

Transport Impact Assessment Free Reformed School, Oakford TIA CW1200712/300304677 Rev C

17 July 2023

Prepared for:

Free Reformed School Association

Prepared by:

Stantec Australia



CW1200712/300304677

i

TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT - FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD

Revision	DATE	Description	Author	Reviewed by	Approved by
A	12 July 2023	For Issue	LL	DH	RJC
В	13 July 2023	For Issue	LL	DH	DH
С	17 July 2023	For Issue	LL	DH	DH

CW1200712/300304677 ii

TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT – FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD

This document entitled Transport Impact Assessment Free Reformed School, Oakford TIA was prepared by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. ("Stantec") for the account Free Reformed School Association (the "Client"). Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

Prepared by ______

Reviewed by _____ Desmond Ho

Approved by

Approved by Ray Cook

Ordinary Council Meeting - 20 November 2023

iii

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
2.0	EXISTING SITUATION	2
2.1	SITE LOCATION AND CONTEXT	2
2.2	SURROUNDING LAND USES	3
2.3	EXISTING DEVELOPMENT	4
2.4	EXISTING ROAD NETWORK	4
2.5	EXISTING KEY INTERSECTIONS	6
	2.5.1 Abernethy Road / Kargotich Road Intersection	6
2.6	EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES	6
2.7	CRASH ASSESSMENT	7
2.8	EXISTING PUBLIC TRANSPORT FACILITIES	10
2.9	EXISTING PEDESTRIAN/CYCLE NETWORKS	10
3.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	11
3.1	PROPOSED LAND USE	11
3.2	ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS	12
3.3	SWEPT PATHS	14
	3.3.1 B85 & B99 Swept Paths	14
	3.3.2 Coach Bus (11m in length)	
0.4	3.3.3 WASTE AND RECYCLING COLLECTION SERVICES	
3.4	CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS	17
4.0	CHANGES TO SURROUNDING NETWORK	18
4.1	EXTERNAL ROAD NETWORK	18
	4.1.1 Thomas Road Improvements	18
4.2	WESTPORT FREIGHT ROUTE – ANKETELL-THOMAS ROAD FREIGHT	10
10		
4.5		20
4.4		
4.0		Z3
4.0		
4.7	PEDESTRIAN / CYCLING NETWORKS	25
5.0	INTEGRATION WITH SURROUNDING AREA	26
5.1	SURROUNDING ATTRACTORS / GENERATORS	26
6.0	ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORT NETWORK	27
6.1	ASSESSMENT YEARS AND TIME PERIOD	27
6.2	ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS	27
	6.2.1 Background Traffic	28



CW1200712/300304677 iv

TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT – FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD

8.0	SUMMA	ARY	52
	7.1.3	Provision of a Short Lane Left Turn Lane	50
	7.1.2	PRovision of an Acceleration lane	49
	7.1.1	Location of Access 4	48
7.0	SITE SI	PECIFIC ISSUES	48
	6.6.2	Opening Year and Horizon Year	40
	6.6.1	Existing Year	
6.6	SIDRA	ANALYSIS RESULTS	
	6.5.1	Parameters	
6.5	INTERS	SECTION PERFORMANCE	37
6.4	TRIP D	ISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT	31
6.3	DEVEL	OPMENT TRIP GENERATION	29

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Road Hierarchy and Characteristics	5
Table 2-2	Traffic Volumes	6
Table 2-3	Total Crashes	7
Table 2-4	Abernethy Rd / Katgotich Rd Intersection	7
Table 2-5	Midblock Crashes	8
Table 3-1	Car Parking Requirements	17
Table 3-2	Car Parking Requirements – School Brief	17
Table 6-1	Trip Generation Rate – Peak Hour generator	29
Table 6-2	Trip Distribution	29
Table 6-3	Estimated Total Trip Generation	29
Table 6-4	Level of Service (LoS) Specifications	37
Table 6-5	SIDRA Results: Existing Scenario	39
Table 6-6	Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028	42
Table 6-7	Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038	43
Table 6-8	Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 1)	44
Table 6-9	Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 2)	44
Table 6-10	Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 3)	45
Table 6-11	Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 4)	45
Table 6-12	Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 1)	46
Table 6-13	Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 2)	46
Table 6-14	Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 3)	47
Table 6-15	Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 4)	47
Table 7-1	Dimensions for AUL(S) treatment on major leg	51

CW1200712/300304677 v

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Aerial Image of Site	. 2
Figure 2-2	Anketell South Structure Plan	. 3
Figure 2-3	Road Hierarchy around the Site	. 5
Figure 2-4	Abernethy Road / Kargotich Road Intersection	. 6
Figure 2-5	Crash Locations	. 8
Figure 2-6	Pedestrian & Cycling Network	10
Figure 3-1	Master Plan and Concept Design	11
Figure 3-2	Access Arrangement	12
Figure 3-3	Access Arrangement (with the proposed Roundabout)	13
Figure 3-4	B85 & B99 Swept Paths	14
Figure 3-5	Coach Bus Swept Paths	15
Figure 3-6	Waste Truck Swept Path	16
Figure 4-1	Thomas Road Future Planning Concept	18
Figure 4-2	Proposed Upgrades – Thomas Road / Nicholson Road	19
Figure 4-3	Proposed Anketell Road Corridor	20
Figure 4-4	Tonkin Highway Extension	21
Figure 4-5	Proposed Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road Roundabout Plan	22
Figure 4-6	West Mundijong District Structure Plan	23
Figure 4-7	RAV Network	24
Figure 4-8	LTCN Network	25
Figure 6-1	Existing Trips	28
Figure 6-2	Inbound Trip Distribution	31
Figure 6-3	Outbound Trip Distribution	32
Figure 6-4	Stage 1 Development Trip Distribution	33
Figure 6-5	Stage 1 + Stage 2 Development Trips	34
Figure 6-6	2028 + Development Traffic	35
Figure 6-7	2038 + Development Traffic	36
Figure 6-8	SIDRA Network Layout	38
Figure 6-9	SIDRA Layout: Kargotich Road / Abernethy Rd Intersection	41
Figure 6-10	SIDRA Layout: Network Model	42
Figure 7-1	Prohibited Locations of Access Driveways	48
Figure 7-2	Access 4	49
Figure 7-3	Rural AUL(S) treatment with a short left-turn lane	50

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	WAPC CHECKLIST	54
APPENDIX B	SITE PLANS	57
APPENDIX C	SWEPT PATH	58
APPENDIX D	SIDRA RESULTS	59
APPENDIX E	TRAFFIC COUNT SURVEY DATA	60

 \bigcirc

CW1200712/300304677 vi

TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT - FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD

CW1200712/300304677 vii

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Stantec has been commissioned by Free Reformed School Association to prepare a Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) for the proposed primary/secondary private school campus (K-12) located in Oakford within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale.

This report aims to focus on traffic access, circulation, and safety of the proposed school. Discussion regarding pedestrian, cycle and public transport considerations has also been included.

This report has been prepared in accordance with the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) *Transport Assessment Guidelines for Developments: Volume 4 – Individual Developments (2016)* and the checklist is included in **Appendix A**.



2.0 EXISTING SITUATION

2.1 SITE LOCATION AND CONTEXT

The site comprises a total area of approximately 28.73 hectares and is zoned as 'Rural' under the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale TPS.No-2. The site is located approximately 30km south of the Perth Central Business District (CBD) and is within the jurisdiction of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

The subject site is located at 575 Abernethy Road, Oakford in the vicinity of the intersection of Abernethy Road and Kargotich Road. The site has a site frontage of 619m on Abernethy Road and 466m on Kargotich Road. **Figure 2-1** shows the site location.

Figure 2-1 Aerial Image of Site



Source: MetroMap (2023)

2.2 SURROUNDING LAND USES

The subject site is zoned 'Rural' according to the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale TPS. No-2. Most of the surrounding sites to the east of Kargotich Road are zoned 'Rural' while to the west the lots are zoned Rural living. **Figure 2-2** shows the Zoning map.

Figure 2-2 Anketell South Structure Plan





Source: Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale TPS No.2



2.3 EXISTING DEVELOPMENT

The subject site and adjacent lots are currently vacant land.

2.4 EXISTING ROAD NETWORK

Road Classifications are defined in the Main Roads Functional Hierarchy as follows:

- **Primary Distributors (light blue):** Form the regional and inter-regional grid of Main Roads WA traffic routes and carry large volumes of fast-moving traffic. Some are strategic freight routes and all are National or State roads. They are managed by Main Roads.
- **Regional Distributors (red):** Roads that are not Primary Distributors, but which link significant destinations and are designed for efficient movement of people and goods within and beyond regional areas. They are managed by Local Government.
- **District Distributor A (green):** These carry traffic between industrial, commercial and residential areas and connect to Primary Distributors. These are likely to be truck routes and provide only limited access to adjoining property. They are managed by Local Government.
- District Distributor B (dark blue): Perform a similar function to District Distributor A but with reduced capacity due to flow restrictions from access to and roadside parking alongside the adjoining property. These are often older roads with traffic demand in excess of what was originally intended. District Distributor A and B roads run between land-use cells and not through them, forming a grid that would ideally be around 1.5 kilometres apart. They are managed by Local Government.
- Local Distributors (orange): Carry traffic within a cell and link District Distributors at the boundary to
 access roads. The route of the Local Distributor discourages through traffic so that the cell formed by
 the grid of District Distributors only carries traffic belonging to or serving the area. These roads should
 accommodate buses but discourage trucks. They are managed by Local government.
- Access Roads (grey): Provide access to abutting properties with amenity, safety and aesthetic aspects having priority over the vehicle movement function. These roads are bicycle and pedestrian-friendly. They are managed by Local government.

The surrounding road network characteristics is further described in **Table 2-1** and **Figure 2-3** shows the hierarchy as per the Main Roads WA Road Information Mapping System.

Road Name	Hierarchy Jurisdiction		No. of Lanes	No. of Footpaths	Width (m)	Speed Limit (km/h)
Abernethy Road	Access Road	Local Government	2	0	6.7m	80 (east of Kargotich Road) 50 (in front of site)
Kargotich Road	Regional Distributor.	Regional Distributor.	2	0	7.2m	90

Table 2-1 Road Hierarchy and Characteristics

Figure 2-3 Road Hierarchy around the Site



Source: MRWA Information Mapping (2023)

2.5 EXISTING KEY INTERSECTIONS

2.5.1 Abernethy Road / Kargotich Road Intersection

Abernethy Road / Kargotich Road intersection is a four-legged priority-controlled intersection located north east of the Site as illustrated in **Figure 2-4**.

