



MARKYT Community Scorecard ©

Prepared for: Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale

Prepared by: CATALYSE® Pty Ltd ©

November 2022



Contents

Strategic overview	3
Approach	5
Overall performance	10
MARKYT® industry comparisons	14
MARKYT® community trends	18
MARKYT® Community Priorities	21
Performance ratings aligned with key pillars and objectives in the Strategic Community Plan:	
People	36
Planet	49
Place	56
Prosperity	69
Performance (governance)	81
Overview of community variances	98
Overview of geographical variances	102
MARKYT® Community Priorities among businesses and Shire affiliates	106



Strategic overview

MARKYT Strategic Overview

Vision



16

% agree

14% points <u>below</u> Industry
Average and down 10 index points
from 2020

Liveability



68

Performance Index Score

8 index points <u>below</u> Industry Average and down 4 index points from 2020

Governance



33

Performance Index Score

20 index points <u>below</u> Industry Average and down 10 index points from 2020

Rates Value



21

Performance Index Score

22 index points <u>below</u> Industry Average and down 6 index points from 2020

Highest scores

- Waste services
- Serpentine Jarrahdale Community Recreation Centre
- · Access to housing
- Library services

Most improved

- Waste services
- · Festivals, events, art and cultural activities
- Environmental health management
- Animal management

Relative to MARKYT® Industry Standards


- Development and activation of Byford Town Centre
- Access to housing


















Priorities






Playgrounds, parks and reserves











Festivals, events, art and cultural activities

Approach



DLGSC's Integrated Planning and Reporting Framework requires local councils to review the **Strategic Community Plan** at least once every two years.

MARKYT Community Scorecard

The Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale commissioned a MARKYT® Community Scorecard to:

- Support a review of the Strategic Community Plan (SCP)
- Assess performance against objectives and key performance indicators (KPIs) in the SCP
- · Determine community priorities
- Benchmark performance



Strategic Community Plan 2017 - 2027





The Study

The Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale commissioned CATALYSE® to conduct an independent MARKYT® Community Scorecard.

Scorecard invitations were sent to 6,000 randomly selected households; 2,000 by mail and 4,000 by email. The Shire provided supporting promotions through its communication channels.








The scorecard was open from 8 to 30 September 2022.

The scorecard was completed by **833 community members** with various connections to the Shire:

Local resident	Local business owner or manager	Out of area ratepayers / visitors	Elected Member / Employee
815	97	4	14

The main body of this report shows responses from local residents. As responses from the random sample (n=587) and opt-in sample (n=228) were similar, results have been combined in this report. Resident responses were weighted by age and gender to match the ABS Census population profile.

Where sub-totals add to $\pm 1\%$ of the parts, this is due to rounding errors to zero decimal places.



MARKYT Benchmarks | participating councils

CATALYSE® has conducted studies for close to 70 councils. When councils ask comparable questions, we publish the high and average scores to enable participating councils to recognise and learn from the industry leaders. In this report, the average and high scores are calculated from councils that have completed a MARKYT® accredited study within the past three years.























































































How to read MARKYT® performance dashboards

Positive rating

Is the percentage of respondents who provided a rating of okay, good or excellent.

Shire of Serpentine-

Industry Average

Jarrahdale

The **Performance Index Score** is a weighted score out of 100.

12-17 years 67

18+ years 71

No children 71

Score	Average Rating
100	Excellent
75	Good
50	Okay
25	Poor
0	Terrible

65

72

Home owner 68

Renting / other

Owner / manager

Local business


Performance Ratings

The chart shows community perceptions of performance on a five point scale from excellent to terrible.

Trend analysis shows how performance varies over time.

MARKYT® Industry Standards Show Council performance compared to other councils.

Council Score is the Council's performance index score. Industry High is the highest score achieved by participating councils. Industry Average is the average score among participating.



90

76

18-34 years 66

35-49 years 66

50-64 years 70

65+ years 71

Geographical variances


Maps variances across the region by location.


Community variances

Shows how performance ratings vary across the community by key demographics.


Overall Performance

Place to live









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	68	0-4 years	61
Female	68	5-11 years	63
Age		12-17 years	67
18-34 years	66	18+ years	71
35-49 years	66	No children	71
50-64 years	70	No children	1 1
65+ years	71		

Disability & culture		
Disability	62	
First Nations#	60	
LOTE	65	
Home ownership		
Home owner	68	
Renting / other	66	
Local business		
Owner / manager	65	

Diambilita O acultum

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 809).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

What does the community value most?



Natural bushland and wildlife

"The trees. I've lived in Serpentine and Whitby for over 20 years. The trails and trees and farm views are why I continue to stay and raise children here."

"The beautiful forest that surrounds and makes up the SJ shire."



Country feel

"The semi rural feel to the area and the people."

"The laid-back feeling (country type lifestyle)."



Open space in close proximity to services

"Being able to live in a rural type area and having horses and sheep etc. and still being close to everything else."

"The open spaces that are available. The country feel, yet closeness to established towns and services."



Friendly, community spirit

"I love the community, I love that my children are still safe to ride their bikes around during the weekends and make jumps in the reserves."

"The value placed on community, family focused events and services. Love the next library and all the great activities they run."





Peacefulness

"The peace and quiet. Being away from all the hussle and bussle of City life. Beautiful bushland, wild flowers and flora and fauna. Wonderful birds."


"I love the peace and tranquility of Jarrahdale."

Governing organisation









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	34	0-4 years	27
Female	32	5-11 years	27
Age		12-17 years	27
18-34 years	33	18+ years	31
35-49 years	30	,	
50-64 years	30	No children	38
65+ years	42		

Disability & culture			
31			
27			
35			
33			
38			
28			

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 778).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

MARKYT� industry comparisons

Overall Performance | industry comparisons


The 'Overall Performance Index Score' is a combined measure of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale as a 'place to live' and as a 'governing organisation'. The Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale's overall performance index score is 51 out of 100, 14 index points below the industry standard for Western Australia.

Overall Performance Index Score

average of 'place to live' and 'governing organisation'

- Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale
- Metropolitan Councils
- Regional Councils





How to read the MARKYT Benchmark Matrix

The MARKYT® Benchmark Matrix (shown in detail overleaf) illustrates how the community rates performance on individual measures, compared to how other councils are being rated by their communities.


There are two dimensions. The vertical axis maps community perceptions of performance for individual measures. The horizontal axis maps performance relative to the MARKYT® Industry Standards.

Services are grouped in five areas:

- People
- Place
- Planet
- Prosperity
- Performance


This line represents okay performance based on the MARKYT Performance Index Score. Higher performing service areas are placed above this line while lower performing areas are below it.

Councils aim to be on the right side of this line, with performance ABOVE the MARKYT® Industry Average.



MARKYT Benchmark Matrix





Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas? Base: All respondents, excludes unsure and no response.

- Youth services and facilities 6.1 Attachment 1 Seniors' services and care
- Disability access and inclusion
- Recognition, Aboriginal cultures
- Volunteer recognition & support
- Community safety
- Lighting of streets/public places
- Animal management
- Health and community services
- Sport and recreation
- 11 SJ Community Rec Centre
- 12 Festivals, events, art & culture
 - Sustainable practices
- 14 Conservation & environment mgt
- 15 Waste services
- 16 Environmental health mgt
- 17 Stormwater drainage
- 18 Natural disaster management
- 19 Responsible growth / develop't
- 20 Area's character and identity
- 21 Planning and building approvals
- 22 Access to housing
- 23 Local history and heritage
- 24 Community buildings / toilets
- 25 Playgrounds, parks, reserves
- 26 Streetscapes, trees and verges 27 Footpaths, trails and cycleways
- 28 Local roads
- 29 Traffic management
- 30 Access to public transport
- 31 Economic development
- 32 Jarrahdale Town Centre
- 33 Mundijong Town Centre
- 34 Serpentine Town Centre
- 35 Byford Town Centre
- 36 Tourism and place marketing
- 37 Education and training
- 38 Library services
- 39 Council's leadership
- 40 Advocacy and lobbying
- 41 Change, innovation, technology
- 42 Consultation

MARKYT� community trends

MARKYT� Community Trends Window

The MARKYT® Community Trends Window shows trends in performance over the past 2 years.

Window 3 includes higher performing services in decline. Arrest decline for:

- Place to live
- · Access to housing
- · SJ Recreation Centre
- Library services

Window 4 includes lower performing areas in decline. The **main concerns** include:

- Conservation and environmental management
- Communication
- Consultation
- Advocacy and lobbying
- Council's leadership



Window 1 includes higher performing areas that have improved. **Stand-out improvers** are:

· Waste management services

Window 2 includes lower performing areas that are improving. **Celebrate progress** and continue to work on areas such as:

- · Animal management
- Environmental health management
- · Festivals, events, art and cultural activities

MARKYT Community Trends Window





- Youth services and facilities 6.1 Attachment 1 Seniors' services and care
- Disability access and inclusion
- Recognition, Aboriginal cultures
 - Volunteer recognition & support
- Community safety
- Lighting of streets/public places
- Animal management
- Health and community services
- Sport and recreation
- 11 SJ Community Rec Centre
- 12 Festivals, events, art & culture
 - Sustainable practices
- 14 Conservation & environment mgt
- 15 Waste services
- 16 Environmental health mgt
- 17 Stormwater drainage
- 18 Natural disaster management
- 19 Resp. growth / development
- 20 Area's character and identity

 - Planning and building approvals
- 22 Access to housing
- 23 Local history and heritage
- 24 Community buildings / toilets
- 25 Playgrounds, parks, reserves
- 26 Streetscapes, trees and verges 27 Footpaths, trails and cycleways
- 28 Local roads
- 29 Traffic management
- 30 Access to public transport
- 31 Economic development
- 32 Jarrahdale Town Centre
- 33 Mundijong Town Centre
- 34 Serpentine Town Centre
- 35 Byford Town Centre
- 36 Tourism and place marketing
- 37 Education and training
- 38 Library services
- 39 Council's leadership
- 40 Advocacy and lobbying
- 41 Change, innovation, technology
- 42 Consultation
- Special Council Meeting 201Febtuaica2023
- Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas? Base: All respondents, excludes unsure and no response.

