

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	ATTENDANCE & APOLOGIES:	2
2.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME:	2
2.1	Response To Previous Public Questions Taken On Notice	2
3.	PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME:	2
4.	PETITIONS & DEPUTATIONS:	2
5.	PRESIDENT’S REPORT:	2
6.	DECLARATION OF COUNCILLORS AND OFFICERS INTEREST:	2
7.	RECEIPTS OF MINUTES OR REPORTS AND CONSIDERATION FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:	2
8.	MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	3
SCM17/06/09	CONFIDENTIAL – CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PERFORMANCE REVIEW (H0053) 3	
SCM18/06/09	TENDER 005/2009 - NEW AND REFURBISHMENT WORKS TO BRUNO GIANATTI HALL, JARRAHDAL E (RS0032)	4
SCM19/06/09	TENDER 006/2009 - NEW AND REFURBISHMENT WORKS TO CLEM KENTISH HALL, SERPENTINE (RS0032)	8
SCM20/06/09	SHIRE OF SERPENTINE JARRAHDAL E - DIFFERENTIAL RATING STRATEGY 2009/2010 FINANCIAL YEAR (A0128)	12
9.	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT:	16
10.	URGENT BUSINESS:	16
11.	COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN:	16
12.	CLOSURE:	16

- NOTE:**
- a) The Council Committee Minutes Item numbers may be out of sequence. Please refer to Section 10 of the Agenda – Information Report - Committee Decisions Under Delegated Authority for these items.
 - b) Declaration of Councillors and Officers Interest is made at the time the item is discussed.

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS,
6 PATERSON STREET, MUNDIJONG ON TUESDAY, 16th JUNE 2009. THE PRESIDING
MEMBER DECLARED THE MEETING OPEN AT 2.31PM AND WELCOMED
COUNCILLORS AND STAFF.

1. ATTENDANCE & APOLOGIES:

IN ATTENDANCE:

COUNCILLORS: DL Needham Presiding Member
M Harris
WJ Kirkpatrick
K Murphy
MJ Geurds
JE Price
S Twine
E Brown
C Randall
C Buttfield (from ...

OFFICERS: Ms J Abbiss Chief Executive Officer
Mr B Gleeson Director Development Services
Mr A Hart Director Corporate Services
Mr R Gorbunow Director
Mrs L Fletcher Minute Secretary

APOLOGIES: Director Strategic Community Planning

GALLERY: 0

2. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME:

2.1 Response To Previous Public Questions Taken On Notice

Nil

3. PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME:

Nil

4. PETITIONS & DEPUTATIONS:

Nil

5. PRESIDENT'S REPORT:

Nil

6. DECLARATION OF COUNCILLORS AND OFFICERS INTEREST:

The Chief Executive Officer declared a financial interest in item SCM17/06/09 and will leave the Chamber whilst this matter is discussed.

7. RECEIPTS OF MINUTES OR REPORTS AND CONSIDERATION FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Nil

8. MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Price, seconded Cr Kirkpatrick that the meeting be closed in accordance with Section 5.23(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 1995 to allow confidential item SCM17/06/09 to be discussed.
CARRIED 9/0

SCM17/06/09 CONFIDENTIAL – CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PERFORMANCE REVIEW (H0053)		
Proponent:	Staff Management Working Group	In Brief
Owner:	Not applicable	This report relates to the review of the Chief Executive Officer's performance for 2008/2009 and related matters.
Author:	Local Government Consultant Gary Martin	
Senior Officer:	Not applicable	
Date of Report	12 June 2009	
Previously	SCM04/08/07	
Disclosure of Interest	The author is paid a consultancy fee for this review process. The Chief Executive Officer declares a Financial Interest in this item in accordance with the Local Government Act 1995.	
Delegation	Council	

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Price, seconded Cr Harris that standing orders 9.5 and 10.7 be suspended.
CARRIED 9/0

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Twine, seconded Cr Harris that standing orders 9.5 and 10.7 be reinstated.
CARRIED 9/0

SCM17/06/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Kirkpatrick, seconded Cr Twine
That Council:

1. Receives the attached confidential report *SCM17.1/06/09* and that this remain strictly confidential and not be disclosed.
2. Notes the overall satisfactory nature of the Chief Executive Officer's performance for the period 2008/2009, and that in many cases the performance was assessed as being in excess of the satisfactory requirements, and that the Council recognises the dedication and efforts of the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of the Shire.
3. Agrees to an increase in the base salary component to \$129,000 payable from 1 March 2008 and a further increase to \$139,000 from 1 March 2009.
4. Authorises the Shire President to commence negotiations with the Chief Executive Officer in respect of negotiating a new contract of employment from February 2010, and that appropriate legal advice be sought on any proposed new contract.