Figure 2-4 Abernethy Road / Kargotich Road Intersection



Source: Metromap

2.6 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Existing traffic volumes were sourced from Main Road WA Traffic Map which is summarised in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2 Traffic Volumes

Road Name	Date	Source	Average Daily Traffic Volume	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	HV %
Kargotich Road South of Orton Road	2022/23	Main Roads Traffic Map	4,833	461 (7:00AM)	521 (4:00PM)	11.8%
Kargotich Road South of Thomas Road	2020	Main Roads Traffic Map	4,248	376 (7:00AM)	476 (4:00PM)	6%



2.7 CRASH ASSESSMENT

A crash assessment for the surrounding road network of the Site has been completed using the Main Roads WA Reporting Centre. The assessment covers all the recorded accidents between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2022 and the results are summarised in **Table 2-3** to **Table 2-5**. Figure 2-5 illustrates the crash severity and locations along Thomas Road.

Type of Crash (RUM Code)	Fatal	Hospital	Medical	Major Property Damage	Minor Property Damage	Total Crashes
Right Angle	-	3	-	-	-	3
Hit Animal	-	1	-	-	-	1
Hit Object	-	-	-	2	-	2
Non Collision	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	4	-	2	-	6

Table 2-4 Abernethy Rd / Katgotich Rd Intersection

Type of Crash (RUM Code)	Fatal	Hospital	Medical	Major Property Damage	Minor Property Damage	Total Crashes
Right Angle	-	3	-	-	-	3
Total	-	3	-	-	-	3

Table 2-5Midblock Crashes

Type of Crash (RUM Code)	Fatal	Hospital	Medical	Major Property Damage	Minor Property Damage	Total Crashes
Hit Animal	-	1	-	-	-	1
Right Angle	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-Collision	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hit Object	-	-	-	2	-	2
Total	-	1	-	2	-	3

Figure 2-5 Crash Locations



Source: Maps.co



TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT - FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD

The crashes recorded are summarised below:

- A total of 6 crashes were recorded in close proximity to the Site.
- 4 crashes recorded required hospitalisation and 2 crashes recorded involved Major Property Damage.
- No fatal crash was recorded near the Site.

It is very unlikely that this development would have any material impact on road safety in the area.

2.8 EXISTING PUBLIC TRANSPORT FACILITIES

There is currently no public transport servicing the Site. Residential and other land uses in the locality is too sparse to generate necessary demand for such services. Overall access to the site via public transport services is currently considered inadequate.

2.9 EXISTING PEDESTRIAN/CYCLE NETWORKS

There are no pedestrian facilities near the Site as shown in **Figure 2-6**. There are sealed shoulders on Thomas Road, however it is narrow and discontinuous and is not particularly suitable as a cycling facility. Overall, access to the site via pedestrian/cycle networks is currently considered inadequate.



Figure 2-6 Pedestrian & Cycling Network

Source: Department of Transport

3.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.1 PROPOSED LAND USE

The proposed development consists of a private school for primary and secondary students which is anticipated to accommodate approximately 1,200 students and to be constructed in two stages.

- Stage 1: Proposed Secondary Opening Year (2028) 768 Secondary Students
- Stage 2: Proposed Primary Opening Year (2033) 432 Primary Students

The layout of the proposed Master Plan and Concept Design for the subject Site is shown in **Figure 3-1**. Detailed development plans are provided in **Appendix B**.



Figure 3-1 Master Plan and Concept Design

Source: Parry and Rosenthal Architects

The development is proposed to be implemented in two stages wherein in the first stage will comprise the enrolment of 768 secondary students. In the second stage, additional buildings will be constructed to accommodate another 432 primary students.



3.2 ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS

The Site is proposed to be accessed through the new crossovers located on the northern frontage along Abernethy Road as shown in **Figure 3-2**. Three crossovers are proposed along Abernethy Road. It is proposed that unrestricted turning movements to and from Abernethy Road would be permitted. A secondary left-in-left-out access is proposed on Kargotich Road as shown in **Figure 3-2**. The location of the proposed access in relation to the proposed roundabout is shown in **Figure 3-3**.

- Access 1: Full Movement access
- Access 2: Full Movement access
- Access 3: Full Movement access
- Access 4: Left-in-left out Access





Source: Parry and Rosenthal Architects



Figure 3-3 Access Arrangement (with the proposed Roundabout)

Source: Parry and Rosenthal Architects

Pick up and drop off bays are proposed on-site along the northern frontage which can be accessed via all three crossovers on Abernethy Road. It is also proposed that one-way traffic circulation is implemented between Access 1 & 2. On-street bus bays are also proposed on Abernethy Road.

Raised pedestrian crossings connecting to the main building areas are proposed around the car park areas as shown in **Figure 3-2**.

Parking spaces and manoeuvring areas shall be designed in accordance with the applicable codes and standards.

3.3 SWEPT PATHS

3.3.1 B85 & B99 Swept Paths

A swept path analysis was undertaken for B85/B99 passenger vehicles and illustrated in **Figure 3-4**. The swept path diagrams demonstrate that these design vehicles can adequately circulate the parking areas, enter and exit the proposed parking bays without any encroachments.

Figure 3-4 B85 & B99 Swept Paths



3.3.2 Coach Bus (11m in length)

A swept path analysis was undertaken at the site for a 11.0m long bus. The analysis indicates that the design vehicle can circulate around the site and adequately park at the grounds and maintenance area, as illustrated in **Figure 3-5**. A detailed illustration of the swept path around the site is included in **Appendix C**.

Figure 3-5 Coach Bus Swept Paths



3.3.3 WASTE AND RECYCLING COLLECTION SERVICES

A swept path analysis for a 11 m waste vehicle was undertaken as illustrated in **Figure 3-6**. The analysis indicates that a waste vehicle can adequately enter the site, manoeuvre, and exit in a forward direction. Waste collection will be undertaken on-site by a private waste contractor and to be arranged to occur during off peak hours or after normal school hours to minimise disruption to traffic operations as well as minimise any impacts to staff and students.

Figure 3-6 Waste Truck Swept Path





3.4 CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS

The car parking requirements for the development are prescribed in the *Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Scheme No.2.* **Table 3-1** summarise the car parking requirements and the on-site provision.

 Table 3-1
 Car Parking Requirements

Proposed Land Use	Parking Standard	Yield	Parking Requirement	Parking Provided
Primary School	1.25 spaces per classroom	15 classrooms	19	
Secondary School	2 spaces per classroom	32 classrooms	64	546
Total			83 bays	546 bays

Table 3-1 indicates that the overall parking provision meets the requirements of LPS. No.2.

The parking calculation based on the primary and secondary school brief of the Department of Education were also considered. **Table 3-2** indicates the parking requirements based on the primary and secondary school brief.

Table 3-2 Car Parking Requirements – School Brief

Proposed Land Use	Parking Standard	Yield	Parking Requirement
	Visitors: 14 bays for every 100 students.		61
Primary School	Staff: 10 for every 100 primary students	432	43
Secondary School	Staff: 10 for every 100 primary students	768	77
Total			181 bays

Based on the tables provided above, the number of parking bays provided meets the requirements of both the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and the Primary and Secondary School Brief. It is anticipated that there will be a significant surplus of parking spaces available to compensate for the lack of public transport services and limited cycling facilities.

4.0 CHANGES TO SURROUNDING NETWORK

4.1 EXTERNAL ROAD NETWORK

4.1.1 Thomas Road Improvements

Main Roads WA have plans to upgrade Thomas Road, which includes additional lanes to improve traffic flow and safety, between Kwinana Freeway and South Western Highway as shown in **Figure 4-1**. As part of these upgrades, Thomas Road / Kargotich Road intersection and Thomas Road / Nicholson Road Intersection is planned to be upgraded to a dual-lane roundabout. The Concept layout of Thomas Road / Nicholson Road intersection upgrade is shown in **Figure 4-2**. Construction is anticipated to start in early 2023 subject to approvals. The improvements will enhance safety and ease congestion. Upgrades of these intersections will attract traffic north of Thomas Road towards Abernethy Road.





Source: Main Roads WA



Figure 4-2 Proposed Upgrades – Thomas Road / Nicholson Road

Source: Main Roads

4.2 WESTPORT FREIGHT ROUTE – ANKETELL-THOMAS ROAD FREIGHT CORRIDOR

In August 2020, the State Government endorsed the recommendation of Westport Taskforce to build a container port in the Kwinana Industrial Area. The future port would be connected to Tonkin Highway via upgraded Anketell Road and Thomas Road as shown in the concept plan in **Figure 4-3**.

Details of the design have not yet been made available, however, information from the *Westport – Future Port Recommendations Stage 2 Report* and Main Roads WA indicates that Anketell Road and Thomas Road (east of Nicholson Road) will be connected as a continuous east-west freight route to and from Tonkin Highway. This would result in the removal or reconfiguration of the Thomas Road and Kargotich Road intersection and the grade separation of Thomas Road / Kargotich Road intersection to allow uninterrupted flow of freight vehicles.



TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT - FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD



Figure 4-3 Proposed Anketell Road Corridor

Source: Westport – Stage 2 Report

4.3 TONKIN HIGHWAY EXTENSION

The Tonkin Highway is a 44-kilometre north-south highway and partial freeway in Perth, Western Australia, linking Perth Airport with the city's north-eastern and south-eastern suburbs. The Tonkin Highway Extension proposal involves a 14km four lane dual carriageway extension of the Tonkin Highway from Thomas Road, Oakford to the South Western Highway and to the south east of Mundjiong.

It is expected to continue from its current terminus at Thomas Road generally along the Hopkinson Road alignment through to South Western Highway near Jarrahdale Road. **Figure 4-4** shows the proposed Tonkin Highway Upgrade which includes the following.

- New intersections are proposed at Orton Road, Mundijong Road and South Western Highway.
- An equestrian and pedestrian / cyclist underpass is proposed under Tonkin Highway at Abernethy Road; and
- a shared use path is proposed along the eastern side of the Tonkin Highway corridor, connecting to local path networks.



TRANSPORT IMPACT ASSESSMENT - FREE REFORMED SCHOOL, OAKFORD

The proposed Tonkin Highway extension indicates there will be no direct connection to Abernethy Road and the first intersection on Tonkin Hwy south of Thomas Road will be Orton Road which would distribute traffic from the north and south of Tonkin Highway to Kargotich Road.



Figure 4-4 Tonkin Highway Extension

Source: Main Roads



4.4 KARGOTICH ROAD UPGRADES

Stantec contacted Main Roads WA and were advised that the whole section of Kargotich Road, south of Thomas Road, will be upgraded as part of the Thomas Road Duplication and West Mundijong Industrial Area project.

A roundabout is proposed as part of the upgrade at Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection as shown in **Figure 4-5**. The proposed road upgrade is to be funded by the Shire and anticipated to be completed before 2028.



Figure 4-5 Proposed Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road Roundabout Plan

Source: Shire of Serpentine- Jarrahdale

4.5 WEST MUNDIJONG INDUSTRIAL AREA

The structure plan area is located within the locality of Mundijong within the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale as shown in **Figure 4-6.** The area is located directly 20 kilometres east of the Kwinana industrial area via Mundijong Road. Mundijong Road will provide direct linkage to Kwinana and associated infrastructure, including complementary industries and services. This includes the proposed Westport Outer Harbour development that has recently been approved by government.