MARKYT� community priorities


How to read the MARKYT � Community Priorities

The MARKYT® Community Priorities chart maps priorities against performance in all service areas.

CELEBRATE the Shire's highest performing areas.

KAIZEN: consider ways to continuously improve services with average ratings between okay and good to strive for service excellence

REVIEW lower performing areas.




OPTIMISE higher performing services where the community would like enhancements to better meet their needs.

performing services where the community would like the Shire to focus its attention.

Services are grouped in five areas:

- People
- Place
- Planet
- Prosperity
- Performance

MARKYT Community Priorities



Youth services and facilities 6.1 - Attachment 1 Seniors' services and care

Disability access and inclusion

Building and maintaining local roads

Community driven actions

- 1. Maintain all roads to a higher standard:
 - repair potholes promptly, especially after heavy rain
 - · improve drainage in flood-prone areas
 - resurface roads where needed
- 2. Ensure road maintenance is a **permanent solution** rather than a temporary fix.
- Bituminise unsealed roads.
- Conduct a review of intersections to improve safety

 with consideration for roundabouts, traffic lights and
 wider intersections.
- Plan for increasing traffic volumes and widen roads where needed.
- 6. Prioritise the Tonkin Highway extension.

Community Voices

"Attend promptly to the huge potholes that appear in the roads after heavy rain."

"Roads are poorly maintained and do not meet the needs of the growing population. Potholes in roads result in vehicle damage and dangerous driving conditions which worsen in poor weather.

Thomas Road is a major thorough

"Flooding on roads around the shire needs to be actioned."

"Instead of filling a pothole, having the road re-surfaced etc. would be more beneficial and have more longevity."

"Fix the roads correctly the first time and not just patch or put warning signs out for 3 years and ignore the problem."

"Several roads throughout the shire are disgraceful. Some aren't even bitumen."

"In a recent RAC magazine the worst 40 roads/intersections were voted on and Byford featured a number of times. You urgently need to install a roundabout or traffic lights at a number of intersections, especially at Larsen and South West Highway."

"More street lights, better signage at road junctions, traffic lights and roundabouts where needed."

"Proper planning with building roads and thinking about increased traffic. The design and layout of Byford shopping precinct is terrible - a roundabout for traffic flow would have been much better."

"New subdivisions are popping up with no apparent view to improve the roads.

Some roads out here are only one car wide."

"Single lane maintenance with increased traffic, road widening required. Intersection control."

"Need to push for Tonkin Hwy - it's been 6 years since it was announced - such a slow shire."

"Prioritise the Tonkin Hwy works so all other roads are addressed, stop waiting..."



Community safety and crime prevention

Community driven actions

- Advocate for greater police presence across the Shire with more patrols and faster response times. Areas of particular concern include:
 - Hooning
 - Increased crime with the extension of the Armadale train line to Byford
 - Petty crime such as vandalism, car theft and break-ins
- Advocate for a police station in Byford.
- · Provide security patrols, especially at night.
- · Provide an increased ranger presence.
- Install more CCTV cameras and lighting in well known hot spots such as shopping centres, train stations and car parks.

Community Voices

"Lobby the state government for more police to service the area."

"Hooning and theft is abundant in the quieter areas of the Shire. Reporting a matter to the police is pointless as by the time they are present the incident has finished and the perpetrators have left the area."

"Have regular police patrols in the town especially at night ... so much hooning and vehicles causing chaos"

"More police on evening and night duty to deter vandals and would-be thieves."

"When the railway comes we need to make sure we don't become the end of line crime spot."

"With the train line expanding and more residential living the shire will need to work closely with WAPOL to reduce crime."

"Byford has needed its own police station for a number of years and this remains the case. Having the police station located in Mundijong when the majority of their work is in Byford is ridiculous, Mundijong police also do not work night shifts, relying on Armadale police to cover the area which can take time to get to."

"I think Byford should have its own police station and/or security patrolling. There is a lot of hoon driving (burn outs and speeding particularly) as well as youth crime (vandalising etc)."

"Other shires and local councils have security vehicles and rangers patrol their areas regularly.

Four years in Byford and I've seen a security vehicle once!"

"Patrol/security cars around the neighborhood to deter crime."

"Installation of CCTV in residential areas, creating a 24-hours surveillance centre, installing street lights and maintain them."

"Better use of technology in crime areas such as portable CCTV to tackle vandalism and crime strategically, mobile patrols."

Community Action Plan Waste services

Community driven actions

- Reinstate verge collections for the disposal of green and bulk waste.
- Provide alternatives to the waste transfer station for bulk waste, eg skip bins, on-demand collections.
- Introduce a 3-bin system for the disposal of green and organic waste.

Community Voices

"Verge collections. Every other shire still do collections but not ours.

We pay enough for rates to cover this."

"Verge side pick ups need to be reinstated, not everyone has the capability to attend the waste transfer station. Not everyone has a tow ball or the physical strength to load heavy goods onto a trailer. Myself included."

"Bring back curbside pickups especially green waste for the bigger blocks who have to try to burn fallen branches and trees to minimise fire risk in summer. We have no trailer or hitch on either of our cars to take anything to the tip. Even one pick up just before the summer risk would help."

"Return verge collection in conjunction with the transfer station."

"Waste - major issue with no hard rubbish being collected. Disabled, elderly, single parents can't get rubbish to the tip. Out of pension I paid \$380 for a skip bin to remove rubbish.

Not at all acceptable! Please provide another option!"

"Offer a bulk rubbish collection for residents that don't have access to a car that can tow a trailer. Whether being free or for a small fee."

"Provide free access to skip bins once to twice a year for general junk waste and one for garden waste or garden organic waste bins in the shire."

"Be like other shires and provide one skip bin a year since you have removed the kerb side collection and provide individual household pick up of items for the elderly or people without towballs. Not everyone has the means to get waste to the recycling facility. Disposal of waste should be free."

"Provide a 3rd bin for garden waste - time to keep up with other shires."

"Green waste bin is necessary with minimum fortnightly collection many shires are already offering this."



Community driven actions

- Improve verge maintenance regular tree pruning, grass cutting, mowing etc.
- Beautify streetscapes and roundabouts plant more trees, shrubs and plants, preferably natives. More colourful foliage and shade.
- · Improve weed management
- · Ensure drains are cleaned and clear of debris.
- More **street cleaning** regular rubbish removal.

Community Voices

"The maintenance of the streetscapes is severely lacking! This includes weeding, mowing, pruning, and replanting. It looks a disgrace, and where areas have been cleared for development, they have been left unattended to and need planting & maintenance."

"No plants, no trees. Nothing is ever maintained, and the weeds are out of control. The verges usually have a good 6 months in between maintenance. It's quite depressing looking up at the hills and seeing all of the greenery and then looking around your suburb and seeing no life."

"I applaud the Shire for supplying free plants for aesthetically improving verges. However, all of the plants supplied to me died as soon as the temperature rose."

> "Planting of trees, natives (flowering) plants and managing weeds to make the area look tidier and pleasant."

"More shade in town streets, better management of areas which can be planted and managed within towns and streetscapes make our Shire more appealing... enhance its liveability, pride, and reputation, and potentially soften and make it cooler. Consider more deciduous trees which provide summer shade but allow winter sun in streetscapes."

"Well-planted verges and streetscapes with height and preserved trees make areas not only cooler, safer and environmentally sound but a lot more desirable for people choosing to live here for a tree change."

"The drains are blocked always - I have seen them cleaned once since 2018, and that's because I complained with images sent on how full of leaf litter they were."

"More gardening crew tidying up. It should never be up to the residents to complain about weeds or overgrown shrubs."

"Clean them up and get street sweepers around and lop low-hanging branches and foliage."

Playgrounds, parks and reserves

Community driven actions

- Provide new and updated playgrounds, such as nature/adventure playgrounds, exercise equipment, theme parks, basketball courts, BMX tracks etc.
- Update and upgrade existing playground equipment.
- Provide all ages equipment in playgrounds.
- Ensure parks and playgrounds have sufficient public toilets, running water, seating, BBQs, bins and lighting.
- Ensure there is adequate shade in parks.
- Ensure that parks are clean, safe and well maintained.

Community Voices

"We need better playgrounds. Not much thought seems to be given to how they will be used.

Not enough playgrounds to keep up with population."

