| Submitter   | No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|---|----|---|--|---------------------------|
| Department of Water<br>and Environmental<br>Regulation (DWER) | Α. | <ul> <li>The Department has identified that the development application has the potential to impact on environment and water resource values and management. While the Department does not object to the proposal key issues and recommendations are provided below, and these matters should be addressed.</li> <li>Issue</li> <li>Native Vegetation</li> <li>Advice</li> <li>Under section 51C of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act), clearing of native vegetation is an offence unless: <ul> <li>it is undertaken under the authority of a clearing permit</li> <li>it is done after the person has received notice under Section 51DA(5) that a clearing permit is not required</li> <li>the clearing is subject to an exemption</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exemptions for clearing that are a requirement of written law, or authorised under certain statutory processes, are contained in Schedule 6 of the EP Act. Exemptions for low impact routine land management practices outside of environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs) are contained in the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004 (the Clearing Regulations).</li> <li>It is understood that the proponent has submitted a s45C application under Part IV of the EP Act to amend Ministerial Statement 810 to include the area subject to this proposal. Should this amendment to Ministerial Statement 810 be granted, any clearing required for this proposal in accordance with the implementation agreement or decision is likely to be exempt from requiring a clearing permit under Schedule 6, Clause 2 of the EP Act.</li> </ul> | Noted<br>KLPL have submitted a<br>request under Section<br>45C of the EP Act for<br>amendment of MS810 to<br>include an additional<br>mining area within Lot 63.<br>As part of this request no<br>mapped vegetation<br>clearing is required.<br>It was however noted in<br>the S45C application that<br>up to 0.13ha of<br>miscellaneous isolated<br>scattered shrubs/trees<br>were included in the<br>mapped pasture.<br>KLPL have committed to<br>revegetating the<br>miscellaneous clearing of<br>0.13ha at the rate of<br>1:1.4ha, in accordance<br>with MS810 Condition 8 –<br>Revegetation<br>Management Plan. |                           |

| Submitter N | No | Subm   | litter Comments   | 6  | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-------------|----|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|
|             |    | If further clarification is required<br>Vegetation Regulation section by<br>telephone (6364 7098).<br>Issue<br>Industrial Regulation<br><b>Advice</b><br>The Department of Water and En-<br>emissions and discharges from th<br>premises through a works appro<br>Division 3 of the Environmental F<br>The categories of prescribed pr<br>Environmental Protection Regula<br>The EP Act requires a works app<br>prescribed premises and makes<br>discharge from an existing presc<br>of a works approval or licence<br>accordance with any conditions<br>subject.<br>The provided development appli<br>works approval and licence requi<br>Act.<br>The proposed operation is cate<br>Schedule 1 of the Environmer<br>following categories: | , please contact<br>remail (admin.nv<br>remail (admin.nv<br>remail (admin.nv<br>nvironmental Reg<br>ne construction a<br>oval and licensin<br>Protection Act 19<br>emises are outlin<br>to val to be obtain<br>s it an offence<br>ribed premises under<br>to which the licent<br>cation request w<br>irements under F | t the Department's Native<br>yp@dwer.wa.gov.au) or by<br>gulation (DWER) regulates<br>nd operation of prescribed<br>ng process, under Part V<br>86 (EP Act).<br>ned in Schedule 1 of the<br>ined before constructing a<br>to cause an emission of<br>unless they are the holder<br>) and the emission is in<br>ence or works approval is<br>vas reviewed in relation to<br>Part V Division 3 of the EF | DWER advice noted.<br>No additional dewatering<br>infrastructure is required<br>for the additional mine<br>area within Lot 63.<br>Dewatering infrastructure<br>on existing approved<br>mine areas will be<br>utilised.<br>KLPL confirms that no<br>change to the existing<br>Category 6 and 8<br>assessed production<br>capacities listed on<br>licence L8918/2015/1 are<br>proposed and as such no<br>Works Approval or<br>Licence amendments are<br>required. |                           |