Figure 4-6 West Mundijong District Structure Plan

Source: West Mundijong Industrial Area SP

As part of the LSP, the RAV network has been upgraded on Kargotich Road between Mundijong / Kargotich Road and Bishop Road / Kargotich Road intersections to RAV 4 category as shown in **Figure 4-7**.



Figure 4-7 RAV Network





4.6 PUBLIC TRANSPORT FACILITIES

Stantec contacted the relevant authorities and was advised that there will be no changes to the public transport services within the vicinity of the Site in the short term.

4.7 PEDESTRIAN / CYCLING NETWORKS

The Long-Term Cycling Network (LTCN) is an aspirational blueprint to provide a continuous cycling network throughout Perth and identifies the function of a route – primary, secondary, or local. The LTCN shows Abernethy Road as a Local route connecting to Nicholson Road secondary route and Tonkin Highway Primary route. **Figure 4-8** shows the Long-Term Cycle Network on the surrounding network to the site.



Figure 4-8 LTCN Network

Source: LTCN

5.0 INTEGRATION WITH SURROUNDING AREA

5.1 SURROUNDING ATTRACTORS / GENERATORS

The Site primarily functions as an education institution, primarily serving the residential developments surrounding it. Anticipated major attractors/generators in the vicinity of the site include the residential developments located within the Oakford radius.

6.0 ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORT NETWORK

6.1 ASSESSMENT YEARS AND TIME PERIOD

The analysis of the transport network surrounding the Site has been undertaken using SIDRA analysis software for the below intersection.

Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road Intersection

The assessment scenarios used in the analysis are as follows:

- Scenario 1: 2023- Existing Year
- Scenario 2: 2028- Opening Year Background + Stage 1 Proposed Development Traffic
- Scenario 3: 2038 Future Year background + Stage 2 development Traffic

Traffic count survey was undertaken by an external service provider on 09 May 2023 during the AM and PM peak periods at the Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection and the following peak hours were identified:

- Weekday AM Peak: 7:45 to 8:45 am
- Weekday PM Peak: 3:00 to 4:00 pm

The traffic count survey data in included in Appendix E.

6.2 ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

The following provides a list of assumptions used in this assessment.

- Background traffic data was obtained from the traffic count survey undertaken on 9th May 2023:
- Opening year has been assumed to be 2028 for the opening of the secondary school.
- The proportion of heavy vehicles was based on the traffic count data.
- The city has provided the ROM data for Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road Intersection for future 2036 design year 2036 for the "with" and "without" Tonkin Highway extension constructed. The ROM data is included in Appendix F.
- The 2028 background traffic has been obtained by interpolating traffic data from existing traffic counts and the ROM data for the "without" Tonkin Highway extension. It is also assumed that the Tonkin Highway Extension would not be operational by 2028 as a worst case scenario.
- To determine the 2038 traffic flows, a growth rate of 3% per annum of linear growth has been adopted as a worst-case scenario.
- The intersection assessment was modelled as a network model using SIDRA 9 software analysis tool in accordance with Main Roads WA Operational Modelling Guidelines.
- The approach and exit speeds were based on speed limits from Main Roads WA Road Information Mapping System.



6.2.1 Background Traffic

Figure 6-1 shows the existing traffic volumes for the intersections of Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection.

Figure 6-1 Existing Trips



6.3 DEVELOPMENT TRIP GENERATION

The trip generation has been calculated for the proposed development utilising trip generation rates from the *Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) "Trip Generation" 10th Ed*. The following tables details the directional distribution and total trip generation of the development.

 Table 6-1 and Table 6-2 provides the trip generation rate and distribution for the AM and PM peak hours and Table 6-3 summarises the total estimated trips to be generated by the proposed development.

The Free Reformed School Association (FRSA) has expressed its intentions to expand its school bus services. Presently, they intend to provide two bus services for the secondary school, serving approximately 100 students. FRSA have also indicated that they intend increasing the number of bus services for the secondary school to four which will accommodate around 200 students. Furthermore, no bus service is proposed for the primary school students, and it is anticipated that many of these students would rely on private vehicle.

As part of the assessment, it was assumed that approximately 200 of the totals of 768 secondary students would opt to utilize the bus transport mode.

Table 6-1	Trip Generation Rate – Peak Hour generator
-----------	--

Land Use	ITE Code/Source	AM Peak	PM Peak
School	WAPC	IN: 0.5 trip per student OUT: 0.5 trip per student	IN: 0.5 trip per student OUT: 0.5 trip per student

Table 6-2 Trip Distribution

Land Use	AM Peak		PM Peak	
	In	Out	In	Out
School	53%	47%	47%	53%

Table 6-3 Estimated Total Trip Generation

	AM Peak		PM Peak	
	In	Out	In	Out
Stage 1: School Opening Year* (568 Secondary Students)	284	284	284	284
Stage 2: School Full Development Buildout (432 Primary Students)	216	216	216	216
Total	500	500	500	500

(*it is assumed that 200 of the total secondary students will travel by school bus)


For the full development buildout, it is estimated that a total of approximately 1000 vehicles during the AM peak hour and 1000 vehicles during the PM peak hour would be generated.

6.4 TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The majority of the development traffic is anticipated to enter via Abernethy Road. Most of the school traffic will likely arrive/depart to the east and north of Abernethy Road as the majority of residential dwellings are concentrated in these areas. **Figure 6-2** and **Figure 6-3** indicates the development traffic distribution within the surrounding network.

Figure 6-2 Inbound Trip Distribution



Figure 6-3 Outbound Trip Distribution

Figure 6-4 shows the trips generated for the Stage 1 development and **Figure 6-5** details the trips to be generated for the Stage 1 and Stage 2 development. **Figure 6-6** shows the 2028 opening year background and Stage 1 development trips, while **Figure 6-7** illustrates the 2038 horizon year background and combined Stage 1 and Stage 2 development trips.



Figure 6-4 Stage 1 Development Trip Distribution



Figure 6-5 Stage 1 + Stage 2 Development Trips

 \bigcirc







Figure 6-7 2038 + Development Traffic

6.5 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE

6.5.1 Parameters

SIDRA intersection analysis was undertaken for the subject intersection. SIDRA calculates the performance of intersections based on input parameters, including geometry and traffic volumes. As an output SIDRA provides values for the Degree of Saturation (DOS), queue lengths, delays, level of service, and 95th Percentile Queue. These parameters are defined as follows:

- Degree of Saturation (DOS): is the ratio of the arrival traffic flow to the capacity of the approach during the same period. The theoretical intersection capacity is exceeded from an un-signalized intersection where DOS > 80.
- 95% Queue: is the statistical estimate of the queue length up to or below which 95% of all observed queues would be expected.
- Average Delay: is the average of all travel delays for vehicles through the intersection. An unsigned intersection can be operating at capacity where the average delay exceeds 40 seconds for any movement; and
- Level of Service (LOS): is the qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic system and the perception by motorists and/or passengers.

The different levels of service can generally be described as shown in Table 6-4. A LOS exceeding these values indicates that the road section is exceeding its practical capacity. Above these values, users of the intersections are likely to experience unsatisfactory queueing and delays during the peak hour periods.

Table 6-4 Level of Service (LoS) Specifications

LOS	Description	Signalized Intersection	Unsignalized Intersection
Α	Free flow conditions (best condition)	≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec
В	Reasonable free flow operations	10 – 20 sec	10 – 15 sec
С	At or near free flow operations	20 – 35 sec	15 – 25 sec
D	Decreasing free flow levels	35 - 55 sec	25 - 35 sec
E	Operations at capacity	55 – 80 sec	35 – 50 sec
F	A breakdown in vehicular flow (worst condition)	≤ 80 sec	≤ 50 sec

6.6 SIDRA ANALYSIS RESULTS

Analysis has been undertaken using the SIDRA traffic analysis software. The layout of the intersections considered in the analysis is illustrated in **Figure 6-8**. Details of the results are presented in **Appendix B**. Results for each intersection are detailed in the following sub-sections.

6.6.1 Existing Year

The SIDRA layout for the Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection is illustrated in **Figure 6-8**. The results of the analysis are summarised in **Table 6-5**.

Figure 6-8 SIDRA Network Layout



Intersection Approach			AM	peak		PM Peak			
		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Queue (m)
South Karaatiah	L	0.338	8.8	A	5.9	0.109	9.4	A	2.4
Rd South: Kargotich	Т	0.338	0.3	А	5.9	0.109	0.5	А	2.4
	R	0.338	8.9	A	5.9	0.109	9.0	A	2.4
	L	0.471	12.9	В	18.7	0.110	11.5	В	3.2
East: Abernethy Rd E	Т	0.471	21.1	С	18.7	0.110	12.3	В	3.2
	R	0.471	23.0	С	18.7	0.110	14.2	В	3.2
	L	0.164	8.0	A	0.3	0.211	7.5	A	0.1
North: Kargotich Rd N	Т	0.164	0.6	A	0.3	0.211	0.3	A	0.1
	R	0.164	10.0	В	0.3	0.211	7.6	A	0.1
	L	0.050	15.5	С	1.2	0.028	10.8	В	0.7
West: Abernethy Rd W	Т	0.050	20.5	С	1.2	0.028	11.8	В	0.7
	R	0.050	16.1	С	1.2	0.028	10.8	В	0.7
All vehicles		0.471	5.0	A	18.7	0.211	2.8	A	3.2

Table 6-5 SIDRA Results: Existing Scenario

The existing scenario shows that the intersection is currently operating at a good capacity with a level of service of 'A' for both the AM and PM peak hour periods.

6.6.2 Opening Year and Horizon Year

6.6.2.1 Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection

A roundabout is proposed as part of the upgrade at Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection as shown in **Figure 4-5.** The SIDRA layout of Kargotich Road / Abernethy Road intersection as per the proposed upgrade is illustrated in **Figure 6-9**.

A network SIDRA analysis was undertaken on the proposed accesses and intersection as shown in **Figure 6-10**. **Table 6-6** and **Table 6-7** summarises the SIDRA analysis results.