"We have been waiting over 7 years for the playground to be built at the bottom of Byford West between the open space and Woodland Grove Primary. It would be nice to have it completed before my children are too old to use it."

"The children's playgrounds are terribly plain and outdated. It needs to be updated."

"More new parks and reserves need to be created to cater to the needs of growing young families. New playing equipment needs to be procured and installed to keep the young ones occupied."

"Kwinana adventure park-style playgrounds."

"Please go look at the dump of a park the local kids have to use - they have had to make all their own BMX jumps as the skate park is just one bowl."

"Better playgrounds for younger children."

"Only one playground right next to the train line. Would love some space dedicated to a nature/adventure playground - Suitable for toddlers to teens, with a toilet."

"Playgrounds, parks need to be more user-friendly. Not many are easy to play on for young children with no toilet or cover. There are a lot of younger kids in the area."

"The parks provided in Byford have no parking or access to toilets. Build playgrounds, parks and reserves in other suburbs, not just Byford. Put in BBQs, toilets, running water, shade, seating etc."

"It's all well and good building all these parks and playgrounds, but if they're not maintaining them, then what's the point."

"The maintenance of the local parks is inadequate. The upkeep on the Park in Beenyup Grove estate is appalling right now."



Sport and recreation facilities and services

Community driven actions

- Provide a greater range of sport and recreational activities. Suggestions include basketball courts, tennis courts, little athletics, dance, soccer, footsol, badminton, bowling BMX trails, etc.
- Provide an aquatic centre with public swimming facilities.
- Improve existing sport and recreational facilities (clubhouses, changerooms, ovals, carparks etc).
- · Upgrade and resurface the netball courts.
- Provide greater access to community gyms, with updated equipment, group classes, on-site creche, open 24 hours.

Community Voices

"Better facilities for our sporting clubs and a place for our community to gather for sporting events and presentations."

"Bigger stadium. We have had massive growth in the last ten years.

All of these young families are here, and we don't have enough space undercover for the emerging sports stars of the future."

"The growth of Byford is starting to dwarf the requirement of the young families wanting to play/join teams that do not have spots or are too crowded."

"The area desperately needs public pool facilities and accessible learn-to-swim programs. We have been on a waiting list for months for toddler swimming classes because they are all full."

"The Armadale Fitness and Aquatic Centre is an amazing facility. Unfortunately, due to SJ not having any pool facilities, a lot of SJ residents have to travel to Armadale to use this facility. SJ needs to invest in building a larger community gym which includes a pool/sauna etc."

"Council needs to look at putting in an aquatic park/pool for the community to use."

"More sports/recreation facilities to attract sports providers and clubs. Upgrade the football fields, clubhouse and amenities. The netball courts in Mundijong are a joke...The clubhouse at Byford oval is embarrassing, their changerooms are a joke."

"New netball courts are badly needed!! I recently visited Southern District's netball courts, and the Shire needs to learn from this place! Lovely modern courts with decent lighting and lots of courts!"

"New surfacing on the Mundijong netball courts!!!!!"

"A lot of money was spent on the lower oval... it is hilly and full of holes.

The upper oval is a muddy mess in winter."

"More 24 hours gym operators to meet growing demands of Byford population."

"More community gyms with group classes. Only a small amount offered."

Lighting of streets and public places

Community driven actions

- Ensure existing lighting is well maintained (globes replaced, broken lights fixed, LED lights etc.)
- Ensure streets are well lit to enhance community safety and crime prevention.
- Ensure footpaths and public spaces are well lit.
- Provide more streetlights at intersections (such as Hopkinson Road and Abernathy Road intersection).
- · Improve lighting in the Old Byford area.

Community Voices

"Actually installing/upgrading lighting that provides adequate safety lighting. Some areas (which have had lighting installed by the developer) don't provide much light at all and are useless. This is a safety issue."

"Lighting in most areas of semi rural Oakford is poor or non-existent.

Many current light requires new light covers and or globes."

"Our only street light blew up about two years ago- still waiting for this to be replaced."

"Better maintenance of the lighting and ensure all streets are well lit and easy reporting for blown lights (this also ties into community safety and crime prevention)."

"More highly lit roads and public places will discourage crime and makes for a safer environment and less crime."

"Lighting in serpentine is slim to none. It's not safe to walk when the sun goes down.

A few streetlights would go a long way."

"More lighting on the intersection of Hopkinson road & Abernathy road at night."

"Some of the intersections within the SJ Shire require a really big lighting upgrade. They are so dark especially when it's pouring rain. I know a lot of the roads will be upgraded when Tonkin Hwy gets extended to south of Byford but something needs to be done before that happens."

"Old Byford is badly in need of improvements."

"Better lighting and safer footpaths."

"Better lighting to some of the older areas of town, public spaces and to some of the car parks."

Festivals, events, art and cultural activities

Community driven actions

- Hold more events to encourage community connection and to bring visitors to the Shire.
 Suggestions include:
 - Food festivals
 - Farmers' markets
 - Music events
 - Art exhibitions
- Ensure activities and events cater to a variety of demographic groups including families, adults with children, and different cultural groups.

Community Voices

"Actually have some events! We have to leave the SJ shire for a decent market. We have no real annual show/event. We have very few arts exhibitions and cultural activities.

Have something for us to do!!!"

"Festivals, events, art and cultural activities or events bring the community together. When I first moved to Byford 7 years ago, there seemed to be plenty of things to do or attend. However, since Covid, these events/activities/etc. have dropped off... I do think these things should start to be reintroduced, with Covid preventative measures, of course, so that the community can come together."

"More frequent events such as a music festival, return of the Opera at the Mill, heritage displays and art exhibitions promoting local artists/sculptors. More local festivals with music, art, and food fostering local wherever possible would be great to see, we have so much in the area, and yet no one knows about it."

"A local produce market each week would be lovely too, given we are so far away from every other weekend market, even every fortnight or month perhaps, like Kalamunda."

"Need more events, festivals and the arts, so much focus on sport and horses and not enough on the arts and music."

"We need to see more activities held within the Shire. Other Shires get multiple massive FREE concerts every year, loads of community festivals and fetes. These events should be encouraged to give the locals events to attend, be proud of our Shire and draw tourism."

"Why don't we have more events? A market such as the one they have in Roleystone? More food vans should be every first weekend of a month in summer like in other suburbs? There's enough land around to do these events with relevant advertising."

> "If the Shire can create an annual multicultural event and encourage people of diverse culture to participate."

"I'm new to the Shire and went to the Shire visitors centre looking for activities that suit my age group (30s) with pre-primary aged children's markets, festivals, outdoor theatre, and plays.

Maybe a quarterly multi-cultural market."

Community driven actions

- Provide a more extensive network of well-connected footpaths for the safety of pedestrians, parents with prams, walkers etc.
- Provide a safe system of well-connected cycleways to encourage safe cycling for commuting and leisure.
- Keep all footpaths and cycleways clean and well maintained so they are safe for all users.
- · Create more bushwalks, trails and bridle paths.

Community Voices

"Once you leave any of the townsite's main areas, there is little or no evidence of footpaths, trails and cycleways."

"We don't have footpaths in our street, so people need to walk on the road, which is a hazard."

"The majority of footpaths within the town of Byford are in poor condition, making walking with a pram or walker difficult at times."

"Footpaths in old Byford are in disrepair & tripping hazards."

"Footpaths need to be put in place in Serpentine downs, and the existing footpaths need to be cleaned. Either covered in rocks or debris from trees."

"Hardly any trials or paths to be enjoyed in our area, please create an attractive area.

It's not even possible to walk or cycle to our nearest town, Armadale."

"Provide safe trails and cycle paths so that people of all ages can ride bikes safely and stay off the roads."

"Safe cycleways along the major roads and streets so kids/parents can go to shops, schools etc., without running the risk of being hit by cars or trucks."

"There should be more footpaths to encourage people to get out, up and walk safely. More trails and cycleways will encourage people to get out, exercise, and socialise, building a strong and safer community."

"This is an active community, but there is only so far we can walk, cycle or scoot with our kids. The pathways are clogged with rubbish and weeds and in the dark mornings of winter, are poorly lit."

"Mow the grass on the trails. Especially the one between Rowley, Masters, Thomas and Hopkins Road. It's close to snake season, and lots of people use those paths daily."

"Develop better co-use bridle trails and path management outside of Byford. Stop blocking trails that are used by all and/or making access unsafe due to being too narrow or too overgrown."

Community Action Plan Stormwater drainage

Community driven actions

- Improve stormwater drainage systems concerns over flooding after heavy rain.
- Ensure stormwater drains are regularly maintained and cleaned (weeding, cleaning, removing debris such as leaves and sticks etc).
- Improve stormwater drainage around roads.
- Concerns over mosquitos breeding in stagnant water.

Community Voices

"Fix roads flooding due to inadequate stormwater design. e.g. Tonkin St Mundijong floods my property, and no action despite many interactions with the Shire regarding this issue."

"The drains around Serpentine need to be maintained. Overfilling due in part to no scrub removal is leaving the ground soaked for longer. Roads are constantly flooded, which with traffic, causes road degradation and increased maintenance costs."

"The Shire has not serviced the stormwater drains in front of my property for over 20 years.

They are now filled with trees and soil, and the water that should be running down them is now overflowing onto my property."

