Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comment
Main Roads		 Please be advised that Main Roads has reviewed the request for the time extension and have no objection to the proposal for an additional two (2) years. Please note that all conditions as recommended Notice of Determination JDAP96 are to remain unchanged If you have any queries do not hesitate to contact, quoting our reference number provided. 	Noted. No conditions have been proposed to be changed.	Noted
Water Corporation		Thank you for your letter dated 19 December 2022. The subject land contains the Water Corporation's rural drain as show on the attached plan. We request that any works that will be in close proximity be discussed with us directly to ensure no adverse impact to the drain and its function.	Noted. All work within proximity to the Rural Drain will be discussed directly with the Water Corporation.	Noted
DPLH – Westport		Thank you for providing Westport with the opportunity to comment on the extension of the timeframe for substantial commencement of the proposed Byford Solar Farm. Jason Carr, who works on the Westport Project, but is embedded within DPLH has sent the referral on to us. Westport is the State Government's long-term program to investigate, plan and build a future port in Kwinana with integrated road and rail transport networks. The Westport project will improve the overall efficiency of trade for import and export industries as well as resulting in transformational changes to the Perth and Peel Region. It is the largest port and supply chain project in Australia and is likely unprecedented for the State Government in terms of the overall scale, complexity and importance for the State's future economic growth.	offset and additional 12m solar farm offset are depicted on the updated	Noted

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment

of the Westport project, and uninterrupted flow of freight ve Cockburn Sound to the Tonkin Land for the ATRFC will be requ The Westport project team ha applicant regarding these requ expectation that these requirem the implementation of the dev extend the timeframe for substa In addition, the access regime this section of Thomas Road i Thomas Road will be permitted, will be converted to left in/left These requirements have prev by the Westport project team. It implementation of the approva timeframe be extended, will occ changes to the access regime	uired on the southern side of Thomas Road. as previously provided information to the uirements and it is the Westport Office's ents will be appropriately accommodated in elopment approval, should the request to ntial commencement be supported. In this area is planned to be modified when is upgraded. No direct access to and from Rice Road will be closed and Peverett Lane but only (as outlined in the extract below). ously been communicated to the applicant is the Westport Office's expectation that the al, should the substantial commencement ur in a manner that suitably allows for these to occur in the future in a non-disruptive consolidated development site is ultimately
--	--

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment

	Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any queries regarding this correspondence.		
DPLH	Thank you for your email below. The Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage raises no objection to the proposed extension of time request and provides the following comment.	Noted. Main Roads and Westport have both	Noted
	It is noted that a portion of Lot 200 (No. 1674) Thomas Road, Oakford impacts the Thomas Road, Primary Regional Road reservation and the Anketell-Thomas Road Freight Corridor. As such, it is recommended that Main Roads WA and Westport are consulted.	provided comments.	
Submitter 1	 Please find attached my objection to the proposed solar farm in Oakford. 1. The visual impact at seeing a paddock full of glass when exiting Spears Drive onto Thomas Road. 2. Decrease in property values. 	Visual impact has been addressed as part of the JDAP process to ensure that nearby residents will not have their views adversely impacted. A	that the visual impact of the panels can be

Submitter No	ο	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment
		3. Toxic chemicals used in manufacturing of panels and batteries.	comprehensive land- scape plan has been prepared with the primary objective of screening the facility. The vegetation screen will be 4m wide around the boundary of the facility and located between the existing boundary fence and an additional 2m high deer fence. The Rice Road and Thomas Road boundaries will have a 0.5m bund (fill to lift ground level) to raise the profile and provide important height to the visual screen. The buildings within the switch yard will be to a maximum height of 5.8m, with the vegetation along Thomas Rd requiring additional height to screen these buildings. Agonis flexuosa is native to southwest of WA and will be used in combination with	No panels are proposed to be manufactured on site.