Figure 6-9 SIDRA Layout: Kargotich Road / Abernethy Rd Intersection





Figure 6-10	SIDRA	Layout:	Network	Model
-------------	-------	---------	---------	-------

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak		Weekday PM Peak			
Approacn		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Kargotich	L	0.566	8.9	A	37.7	0.280	8.0	A	14.0	
KU S	Т	0.566	9.8	A	37.7	0.280	8.4	A	14.0	
	R	0.566	15.4	В	37.7	0.280	13.9	В	14.0	
	U	0.566	17.9	В	37.7	0.280	16.5	В	14.0	
East: Abernethy	L	0.327	8.1	А	16.4	0.200	8.6	А	9.6	
RaE	Т	0.327	8.6	A	16.4	0.200	8.9	A	9.6	
	R	0.327	14.2	В	16.4	0.200	14.8	В	9.6	
North: Kargotich	L	0.366	7.7	A	20.4	0.451	8.0	A	26.5	
Ran	Т	0.366	8.7	A	20.4	0.451	9.0	A	26.5	
	R	0.366	13.7	В	20.4	0.451	14.0	В	26.5	
West: Abernethy	L	0.297	6.5	A	15.6	0.228	4.0	A	10.3	
Raw	Т	0.297	6.3	A	15.6	0.228	3.8	A	10.3	
	R	0.297	11.6	В	15.6	0.228	9.1	Α	10.3	
All vehicles		0.566	9.8	A	37.7	0.451	8.9	A	26.5	

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak		Weekday PM Peak			
Approach		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Kargotich	L	0.506	10.0	A	31.2	0.560	11.4	В	40.2	
Ru S	Т	0.506	10.9	В	31.2	0.560	11.6	В	40.2	
	R	0.506	16.5	В	31.2	0.560	17.0	В	40.2	
	U	0.506	19.0	В	31.2	0.560	19.5	В	40.2	
East: Abernethy	L	0.324	7.4	А	16.0	0.345	9.7	Α	18.7	
RaE	Т	0.324	7.9	А	16.0	0.345	9.9	A	18.7	
	R	0.324	13.6	В	16.0	0.345	15.8	В	18.7	
North: Kargotich	L	0.267	8.5	А	13.1	0.569	9.9	A	41.2	
Ran	Т	0.267	9.5	А	13.1	0.569	10.9	В	41.2	
	R	0.267	14.4	В	13.1	0.569	15.8	В	41.2	
West: Abernethy	L	0.455	5.8	А	25.9	0.596	7.8	A	44.4	
Rd W	Т	0.455	5.6	А	25.9	0.596	7.6	A	44.4	
	R	0.455	10.9	В	25.9	0.596	12.9	В	44.4	
All vehicles		0.506	10.1	В	31.2	0.596	11.2	В	44.4	

Table 6-7 Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038

The analysis undertaken shows that the intersection is anticipated to operate at a good capacity during the opening year of the secondary school as well as the 2038 design year.

6.6.2.2 Access Intersections

There are 4 proposed access to the Site. A network SIDRA analysis was undertaken on the proposed accesses shown in **Figure 6-10**. The result of the analysis is summarised in **Table 6-8** to **Table 6-15**.

Table 6-8Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 1)

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approach		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Access 1	L	0.096	5.2	A	2.4	0.094	5.1	A	2.3	
	R	0.096	6.3	A	2.4	0.094	6.2	A	2.3	
East: Abernethy	L	0.146	5.5	A	0.0	0.127	5.5	A	0.0	
Road (E)	Т	0.146	0.0	A	0.0	0.127	0.0	A	0.0	
West: Abernethy	L	0.094	0.1	A	0.9	0.103	0.1	A	0.8	
Road (W)	R	0.094	5.6	A	0.9	0.103	5.4	A	0.8	
All vehicles		0.146	2.0	A	2.4	0.127	2.0	A	2.3	

Table 6-9 Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 2)

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approach		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Access 2	L	0.082	5.0	A	2.0	0.083	5.0	A	2.1	
	R	0.082	5.7	A	2.0	0.083	5.8	A	2.1	
East: Abernethy	L	0.115	5.6	А	0.0	0.115	5.6	A	0.0	
Road (E)	Т	0.115	0.0	А	0.0	0.115	0.0	A	0.0	
West: Abernethy	L	0.058	0.1	A	0.5	0.068	0.1	A	0.5	
Road (W)	R	0.058	5.3	А	0.5	0.068	5.3	A	0.5	
All vehicles		0.115	2.3	A	2.0	0.115	2.2	A	2.1	

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approacn		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Access 3	L	0.069	4.8	A	1.8	0.068	4.7	A	1.7	
	R	0.069	5.3	A	1.8	0.068	5.2	A	1.7	
East: Abernethy	L	0.081	5.5	А	0.0	0.062	5.5	Α	0.0	
Road (E)	Т	0.081	0.0	Α	0.0	0.062	0.0	A	0.0	
West: Abernethy	L	0.040	0.2	Α	0.9	0.049	0.1	Α	0.9	
Road (W)	R	0.040	5.0	A	0.9	0.049	4.9	A	0.9	
All vehicles		0.081	2.8	A	1.8	0.068	3.0	A	1.7	

Table 6-10 Background Traffic + Stage 1 Opening Year: 2028 (Access 3)

Table 6-11	Background	Traffic +	Stage 1	Opening	Year: 2028	(Access 4)
------------	------------	-----------	---------	---------	------------	-----------	---

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approacn		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Kargotich	L	0.025	5.5	A	0.0	0.025	5.5	A	0.0	
Road (5)	Т	0.295	0.1	A	0.0	0.141	0.0	A	0.0	
North: Kargotich Road (N)	Т	0.220	0.0	A	0.0	0.243	0.0	A	0.0	
West: Access 4	L	0.050	6.9	A	1.3	0.035	5.4	A	1.0	
All vehicles		0.295	0.6	A	1.3	0.243	0.6	A	1.0	

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approacn		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Access 1	L	0.229	5.9	A	6.0	0.266	6.2	A	7.3	
	R	0.229	8.7	A	6.0	0.266	10.3	В	7.3	
East: Abernethy	L	0.240	5.5	Α	0.0	0.250	5.5	Α	0.0	
Road (E)	Т	0.240	0.0	А	0.0	0.250	0.0	Α	0.0	
West: Abernethy	L	0.172	0.3	A	2.1	0.232	0.3	Α	2.3	
Road (W)	R	0.172	6.7	A	2.1	0.232	7.0	Α	2.3	
All vehicles		0.240	2.5	A	6.0	0.266	2.4	A	7.3	

Table 6-12 Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 1)

Table 6-13 Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 2)

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approacn		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Access 2	L	0.173	5.3	A	4.5	0.199	5.4	A	5.1	
	R	0.173	6.9	A	4.5	0.199	7.8	A	5.1	
East: Abernethy	L	0.186	5.6	A	0.0	0.196	5.6	A	0.0	
Road (E)	Т	0.186	0.0	A	0.0	0.196	0.0	A	0.0	
West: Abernethy	L	0.107	0.2	A	1.0	0.167	0.1	Α	1.1	
Road (W)	R	0.107	5.9	A	1.0	0.167	6.1	A	1.1	
All vehicles		0.186	2.6	A	4.5	0.199	2.4	Α	5.1	



Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approach		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Access 3	L	0.134	5.0	A	3.5	0.150	5.1	A	3.9	
	R	0.134	5.9	A	3.5	0.150	6.6	A	3.9	
East: Abernethy	L	0.126	5.5	A	0.0	0.136	5.5	A	0.0	
Road (E)	Т	0.126	0.0	А	0.0	0.136	0.0	Α	0.0	
West: Abernethy	L	0.075	0.3	Α	1.8	0.135	0.2	Α	2.1	
Road (W)	R	0.075	5.4	A	1.8	0.135	5.5	A	2.1	
All vehicles		0.134	3.2	A	3.5	0.150	2.6	A	3.9	

Table 6-14 Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 3)

Table 6-15 Background Traffic + Stage 1 & 2 Trips: 2038 (Access 4)

Intersection			Week	day AN	l Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Approacn		DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	DOS	Delay (s)	LOS	95% Back of Queue (m)	
South: Kargotich	L	0.043	5.6	A	0.0	0.043	5.6	A	0.0	
Road (5)	Т	0.210	0.1	A	0.0	0.230	0.1	A	0.0	
North: Kargotich Road (N)	Т	0.104	0.0	A	0.0	0.227	0.0	A	0.0	
West: Access 4	L	0.071	6.1	A	2.0	0.075	6.3	A	2.1	
All vehicles		0.210	1.2	A	2.0	0.230	0.9	A	2.1	

The analysis undertaken shows that the proposed accesses are anticipated to operate at a good capacity and acceptable level of service during the opening year of the secondary school, as well as the 2038 design year.

7.0 SITE SPECIFIC ISSUES

7.1.1 Location of Access 4

An assessment on the proposed *Access Driveway 4* was undertaken in accordance with *AS2890.1*: 2004 Parking Facilities: Off-street car parking as illustrated on **Figure 7-1**. The assessment shows that no non-compliance was identified with the location of the access driveway. The proposed access is located approximately 117m from the Kargotich Rd/Elwood Loop intersection as illustrated in **Figure 7-2** and is not anticipated to have any impact on the traffic operations at this intersection.

Figure 7-1 Prohibited Locations of Access Driveways



NOTES:

- 1 Accesses to domestic driveways are excluded from the prohibition in respect of the kerb section marked Y-Y (see Clause 3.2.3(a)).
- 2 The points marked X_1 and X are respectively at the median end on a divided road and at the intersection of the main road centre-line and the extensions of the side road property lines shown as dotted lines, on an undivided road. On a divided road, dimension *Y*-*Y* extends to Point Y_1 .

DIMENSIONS IN METRES

FIGURE 3.1 PROHIBITED LOCATIONS OF ACCESS DRIVEWAYS

Source: AS2890.1: 2004 Parking Facilities: Off-street car parking



Figure 7-2 Access 4



7.1.2 Provision of an Acceleration Lane

The provision of acceleration lanes cannot be justified solely based on numerical values. However, if vehicles are unable to safely and efficiently merge with the flow of through traffic by finding a suitable gap, an additional lane can be added on the departure side of a left-turn or right-turn lane.

The inclusion of acceleration lanes at major intersections depends on traffic analysis. Nevertheless, they are typically implemented under the following circumstances:

- Insufficient gaps exist for vehicles to enter the traffic stream.
- Turning volumes are high, ranging from 300 to 500 vehicles per hour.
- The observation angle falls below the minimum requirement for the gap sight distance model, such as inside horizontal curves.
- Heavy vehicles merging into the traffic stream would cause significant deceleration of vehicles on the main road.

Acceleration lanes should only be used in situations where turning drivers do not need to rapidly cross the carriageway over a short distance once they leave the acceleration lane.

Source: Austroads: Guide to Traffic Management: Part 6: Intersections and Crossings Management



7.1.3 Provision of a Short Lane Left Turn Lane

The different types of left-turn treatments and their selection criteria based on volume warrants and safety are discussed in *Austroads' Guide to Road Design*. When choosing an appropriate left-turn treatment, several factors come into play. These factors include the volume and type of traffic that will be making the left turn, as well as the volume, speed, and type of traffic with which the turning traffic will merge. Other considerations include the estimated entry speed, desired speeds during the turn and when exiting, and any local restrictions such as turn angles, property boundaries, and the presence of service utilities or structures.

