades POS 14
Total Area = 0.6ha

Glades POS 15
Total Area = 1.95ha

Beenyup POS 1
Total Area = 1.02ha

Beenyup POS 2 (Portion)
Total Area = 1.38ha

Icaria Park
Total Area = 1.06ha

2.4ha

5 min WALK

THE GLADES LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN

DOLEY ROAD PRECINCT LOCAL STRUCTURE PLAN

CRISTONIA TERRACE

ALATA GROVE

ALBIZIA GROVE

LUZULA GROVE

PEPPER GROVE

LINUM WAY

ORTON ROAD

DOLEY ROAD

SHEPPARTON BOULEVARD

YANDRA STREET

LISMORE STREET

GOODENIA WAY

VISTA

PIRIE ROAD

12m Reserve

15m Reserve

Public Park Space

LEGEND

GLADES STRUCTURE PLAN AREA

RESIDENTIAL

RESIDENTIAL R10

RESIDENTIAL R12.5

RESIDENTIAL R15

RESIDENTIAL R20

RESIDENTIAL R25

RESIDENTIAL R30

RESIDENTIAL R40

RESIDENTIAL R50

RESIDENTIAL R60

CENTRES

VILLAGE CENTRE (RESIDENTIAL R80)

NEIGHBOURHOOD NODE (RESIDENTIAL R80)

MIXED-USE (RESIDENTIAL R80)

OPEN SPACE

PUBLIC OPEN SPACE AND DRAINAGE

FORESHORE RESERVE

DRAINAGE CHANNELS SUBJECT TO DETAILED DESIGN

30m FORESHORE BUFFER

OTHER

COMMUNITY

SCHOOLS

400m (5 MINUTE WALK) AND 800m (10 MINUTE WALK) WALKABLE CATCHMENTS

AREA SUBJECT TO VILLAGE CENTRE LOCAL PLANNING POLICY

LEGEND

Lot 32 MUC POS

POS Catchment Area (300m)

Neighbourhood Area

ZONES

Residential R25-R40

Residential R40-R60

Residential R60

Mixed Use R80

LOCAL RESERVES

Public Open Space

Public and Community Purposes

OTHER

Structure Plan Boundary

Neighbourhood Connector

Access Street

*Source: The Glades, Byford_Revised Local Structure Plan (Taylor Burrell Barnett_January 2019) & Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan_Amendment No.1 (Urbanista Town Planning_March 2022)*

0 50 100 150 200 250 300m

CLE Town Planning + Design

# NEIGHBOURHOOD CONTEXT PLAN

Lot 32 Doley Road, Byford

plan no: **3410-138-02**
date: 5 April 2024
scale: 1:4,000 @A3, 1:2,000 @A1

N

# APPENDIX 4

POS Schedule (CLE Ref. 3410POS139)

Public Open Space (POS) Schedule

**Glades / Beenyup Grove interface area**

CLE Town Planning + Design

| Public Open Space Schedule (all areas are in hectares) | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Site Area** | | **40.40** |
| Existing Deductions | | |
| n/a | 0.00 | |
| Total existing deductions | 0.00 | |
| Net Site Area | | 40.40 |
| Structure Plan and Subdivision Deductions | | |
| 1:1 Drainage within POS | 0.38 | |
| Restricted Open Space Surplus | 0.00 | |
| Total Structure Plan Deductions | 0.38 | |
| **Gross Subdivisible Area** | | **40.02** |
| POS @ 10% | | 4.00 |
| Public Open Space Requirement | | |
| May Comprise: | | |
| Min 8% unrestricted POS | 3.20 | |
| Max 2% restricted POS | 0.80 | |
| **TOTAL POS REQUIRED** | | **4.00** |
| Public Open Space Provided | Unrestricted POS Area | Restricted POS Area |
| Lot 8031 (Glades POS 14) | 0.45 | 0.00 |
| Glades POS 15 (MUC) | 1.85 | 0.00 |
| Icaria Park | 1.06 | 0.00 |
| Beenyup POS 1 (MUC) | 0.93 | 0.03 |
| Beenyup POS 2, pt (MUC) | 1.25 | 0.04 |
| **TOTAL (ha)** | **5.54** | **0.08** |
| Additional Deductions | | |
| Restricted Open Space Surplus | | 0.00 |
| Revised Public Open Space Contribution | | |
| Min 8% unrestricted POS provided | 5.54 | 13.8% |
| Max 2% restricted POS provided | 0.08 | 0.2% |
| **Total Creditable POS Provided** | **5.61** | **14.0%** |