CARRIED 9/0

The meeting re-opened to the public at 2.55pm.

SCM18/06/09 TENDER 005/2009 - NEW AND REFURBISHMENT WORKS TO BRUNO GIANATTI HALL, JARRAHDAL (RS0032)		
Proponent:	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale	In Brief Council accept the tender submitted by CPD Group Pty Ltd for the undertaking of new and refurbishment works to Bruno Gianatti Hall, Jarrahdale
Owner:	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale	
Author:	Jason Robertson Manager Building Services	
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson Director Development Services	
Date of Report	10 June 2009	
Previously	SCM011/01/09	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act	
Delegation	Council	

Background

At its Special Council meeting of 20 January 2009, it was resolved to apply to the Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program for \$149,000 towards the alterations and refurbishment of Bruno Gianatti Hall, Jarrahdale. In addition, Council has committed \$17,800 to the project in the draft 2009/10 budget. The total allocation for the project being \$166,800

Detailed designs for the upgrade were progressed. Extensive Councillor and community consultation was undertaken. A final design was prepared and adopted by the Project Team.

A Request for Tenders for the "Proposed new and refurbishment works", Tender 005/2009, was advertised in the West Australian on 27 May and 3 June, and closed on the 11 June 2009.

At the close of Tenders, five (5) submissions were received from the following registered builders:

- Fox United
- Alita Enterprises Pty Ltd
- C Morgan Constructions
- Jonor Building
- CPD Group

Tenders were evaluated by a panel comprising of the Director Engineering Services, Manager Building Services, and Asset Management Officer.

Two tender submissions were deemed to be non-conforming tenders. The non conforming tenders being submitted by:

- Jonor Building
- C Morgan Constructions

A copy of the CONFIDENTIAL attachment outlining the evaluation matrix is with attachments marked SCM18.1/06/09 (E09/3776).

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: A key outcome is the opportunity to improve the environmental performance of the building, making it more useable for current and future populations and increasing its useful life.

Resource Implications: The tender specification calls for best practice outcomes in terms of cost and quality objectives.

Use of Local, Renewable or Recycled Resources: While encouraged, the use of these resource types cannot be confirmed at this stage.

Economic Viability: The project is designed to limit external costs to Council.

Economic Benefits: The project may generate some local employment and the purchase of goods/ services from local business.

Social – Quality of Life: The proposed new and refurbishment works will ensure the existing building caters for current users, new user groups and enhances community well being.

Social and Environmental Responsibility: The project has afforded community groups to be actively involved in the design consultation process, allowing for a sense of community spirit and engagement.

Social Diversity: The new and refurbishment works will cater for all sections of the community.

Statutory Environment:

Local Government Act 1995 (as amended)

Part 4 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Amendment Regulations 2007 requires that Tenders be publicly invited for the supply of goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more than \$100,000.

The tendering process for goods and services must be in accordance with Sections 11, 18 and 19 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 and Local Government (Functions and General) Amendment Regulations 2007.

In particular, Regulation 18 details the criteria outlining requirements for the choice of tender. Council is to determine the most advantageous tender, and is permitted to accept or decline any or all of the tenders received.

Regulation 19 requires Council to advise each Tenderer in writing of the results of the Council's decision.

Policy Implications:

Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire Purchasing Policy "Procurement of Goods and Services through Direct Purchasing and Public Tendering".

Financial Implications:

An allowance of \$166,800 has been made for this project.

The preferred tendered price of \$230,616 (excluding GST) is more than that originally estimated. There is

some scope to vary the contract sum by deleting some items.

Should Council accept the tender, it will be necessary for Council to commit funds in the 2009/10 budget. The shortfall is \$63,816.

There are two methods of funding this project.