As part of the development proposal for the site, Access 4 is limited to left-in/left-out movements only. To accommodate this, a proposed design for the access intersection includes a short left-turn lane on the south approach. This dedicated lane allows vehicles to make left turns at the intersection without obstructing the flow of through traffic. The design for the access intersection, including the left turn lane, is currently in the conceptual design phase, with detailed design plans expected to be provided in the future. The following design considerations have been extracted from *Austroads: Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalized and Signalised Intersections:*

Figure 7-3 illustrates an AUL(S) turn treatment, which is suitable for areas with moderate through and turning traffic volumes. The required length for the treatment can be found in **Table 7-1**.

It's important to avoid using the AUL(S) layout in areas where visibility to the turn treatment is limited. It is crucial for drivers turning left on the main road to be able to identify the deceleration lane and the side road in advance, allowing them to reduce their speed appropriately while staying in the through lane before diverting.



Figure 7-3 Rural AUL(S) treatment with a short left-turn lane

The dimensions of the treatment are defined as follows. Values of D and T are provided in Table

- W = Nominal through lane width (m) (including widening for curves). For a new intersection on an existing road, the width is to be in accordance with the current link strategy.
- W_T = Nominal width of the turn lane (m), including widening for curves based on the design turning vehicle = 3.0 m minimum.
- T = Physical taper length (m) given by Equation 5 being: $T = \frac{0.33VW\tau}{3.6}$

Source: Austroads: Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalized and Signalised Intersections

Table 7-1 Dimensions for AUL(S) treatment on major leg

Design speed of major road approach (km/h)	Diverge/deceleration length $D(m)^1$	Taper length <i>T</i> (m)²
50	15	15
60	25	15
70	35	20
80	45	20
90	55	25
100	70	30
110	85	30
120	100	35

Source: Austroads: Guide to Road Design Part 4A: Unsignalized and Signalised Intersections

Based on the information summarised above, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The location of Access 4 is deemed suitable and meets the requirements of AS2890.1.
- Based on the criteria outlined by Austroads for requiring an acceleration lane, it is not envisaged that an acceleration lane is required at Access 4 since it is not anticipated that heavy vehicles would be exiting from this access.
- The required length for the left turn lane is 70m, including a taper length of 30m. This design is suitable for a design speed (posted speed 90km/hr) limit of 100km/hr.

8.0 SUMMARY

This Transport Impact Assessment outlines the transport aspects of the proposed development focusing on traffic operations, access and provision of car parking. Included are discussions regarding pedestrian, cycle, and public transport considerations.

This assessment has been prepared in accordance with the WAPC Transport Assessment Guidelines for Developments: Volume 4 – Individual Developments (2016).

- The proposed development consists of a private school for primary and secondary students which is anticipated to accommodate approximately 1200 students and to be constructed in two stages.
 - Stage 1: Proposed Secondary Opening Year (2028)- 768 Secondary Students
 - Stage 2: Proposed Primary Opening Year (2033) 432 Primary Students
- The proposed number of parking bays exceeds the requirements of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Scheme as well as the Primary and Secondary School brief.
- > The B85/B99 swept path analysis illustrate that the design vehicles would appear to be able to adequately manoeuvre through the proposed car park and enter and exit the parking bays.
- > The proposed development is expected to generate approximately 1000 trips during the AM Peak hour, and 1000 trips during the PM peak.
- > The analysis undertaken shows that all the intersections are expected to operate at a good capacity and acceptable level of service during the opening year of the secondary school, as well as the 2038 design year.
- > Overall, the proposed development is expected to have minimal impact on traffic operations and safety on the surrounding road network.

APPENDICES



Appendix A WAPC CHECKLIST

Item	Provided	Comments/Proposals
Summary		
Introduction/Background		
name of applicant and consultant	Section 1	
development location and context	Section 2	
brief description of development proposal	Section 2	
key issues	Section 2	
Background information	Section 2	
Existing situation		
existing site uses (if any)	Section 2	
existing parking and demand (if appropriate)	Section 2	
existing access arrangements	Section 2	
existing site traffic	Section 2	
surrounding land uses	Section 2	
surrounding road network	Section 2	
traffic management on frontage roads	NA	
traffic flows on surrounding roads (usually am and pm peak hours)	Section 2	
traffic flows at major intersections (usually am and pm peak hours)	Section 2	
operation of surrounding intersections	Section 6	
existing pedestrian/cycle networks	Section 2	
existing public transport services surrounding the development	Section 2	
Crash data	Section 2	
Development proposal		
proposed land uses	Section 3	
table of land uses and quantities	Section 3	
access arrangements	Section 3	



parking provision	Section 4	
end of trip facilities	NA	
any specific issues	Section 2	
road network	Section 2	
intersection layouts and controls	Section 2	
pedestrian/cycle networks and crossing facilities	Section 2	
public transport services	Section 2	
Integration with surrounding area	Section 6	
surrounding major attractors/generators	Section 6	
committed developments and transport proposals	N/A	
proposed changes to land uses within 1200 metres	N/A	
travel desire lines from development to these attractors/generators	N/A	
adequacy of existing transport networks	Section 2	
deficiencies in existing transport networks	N/A	
remedial measures to address deficiencies	N/A	
Analysis of transport networks		
assessment years	Section 6	
time periods	Section 6	
development generated traffic	Section 6	
distribution of generated traffic	Section 6	
parking supply & demand	Section 6	
base and "with development" traffic flows	Section 6	
analysis of development accesses	Section 6	
impact on surrounding roads	Section 6	
impact on intersections	Section 6	
impact on neighbouring areas	Section 6	
traffic noise and vibration	N/A	
road safety	N/A	
public transport access	Section 2	



pedestrian access / amenity	Section 2	
cycle access / amenity	Section 2	
analysis of pedestrian / cycle networks	Section 2	
safe walk/cycle to school (for residential and school site developments only)	N/A	
Traffic management plan (where appropriate)	N/A	

Appendix B SITE PLANS





SITE PLAN Free Reformed School Association Oakford Site - Masterplan and Concept Design issued for DA

Scale 1:1000@A1 1:2000 @A3 - June 2023 - Project No 2022048 Parry and Rosenthal Architects

LEGEND

- EXISTING TREE TO BE RETAINED & PROTECTED
- NEW PLANTED TREE ON SOFT LANDSCAPE
- --- SITE BOUNDARY
- NEW GARRISON FENCE 24

Ordinary Council Meeting - 20 November 2023

Appendix C SWEPT PATH











Appendix D SIDRA RESULTS



MOVEMENT SUMMARY

V Site: [Kargotich Rd/ Access 4 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - PM)]

■ Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehio	Vehicle Movement Performance													
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Q [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>F</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Kargo	otich Roa	d (S)											
4	L2	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.043	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	53.6
5	T1	449	0.0	449	0.0	0.230	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	bach	528	0.0	528	0.0	0.230	0.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.09	0.00	58.1
North	: Kargo	tich Roa	d (N)											
11	T1	442	0.0	442	0.0	0.227	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.9
Appro	bach	442	0.0	442	0.0	0.227	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.9
West:	Acces	s 4												
1	L2	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.075	6.3	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.45	0.64	0.45	42.6
Appro	bach	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.075	6.3	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.45	0.64	0.45	42.6
All Ve	hicles	1049	0.0	1049	0.0	0.230	0.9	NA	0.3	2.1	0.03	0.09	0.03	52.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Thursday, 13 July 2023 9:17:54 AM Project: K:\Projects\CW1200712_300304677_Free Reformed School_575 Abernethy Rd_Oakford - TIA & WMP\5_Technical\Traffic\Modelling \SIDRA V2.sip9