"Stormwater drains need to be properly maintained if not redesigned. There is flooding in the Shire every time there is heavy rain fall (and heavy rainfall in the Shire is NOT uncommon)."

"This needs to be addressed. Every winter, I am completely underwater. The culverts are filled to the top and just sit on top. No drainage doesn't help."

"Ensure that drainage along our rural-style roads actually works. Much of it is clogged with rubbish and weeds. I'm astounded that residents don't maintain their verge as we do at our place."

"Verge stormwater drainage needs to be maintained and cleared of long grass and blocked murky waters."

"The drains need to be cleared regularly so the stormwater can run off. The drains are currently full/partially containing water, and the water stinks and is surrounded by mosquitos."

"Where I live, there are big ditches on the side of the road full of water, not only is this a danger for children but also health wise for the breeding of mosquitos etc."

Community Action Plan Youth services and facilities

Community driven actions

- Provide safe spaces for young people to meet and socialise.
- Provide a greater range of activities for youth.
 Suggestions include:
 - BMX pump track, mini golf, public pool, exercise equipment and sporting goals in parks, mountain bike trails, roller skating basketball courts, tech centres, arcades etc.
- Provide more activities at the Rec Centre.
- · Upgrade the skatepark.
- Ensure young people have access to public transport.

Community Voices

"A purpose-built, safe "hang-out" place for teenagers."

"More consistent services for youth. Get-togethers. Catch ups. Every town in the Shire needs somewhere for these youths to go."

"After-school activities and places where teenagers can go to meet friends and engage in common interests."

"The youth need ample opportunity to attend events or groups to socialise properly and to develop community bonds and social skills."

"Widen the spectrum for activities, skate parks, bike tracks, basketball courts, tech centres and arcades for teens and younger kids."

"The services have grown so much, but there needs to be more available for youth to do.

The skate park is good, but for the size of the area, it is small. Everything created is

too small for the growth in the area."

"Provide services to suburbs calling for help. Only youth service is located in Byford. Youth who live in isolated areas (limited transportation) aren't able to attend the sessions."

"Huge gap later in childhood, and then they become restless unwanted frustrated teens with no local transport, nothing to do and nowhere to go."

"The Rec Centre is doing the best they can with the rubbish building and equipment they have. If you go into the gym 3.30-5 you will see it full of young teenage boys doing their teen gym program. It is literally the only thing you have in the Shire for youth after school that are good kids."

"Does the SJ Rec Centre run rock climbing or indoor gymnasium activities? No.

Wasted space and again underutilised."

Community Action Plan Council's leadership

Community driven actions

- Review and improve Council culture.
- Increase transparency, accountability and integrity.
- Address concerns with the election process.
- Improve community engagement:
 - Inform the community about what is happening
 - Involve the community in local decision making
 - Listen and represent the needs of all local groups
- · Improve value for money from Council rates.

Community Voices

"Council is not addressing what residents are asking for. Rates go up but essential services have gone down."

"I think there are some wonderful individuals on the council however they are not functioning well as a team and this is greatly stifling productivity and culture all the way down the line."

"Change of council so members can be trusted. Currently no trust in the community to rollout any projects."

"Council leadership. Gossip and complaints abound re Shire. Good leadership is needed, boundaries set, no exemptions for those infringing rules."

"Reduce friction between the various groups of councillors."

"Too many factions within Council. Needs to be much more accountable."

"Council's culture needs to continue to improve. Greater transparency, accountability and higher standards are required."

"For all councillors to be more accountable and transparent in all operations, spending and consultation with residents. Be proactive to the REAL needs of the WHOLE community not what council perceives to be the needs and not just for small community groups (eg equestrian)."


"Greater engagement and prioritisation of spending based on majority preferences within the community rather than decisions driven by local government and councillors' preferential vision."


"We need to see ACTUAL response to community feedback. The Council is very good at putting everything out to feedback, but then going ahead with what they want to do, regardless of how the community responds. It also needs to raise community awareness and make it very clear as to how final decisions are made regarding items that they sought community feedback on."

"They can't even do a proper election! What a waste of ratepayers' money!!"


People

Services and facilities for youth









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		
Male	42	0-4 years	37	
Female	36	5-11 years	33	
Age		12-17 years	35	
18-34 years	42	18+ years	39	
35-49 years	36	No children	43	
50-64 years	39	TTO OTHIGIOTI	10	
65+ vears	41			


Disability & culture				
Disability	34			
First Nations#	30			
LOTE	43			
Home ownership				
Home owner	39			
Renting / other	40			
Local business				
Owner / manager	34			

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 602).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Services and care available for seniors









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A of all-lidean		Disability
		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	38	0-4 years	38	LOTE
Female	35	5-11 years	37	
Age		12-17 years	38	Home ownership
18-34 years	40	·		Home owner
35-49 years	35	18+ years	36	Renting / other
50-64 years	35	No children	36	Local business
65+ years	38			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 467).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)



34


30

46

36


51

Disability access and inclusion









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	41	0-4 years	37
Female	34	5-11 years	37
Age		12-17 years	37
18-34 years	38	18+ years	37
35-49 years	38	No children	38
50-64 years	36	140 Official Offi	00
65+ years	40		


Disability & culture						
Disability	30					
First Nations#	38					
LOTE	38					
Home ownership						
Home owner	37					
Renting / other	40					
Local business						
Owner / manager	34					

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 433).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Recognition and respect for Aboriginal people, cultures and heritage











Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A war of obildren		Disability
		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	54	0-4 years	42	LOTE
Female	44	5-11 years	49	
Age		·	10	Home ownership
18-34 years	48	12-17 years	48	Home owner
·		18+ years	47	Renting / other
35-49 years	49	No children	51	Renting / other
50-64 years	47	140 offiliateri	01	Local business
65+ years	54			Owner / manager

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 458).

44

36


49

48

65

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Volunteer recognition and support



Trend Analysis Performance Index Score







Community variances

Performance Index Score


				Disability	49
Gender		Age of children		,	
		go o. o		First Nations#	43
Male	52	0-4 years	49		
Female	51	,		LOTE	54
remale	31	5-11 years	48	Hansa anna analisa	
Age		40.4-		Home ownership	
7.90		12-17 years	52	Home owner	51
18-34 years	51	10	ΕO	Home owner	51
05 40	F 0	18+ years	52	Renting / other	62
35-49 years	50	No children	53	rtenting / other	02
50-64 years	50	No children	55	Local business	
30-04 years	50				
65+ years	55			Owner / manager	49
, , , , , ,				ĕ	

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 526).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Community safety and crime prevention






2018

2013



2020

2022



Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		Disability
	4.0	Age of children		First Nations#
Male	40	0-4 years	35	LOTE
Female	37	5-11 years	38	
Age		ř	40	Home ownership
•	20	12-17 years	43	Home owner
18-34 years	39	18+ years	38	5 /
35-49 years	38	No obildron	44	Renting / other
50-64 years	37	No children	41	Local business
65+ years	44			Owner / manager

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 682).

37

26


52


38

51


40

Lighting of streets and public places









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A war of ohildness		Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	41	0-4 years	37	LOTE
Female	40	5-11 years	38	
Age		•		Home ownership
•		12-17 years	42	Home owner
18-34 years	43	18+ years	39	Tiome owner
35-49 years	37	101 years	00	Renting / other
·		No children	43	Local business
50-64 years	39			Local business
65+ years	46			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 770).

38

39


37


40

55


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Animal management (dogs and cats)









Community variances

Performance Index Score


				,	
Gender		Assa of abilians		Disability	42
	40	Age of children		First Nations#	48
Male	49	0-4 years	46	LOTE	50
Female	44	5-11 years	47	Home ownership	
Age		12-17 years	49	•	4.0
18-34 years	51	18+ years	49	Home owner	46
35-49 years	44	•		Renting / other	59
50-64 years	44	No children	47	Local business	
65+ years	45			Owner / manager	44
-					

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 675).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Access to health and community services






2018

2013



2020

2022



Community variances

Performance Index Score

Fi		Age of children		Gender
Г	44	0-4 years	50	Male
Home ow	45	5-11 years	45	Female
	48	12-17 years		Age
F	50	18+ years	50	18-34 years
Re	49	No children	45	35-49 years
Local bus	70	140 ormatori	46	50-64 years
Owne			51	65+ years

Disability & Culture				
Disability	41			
First Nations#	49			
LOTE	49			
Home ownership				
Home owner	48			
Renting / other	48			
Local business				
Owner / manager	39			


Disability & culture


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 692).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Sport and recreation facilities and services









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A war of alailaluon		Disability
	5 0	Age of children		First Nations#
Male	53	0-4 years	44	LOTE
Female	45	5-11 years	43	
Age		12-17 years	50	Home ownership
18-34 years	50	•	50	Home owner
35-49 years	45	18+ years		Renting / other
50-64 years	49	No children	52	Local business
65+ years	57			Owner / manager

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 717).