1. Country Local Government Fund (Royalties for Regions). There are sufficient funds in the Royalties for Regions program to fund this expenditure. There is no impact on future rate revenue with this option.

2. Loan funding. There is scope to loan fund the shortfall. This will however have an impact on future rates revenue as the future repayments will need to be funded through this source.

Should Council accept the tender, it is recommended that the shortfall of \$63,816 be funded from the 2009/10 Royalties for Regions program.

Strategic Implications:

Key Sustainability Result Areas:

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

1. Provide recreational opportunities.
2. Develop good services for health and well being.
3. Retain seniors and youth within the community.

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

4. Foster a strong sense of community, place and belonging.

Objective 3: High level of social commitment

Strategies:

2. Build key community partnerships.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

1. Increase awareness of the value of environmental requirements towards sustainability.

Objective 2: Strive for sustainable use and management of natural resources

Strategies:

1. Implement known best practice sustainable natural resource management.
2. Respond to Greenhouse and Climate change.

3. Economic

Objective 3: Effective management of Shire growth

Strategies:

1. Enhance economic futures for Shire communities.

4. Governance

Objective 1: An effective continuous improvement program

Strategies:

4. Balance resource allocation to support sustainable outcomes.

Objective 2: Formation of Active Partnerships to progress key programs and projects

Strategies

1. Improve coordination between Shire, community and other partners.
2. Improve customer relations service.
3. Develop specific partnerships to effectively use and leverage additional resources.

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation

Strategies:

1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
2. Develop a risk management plan.
3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Community Consultation:

This has occurred with the local community and user groups.

Comments

The tender document provided a detailed description of the services required to undertake the task, and an overview of the expectations of Council in relation to the delivery of the works. The nominated completion date for the construction to practical completion is 30 September 2009.

Conclusion

Through the assessment process it is recommended that the contract for the new and refurbishment works to Bruno Gianatti Hall be awarded to CPD Group Pty Ltd.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

SCM18/06/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Twine, seconded Cr Kirkpatrick

That:

- 1. Council accepts the Tender submitted by CPD Group Pty Ltd RFT005/2009 for the new and refurbishment works to Bruno Gianatti Hall, Jarrahdale.**
- 2. Council includes an amount of \$ 230,616 in the draft 2009/10 budget for this project.**
- 3. The shortfall of \$63,816 be funded from the Shire's 2009/10 allocation from the Country Local Government Fund (Royalties for Regions).**

CARRIED 9/0

SCM19/06/09 TENDER 006/2009 - NEW AND REFURBISHMENT WORKS TO CLEM KENTISH HALL, SERPENTINE (RS0032)		
Proponent	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale	In Brief Council accept the tender submitted by CPD Group Pty Ltd for the undertaking of new and refurbishment works to Clem Kentish Hall, Serpentine.
Officer	Jason Robertson Manager Building Services	
Signatures - Author:		
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson Director Development Services	
Date of Report	10 June 2009	
Previously	SCM011/01/09	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act	
Delegation	Council	

Background

At its special meeting of 20 January 2009, Council resolved to apply to the Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program for \$149,000 towards the alterations and refurbishment of Clem Kentish Hall in Serpentine. In addition, Council has committed \$17,800 to the project in the draft 2009/10 budget. A further contribution of \$70,000 from the local townscape funds for Serpentine for 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 was allocated to this project. Total allocation of \$236,800.

Detailed designs for the upgrade were progressed. Extensive Councillor and community consultation was undertaken. A final design was adopted by the Project Team.

A Request for Tenders was recently called for the "Proposed new and refurbishment works". Tender 006/2009 was advertised in the West Australian on 27 May, 3 June and closed on the 11 June 2009.

At the close of Tenders, five (5) submissions were received from the following registered builders:

- Fox United
- Alita Enterprises Pty Ltd
- C Morgan Constructions
- Jonor Building
- CPD Group

Tenders were evaluated by a panel comprising of the Director Engineering Services, Manager Building Services, and Asset Management Officer.

Two tender submissions were deemed to be non-conforming tenders. The non conforming tenders being submitted by:

- Jonor Building
- C Morgan Constructions

A copy of the CONFIDENTIAL attachment outlining the evaluation matrix is with attachments marked SCM19.1/06/09 (E09/3779).