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 1 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	Vehicle Movement Performance													
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO\ [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 1												
1	L2	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.266	6.2	LOS A	1.0	7.3	0.59	0.82	0.67	39.7
3	R2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.266	10.3	LOS B	1.0	7.3	0.59	0.82	0.67	39.7
Appro	bach	158	0.0	158	0.0	0.266	9.6	LOS A	1.0	7.3	0.59	0.82	0.67	39.7
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.250	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	55.3
5	T1	349	0.0	349	0.0	0.250	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	50.8
Appro	bach	481	0.0	481	0.0	0.250	1.5	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	53.5
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	405	0.0	405	0.0	0.232	0.3	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.09	0.04	0.09	48.3
12	R2	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.232	7.0	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.09	0.04	0.09	48.1
Appro	bach	432	0.0	432	0.0	0.232	0.7	NA	0.3	2.3	0.09	0.04	0.09	48.3
All Ve	hicles	1071	0.0	1071	0.0	0.266	2.4	NA	1.0	7.3	0.12	0.21	0.14	47.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Thursday, 13 July 2023 9:17:54 AM Project: K:\Projects\CW1200712_300304677_Free Reformed School_575 Abernethy Rd_Oakford - TIA & WMP\5_Technical\Traffic\Modelling \SIDRA V2.sip9
V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 1 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO\ [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Q [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 1												
1	L2	15	0.0	15	0.0	0.096	5.2	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.38	0.64	0.38	42.8
3	R2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.096	6.3	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.38	0.64	0.38	42.8
Appro	bach	89	0.0	89	0.0	0.096	6.2	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.38	0.64	0.38	42.8
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.146	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	55.4
5	T1	206	0.0	206	0.0	0.146	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	51.1
Appro	bach	281	0.0	281	0.0	0.146	1.5	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	53.7
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	161	0.0	161	0.0	0.094	0.1	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.08	0.05	0.08	48.2
12	R2	15	0.0	15	0.0	0.094	5.6	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.08	0.05	0.08	48.1
Appro	bach	176	0.0	176	0.0	0.094	0.6	NA	0.1	0.9	0.08	0.05	0.08	48.2
All Ve	hicles	546	0.0	546	0.0	0.146	2.0	NA	0.3	2.4	0.09	0.20	0.09	48.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 1 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO\ [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E QL [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 1												
1	L2	15	0.0	15	0.0	0.094	5.1	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.35	0.62	0.35	43.0
3	R2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.094	6.2	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.35	0.62	0.35	43.0
Appro	bach	89	0.0	89	0.0	0.094	6.0	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.35	0.62	0.35	43.0
East:	Aberne	ethy Roac	l (E)											
4	L2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.127	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.18	0.00	55.1
5	T1	168	0.0	168	0.0	0.127	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.18	0.00	50.0
Appro	bach	243	0.0	243	0.0	0.127	1.7	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.18	0.00	53.3
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	180	0.0	180	0.0	0.103	0.1	LOS A	0.1	0.8	0.06	0.04	0.06	48.4
12	R2	15	0.0	15	0.0	0.103	5.4	LOS A	0.1	0.8	0.06	0.04	0.06	48.2
Appro	bach	195	0.0	195	0.0	0.103	0.5	NA	0.1	0.8	0.06	0.04	0.06	48.4
All Ve	hicles	527	0.0	527	0.0	0.127	2.0	NA	0.3	2.3	0.08	0.21	0.08	48.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 1 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmano	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% [Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 1												
1	L2	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.229	5.9	LOS A	0.8	6.0	0.53	0.77	0.54	40.9
3	R2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.229	8.7	LOS A	0.8	6.0	0.53	0.77	0.54	40.9
Appro	bach	158	0.0	158	0.0	0.229	8.2	LOS A	0.8	6.0	0.53	0.77	0.54	40.9
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.240	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.17	0.00	55.2
5	T1	329	0.0	329	0.0	0.240	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.17	0.00	50.5
Appro	bach	461	0.0	461	0.0	0.240	1.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.17	0.00	53.4
West	Aberne	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	289	0.0	289	0.0	0.172	0.3	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.11	0.05	0.11	47.8
12	R2	26	0.0	26	0.0	0.172	6.7	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.11	0.05	0.11	48.0
Appro	bach	316	0.0	316	0.0	0.172	0.8	NA	0.3	2.1	0.11	0.05	0.11	47.9
All Ve	hicles	935	0.0	935	0.0	0.240	2.5	NA	0.8	6.0	0.13	0.23	0.13	47.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 2 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 2												
1	L2	9	0.0	9	0.0	0.083	5.0	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.32	0.60	0.32	43.3
3	R2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.083	5.8	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.32	0.60	0.32	43.3
Appro	bach	84	0.0	84	0.0	0.083	5.7	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.32	0.60	0.32	43.3
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.115	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	55.6
5	T1	146	0.0	146	0.0	0.115	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	53.6
Appro	bach	221	0.0	221	0.0	0.115	1.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	54.8
West	Abern	ethy Road	d (W)											
11	T1	120	0.0	120	0.0	0.068	0.1	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.06	0.04	0.06	47.0
12	R2	9	0.0	9	0.0	0.068	5.3	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.06	0.04	0.06	47.9
Appro	bach	129	0.0	129	0.0	0.068	0.5	NA	0.1	0.5	0.06	0.04	0.06	47.3
All Ve	hicles	435	0.0	435	0.0	0.115	2.2	NA	0.3	2.1	0.08	0.23	0.08	50.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 2 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO\ [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 2												
1	L2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.173	5.3	LOS A	0.6	4.5	0.44	0.70	0.44	42.3
3	R2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.173	6.9	LOS A	0.6	4.5	0.44	0.70	0.44	42.3
Appro	bach	147	0.0	147	0.0	0.173	6.7	LOS A	0.6	4.5	0.44	0.70	0.44	42.3
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.186	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.22	0.00	55.4
5	T1	224	0.0	224	0.0	0.186	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.22	0.00	53.1
Appro	bach	356	0.0	356	0.0	0.186	2.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.22	0.00	54.5
West	Aberne	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	184	0.0	184	0.0	0.107	0.2	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.09	0.05	0.09	46.3
12	R2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.107	5.9	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.09	0.05	0.09	47.7
Appro	bach	200	0.0	200	0.0	0.107	0.6	NA	0.1	1.0	0.09	0.05	0.09	46.7
All Ve	hicles	703	0.0	703	0.0	0.186	2.6	NA	0.6	4.5	0.12	0.27	0.12	49.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 2 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 2												
1	L2	9	0.0	9	0.0	0.082	5.0	LOS A	0.3	2.0	0.31	0.59	0.31	43.3
3	R2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.082	5.7	LOS A	0.3	2.0	0.31	0.59	0.31	43.3
Appro	bach	84	0.0	84	0.0	0.082	5.6	LOS A	0.3	2.0	0.31	0.59	0.31	43.3
East:	Aberne	thy Road	d (E)											
4	L2	75	0.0	75	0.0	0.115	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	55.6
5	T1	146	0.0	146	0.0	0.115	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	53.6
Appro	bach	221	0.0	221	0.0	0.115	1.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	54.8
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	101	0.0	101	0.0	0.058	0.1	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.07	0.05	0.07	46.6
12	R2	9	0.0	9	0.0	0.058	5.3	LOS A	0.1	0.5	0.07	0.05	0.07	47.8
Appro	bach	111	0.0	111	0.0	0.058	0.5	NA	0.1	0.5	0.07	0.05	0.07	47.0
All Ve	hicles	416	0.0	416	0.0	0.115	2.3	NA	0.3	2.0	0.08	0.24	0.08	50.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 2 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmand	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO\ [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Q [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>F</i> Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 2												
1	L2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.199	5.4	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.49	0.76	0.49	41.5
3	R2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.199	7.8	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.49	0.76	0.49	41.5
Appro	bach	147	0.0	147	0.0	0.199	7.6	LOS A	0.7	5.1	0.49	0.76	0.49	41.5
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.196	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.21	0.00	55.5
5	T1	244	0.0	244	0.0	0.196	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.21	0.00	53.4
Appro	bach	376	0.0	376	0.0	0.196	2.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.21	0.00	54.7
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	300	0.0	300	0.0	0.167	0.1	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.06	0.03	0.06	47.5
12	R2	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.167	6.1	LOS A	0.2	1.1	0.06	0.03	0.06	47.9
Appro	bach	316	0.0	316	0.0	0.167	0.4	NA	0.2	1.1	0.06	0.03	0.06	47.6
All Ve	hicles	839	0.0	839	0.0	0.199	2.4	NA	0.7	5.1	0.11	0.24	0.11	49.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 3 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% [Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 3												
1	L2	37	0.0	37	0.0	0.134	5.0	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.30	0.59	0.30	45.9
3	R2	105	0.0	105	0.0	0.134	5.9	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.30	0.59	0.30	43.3
Appro	bach	142	0.0	142	0.0	0.134	5.7	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.30	0.59	0.30	44.3
East:	Aberne	thy Road	d (E)											
4	L2	105	0.0	105	0.0	0.126	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.26	0.00	54.0
5	T1	135	0.0	135	0.0	0.126	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.26	0.00	56.3
Appro	bach	240	0.0	240	0.0	0.126	2.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.26	0.00	55.3
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	95	0.0	95	0.0	0.075	0.3	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.21	0.16	0.21	47.3
12	R2	37	0.0	37	0.0	0.075	5.4	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.21	0.16	0.21	47.7
Appro	bach	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.075	1.8	NA	0.2	1.8	0.21	0.16	0.21	47.5
All Ve	hicles	514	0.0	514	0.0	0.134	3.2	NA	0.5	3.5	0.14	0.33	0.14	49.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 3 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% [Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 3												
1	L2	21	0.0	21	0.0	0.068	4.7	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.18	0.54	0.18	46.2
3	R2	60	0.0	60	0.0	0.068	5.2	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.18	0.54	0.18	43.8
Appro	bach	81	0.0	81	0.0	0.068	5.1	LOS A	0.2	1.7	0.18	0.54	0.18	44.7
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	60	0.0	60	0.0	0.062	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.30	0.00	53.6
5	T1	58	0.0	58	0.0	0.062	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.30	0.00	55.8
Appro	bach	118	0.0	118	0.0	0.062	2.8	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.30	0.00	54.6
West	Abern	ethy Road	d (W)											
11	T1	69	0.0	69	0.0	0.049	0.1	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.11	0.13	0.11	48.0
12	R2	21	0.0	21	0.0	0.049	4.9	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.11	0.13	0.11	48.0
Appro	bach	91	0.0	91	0.0	0.049	1.2	NA	0.1	0.9	0.11	0.13	0.11	48.0
All Ve	hicles	289	0.0	289	0.0	0.068	3.0	NA	0.2	1.7	0.09	0.31	0.09	49.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 3 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Q [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>F</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 3												
1	L2	37	0.0	37	0.0	0.150	5.1	LOS A	0.5	3.9	0.35	0.63	0.35	45.6
3	R2	105	0.0	105	0.0	0.150	6.6	LOS A	0.5	3.9	0.35	0.63	0.35	42.8
Appro	bach	142	0.0	142	0.0	0.150	6.2	LOS A	0.5	3.9	0.35	0.63	0.35	43.9
East:	Aberne	thy Road	d (E)											
4	L2	105	0.0	105	0.0	0.136	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.24	0.00	54.3
5	T1	155	0.0	155	0.0	0.136	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.24	0.00	56.6
Appro	bach	260	0.0	260	0.0	0.136	2.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.24	0.00	55.6
West	Abern	ethy Roa	d (W)											
11	T1	211	0.0	211	0.0	0.135	0.2	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.13	0.09	0.13	48.4
12	R2	37	0.0	37	0.0	0.135	5.5	LOS A	0.3	2.1	0.13	0.09	0.13	48.2
Appro	bach	247	0.0	247	0.0	0.135	1.0	NA	0.3	2.1	0.13	0.09	0.13	48.4
All Ve	hicles	649	0.0	649	0.0	0.150	2.6	NA	0.5	3.9	0.13	0.27	0.13	49.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Abernethy Rd/ Access 3 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e:									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	ND NS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% [Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	n: Acces	ss 3												
1	L2	21	0.0	21	0.0	0.069	4.8	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.23	0.55	0.23	46.1
3	R2	60	0.0	60	0.0	0.069	5.3	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.23	0.55	0.23	43.6
Appro	bach	81	0.0	81	0.0	0.069	5.2	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.23	0.55	0.23	44.6
East:	Aberne	thy Road	l (E)											
4	L2	60	0.0	60	0.0	0.081	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.23	0.00	54.4
5	T1	96	0.0	96	0.0	0.081	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.23	0.00	56.8
Appro	bach	156	0.0	156	0.0	0.081	2.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.23	0.00	55.8
West	Abern	ethy Road	d (W)											
11	T1	51	0.0	51	0.0	0.040	0.2	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.16	0.16	0.16	47.4
12	R2	21	0.0	21	0.0	0.040	5.0	LOS A	0.1	0.9	0.16	0.16	0.16	47.7
Appro	bach	72	0.0	72	0.0	0.040	1.6	NA	0.1	0.9	0.16	0.16	0.16	47.6
All Ve	hicles	308	0.0	308	0.0	0.081	2.8	NA	0.2	1.8	0.10	0.30	0.10	50.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: 101 [Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - AM (Site Folder: 2038 WD - AM)]