49

44


47


48

64


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

SJ Community Recreation Centre









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A see of all three		Disability
		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	54	0-4 years	50	LOTE
Female	54	5-11 years	51	_
Age		12-17 years	57	Home ownership
18-34 years	55	•	_	Home owner
35-49 years	52	18+ years	56	Renting / other
•	54	No children	58	Local business
50-64 years				
65+ years	62			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 620).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

58


50


45

54


65

Festivals, events, art and cultural activities









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		Disability
	40	Age of children		First Nations#
Male	49	0-4 years	46	LOTE
Female	47	5-11 years	47	LOTE
Age		•		Home ownership
		12-17 years	48	Home owner
18-34 years	47	18+ years	49	rionie owner
35-49 years	46	•		Renting / other
·	40	No children	50	Local business
50-64 years	48			Local business
65+ years	54			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 691).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

46


43 47

48

52


Planet

Efforts to promote and adopt sustainable practices to manage climate change









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	42	0-4 years	39
Female	37	5-11 years	38
Age		12-17 years	42
18-34 years	38	18+ years	44
35-49 years	40	No children	40
50-64 years	38	No children	40
65+ vears	44		

Disability & culture					
Disability	36				
First Nations#	34				
LOTE	47				
Home ownership					
Home owner	39				
Renting / other	48				
Local business					
Owner / manager	38				


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?


Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 520).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Conservation and environmental management

(including weed and pest control)









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Fi		Age of children		Gender
ГІ	33	0-4 years	30	Male
Home ow	32	5-11 years	30	Female
	28	12-17 years		Age
F	30	18+ years	32	18-34 years
Rei		No children	30	35-49 years
Local bus	30	No children	26	50-64 years
Owne			34	65+ years

26
29
36
30
36
28




Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 708).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Waste management services

(weekly rubbish collection, Watkins Road Waste Transfer Station & Recycling Centre)









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A of all-lidean		Disability
	0.0	Age of children		First Nations#
Male	60	0-4 years	61	LOTE
Female	56	5-11 years	60	Home ownership
Age		12-17 years	57	•
18-34 years	64	18+ years	55	Home owner
35-49 years	58	·		Renting / other
50-64 years	52	No children	58	Local business
65+ years	58			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 771).

52

6757


58


63

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Environmental health management

(noise, pollution, food inspections, etc)






2018

2013



2020

2022



Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	50	0-4 years	48
Female	44	5-11 years	50
Age		12-17 years	44
18-34 years	51	18+ years	46
35-49 years	48	No children	47
50-64 years	43	140 official	77
65+ years	45		


Disability & culture					
Disability	40				
First Nations#	44				
LOTE	54				
Home ownership					
Home owner	47				
Renting / other	53				
Local business					
Owner / manager	42				


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 521).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Stormwater drainage









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	41	0-4 years	43
Female	34	5-11 years	39
Age		12-17 years	32
18-34 years	44	18+ years	35
35-49 years	34	No children	38
50-64 years	34	140 ciliaren	50
65+ years	38		

Disability & culture				
Disability	36			
First Nations#	30			
LOTE	52			
Home ownership				
Home owner	38			
Renting / other	40			
Local business				
Owner / manager	32			


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?


Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 718).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Natural disaster management

(education, prevention and relief for fires, flooding, etc)









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A no of obligation		Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	47	0-4 years	52	LOTE
Female	45	5-11 years	50	LOTE
Acro		o ii yeais	50	Home ownership
Age		12-17 years	44	
18-34 years	51	10	45	Home owner
35-49 years	45	18+ years	45	Renting / other
33-43 years	45	No children	45	ŭ
50-64 years	40			Local business
65+ years	48			Owner / manager

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 578).

45

40


58

46

42

Place


Managing responsible growth and development











Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		Г:
Male	38	0-4 years	33	Fi
Female	33	5-11 years	34	Hama au
Age		12-17 years	36	Home ow
18-34 years	36	18+ years	35	F
35-49 years	36	No children	38	Rei
50-64 years	33	No children	30	Local bus
65+ years	40			Owne


Disability & culture	
Disability	34
First Nations#	28
LOTE	40
Home ownership	
Home owner	36
Renting / other	44
Local business	
Owner / manager	33


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 663).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

The area's character and identity









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		r
Male	48	0-4 years	43	F
Female	44	5-11 years	47	Llama au
Age		12-17 years	45	Home ov
18-34 years	50	18+ years	43	
35-49 years	45	No children	48	R
50-64 years	42	No children	40	Local bu
65+ years	45			Own


Disability & culture					
Disability	41				
First Nations#	43				
LOTE	48				
Home ownership					
Home owner	46				
Renting / other	54				
Local business					
Owner / manager	38				

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 732).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Planning and building approvals






Performance Index Score

			32
NA	NA	NA	
2013	2018	2020	2022

MARKYT Industry Standards

Performance Index Score





Community variances

Performance Index Score

First		Age of children		Gender
FIISt	33	0-4 years	33	Male
Home owne	29	5-11 years	30	Female
	31	12-17 years		Age
Hon	29	18+ years	36	18-34 years
Rentir	20	·	30	35-49 years
Local busine	32	No children	29	50-64 years
Owner /			32	65+ years

Disability & culture					
Disability	29				
First Nations#	31				
LOTE	42				
Home ownership					
Home owner	32				
Renting / other	27				
Local business					
Owner / manager	24				

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 619).

38

25

29

30


24


25

36


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Access to housing that meets your needs









Community variances

Performance Index Score


Condon				Disability	48
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#	56
Male	54	0-4 years	57	LOTE	51
Female	53	5-11 years	54		31
Age		12-17 years	53	Home ownership	
18-34 years	62	12-17 years	55	Home owner	54
,		18+ years	50	Renting / other	48
35-49 years	49	No children	54	· ·	40
50-64 years	50			Local business	
65+ years	47			Owner / manager	46


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 570).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Preserving and promoting local history and heritage









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Ann of obilition		Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	51	0-4 years	52	LOTE
Female	49	5-11 years	54	
Age		· ·	_	Home ownership
Ago		12-17 years	53	Home owner
18-34 years	54	18+ years	50	rionie owner
35-49 years	49	101 years	30	Renting / other
,	_	No children	48	l coal business
50-64 years	44			Local business
65+ years	52			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 640).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

46


50


54

50


53

Community buildings, halls and toilets









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A no of abildren		Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	45	0-4 years	41	
Female	45	5-11 years	43	LOTE
A		3-11 years	43	Home ownership
Age		12-17 years	46	
18-34 years	45	10	40	Home owner
35-49 years	43	18+ years	48	Renting / other
33-43 years	43	No children	47	•
50-64 years	42			Local business
65+ years	53			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 690).

41

37


38


45

53


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Playgrounds, parks and reserves









Community variances

Performance Index Score


Gender		Ann of abildon		Disability	48
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#	53
Male	51	0-4 years	46	LOTE	
Female	49	5-11 years	42	LOTE	53
٨٥٥		3-11 years	42	Home ownership	
Age		12-17 years	48	Home owner	50
18-34 years	53	18+ years	53	Home owner	50
35-49 years	46	•	00	Renting / other	66
	40	No children	53	Local business	
50-64 years	48			Loodi basiliess	
65+ years	57			Owner / manager	47

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 742).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Streetscapes, trees and verges






2018

2013



2020

2022



Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A consider the following		Disability
		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	37	0-4 years	36	LOTE
Female	35	5-11 years	34	
Age		12-17 years	35	Home ownership
18-34 years	37	•		Home owner
35-49 years	34	18+ years	33	Renting / other
•		No children	36	Local business
50-64 years	34			Local business
65+ years	41			Owner / manager

Special Council Meeting - 27 February

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 765).

36


32

42

35


56

Footpaths, trails and cycleways









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		
Male	40	0-4 years	40	
Female	40	5-11 years	38	Home
Age		12-17 years	37	поше
18-34 years	44	18+ years	38	
35-49 years	36	No children	40	
50-64 years	38	140 CHIIGICH	40	Local
65+ years	43			O

Disability & culture						
36						
42						
47						
40						
50						
36						


Dischility 9 audtura

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 754).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Building and maintaining local roads









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A second at the second		Disability
		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	22	0-4 years	18	LOTE
Female	20	5-11 years	15	
Age		12-17 years	17	Home ownership
18-34 years	20	•		Home owner
35-49 years	17	18+ years	21	Renting / other
,		No children	25	Local business
50-64 years	21			Local business
65+ years	32			Owner / manager

Special Council Meeting - 27 February

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 768).

21


23


28

21


30

Traffic management on local roads









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		
Male	34	0-4 years	36	
Female	32	5-11 years	32	Home
Age		12-17 years	31	поше
18-34 years	33	18+ years	34	
35-49 years	32	No children	34	
50-64 years	31	140 children	04	Local
65+ years	38			Ov


Disability & culture					
Disability	30				
First Nations#	30				
LOTE	39				
Home ownership					
Home owner	33				
Renting / other	45				
Local business					
Owner / manager	32				

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 751).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Access to public transport






2018

2013



2020

2022



Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		
Male	33	0-4 years	35	
Female	29	5-11 years	33	
Age		12-17 years	33	
18-34 years	34	18+ years	32	
35-49 years	32	No children	29	
50-64 years	26	TTO OTHIGIOTI	20	
65+ vears	34			

Disability & culture					
Disability	26				
First Nations#	32				
LOTE	26				
Home ownership					
Home owner	31				
Renting / other	34				
Local business					
Owner / manager	31				


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 700).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Prosperity

Place to own or operate a business






Performance Index Score



MARKYT Industry Standards

Performance Index Score





Community variances

Performance Index Score

O a ser da se				Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	45	0-4 years	37	LOTE
Female	49	5-11 years	45	
Age		12-17 years	48	Home ownership
18-34 years	45	•		Home owner
35-49 years	46	18+ years	49	Renting / other
50-64 years	46	No children	49	Local business
65+ years	53			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 505).