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: A key outcome is the opportunity to improve the environmental performance of the building, making it more useable for current and future populations and increasing its useful life.

Resource Implications: The tender specification calls for best practice outcomes in terms of cost and quality objectives.

Use of Local, Renewable or Recycled Resources: While encouraged, the use of these resource types cannot be confirmed at this stage.

Economic Viability: The project is designed to limit external costs to Council.

Economic Benefits: The project may generate some local employment and the purchase of goods/ services from local businesses.

Social – Quality of Life: The proposed new and refurbishment works will ensure the existing building caters for current users, new user groups and enhancing community well being.

Social and Environmental Responsibility: The project has afforded community groups to be actively involved in the design consultation process, allowing a sense of community spirit and engagement.

Social Diversity: The new and refurbishment works cater for all sections of the community.

Statutory Environment:

Local Government Act 1995 (as amended)

Part 4 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Amendment Regulations 2007 requires that Tenders be publicly invited for the supply of goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more than \$100,000.

The tendering process for goods and services must be in accordance with Sections 11, 18 and 19 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 and Local Government (Functions and General) Amendment Regulations 2007.

In particular, Regulation 18 details the criteria outlining requirements for the choice of tender. Council is to determine the most advantageous tender, and is permitted to accept or decline any or all of the tenders received.

Regulation 19 requires Council to advise each Tenderer in writing of the results of the Council's decision.

Policy Implications:

Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire Purchasing Policy "Procurement of Goods and Services through Direct Purchasing and Public Tendering".

Financial Implications:

An allowance of \$236,800 has been made for this project.

The tendered price of \$305,830 (excluding GST) is more than originally estimated. There is some scope to vary the contract sum by deleting some items.

Should Council accept the tender, it will be necessary for Council to commit funds in the 2009/10 budget. The shortfall is \$69,030.

There are two methods of funding this project.

1. Country Local Government Fund (Royalties for Regions). There are sufficient funds in the Royalties for Regions program to fund this expenditure. There is no impact on future rate revenue with this option.

2. Loan funding. There is scope to loan fund the shortfall. This will however have an impact on future rates revenue as the future repayments will need to be funded through this source.

Should Council accept the tender, it is recommended that the shortfall of \$69,030 be funded from the 2009/10 Royalties for Regions program.

Strategic Implications:

Key Sustainability Result Areas:

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

1. Provide recreational opportunities.
2. Develop good services for health and well being.
3. Retain seniors and youth within the community.

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

4. Foster a strong sense of community, place and belonging.

Objective 3: High level of social commitment

Strategies:

2. Build key community partnerships.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

1. Increase awareness of the value of environmental requirements towards sustainability.

Objective 2: Strive for sustainable use and management of natural resources

Strategies:

1. Implement known best practice sustainable natural resource management.
2. Respond to Greenhouse and Climate change.

3. Economic

Objective 3: Effective management of Shire growth

Strategies:

1. Enhance economic futures for Shire communities.

4. Governance

Objective 1: An effective continuous improvement program

Strategies:

4. Balance resource allocation to support sustainable outcomes.

Objective 2: Formation of Active Partnerships to progress key programs and projects

Strategies

1. Improve coordination between Shire, community and other partners.
2. Improve customer relations service.
3. Develop specific partnerships to effectively use and leverage additional resources.

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation

Strategies:

1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
2. Develop a risk management plan.
3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Community Consultation:

This has occurred with the local community and user groups.

Comments

The tender document provided a detailed description of the services required to undertake the task, and an overview of the expectations of Council in relation to the delivery of the works. The nominated completion date for the construction to practical completion is September 30 2009.

Conclusion

Through the assessment process it is recommended that the contract for the new and refurbishment works to Clem Kentish Hall be awarded to CPD Group Pty Ltd.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

SCM19/06/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Geurds, seconded Cr Harris

That:

1. Council accepts the Tender submitted by CPD Group Pty Ltd RFT006/2009 for the new and refurbishment works to Clem Kentish Hall, Serpentine.
2. Council includes an amount of \$305,830 in the draft 2009/10 budget for this project.
3. The shortfall of \$69,030 be funded from the Shire's 2009/10 allocation from the Country Local Government Fund (Royalties for Regions).