■ Network: N101 [2038 with **DEV - AM (Network Folder:** General)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Vehi	ehicle Movement Performance													
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV	ND VS	ARRI FLO	VAL WS	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	95% BA QUI	ACK OF EUE	Prop. Que	Effective A Stop	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		[Total	HV]	[Total	HV]				[Veh.	Dist]		Rate		
Ocurt		veh/h	% `	veh/h	%	V/C	sec	_	veh	m	_	_	_	km/h
Soutr	n: Kargo	ation Ra s)											
1	L2	38	0.0	38	0.0	0.506	10.0	LOS A	4.1	31.2	0.76	0.83	0.81	43.3
2	T1	302	5.0	302	5.0	0.506	10.9	LOS B	4.1	31.2	0.76	0.83	0.81	60.5
3	R2	69	8.0	69	8.0	0.506	16.5	LOS B	4.1	31.2	0.76	0.83	0.81	57.1
3u	U	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.506	19.0	LOS B	4.1	31.2	0.76	0.83	0.81	43.3
Appro	bach	488	4.2	488	4.2	0.506	13.0	LOS B	4.1	31.2	0.76	0.83	0.81	57.5
East:	Aberne	thy Rd E												
4	L2	31	2.0	31	2.0	0.324	7.4	LOS A	2.1	16.0	0.58	0.67	0.58	56.2
5	T1	247	2.0	247	2.0	0.324	7.9	LOS A	2.1	16.0	0.58	0.67	0.58	56.2
6	R2	76	2.0	76	2.0	0.324	13.6	LOS B	2.1	16.0	0.58	0.67	0.58	66.0
Appro	bach	354	2.0	354	2.0	0.324	9.1	LOS A	2.1	16.0	0.58	0.67	0.58	59.2
North	: Kargo	tich Rd N	l											
7	L2	21	7.0	21	7.0	0.267	8.5	LOS A	1.7	13.1	0.60	0.73	0.60	61.7
8	T1	79	15.0	79	15.0	0.267	9.5	LOS A	1.7	13.1	0.60	0.73	0.60	56.6
9	R2	176	0.0	176	0.0	0.267	14.4	LOS B	1.7	13.1	0.60	0.73	0.60	56.6
Appro	bach	276	4.8	276	4.8	0.267	12.5	LOS B	1.7	13.1	0.60	0.73	0.60	57.2
West	: Aberne	ethy Rd V	V											
10	L2	176	0.0	176	0.0	0.455	5.8	LOS A	3.5	25.9	0.79	0.70	0.80	57.7
11	T1	232	0.0	232	0.0	0.455	5.6	LOS A	3.5	25.9	0.79	0.70	0.80	57.7
12	R2	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.455	10.9	LOS B	3.5	25.9	0.79	0.70	0.80	31.6
Appro	bach	421	0.0	421	0.0	0.455	5.9	LOS A	3.5	25.9	0.79	0.70	0.80	57.3
All Ve	hicles	1539	2.7	1539	2.7	0.506	10.1	LOS B	4.1	31.2	0.70	0.74	0.72	57.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Roundabout LOS Method: SIDRA Roundabout LOS.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Thursday, 13 July 2023 9:17:48 AM Project: K:\Projects\CW1200712_300304677_Free Reformed School_575 Abernethy Rd_Oakford - TIA & WMP\5_Technical\Traffic\Modelling \SIDRA V2.sip9

W Site: 101 [Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - AM (Site Folder: 2028 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov	Turn		ND NS		IVAL	Deg. Sata	Aver.	Level of	95% B/		Prop.	Effective A	ver. No.	Aver.
U		[Total	HV1	[Total	I HV 1	Jaur	Delay		[Veh.	Dist 1	Que	Rate	Cycles	Speeu
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Kargo	tich Rd S	6											
1	L2	23	0.0	23	0.0	0.566	8.9	LOS A	4.9	37.7	0.72	0.74	0.74	45.2
2	T1	496	5.0	496	5.0	0.566	9.8	LOS A	4.9	37.7	0.72	0.74	0.74	62.0
3	R2	57	8.0	57	8.0	0.566	15.4	LOS B	4.9	37.7	0.72	0.74	0.74	58.4
3u	U	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.566	17.9	LOS B	4.9	37.7	0.72	0.74	0.74	45.2
Appro	bach	621	4.7	621	4.7	0.566	10.8	LOS B	4.9	37.7	0.72	0.74	0.74	60.6
East:	Aberne	thy Rd E												
4	L2	80	2.0	80	2.0	0.327	8.1	LOS A	2.2	16.4	0.66	0.73	0.66	55.5
5	T1	162	2.0	162	2.0	0.327	8.6	LOS A	2.2	16.4	0.66	0.73	0.66	55.5
6	R2	83	2.0	83	2.0	0.327	14.2	LOS B	2.2	16.4	0.66	0.73	0.66	65.5
Appro	bach	325	2.0	325	2.0	0.327	9.9	LOS A	2.2	16.4	0.66	0.73	0.66	59.1
North	: Kargo	tich Rd N	I											
7	L2	27	7.0	27	7.0	0.366	7.7	LOS A	2.5	20.4	0.52	0.64	0.52	63.7
8	T1	296	15.0	296	15.0	0.366	8.7	LOS A	2.5	20.4	0.52	0.64	0.52	59.7
9	R2	96	0.0	96	0.0	0.366	13.7	LOS B	2.5	20.4	0.52	0.64	0.52	59.7
Appro	bach	419	11.0	419	11.0	0.366	9.8	LOS A	2.5	20.4	0.52	0.64	0.52	60.2
West	Aberne	ethy Rd V	V											
10	L2	96	0.0	96	0.0	0.297	6.5	LOS A	2.1	15.6	0.81	0.75	0.81	57.2
11	T1	132	0.0	132	0.0	0.297	6.3	LOS A	2.1	15.6	0.81	0.75	0.81	57.2
12	R2	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.297	11.6	LOS B	2.1	15.6	0.81	0.75	0.81	31.1
Appro	bach	235	0.0	235	0.0	0.297	6.6	LOS A	2.1	15.6	0.81	0.75	0.81	56.8
All Ve	hicles	1600	5.1	1600	5.1	0.566	9.8	LOS A	4.9	37.7	0.67	0.71	0.68	59.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Roundabout LOS Method: SIDRA Roundabout LOS.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Thursday, 13 July 2023 9:17:38 AM

Dite: 101 [Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - AM (Site Folder: Existing)]

Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - Existing Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Vehi	Vehicle Movement Performance													
Mov	Turn	INP	UT	DEM	AND	Deg.	Aver.	Level of	95% BA	ACK OF	Prop. E	ffective	Aver.	Aver.
U		VULU [Total		FLU [Total	vvS ц\/1	Sath	Delay	Service	QUI [\/eh	EUE Diet 1	Que	Stop	INO. Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		Trate	Cycles	km/h
Sout	h: Karg	otich Rd	S											
1	L2	21	0.0	22	0.0	0.338	8.8	LOS A	0.8	5.9	0.15	0.09	0.15	61.8
2	T1	484	5.0	509	5.0	0.338	0.3	LOS A	0.8	5.9	0.15	0.09	0.15	86.3
3	R2	61	8.0	64	8.0	0.338	8.9	LOS A	0.8	5.9	0.15	0.09	0.15	70.1
Appr	oach	566	5.1	596	5.1	0.338	1.5	NA	0.8	5.9	0.15	0.09	0.15	83.0
East:	Abern	ethy Rd I	Ξ											
4	L2	105	4.0	111	4.0	0.471	12.9	LOS B	2.5	18.7	0.59	1.04	0.90	56.6
5	T1	54	0.0	57	0.0	0.471	21.1	LOS C	2.5	18.7	0.59	1.04	0.90	47.7
6	R2	61	0.0	64	0.0	0.471	23.0	LOS C	2.5	18.7	0.59	1.04	0.90	57.5
Appr	oach	220	1.9	232	1.9	0.471	17.7	LOS C	2.5	18.7	0.59	1.04	0.90	54.3
North	n: Karg	otich Rd	N											
7	L2	15	4.0	16	4.0	0.164	8.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.13	0.02	75.4
8	T1	240	13.0	253	13.0	0.164	0.6	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.13	0.02	85.9
9	R2	2	4.0	2	4.0	0.164	10.0	LOS B	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.13	0.02	60.4
Appr	oach	257	12.4	271	12.4	0.164	1.1	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.13	0.02	84.9
West	: Aberr	nethy Rd	W											
10	L2	2	2.0	2	2.0	0.050	10.3	LOS B	0.2	1.2	0.71	1.01	0.71	48.5
11	T1	10	2.0	11	2.0	0.050	15.5	LOS C	0.2	1.2	0.71	1.01	0.71	47.0
12	R2	4	2.0	4	2.0	0.050	20.5	LOS C	0.2	1.2	0.71	1.01	0.71	48.2
Appr	oach	16	2.0	17	2.0	0.050	16.1	LOS C	0.2	1.2	0.71	1.01	0.71	47.5
All Vehic	cles	1059	6.2	1115	6.2	0.471	5.0	NA	2.5	18.7	0.22	0.31	0.28	74.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Wednesday, 12 July 2023 6:39:08 PM Project: K:\Projects\CW1200712_300304677_Free Reformed School_575 Abernethy Rd_Oakford - TIA & WMP\5_Technical\Traffic\Modelling \SIDRA V2.sip9

Dite: 101 [Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - PM (Site Folder: Existing)]

Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - Existing Site Category: (None) Stop (Two-Way)

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov	Turn	INP	UT	DEM	AND	Deg.	Aver.	Level of	95% BA	ACK OF	Prop. E	Effective	Aver.	Aver.
JD		VOLU		FLO [Total	ws цул	Sath	Delay	Service	QUI [\/eh	EUE Diet 1	Que	Stop	NO. Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		Trate	Cycles	km/h
Sout	h: Karç	otich Rd	S											
1	L2	5	15.0	5	15.0	0.109	9.4	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.21	0.14	0.21	59.9
2	T1	131	8.0	138	8.0	0.109	0.5	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.21	0.14	0.21	84.8
3	R2	29	7.0	31	7.0	0.109	9.0	LOS A	0.3	2.4	0.21	0.14	0.21	69.7
Appr	oach	165	8.0	174	8.0	0.109	2.3	NA	0.3	2.4	0.21	0.14	0.21	80.7
East	Abern	ethy Rd I	Ξ											
4	L2	50	8.0	53	8.0	0.110	11.5	LOS B	0.4	3.2	0.48	0.95	0.48	60.8
5	T1	12	0.0	13	0.0	0.110	12.3	LOS B	0.4	3.2	0.48	0.95	0.48	51.7
6	R2	14	7.0	15	7.0	0.110	14.2	LOS B	0.4	3.2	0.48	0.95	0.48	60.7
Appr	oach	76	6.6	80	6.6	0.110	12.1	LOS B	0.4	3.2	0.48	0.95	0.48	59.1
North	n: Karg	otich Rd	N											
7	L2	26	0.0	27	0.0	0.211	7.5	LOS A	0.0	0.1	0.00	0.09	0.00	77.8
8	T1	335	6.0	353	6.0	0.211	0.3	LOS A	0.0	0.1	0.00	0.09	0.00	87.4
9	R2	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.211	7.6	LOS A	0.0	0.1	0.00	0.09	0.00	62.2
Appr	oach	362	5.6	381	5.6	0.211	0.8	NA	0.0	0.1	0.00	0.09	0.00	86.5
West	: Aberi	nethy Rd	W											
10	L2	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.028	8.0	LOS A	0.1	0.7	0.49	0.95	0.49	51.8
11	T1	12	0.0	13	0.0	0.028	10.8	LOS B	0.1	0.7	0.49	0.95	0.49	50.2
12	R2	3	0.0	3	0.0	0.028	11.8	LOS B	0.1	0.7	0.49	0.95	0.49	51.5
Appr	oach	16	0.0	17	0.0	0.028	10.8	LOS B	0.1	0.7	0.49	0.95	0.49	50.5
All Vehic	cles	619	6.2	652	6.2	0.211	2.8	NA	0.4	3.2	0.13	0.23	0.13	79.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Wednesday, 12 July 2023 6:39:09 PM Project: K:\Projects\CW1200712_300304677_Free Reformed School_575 Abernethy Rd_Oakford - TIA & WMP\5_Technical\Traffic\Modelling \SIDRA V2.sip9