48

44

45

43

49

46

45

43

41

43 35


46

51

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Place to own or operate a business

Among business owners and managers*



Geographical variances

Performance Index Score

Not available

Trend Analysis

Performance Index Score



Community variances Performance Index Score

Not available




Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 90)

^{*} base is unweighted and contains 1 out of area respondent. * Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay


Economic development and job creation











Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		
Male	35	0-4 years	37	
Female	36	5-11 years	35	Ша
Age		12-17 years	37	Но
18-34 years	37	18+ years	37	
35-49 years	34	No children	34	
50-64 years	34	No children	54	Lo
65+ years	38			


Disability & culture					
Disability	33				
First Nations#	36				
LOTE	36				
Home ownership					
Home owner	35				
Renting / other	47				
Local business					
Owner / manager	35				


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 540).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Jarrahdale Town Centre development and activation









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	41	0-4 years	38
Female	37	5-11 years	37
Age		12-17 years	46
18-34 years	41	18+ years	46
35-49 years	40	No children	38
50-64 years	37	No ciliaren	50
65+ years	45		


Disability & culture	
Disability	36
First Nations#	38
LOTE	48
Home ownership	
Home owner	39
Renting / other	51
Local business	
Owner / manager	39


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 372).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Mundijong Town Centre development and activation









Community variances

Performance Index Score


				Disability	43
Gender		Age of children		,	
		7.go 0. 0a. 0		First Nations#	43
Male	45	0-4 years	38		
Female	41	, , , , ,		LOTE	44
remaie	41	5-11 years	40		
Age				Home ownership	
Age		12-17 years	45	Hama a ayyaar	40
18-34 years	45	40	40	Home owner	43
05.40	40	18+ years	46	Renting / other	45
35-49 years	40	No children	43	Renting / Other	40
50-64 years	41	No children	43	Local business	
50-64 years	41			20001 80011000	
65+ years	51			Owner / manager	40
,				9	

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 409).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Serpentine Town Centre development and activation









Community variances

Performance Index Score


Gender		Age of children	
Male	37	0-4 years	32
Female	30	5-11 years	32
Age		12-17 years	41
18-34 years	34	18+ years	37
35-49 years	33	No children	32
50-64 years	32	No children	32
65+ years	39		


Disability & culture	
Disability	38
First Nations#	40
LOTE	46
Home ownership	
Home owner	34
Renting / other	36
Local business	
Owner / manager	32

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?


Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 366).

Byford Town Centre development and activation









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Disability		Ago of obildron		Gender
First Nations#		Age of children		
LOTE	47	0-4 years	49	Male
LOTE	48	5-11 years	48	Female
Home ownership	40	J-11 years		Acro
Llama auraar	49	12-17 years		Age
Home owner	49	18+ years	52	18-34 years
Renting / other	40	101 years	47	35-49 years
Local business	48	No children	40	•
Local business			46	50-64 years
Owner / manager			47	65+ years

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 606).

46

51 41


48

57

47

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Place to visit











Community variances

Performance Index Score

Fir		Age of children		Gender
FII	53	0-4 years	56	Male
Home owi	55	5-11 years	63	Female
	60	12-17 years		Age
H	59	18+ years	56	18-34 years
Rer	62	No children	59	35-49 years
Local bus	02	NO CHIIGIEH	61	50-64 years
Owner			66	65+ years

57
45
54
59
68
59


Disability & culture

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 787).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Tourism and place marketing






Performance Index Score

			34
NA	NA	NA	
2013	2018	2020	2022

MARKYT Industry Standards

Performance Index Score





Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	34	0-4 years	32
Female	35	5-11 years	33
Age		12-17 years	36
18-34 years	34	18+ years	36
35-49 years	34	No children	34
50-64 years	34	140 CHIIGICH	04
65+ years	39		

Disability	31
First Nations#	37
LOTE	35
Home ownership	
Home owner	34

Disability & culture

Owner / manager 32

Local business

Renting / other

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 587).

45

33

33

33

37

28


37


39

38


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Access to education, training and personal development opportunities









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	41	0-4 years	43
Female	36	5-11 years	37
Age		12-17 years	34
18-34 years	42	18+ years	37
35-49 years	38	No children	38
50-64 years	33	140 cilliaren	50
65+ years	41		


Disability & culture					
Disability	29				
First Nations#	37				
LOTE	41				
Home ownership					
Home owner	39				
Renting / other	37				
Local business					
Owner / manager	34				


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 551).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Library services









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Dis				
First Na		Age of children		Gender
FIISUNA	53	0-4 years	47	Male
Home ownersh	55	5-11 years	56	Female
	53	12-17 years		Age
Home	51	18+ years	54	18-34 years
Renting /	50	No children	52	35-49 years
Local business	50	No children	44	50-64 years
Owner / ma			56	65+ years

Disability	51
First Nations#	55
LOTE	53
Home ownership	
Home owner	52
Renting / other	53
Local business	
Owner / manager	52

Disability & culture


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 585).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


Performance (Governance)

Council's leadership









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		
Male	25	0-4 years	21	
Female	24	5-11 years	20	
Age		12-17 years	21	
18-34 years	26	18+ years	24	
35-49 years	22	No children	27	
50-64 years	22	140 Ormaren	21	
65+ years	30			


Disability & culture				
18				
19				
34				
24				
39				
24				


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 630).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

The Shire has developed and communicated a clear vision for the area










Community variances


% agree

Gender		Age of children		Disabi
	4.0	Age of children		First Nation
Male	16	0-4 years	16	LO
Female	17	5-11 years	11	
Age		•		Home ownership
	16	12-17 years	12	Home own
18-34 years	16	18+ years	14	
35-49 years	14	No children	19	Renting / oth
50-64 years	18	No criliaren	10	Local business
65+ years	20			Owner / manag

Disability & culture				
Disability	20			
First Nations#	14			
LOTE	17			
Home ownership				
Home owner	16			
Renting / other	34			
Local business				
Owner / manager	17			


Advocacy and lobbying











Community variances

Performance Index Score


			•	
	A war of abilition		Disability	26
	Age of children		First Nations#	17
26	0-4 years	26	LOTE	34
26	5-11 vears	24		34
	ř	20	Home ownership	
26	12-17 years	20	Home owner	26
	18+ years	26	Renting / other	35
26	No children	26	ŭ	00
25			Local business	
31			Owner / manager	27
	26 26 25	26 5-11 years 12-17 years 26 18+ years 26 No children	26 0-4 years 26 26 5-11 years 24 12-17 years 28 26 18+ years 26 26 No children 26	Age of children 26

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 536).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

How the community is consulted about local issues









Community variances

Performance Index Score


O a mada m				Disability	23
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#	20
Male	26	0-4 years	25	LOTE	29
Female	24	5-11 years	23		29
Age		12-17 years	22	Home ownership	
18-34 years	26	•		Home owner	25
35-49 years	24	18+ years	24	Renting / other	37
_		No children	26	Local business	
50-64 years	24			Local business	
65+ years	29			Owner / manager	22


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 690).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

The Shire has a good understanding of community needs










Community variances

% agree

Gender		Age of children		
Male	13	0-4 years	12	
Female	12	5-11 years	6	
Age		12-17 years	8	
18-34 years	13	18+ years	9	
35-49 years	10	No children	14	
50-64 years	14	140 Children	17	
65+ years	16			

Disability & culture					
Disability	13				
First Nations#	20				
LOTE	25				
Home ownership					
Home owner	12				
Renting / other					
Local business					
Owner / manager	10				

The Shire listens to and respects community views




Trend Analysis

% agree

NA	NA	NA	9
2013	2018	2020	2022

MARKYT Industry Standards % agree Shire of SerpentineJarrahdale Industry High 53



Community variances

% agree


				[
Gender		Age of children		First I
Male	9	0-4 years	8	1 1151 1
Female	9	5-11 years	5	Home owner
Age		12-17 years	8	
18-34 years	8	18+ years	6	Hom
35-49 years	9	•		Rentin
50-64 years	7	No children	10	Local busine
65+ years	13			Owner / n


Disability & culture	
Disability	8
First Nations#	11
LOTE	17
Home ownership	
Home owner	9
Renting / other	8
Local business	
Owner / manager	7

Industry Average


28

How the community is informed about what's happening in the local area









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A second at the second		Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	30	0-4 years	30	LOTE
Female	28	5-11 years	27	
Age		•	0.0	Home ownership
•		12-17 years	26	Home owner
18-34 years	29	18+ years	28	
35-49 years	30	•		Renting / other
50-64 years	27	No children	31	Local business
65+ years	33			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 711).