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment

30cm pots. Due to the	
level of visual screening	
achieved through the	
proposed combination of	
mature vegetation	
screening, boundary	
fence, 2m deer fence and	
the additional distance	
achieved via the 4m	
clearance area and 4m	
fire access track (see	
landscape plan excerpt	
below) it is considered	
that there will be	
zero/minimal visual	
impact to any	
surrounding residences.	
Property values are not a	
valid planning	
consideration.	
A Health, Safety &	
Environmental Quality	
(HSEQ) Management	
Plan detailing the safety,	
management and	
auditory measures	
proposed for the	
development has been	
submitted to the Shire as	
part of condition	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment
			clearance 20/09/2022. The plan ensures that any pollution/chemicals will be suitably managed and that there will be no impact on the surrounding environment or residents.	
Submitter 2		Solar farms are an ecological disaster and an eyesore. All plant and animal life is replaced by acres of solar panels which have proven to be disastrous in other countries. The huge one in California for example which resembles a graveyard of broken glass and failed to produce substantial electricity. The solar panels increase ground temperature by attracting solar activity and their presence means the area is devoid of any vegetation including shade. Calling these solar farms "farms" is an oxymoron as they are not farms and are not ·green·. Those can best be described es a futuristic horror story which can only be equalled by the other environmental monster the wind farms. Time we woke up to the reality of so called green energy. I don't have words to express my feelings of disgust towards these environmental blights. To allow this to go ahead will prove a disaster. They certainly shouldn't be anywhere near where people live as no one wants to see these in real life.	Extensive due diligence has been conducted over several years by the applicants as well as numerous other stakeholders to ensure that the project will be viable, provide much needed supply to the state's network, and not adversely impact the local environment and amenity of the area. Cannot comment on the solar farm in California, however, the west Australian planning framework is designed to prevent poor planning outcomes which is reflected in the project's long history of	The visual impact of the proposal has been assessed within the report and it is considered that whilst the panels would not enhance the rural character of the area, the existing and proposed vegetation would ensure that they would not adversely impact on the character or visual amenity. Furthermore, the planting of screening vegetation on the perimeter would contribute towards replacing lost vegetation and

Submitter No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
			Comment

		collaboration with local and state government departments to ensure that all relevant considerations are suitably addressed. Significant vegetation is proposed around the boundary of the	enhancing visual amenity.
		development as visual screening, providing shade and improving the level of vegetation currently present on the site, which is mostly degraded as a result of past use.	
Submitter 3	My property is opposite the proposed Solar Farm. Not only do I think it is an inappropriate place for a solar farm being so close to the city and residential homes. I have watched several documentaries on solar farms put in inappropriate locations and the problems they cause the people who live locally. One issue which would cause a lot of serious problems, is the glare the panels would put off to drivers travelling west on Thomas Road. Even more so once the new bridge is constructed at the corner of Thomas and Kargotich Roads.	Significant screening of the site by the proposed vegetation along the boundary will prevent glare from the solar panels from impacting traffic, as depicted in the Landscaping Plan provided to the Shire as part of conditions clearance 20/09/2022.	orientation and of the panels it is considered that they would not adversely impact on

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment

		The proposed buildings located adjacent to Thomas Road, combined with the additional elevation resulting from the proposed bund, 4m clearance area and 4m firebreak (excerpt below) will further reduce any potential of glare from the solar panels from impacting on traffic along Thomas road.	
Submitter 4	I am an Oakford resident. I don't understand why the solar farm continues to get extensions of time. They have had many, many years and the project has not progressed. I implore you to look clearly at the timelines and to look clearly at these conditions and ask have they had long enough surely the answer is yes they have. They lodged this application on five December only amount of days before the commencement date was meant to have occurred. Surely that rings major alarm bells. Please consider the local residents and do not extend it further.	As the proposed project is a significant infrastructure project for the state that is crucially required to consider the potential impacts to local residents, the environment, bushfire, water bodies and wetlands etc., it is therefore understandable that that it has taken several years to get this project substantially commenced. While the past extensions have resulted from a variety of	Noted – The application details include a table showing the progress made in relation to each submission. Whilst time has passed since the initial approval, the applicant has actively progressed the proposal since this time.

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment

I			
		pressures including from	
		the global pandemic and	
		resulting impact to the	
		financial viability of	
		development during the	
		period, it should be noted	
		that this extension was	
		required as a result of a	
		breakdown in land	
		transfer agreements	
		between two external	
		parties in relation to the	
		ceding of a road reserve	
		required by Main Roads.	
		Additionally, the same	
		external parties also	
		delayed the lodgement of	
		the extension by	
		withholding landowner	
		signatures in response to	
		the road ceding issue.	
Submitter 5	We live in and love Oakford.	As the proposed project	
	We are strongly opposed to the extension of time.	is a significant infrastructure project for	application details include a table
	It just seems the solar farm has been given one opportunity after another and they still haven't delivered anything.	the state that is crucially required to consider the	showing the progress made in relation to
	I don't know how many extensions of time they have given but it is many.	potential impacts to local residents, the	each submission. Whilst time has
	Surely by law you need to take into account that they have had many extensions and that nothing has really been progressed.	environment, bushfire, water bodies and	passed since the initial approval, the applicant