W Site: 101 [Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - PM (Site Folder: 2028 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Vehicle Movement Performance														
Mov	Turn		AND MS		IVAL	Deg. Sata	Aver.	Level of	95% B		Prop.	Effective A	ver. No.	Aver.
		[Total	HV]	[Tota	IHV]	Jain	Delay		[Veh.	Dist]	Que	Rate	Cycles	opeeu
		veh/h	%	veh/h	<u>%</u>	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	n: Kargo	tich Rd S	3											
1	L2	9	20.0	9	20.0	0.280	8.0	LOS A	1.7	14.0	0.48	0.65	0.48	46.7
2	T1	198	8.0	198	8.0	0.280	8.4	LOS A	1.7	14.0	0.48	0.65	0.48	61.9
3	R2	68	7.0	68	7.0	0.280	13.9	LOS B	1.7	14.0	0.48	0.65	0.48	59.4
3u	U	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.280	16.5	LOS B	1.7	14.0	0.48	0.65	0.48	46.7
Appro	bach	321	7.0	321	7.0	0.280	10.7	LOS B	1.7	14.0	0.48	0.65	0.48	59.8
East:	Aberne	thy Rd E												
4	L2	42	8.0	42	8.0	0.200	8.6	LOS A	1.3	9.6	0.68	0.71	0.68	56.4
5	T1	129	0.0	129	0.0	0.200	8.9	LOS A	1.3	9.6	0.68	0.71	0.68	56.4
6	R2	11	7.0	11	7.0	0.200	14.8	LOS B	1.3	9.6	0.68	0.71	0.68	64.8
Appro	bach	182	2.3	182	2.3	0.200	9.2	LOS A	1.3	9.6	0.68	0.71	0.68	57.2
North	: Kargo	tich Rd N	1											
7	L2	38	7.0	38	7.0	0.451	8.0	LOS A	3.2	26.5	0.57	0.66	0.57	63.5
8	T1	373	15.0	373	15.0	0.451	9.0	LOS A	3.2	26.5	0.57	0.66	0.57	59.4
9	R2	104	0.0	104	0.0	0.451	14.0	LOS B	3.2	26.5	0.57	0.66	0.57	59.4
Appro	bach	515	11.4	515	11.4	0.451	9.9	LOS A	3.2	26.5	0.57	0.66	0.57	59.9
West	: Aberne	ethy Rd V	V											
10	L2	104	0.0	104	0.0	0.228	4.0	LOS A	1.4	10.3	0.53	0.50	0.53	59.6
11	T1	137	0.0	137	0.0	0.228	3.8	LOS A	1.4	10.3	0.53	0.50	0.53	59.6
12	R2	14	0.0	14	0.0	0.228	9.1	LOS A	1.4	10.3	0.53	0.50	0.53	34.2
Appro	bach	255	0.0	255	0.0	0.228	4.2	LOS A	1.4	10.3	0.53	0.50	0.53	59.0
All Ve	hicles	1273	6.7	1273	6.7	0.451	8.9	LOS A	3.2	26.5	0.56	0.63	0.56	59.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Roundabout LOS Method: SIDRA Roundabout LOS.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Thursday, 13 July 2023 9:17:42 AM

W Site: 101 [Kargotich Rd- Abernethy Rd - PM (Site Folder: 2038 WD - PM)]

■ Network: N101 [2038 with **DEV - PM (Network Folder:** General)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Roundabout

Vehi	Vehicle Movement Performance													
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLO	AND WS	ARR FLO	IVAL WS	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	95% B QU	ACK OF	Prop. Que	Effective A Stop	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed
		l Iotai veh/h	нvј %	veh/h	IHV J %	v/c	sec		ر ven. veh	Dist j m		Rate		km/h
South	n: Kargo	tich Rd S	S											
1	L2	31	20.0	31	20.0	0.560	11.4	LOS B	5.0	40.2	0.79	0.85	0.88	43.3
2	T1	385	8.0	385	8.0	0.560	11.6	LOS B	5.0	40.2	0.79	0.85	0.88	59.6
3	R2	35	7.0	35	7.0	0.560	17.0	LOS B	5.0	40.2	0.79	0.85	0.88	57.3
3u	U	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.560	19.5	LOS B	5.0	40.2	0.79	0.85	0.88	43.3
Appro	bach	529	7.4	529	7.4	0.560	13.1	LOS B	5.0	40.2	0.79	0.85	0.88	57.4
East:	Aberne	thy Rd E												
4	L2	38	8.0	38	8.0	0.345	9.7	LOS A	2.5	18.7	0.80	0.81	0.80	54.8
5	T1	223	0.0	223	0.0	0.345	9.9	LOS A	2.5	18.7	0.80	0.81	0.80	54.8
6	R2	21	7.0	21	7.0	0.345	15.8	LOS B	2.5	18.7	0.80	0.81	0.80	63.7
Appro	bach	282	1.6	282	1.6	0.345	10.3	LOS B	2.5	18.7	0.80	0.81	0.80	55.8
North	: Kargo	tich Rd N	١											
7	L2	59	7.0	59	7.0	0.569	9.9	LOS A	5.2	41.2	0.78	0.81	0.84	61.3
8	T1	281	15.0	281	15.0	0.569	10.9	LOS B	5.2	41.2	0.78	0.81	0.84	55.8
9	R2	228	0.0	228	0.0	0.569	15.8	LOS B	5.2	41.2	0.78	0.81	0.84	55.8
Appro	bach	568	8.1	568	8.1	0.569	12.8	LOS B	5.2	41.2	0.78	0.81	0.84	56.8
West	Aberne	ethy Rd V	N											
10	L2	252	0.0	252	0.0	0.596	7.8	LOS A	6.0	44.4	0.88	0.90	1.03	55.8
11	T1	242	0.0	242	0.0	0.596	7.6	LOS A	6.0	44.4	0.88	0.90	1.03	55.8
12	R2	43	0.0	43	0.0	0.596	12.9	LOS B	6.0	44.4	0.88	0.90	1.03	29.4
Appro	bach	537	0.0	537	0.0	0.596	8.1	LOS A	6.0	44.4	0.88	0.90	1.03	54.7
All Ve	hicles	1917	4.7	1917	4.7	0.596	11.2	LOS B	6.0	44.4	0.81	0.85	0.90	56.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Roundabout LOS Method: SIDRA Roundabout LOS.

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: CARDNO PTY LTD | Licence: NETWORK / Enterprise | Processed: Thursday, 13 July 2023 9:17:54 AM Project: K:\Projects\CW1200712_300304677_Free Reformed School_575 Abernethy Rd_Oakford - TIA & WMP\5_Technical\Traffic\Modelling \SIDRA V2.sip9

V Site: [Kargotich Rd/ Access 4 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - AM)]

■ Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmanc	e									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	VAL WS HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% [Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Kargo	otich Roa	d (S)											
4	L2	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.025	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	53.6
5	T1	576	0.0	576	0.0	0.295	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.8
Appro	bach	621	0.0	621	0.0	0.295	0.5	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	58.9
North	: Kargo	tich Roa	d (N)											
11	T1	428	0.0	428	0.0	0.220	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.9
Appro	bach	428	0.0	428	0.0	0.220	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.9
West:	Acces	s 4												
1	L2	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.050	6.9	LOS A	0.2	1.3	0.51	0.68	0.51	42.1
Appro	bach	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.050	6.9	LOS A	0.2	1.3	0.51	0.68	0.51	42.1
All Ve	hicles	1095	0.0	1095	0.0	0.295	0.6	NA	0.2	1.3	0.02	0.05	0.02	53.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Kargotich Rd/ Access 4 (Site Folder: 2028 WD - PM)]

Network: N101 [2028 with DEV - PM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehio	cle Mo	vement	Perfo	rmano	ce									
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	IVAL WS I HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% E Ql [Veh. veh	BACK OF JEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>A</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Kargo	otich Roa	d (S)											
4	L2	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.025	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	53.6
5	T1	276	0.0	276	0.0	0.141	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	bach	321	0.0	321	0.0	0.141	0.8	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.08	0.00	58.2
North	: Kargo	tich Roa	d (N)											
11	T1	474	0.0	474	0.0	0.243	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.9
Appro	bach	474	0.0	474	0.0	0.243	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.9
West:	Acces	s 4												
1	L2	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.035	5.4	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.34	0.55	0.34	43.1
Appro	bach	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.035	5.4	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.34	0.55	0.34	43.1
All Ve	hicles	840	0.0	840	0.0	0.243	0.6	NA	0.1	1.0	0.02	0.06	0.02	52.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

V Site: [Kargotich Rd/ Access 4 (Site Folder: 2038 WD - AM)]

Network: N101 [2038 with DEV - AM (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehio	Vehicle Movement Performance													
Mov ID	Turn	DEMA FLOV [Total veh/h	AND WS HV] %	ARRI FLO [Total veh/h	IVAL WS I HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Q [Veh. veh	BACK OF UEUE Dist] m	Prop. Que	Effective <i>F</i> Stop Rate	ver. No. Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
South	: Kargo	otich Roa	d (S)											
4	L2	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.043	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.58	0.00	53.6
5	T1	409	0.0	409	0.0	0.210	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.9
Appro	bach	488	0.0	488	0.0	0.210	0.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.09	0.00	58.0
North	: Kargo	tich Roa	d (N)											
11	T1	202	0.0	202	0.0	0.104	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
Appro	bach	202	0.0	202	0.0	0.104	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.0
West:	Acces	s 4												
1	L2	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.071	6.1	LOS A	0.3	2.0	0.43	0.62	0.43	42.7
Appro	bach	79	0.0	79	0.0	0.071	6.1	LOS A	0.3	2.0	0.43	0.62	0.43	42.7
All Ve	hicles	769	0.0	769	0.0	0.210	1.2	NA	0.3	2.0	0.04	0.12	0.04	53.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Network Data dialog (Network tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Appendix E TRAFFIC COUNT SURVEY DATA



CW1200738/300304742 60





Appendix F ROM DATA



CW1200738/300304742 61



Ordinary Council Meeting - 20 November 2023



Ordinary Council Meeting - 20 November 2023