22

24

35


29


39

26


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

The Shire clearly explains reasons for decisions and how residents' views are taken into account









Community variances

% agree

Gender	Disabil				
		Age of children First Nat		First Nations#	
Male	10	0-4 years	10	LOTE	
Female	9	5-11 years	4		
Age		12-17 years	6	Home ownership	
18-34 years	9	•		Home owner	
35-49 years	11	18+ years	8	Renting / other	
50-64 years	8	No children	10	Local business	
65+ years	10			Owner / manager	

9


19

10

16


7

Shire's website









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender	Age of children			
Male	46	0-4 years	45	
Female	46	5-11 years	45	
Age		12-17 years	46	
18-34 years	45	18+ years	47	
35-49 years	46	No children	47	
50-64 years	44	NO CHIIGIEH		
65+ years	54			


Disability & culture	
Disability	47
First Nations#	37
LOTE	49
Home ownership	
Home owner	46
Renting / other	54
Local business	
Owner / manager	48

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 659).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)


SJ Matters (Shire's eNewsletter)











Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		A consect of the second		Disability
Gender		Age of children		First Nations#
Male	43	0-4 years	43	
Female	47	T 44	40	LOTE
	.,	5-11 years	43	Home ownership
Age		12-17 years	47	•
18-34 years	43	,	4.0	Home owner
•	40	18+ years	46	Renting / other
35-49 years	46	No children	45	· ·
50-64 years	42			Local business
65+ years	52			Owner / manager

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 504).

46

3845


45


59

46


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay #Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Social media presence









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender	Age of children			
Male	39	0-4 years	40	
Female	42	5-11 years	36	н
Age		12-17 years 39	п	
18-34 years	41	18+ years	40	
35-49 years	41	No children	42	
50-64 years	38	rto omidion		L
65+ years	42			


Disability & culture	
Disability	36
First Nations#	35
LOTE	42
Home ownership	
Home owner	40
Renting / other	47
Local business	
Owner / manager	39


Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 531).


* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Customer service









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children	
Male	40	0-4 years	40
Female	43	5-11 years	41
Age		12-17 years	39
18-34 years	43	18+ years	41
35-49 years	40	No children	44
50-64 years	38	NO CHIIGIEH	44
65+ vears	47		


Disability & culture	
Disability	40
First Nations#	37
LOTE	48
Home ownership	
Home owner	41
Renting / other	54
Local business	
Owner / manager	38

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 678).

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Request and Report It (online customer request system)






Performance Index Score



MARKYT Industry Standards Performance Index Score





Community variances

Performance Index Score

Fi		Age of children		Gender
	47	0-4 years	37	Male
Home ow H Rer	41	5-11 years	43	Female
	39	12-17 years		Age
	36	18+ years	43	18-34 years
	38	No children	40	35-49 years
Local bus	00	140 Official	35	50-64 years
Owne			43	65+ years

Disability & culture	
Disability	40
First Nations#	36
LOTE	52
Home ownership	
Home owner	40
Renting / other	47
Local business	
Owner / manager	45

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 425).

41

37

31

43

38


42

40

40

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Your Say SJ (Shire's online consultation website)



Trend Analysis


Performance Index Score



MARKYT Industry Standards

Performance Index Score





Community variances

Performance Index Score

				Di
Gender		Age of children		First Na
Male	42	0-4 years	45	FIISUN
Female	46	5-11 years	47	Home owners
Age		12-17 years	39	
18-34 years	45	18+ years	42	Home
35-49 years	45	No children	44	Renting
50-64 years	40	No children	44	Local busines
65+ years	50			Owner / ma

Disability & culture	
Disability	43
First Nations#	34
LOTE	54
Home ownership	
Home owner	44
Renting / other	50
Local business	
Owner / manager	46

Dischility 9 audtura

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 462).

* Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

46

33

34

48


37

46

41

47

How the Shire embraces change, innovation and new technology






Performance Index Score

			30
NA	NA	NA	
2013	2018	2020	2022

MARKYT Industry Standards

Performance Index Score





Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		First
Male	30	0-4 years	30	FIIS
Female	31	5-11 years	27	Home owne
Age		12-17 years	30	
18-34 years	30	18+ years	31	Hor
35-49 years	29	No children	31	Renti
50-64 years	28		٠.	Local busin
65+ years	37			Owner /


Disability & culture	
Disability	29
First Nations#	25
LOTE	34
Home ownership	
Home owner	30
Renting / other	47
Local business	
Owner / manager	30

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 549).


^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Value for money from Council rates









Community variances

Performance Index Score

Gender		Age of children		Disability
Male	21	•	40	First Nations#
		0-4 years	18	LOTE
Female	22	5-11 years	17	Home ownership
Age		12-17 years	17	Home ownership
18-34 years	20	12 17 years	17	Home owner
•	_	18+ years	18	Renting / other
35-49 years	20	No children	25	Renting / Other
50-64 years	21	140 cilliaren	20	Local business
65+ years	29			Owner / manager

Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas?

Base: All respondents, excludes 'unsure' and 'no response' (n = 790).

22

15

22

21

23

20

^{*} Positive Rating = excellent, good + okay # Small sample size (<20 respondents)

Overview of Community Variances

Summary of community variances

					600000000000000000000000000000000000000		H1000000000000000000000000000000000000				N V			///			///	4000000000
	Total	Male	Female	18-34 years	35-49 years	50-64 years	65+ years	No children	Have child 0-5	Have child 6-12	Have child 13-17	Have child 18+	Disability	First Nations#	LOTE	Home owner	Renting / other	Business owner / manager
Place to live	68	68	68	66	66	70	71	71	61	63	67	71	62	60	65	68	66	65
Place to visit	59	56	63	56	59	61	66	62	53	55	60	59	57	45	54	59	68	59
Governing organisation	33	34	32	33	30	30	42	38	27	27	27	31	31	27	35	33	38	28
Youth services and facilities	39	42	36	42	36	39	41	43	37	33	35	39	34	30	43	39	40	34
Seniors' services and care	37	38	35	40	35	35	38	36	38	37	38	36	34	30	46	36	51	37
Disability access and inclusion	37	41	34	38	38	36	40	38	37	37	37	37	30	38	38	37	40	34
Recognition for Aboriginal people and cultures	49	54	44	48	49	47	54	51	42	49	48	47	44	36	49	48	65	49
Volunteer recognition and support	51	52	51	51	50	50	55	53	49	48	52	52	49	43	54	51	62	49
Community safety and crime prevention	39	40	37	39	38	37	44	41	35	38	43	38	37	26	52	38	51	40
Lighting of streets and public places	41	41	40	43	37	39	46	43	37	38	42	39	38	39	37	40	55	36
Animal management (dogs and cats)	46	49	44	51	44	44	45	47	46	47	49	49	42	48	50	46	59	44
Health and community services	48	50	45	50	45	46	51	49	44	45	48	50	41	49	49	48	48	39
Sport and recreation facilities and services	49	53	45	50	45	49	57	52	44	43	50	50	49	44	47	48	64	44
SJ Community Recreation Centre	54	54	54	55	52	54	62	58	50	51	57	56	58	50	45	54	65	50
Festivals, events, art and cultural activities	48	49	47	47	46	48	54	50	46	47	48	49	46	43	47	48	52	44
Sustainable practices / climate change	39	42	37	38	40	38	44	40	39	38	42	44	36	34	47	39	48	38
Conservation and environmental management	30	30	30	32	30	26	34	30	33	32	28	30	26	29	36	30	36	28
Waste services	58	60	56	64	58	52	58	58	61	60	57	55	52	67	57	58	63	53
Environmental health management	47	50	44	51	48	43	45	47	48	50	44	46	40	44	54	47	53	42
Stormwater drainage	38	41	34	44	34	34	38	38	43	39	32	35	36	30	52	38	40	32
Natural disaster management	46	47	45	51	45	40	48	45	52	50	44	45	45	40	58	46	42	44

Summary of community variances

	_		ACCUSION OF		Account		ASSESSED		400000000000000000000000000000000000000		N V		A	///		400000000000000000000000000000000000000	///	
	Total	Male	Female	18-34 years	35-49 years	50-64 years	65+ years	No children	Have child 0-5	Have child 6-12	Have child 13-17	Have child 18+	Disability	First Nations#	LOTE	Home owner	Renting / other	Business owner / manager
Responsible growth and development	36	38	33	36	36	33	40	38	33	34	36	35	34	28	40	36	44	33
Area's character and identity	46	48	44	50	45	42	45	48	43	47	45	43	41	43	48	46	54	38
Planning and building approvals	32	33	30	36	30	29	32	32	33	29	31	29	29	31	42	32	27	24
Access to housing that meets your needs	53	54	53	62	49	50	47	54	57	54	53	50	48	56	51	54	48	46
Local history and heritage	50	51	49	54	49	44	52	48	52	54	53	50	46	50	54	50	53	47
Community buildings, halls and toilets	45	45	45	45	43	42	53	47	41	43	46	48	41	37	38	45	53	41
Playgrounds, parks and reserves	50	51	49	53	46	48	57	53	46	42	48	53	48	53	53	50	66	47
Streetscapes, trees and verges	35	37	35	37	34	34	41	36	36	34	35	33	36	32	42	35	56	35
Footpaths, trails and cycleways	40	40	40	44	36	38	43	40	40	38	37	38	36	42	47	40	50	36
Building and maintaining local roads	21	22	20	20	17	21	32	25	18	15	17	21	21	23	28	21	30	18
Traffic management on local roads	33	34	32	33	32	31	38	34	36	32	31	34	30	30	39	33	45	32
Access to public transport	31	33	29	34	32	26	34	29	35	33	33	32	26	32	26	31	34	31
Economic development and job creation	35	35	36	37	34	34	38	34	37	35	37	37	33	36	36	35	47	35
Place to own or operate a business	46	45	49	45	46	46	53	49	37	45	48	49	41	43	35	46	51	43
Jarrahdale Town Centre	39	41	37	41	40	37	45	38	38	37	46	46	36	38	48	39	51	39
Mundijong Town Centre	43	45	41	45	40	41	51	43	38	40	45	46	43	43	44	43	45	40
Serpentine Town Centre	34	37	30	34	33	32	39	32	32	32	41	37	38	40	46	34	36	32
Byford Town Centre	48	49	48	52	47	46	47	48	47	48	49	49	46	51	41	48	57	47
Tourism and place marketing	34	34	35	34	34	34	39	34	32	33	36	36	31	37	35	34	45	32
Education and training	38	41	36	42	38	33	41	38	43	37	34	37	29	37	41	39	37	34
Library services	52	47	56	54	52	44	56	50	53	55	53	51	51	55	53	52	53	52