**Notes**

1. In accordance with Liveable Neighbourhoods: the area subject to inundation more frequently than a one year average recurrance interval rainfall event is not included as restricted or unrestricted open space and is a deduction from the net site area (LN R33); areas for the detention of stormwater for a greater than one year average recurrance interval up to the five year recurrance interval is restricted open space up to 20%, the area greater than 20% is a deduction (not applicable in this case) (LN R26 & Table 11); areas for the detention of stormwater for a greater than five year average recurrance interval is within unrestricted open space (LN R25).
2. This Schedule is for plan CLE Ref. 3410-138-01. It is informed by The Glades LSP and the Doley Rd (Beenyup Grove) LSP.
3. The Glades drainage figures are taken from Figure 14 in The Glades LSP.
4. The Beenyup Grove drainage figures are taken from Table 3 in the Doley Road LSP.
5. The Icaria Park figure reflects its actual size and Table 7 in The Glades LSP, which indicates it does not perform a drainage function.

# APPENDIX 5

BAL Contour Plan (EcoLogical, March 2023)

Level 1, Bishop's See 235 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000
t: (08) 6218 2200

**Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) Assessment Report for Lot 32-33 Doley Road, Byford**

| Site Details | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Address:** Lot 32 & 33 Doley Road, Byford | | | |
| **Suburb:** | Byford, 6122 | **State** | Western Australia |
| **Local Government Area:** | Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale | | |
| **Description of building works:** | Residential development | | |

| Report details | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Report/Job number:** | 22PER2700 Variation 4 | **Report version:** | v1 |
| **Assessment date:** | 8/03/2023 | **Report date:** | 23/03/2023 |
| **Author:** | Maitland Ely | **Review:** | Daniel Panickar (BPAD Level 3-37802) |

## SITE ASSESSMENT AND SITE PLAN

The assessment of the 97 proposed residential lots and one Public Open Spaces (POS) area was undertaken on 8 March 2023 for the purpose of determining the Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) in accordance with *Australian Standard AS 3959: 2018 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas* (SA 2018) Simplified Procedure (Method 1). An overview of the site is presented in Figure 1.

## VEGETATION CLASSIFICATION

All vegetation within 150 m of the 97 residential lots and POS area was classified in accordance with Clause 2.2.3 of AS 3959: 2018. Each distinguishable vegetation class with the potential to determine the BAL is identified below and presented in Table 1 and Figure 1.


| Plot 1 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (a) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 1**

Classified vegetation within this plot is comprised of shrubs >2 m high with >30% foliage cover. Isolated trees are present within this plot.

Slope under this plot was assessed as upslope/flat land.

Vegetation has been excluded as it is located greater than 100 m from the subject site.




| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) & (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 2**

Non-vegetated area that is permanently cleared of vegetation (i.e. driveways and residential development).

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass (managed yard).


Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.




| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 3**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass and landscaping.



| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) & (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 4**

Non-vegetated area that is permanently cleared of vegetation (i.e. sheds and residential development).

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.




| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) & (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 5**

Non-vegetated area that is permanently cleared of vegetation (i.e. sheds and residential development).

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.




| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 6**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.



| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) & (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 7**

Non-vegetated area that is permanently cleared of vegetation (i.e. roads and residential development).

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass (managed yards and landscaping).




| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 8**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.




| Plot 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 9**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.



| Plot | 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 10**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.




| Plot | 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 11**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass (managed vegetation).




| Plot | 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 12**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass (managed yard). Trees are present, however these are sparsely distributed and ground fuel is very well managed.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.



| Plot | 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) & (f) |
|---|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 13**

Non-vegetated area that is permanently cleared of vegetation (i.e., roads and residential development).

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass (managed yards and landscaping).




| Plot | 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (f) |
|---|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 14**

Vegetation within this plot is regarded as low threat due to factors such as flammability, moisture content and fuel load as it is managed grass.

Grass within this property is currently <50 mm in height and is required to be maintained as such in accordance with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Firebreak Notice.




| Plot | 2 | Classification or Exclusion Clause | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) |
|---|---|---|---|

**Photo Point 15**

Non-vegetated area that is permanently cleared of vegetation (i.e., roads and residential development).