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comment
				comment
		I don't want a solar farm in my lovely little rural community. Please don't continue to allow them to play the system.	wetlands etc., it is therefore understandable that it has taken several years to get this project substantially commenced. While the past extensions have resulted from a variety of pressures including from the global pandemic and resulting impact to the financial viability of development during the period, it should be noted that the current extension resulted from a breakdown in land transfer agreements between two external parties in relation to the ceding of a road reserve required by Main Roads. Additionally, the same external parties also delayed the lodgement of the extension by withholding landowner signatures in response to	has actively progressed the proposal since this time.

PA22/1085 - Thomas Road, Oakford 1674 (L200) 319700 / Peverett Lane, Oakford 25 (L9001) 401093 / Abernethy Road, Oakford (L210) 320005 - Proposed Extension to Byford Solar Farm - PA22/1085

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment
0 1 111 0				.
Submitter 6		Dear Panel	Over the course of this	Noted: The visua
		I live in the Shire of Serpentine and I don't want the solar project to go ahead.	project several approvals have been applied for	
		I am just off Thomas Road, not far from the solar farm and it is a lovely country area.	and granted, however, due to the significant and	within the report and
		I feel that this project has been given ongoing special treatment.	complicated nature of the development, which	whilst the panel
		Why doesn't someone ask the project why weren't all these approvals and permissions sought or started six years ago when they started the process??	requires extensive consideration regarding the impacts to	rural character of th area, the existing an proposed vegetatio
		It all seems that they have left everything to the last minute and now they are using that as an excuse to get further extensions of time!!!	surrounding residents, the environment, water bodies, traffic impacts	would not adverse
		I would like to know and you should ask them what exactly have they done in terms of seeking permissions and getting approvals and satisfying some of the conditions of the DA.	etc. there have been numerous issues/concerns raised	character or visua amenity. Furthermore
		I'm sure they could make lots of smoke and mirrors but the short answer is there doesn't appear to be in a lot of real progress and I ask for you to call for what it is times up!!	that have needed to be resolved to get the project to where it is today, which is very close to	screening vegetatio on the perimeter woul contribute toward
		I object to an extension.	commencing construction. In working	vegetation an

table

has

amenity.

include

Whilst

The application details

showing the progress

made in relation to

each submission.

а

time

passed since the initial

through these issues, the

majority of the conditions

imposed on the approval

for this development have

now been satisfied; this

extension is merely due

between external parties

which resulted in delays

а

to

complication

PA22/1085 - Thomas Road, Oakford 1674 (L200) 319700 / Peverett Lane, Oakford 25 (L9001) 401093 / Abernethy Road, Oakford (L210) 320005 - Proposed Extension to Byford Solar Farm - PA22/1085

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comment
			to a land transfer agreement that this project is dependent on.	approval, the applicant has actively progressed the proposal since this time.
Submitter 7		Dear SJ Shire CEO I object to the solar farm getting an extension of time to its commencement date. I would also like to ask why I as a very close neighbouring owner was not	Over the course of this project several approvals have been applied for and granted, however, due to the significant and	advertised from 19 December 2022 to 23 January 2023 to
		advised of this application to change the date? Please advise how many neighbouring properties where contacted?	complicated nature of the development, which	owners within a 500m radius in accordance
		What were the parameters to determine who was advised of this DA? I have lodged submissions previously on the solar farm.	requires extensive consideration regarding the impacts to	Policy 1.4 – Consultation for
		Why weren't all people who have previously responded on the solar farm contacted?	surrounding residents, the environment, water bodies, traffic impacts	an additional.

This solar farm should not be located in this rural area.

I do not believe this project should be granted yet another extension of time.

I ask the Panel to thoroughly review the reasons outlined by the proponent. As I read their reasons and find it incredulous that they can argue the reasons they have.

These processes should have started years ago, and they have done nothing.

Please, no more extensions, Please.

numerous

very

commencing

is

close

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer
				Comment

		for this development have now been satisfied; this extension is merely due to a complication between external parties which impacted the land transfer agreement that the applicant was dependent on.	amenity. Furthermore, the planting of screening vegetation on the perimeter would
Submitter 8	It is my belief that it is too close to the urban area of Byford and is unfair to the residents.	Noted – No Comment	Noted: The development is consistent with the existing and prevailing planning framework.