CARRIED 9/0

SCM20/06/09		SHIRE OF SERPENTINE JARRAHDAL - DIFFERENTIAL RATING STRATEGY 2009/2010 FINANCIAL YEAR (A0128)	
Proponent:	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale	In Brief Council to 1. Support in principle the differential rates in the dollar and minimums proposed for 2009/2010; 2. Advertise the proposed differential rates; 3. Seek the Minister for Local Governments approval to impose differential general rates more than twice the lowest rate.	
Owner:	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale		
Officer:	Belinda Van der Linde – Acting Manager of Finance		
Signatures Author:			
Senior Officer:	Alan Hart – Director Corporate Services		
Date of Report	15 June 2009		
Previously			
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act		
Delegation	Council		

Background

The Local Government Act 1995 provides for Councils to levy rates to fund the estimated annual budget deficiency for the forthcoming financial year.

Statutory Environment:

Section 6.32 of the Local Government Act 1995, provides Council with the power, by absolute majority, to impose general rates, uniformly or differentially, on rateable land within its district.

Section 6.33 provides for local governments to impose differential rates according to any, or a combination, of the following characteristics;

- a) The purpose for which the land is zoned under the town planning scheme,
- b) the predominant use for which the land is determined to be used, and
- c) whether or not land is vacant.

In imposing a differential rate a local government is not to, without the approval of the Minister, impose a differential rate which is more than twice the lowest differential general rate imposed by it.

Section 6.35 requires that where a local government imposes differential rating it is to ensure that not more than 50% of the numbers of separately rated properties are rated on the minimum without the approval of the Minister.

Section 6.36 of the Act provides that before a local government can impose a differential rate it must give local public notice of its intention to do so.

Policy/Work Procedure Implications:

There are no work procedures/policy implications directly related to this application/issue.

Financial Implications:

The draft 2009/10 Annual Budget requires that rates revenue be increased by 12% from 2008/09. A 12% increase would raise a total of \$9,138,077 in rates. Any reduction in this amount will require a review of the draft budget and this will result in new services not being delivered or reductions to service levels.

Strategic Implications:

This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability Result Areas:-

4. Governance

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation

Strategies:

3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Community Consultation:

In accordance with sections 1.7 and 6.36 of the Local Government Act 1995 Council is required to give local public notice of the intention of Council to impose differential rates, or a minimum payment under a differential rate category.

Local public notice includes publishing in a newspaper circulating in the district, exhibiting on the notice board of the local government office, and exhibiting on the notice board of the library inviting submissions in respect of the following information, for a minimum of 21 days;

- 1) Details of each rate or minimum payment the local government intends to impose,
- 2) Invitation for submissions to be made in respect of the proposed rate or minimum payment and any related matters.

Comment:

The estimated budget deficit for the 2009/10 financial year has not yet been finalised, as the detailed budget estimates covering various expense and revenue items are currently being prepared, currently the amount required from rates to fund the outcomes of the draft budget is \$9.3 Million.

This would translate to an increase in the rates of 12% from 2008/09.

The following table shows the current rate in the dollar and minimums for each differential rate category assuming a 12% increase in rates;

PROPOSED 2009/2010 – 12%			
DIFFERENTIAL RATE CATEGORY	UV RATE IN CENTS	GRV RATE IN CENTS	MIN RATE
Rural	0.2195		890
Rural Living	0.2745		890
Residential		9.5586	890
Residential/Vacant		10.7768	862
Commercial		10.3934	890
Public Purpose	0.4391		890
Special Residential		10.3655	890
Special Residential/Vacant		10.7768	890
Light Industry		11.6171	890
Residential Composite		11.6171	890
Units-Rowley Road		9.5586	651

PROPOSED 2009/2010 – 12%			
DIFFERENTIAL RATE CATEGORY	UV RATE IN CENTS	GRV RATE IN CENTS	MIN RATE
Intensive Farming	0.6457		890
Farmland	0.1515		890
Conservation	0.1098		890
Mining Tenements	0.4391		1068

If Council consider that a 12% increase is too high, it is possible with amendments to the draft budget for Council to adopt a lower percentage. If Council resolved to amend the officer recommendation by increasing rates by 10%, a total of \$8,621,559 will be raised which will leave a shortfall of \$685,417. This will require a further review of the draft 2009/2010 budget to ensure this shortfall is addressed, as it is a requirement that Councils prepare a balanced budget each year.