Summary of community variances

	Total	Male	Female	18-34 years	35-49 years	50-64 years	65+ years	No children	Have child 0-5	Have child 6-12	Have child 13-17	Have child 18+	Disability	First Nations#	LOTE	Home owner	Renting / other	Business owner / manager
Council's leadership	24	25	24	26	22	22	30	27	21	20	21	24	18	19	34	24	39	24
Advocacy and lobbying	26	26	26	26	26	25	31	26	26	24	28	26	26	17	34	26	35	27
Embracing change, innovation and technology	30	30	31	30	29	28	37	31	30	27	30	31	29	25	34	30	47	30
Consultation	25	26	24	26	24	24	29	26	25	23	22	24	23	20	29	25	37	22
Communication	29	30	28	29	30	27	33	31	30	27	26	28	22	24	35	29	39	26
Customer service	41	40	43	43	40	38	47	44	40	41	39	41	40	37	48	41	54	38
Value for money from rates	21	21	22	20	20	21	29	25	18	17	17	18	22	15	22	21	23	20
Shire's website	46	46	46	45	46	44	54	47	45	45	46	47	47	37	49	46	54	48
Your Say SJ consultation website	44	42	46	45	45	40	50	44	45	47	39	42	43	34	54	44	50	46
SJ Matters eNewsletter	45	43	47	43	46	42	52	45	43	43	47	46	46	38	45	45	59	46
Social media presence	40	39	42	41	41	38	42	42	40	36	39	40	36	35	42	40	47	39
Request and Report It	40	37	43	43	40	35	43	38	47	41	39	36	40	36	52	40	47	45

Overview of Geographical Variances

Summary of geographical variances

	I The second sec				47/		\ \ \ / /	AND DESCRIPTIONS AND DE	, N P
	Total	Byford	Cardup	Darling Downs	Mundijong	Whitby	Jarrahdale / Karrakup	Oakford / Oldbury	Serpentine + surrounds
Place to live	68	65	70	60	72	65	77	71	70
Place to visit	59	56	60	50	61	55	77	61	60
Governing organisation	33	36	27	32	34	32	33	28	26
Youth services and facilities	39	46	29	30	28	27	35	44	31
Seniors' services and care	37	41	40	31	32	36	35	36	30
Disability access and inclusion	37	42	39	34	33	31	31	41	30
Recognition for Aboriginal people and cultures	49	51	56	36	51	49	43	52	47
Volunteer recognition and support	51	51	49	45	56	49	53	51	52
Community safety and crime prevention	39	39	36	30	44	40	42	34	41
Lighting of streets and public places	41	44	37	33	43	41	42	33	33
Animal management (dogs and cats)	46	48	46	53	52	48	39	44	45
Health and community services	48	50	49	42	42	38	44	54	47
Sport and recreation facilities and services	49	54	48	41	46	40	44	53	43
SJ Community Recreation Centre	54	58	57	42	57	38	55	56	47
Festivals, events, art and cultural activities	48	47	52	48	57	47	42	48	49
Sustainable practices / climate change	39	39	48	29	44	47	36	38	42
Conservation and environmental management	30	32	24	23	40	36	31	23	27
Waste services	58	57	69	49	66	66	52	54	61
Environmental health management	47	47	44	44	54	48	47	42	48
Stormwater drainage	38	43	23	33	43	46	39	26	27
Natural disaster management	46	50	33	37	51	48	49	39	40

Summary of geographical variances

					NV/		/ / / /		/ N N
	Total	Byford	Cardup	Darling Downs	Mundijong	Whitby	Jarrahdale / Karrakup	Oakford / Oldbury	Serpentine + surrounds
Responsible growth and development	36	40	29	30	33	30	35	38	30
Area's character and identity	46	48	41	41	47	47	44	48	41
Planning and building approvals	32	38	25	29	30	24	25	36	21
Access to housing that meets your needs	53	57	45	52	46	50	44	55	54
Local history and heritage	50	53	46	43	48	49	44	48	51
Community buildings, halls and toilets	45	46	45	44	46	34	44	45	46
Playgrounds, parks and reserves	50	55	48	38	46	40	42	52	52
Streetscapes, trees and verges	35	39	30	31	40	31	39	31	27
Footpaths, trails and cycleways	40	46	32	36	43	29	43	34	28
Building and maintaining local roads	21	24	12	15	21	13	27	18	16
Traffic management on local roads	33	36	28	23	37	25	42	27	28
Access to public transport	31	43	35	21	23	27	21	17	14
Economic development and job creation	35	38	33	35	39	21	35	39	30
Place to own or operate a business	46	48	44	45	43	49	46	45	43
Jarrahdale Town Centre	39	44	48	45	39	26	30	43	41
Mundijong Town Centre	43	47	45	43	39	23	37	48	51
Serpentine Town Centre	34	40	47	46	29	21	32	44	19
Byford Town Centre	48	44	43	46	49	54	58	47	62
Tourism and place marketing	34	33	33	33	37	28	37	39	38
Education and training	38	44	37	31	27	30	37	42	28
Library services	52	57	57	45	46	44	45	47	47

Summary of geographical variances

	Total	Byford	Cardup	Darling Downs	Mundijong	Whitby	Jarrahdale / Karrakup	Oakford / Oldbury	Serpentine + surrounds
Council's leadership	24	26	24	24	30	22	22	20	23
Advocacy and lobbying	26	29	26	26	31	18	26	26	18
Embracing change, innovation and technology	30	31	29	30	36	23	30	29	28
Consultation	25	28	23	18	33	26	22	23	18
Communication	29	33	27	19	37	33	22	28	26
Customer service	41	42	41	37	47	45	40	38	42
Value for money from rates	21	24	15	16	20	22	25	19	16
Shire's website	46	48	43	41	47	40	45	47	49
Your Say SJ consultation website	44	46	33	34	48	37	46	41	47
SJ Matters eNewsletter	45	45	42	41	44	41	49	46	46
Social media presence	40	41	42	32	45	41	44	39	40
Request and Report It	40	41	37	31	43	38	42	40	40

MARKYT Community Priorities among businesses and Shire affiliates

MARKYT Community Priorities





- Q. How would you rate performance in the following areas? Base: Own or operate a business (n=varies)
- Q. Which areas would you most like the Council to focus on improving? Base: Own or operate a business (n = 97)


- Youth services and facilities 6.1 Attachment 1 Seniors' services and care
- - Disability access and inclusion
- Recognition, Aboriginal cultures Volunteer recognition & support
- Community safety
- Lighting of streets/public places
- Animal management
- Health and community services
- Sport and recreation
- 11 SJ Community Rec Centre
- 12 Festivals, events, art & culture
 - Sustainable practices
- 14 Conservation & environment mgt
- 15 Waste services
- Environmental health mgt
- 17 Stormwater drainage
- 18 Natural disaster management
- 19 Resp. growth / development
- 20 Area's character and identity
 - Planning and building approvals
- 22 Access to housing
- 23 Local history and heritage
- 24 Community buildings / toilets
- 25 Playgrounds, parks, reserves
- 26 Streetscapes, trees and verges 27 Footpaths, trails and cycleways
- 28 Local roads
- 29 Traffic management
- 30 Access to public transport
- 31 Economic development
- 32 Jarrahdale Town Centre
- 33 Mundijong Town Centre
- 34 Serpentine Town Centre
- 35 Byford Town Centre
- 36 Tourism and place marketing
- 37 Education and training
- 38 Library services
- 39 Council's leadership
- 40 Advocacy and lobbying
- 41 Change, innovation, technology
- 42 Consultation
- Special Council Meeting 201Febtuaica2023 44 Customer service

Copyright CATALYSE® Pty Ltd. © 2022

MARKYT Community Priorities

(n=varies) Q. Which areas would you most like the Council to focus on improving? Base: Elected Member, Shire employee or live


in same household (n = 12)



Copyright CATALYSE® Pty Special Council Meeting - 2กศะอาณาล่องรับ

44 Customer service

Youth services and facilities 6.1 - Attachment 1 Seniors' services and care





www.catalyse.com.au
Office 3, 996 Hay Street, Perth WA 6000
PO Box 8007, Cloisters Square WA 6850
Phone +618 9226 5674

Email: info@catalyse.com.au

ABN 20 108 620 855