## RELEVANT FIRE DANGER INDEX

The fire danger index for this site has been determined in accordance with Table 2.1 of AS 3959: 2018.

| Relevant Fire Danger Index | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| FDI 40 ☐ | FDI 50 ☐ | FDI 80 ☑ | FDI 100 ☐ |
| *Table 2.4.5* | *Table 2.4.4* | *Table 2.4.3* | *Table 2.4.2* |

## POTENTIAL BUSHFIRE IMPACTS

The potential bushfire impact to the site / proposed development from each of the identified vegetation plots are identified below, Table 1 and Figure 1.

**Table 1: BAL Analysis AS 3959: 2018**

| Plot | Vegetation Classification | Effective Slope | Separation distances required | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | BAL-FZ | BAL-40 | BAL-29 | BAL-19 | BAL-12.5 |
| 1 | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (a) | - | No separation distances required – BAL-LOW | | | | |
| 2 | Excluded AS 3959: 2018 2.2.3.2 (e) & (f) | - | No separation distances required – BAL-LOW | | | | |


## DETERMINED BUSHFIRE ATTACK LEVEL (BAL)

The determined Bushfire Attack Level (highest BAL) for the proposed works has been determined in accordance with Clause 2.2.6 of AS 3959: 2018 relevant data from the site assessment shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.

**Table 2: BAL Assessment summary**

| BAL | Affected lots | Construction sections to be consulted in AS 3959-2018 |
|---|---|---|
| BAL-LOW | All proposed lots | 3 and 5 |

Note: This BAL rating is based on the information current at the date of this document and is valid for 12 months.




## Figure 1: Vegetation Classification

Subject site
100m site assessment
150m site assessment
Photo location
Contour (2m)

**Vegetation classification**

Excluded as per clause 2.2.3.2 (a)
Excluded as per clause 2.2.3.2 (e) and (f)


0 25 50 100
Metres

Datum/Projection:
GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

22PER2700-SM Date: 21/03/2023

N

eco logical AUSTRALIA
A TETRA TECH COMPANY




## Figure 2: Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) Contours

- Subject site
- 100m site assessment
- 150m site assessment
- Bushfire hazard interface

**Bushfire Attack Level (BAL)**

- BAL - FZ
- BAL - 40
- BAL - 29
- BAL - 19
- BAL - 12.5
- BAL - LOW

0 25 50 100
Metres

Datum/Projection:
GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

22PER2700-SM Date: 21/03/2023

N

eco logical AUSTRALIA
A TETRA TECH COMPANY

# Appendix A – Additional Information / Advisory Notes

This assessment was undertaken as per AS 3959: 2018. It is important that the current version of AS 3959, is consulted for construction purposes.

This BAL rating is based on the information current at the date of this letter and is valid for 12 months from the date of this letter.

Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) as set out in the *Australian Standard 3959 Construction of Buildings in Bushfire-Prone Areas* (AS 3959), as referenced in the *Building Code of Australia*.

| Bushfire Attack Level (BAL) | Classified vegetation within 100 m of the site and radiant heat flux exposure thresholds | Description of predicted bush fire attack and levels of exposure | Construction Section as per AS 3959 |
|---|---|---|---|
| BAL-LOW | | There is insufficient risk to warrant specific construction requirements. | 4 |
| BAL-12.5 | ≤12.5 kW/m$^2$ | Ember attack | 3 and 5 |
| BAL-19 | >12.5 kW/m2 ≤19 kW/m$^2$ | Increasing levels of ember attack and burning debris ignited by windborne embers together with increasing radiant heat flux. | 3 and 6 |
| BAL-29 | >19 kW/m2≤29 kW/m$^2$ | Increasing levels of ember attack and burning debris ignited by windborne embers together with increasing radiant heat flux | 3 and 7 |
| BAL-40 | >29 kW/m2≤40 kW/m$^2$ | Increasing levels of ember attack and burning debris ignited by windborne embers together with increasing radiant heat flux with the increased likelihood of exposure to flames. | 3 and 8 |
| BAL-FZ | >40 kW/m$^2$ | Direct exposure to flames from fire front in addition to radiant heat flux and ember attack | 3 and 9 |

Source: "*AS 3959: 2018 Construction of buildings in bushfire-prone areas*" published by Standards Australia, Sydney

CLE Town Planning + Design