The following table shows the current rate in the dollar and minimums for each differential rate category assuming a 10% increase in rates;

PROPOSED 2009/2010 – 10%			
DIFFERENTIAL RATE CATEGORY	UV RATE IN CENTS	GRV RATE IN CENTS	MIN RATE
Rural	0.2176		875
Rural Living	0.2720		875
Residential		9.3880	875
Residential/Vacant		10.5843	847
Commercial		10.2078	875
Public Purpose	0.4352		875
Special Residential		10.1804	875
Special Residential/Vacant		10.5843	875
Light Industry		11.4096	875
Residential Composite		11.4096	875
Units-Rowley Road		9.3880	639
Intensive Farming	0.6400		875
Farmland	0.1501		875
Conservation	0.1088		875
Mining Tenements	0.4352		1049

A copy of the modelling spreadsheet is with attachments marked SCM20.1/06/09 (E09/3730).

A copy of the Objects and Reasons for a 10% increase in Differential Rates for 2009/2010 is with attachments marked SCM20.2/06/09 (E09/3728).

A copy of Alternative Percentage Increases for 2009/2010 Rates is with attachments marked SCM20.3/06/09 (E09/3727).

It is important to note that in the past Council has adopted rates that are more than twice the minimum differential rate and must therefore seek Ministerial approval, as required by the provisions of the Local Government Act 1995. The Department of Local Government and Regional Development has been scrutinising Council's differential rating strategy each year and may request modifications to be made, if they are not satisfied that the rating strategy is fair and equitable.

Council is required to provide a twenty one (21) day community consultation period when the differential rating option proposed.

Voting Requirements: ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

The Council report quoted that a 12% increase in rates is required to fund the 2009/10 Draft Budget. This information is incorrect.

The actual increase in rates required to fund the 2009/10 Draft Budget is a 21% increase in total rate revenue from 2008/09. The amount required to fund the 2009/10 Draft Budget is \$9,306,976.

The amount raised in rates from as a result of a 12% increase in rates is \$8,777,390. A 12% increase in rates will require a further review of the 2009/10 Draft Budget and this will result in new services not being delivered or reductions to existing service levels.

SCM20/06/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Twine, seconded Cr Price

That Council take the following action in relation to the rating strategy for the 2009/10 financial year:

- Support in principle the following differential rates in the dollar and minimum payments for the GRV and UV rated properties, subject to finalisation of the 2009/10 draft budget and the establishment of the funding shortfall required from imposition of rates on GRV and UV rated properties:**

PROPOSED 2009/2010 – 12%			
DIFFERENTIAL RATE CATEGORY	UV RATE IN CENTS	GRV RATE IN CENTS	MIN RATE
Rural	0.2195		890
Rural Living	0.2745		890
Residential		9.5586	890
Residential/Vacant		10.7768	862
Commercial		10.3934	890
Public Purpose	0.4391		890
Special Residential		10.3655	890
Special Residential/Vacant		10.7768	890
Light Industry		11.6171	890
Residential Composite		11.6171	890
Units-Rowley Road		9.5586	651
Intensive Farming	0.6457		890
Farmland	0.1515		890
Conservation	0.1098		890
Mining Tenements	1.3052		1068

- Advertise for public comment, the differential rates and the minimum payment stated in 1 above, as per the requirements of the Section 6.36 of the Local Government Act 1995.**
- Apply to the Minister for Local Government and Regional Development for approval to impose differential general rates, which are more than twice the lowest differential general rate, for the 2009/2010 financial year, as per section 6.33(3) of the Local Government Act 1995.**

CARRIED 7/2

Cr Murphy and Cr Kirkpatrick voted against the motion

Council Note: The Officer Recommended Resolution was amended by correcting the amount in the Mining Tenements UV Rate in Cents from 0.4391 to 1.3052.

9. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT:

Nil

10. URGENT BUSINESS:

Nil

11. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN:

Nil

12. CLOSURE:

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 3.32pm.

I certify that these minutes were confirmed at the
Ordinary Council Meeting held on 22 June 2009.

.....
Presiding Member

.....
Date