| Submitter | No | Subm  | itter Comments  |   |                                      | Applicant Comment | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|           |    | pre<br>wh<br>ext<br>dis<br>the  | emises on<br>hich water is<br>tracted and<br>scharged into<br>e environment<br>allow mining of  | 250,000<br>tonnes per<br>annual<br>period                                     |                                      |                   |                           |
|           |    | mi<br>pro<br>pre<br>wh<br>sa<br>mi<br>se<br>oth   | ineral sands<br>ining or<br>ocessing:<br>emises on<br>nich mineral<br>inds ore is<br>ined, screened,<br>parated or<br>herwise<br>ocessed. | 5,250,000<br>tonnes per<br>annual<br>period                                   |                                      |                   |                           |
|           |    | The purpose of a licence is to a acceptability of a proposal's pote during operation. Note that any I will only regulate emissions assoc processing. It does not extend to the material from the ground or tr | ential to cause e<br>licence issued un<br>ciated with the dev<br>to the environmer  | missions and di<br>ider Part V of th<br>watering or mine<br>ntal impacts of e | ischarges<br>le EP Act<br>eral sands |                   |                           |
|           |    | The operations will need to demo<br>Protection (Noise) Regulations<br>(Unauthorised Discharges) Regu  | s 1997 and E  |   |                                      |                   |                           |
|           |    | Any proposed expansion or chaprovisions of section 53 of the En   |   |   |                                      |                   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|---|-------------------|---------------------------|
|           |    | be authorised through a works approval or licence amendment. The Department will retain discretion on whether a works approval or licence amendment application is appropriate – further guidance on changes to prescribed premises can be found in DWER's Industry Regulation Guide to Licensing which can be accessed at: <u>https://www.der.wa.gov.au/our-work/licences-and-works-approvals/540-guideline-industry-regulation-guide-to-licensing</u> . |                   |                           |
|           |    | This premises is licensed under Part V of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act) (licence number L8918/2015/1) as a Category 6 mine dewatering and Category 8 mineral sands processing prescribed premises. The Licence Holder is Keysbrook Leucoxene Pty Ltd.  |                   |                           |
|           |    | The current licence commenced on 19 November 2015 and has since been amended four times. These amendments were:   |                   |                           |
|           |    | <ul> <li>November 2016 – amendment to consolidate an amendment notice<br/>which involved upgrades to the WCP to include additional spiral circuit.</li> </ul>   |                   |                           |
|           |    | <ul> <li>January 2020 – amendment to expand the premises boundary to align<br/>with the approved mining area under MS 810, and other administrative<br/>changes.</li> </ul>   |                   |                           |
|           |    | <ul> <li>April 2020 – amendment to increase water discharge limit to 250,000<br/>tonnes per year.</li> </ul>  |                   |                           |
|           |    | <ul> <li>May 2023 – Department initiated amendment to realign the annual fee<br/>period and to extend expiry date.</li> </ul>   |                   |                           |
|           |    | The key emissions considered during the assessment of the premises were<br>dust, noise, tailings leachate, contaminated stormwater and process water.<br>The primary sensitive receptor considered during assessments were the<br>emissions to cause flooding, erosion and contamination to nearby surface<br>water receptors such as Balgobin Brook Sth and Nambeelup Brook Nth.   |                   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments   | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--|---|---------------------------|
|           |    | IR's understanding of the amendment to the Development Approval are for the changes below:<br>(1) Extended duration of Life of Mine by an additional 1.5 years; and  |   |                           |
|           |    | <ul> <li>(2) Extension to the mine area (within Lot 63) – additional 143.2ha currently being assessed by EPA.</li> </ul>   |   |                           |
|           |    | IR's advice regarding the amendments:  | DWER advice noted.  |                           |
|           |    | (1)The Part V licence L8918/2015/1 has recently been amended to extend<br>the duration of the licence until 22 November 2043. No amendments to<br>Part V licence are therefore required in relation to this aspect.  | KLPL will continue to<br>implement the existing<br>Water Management Plan<br>as required by MS810<br>Condition 11. |                           |
|           |    | (2) The prescribed premises boundary delineated under L8918/2015/1 encompasses Lot 63 and would not require amending to accommodate the proposed mine expansion area within Lot 63, following approval under Part IV of the EP Act. However, IR notes that during excavation of the mineral sands, some pits will be excavated below the water table and will require dewatering of the pit. Therefore, a licence amendment is likely to be required if additional dewatering infrastructure (pipelines etc.) is to be constructed and operated in the expansion area. |   |                           |
|           |    | IR further notes that no changes to the existing Category 6 and 8 assessed production capacities listed on licence L8918/2015/1 are proposed in the Development Approval.  |   |                           |
|           |    | Please note that this advice is provided based on information provided.<br>Applicants are encouraged to contact DWER at the above contact details to<br>clarify requirements based on the proposed changes to the development<br>proposal.   |   |                           |
|           |    | Any future changes to the licence will require an amendment application to be submitted by the Licence Holder. The application forms and future information is available at <u>http://www.der.wa.gov.au/our-work/licences-and-</u>   |   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|-----------|----|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|

| works-approvals and / or if there are queries relating to this Licence, to contact DWER at info@dwer.wa.gov.au or 6364 7000.   |  |
|--|--|
| Issue  |  |
| Floodplain Management  |  |
| Advice   | DWER advice noted.   |
| The Department of Water and Environmental Regulation provides advice<br>and recommends guidelines for development on floodplains with the object<br>of minimising flood risk and damage.                                       | A Groundwater<br>Abstraction licence has<br>been applied for under   |
| The Murray Drainage and Water Management Plan and Associated Studies show that the Lot is possibly affected by flooding during major flows, with the 1 in 100 (1%) AEP flood level expected vary as shown on the attached map. | the RIWI Act.  |
| Based on our recommended floodplain management strategy for the area, we have no objection to the proposal with regard to major flooding.  |  |
| It should be noted that this advice is related to major flooding only and other planning issues, such as local stormwater drainage, environmental and ecological considerations, may also need to be addressed.                | An ASS Investigation<br>report was submitted to<br>the EPA as part of the<br>45C approvals for Lot 63.<br>In addition, a targeted<br>ASS investigation was<br>conducted by Doral within<br>Lot 63 in May 2023. The<br>results of the ASS<br>investigation were very<br>similar to the results from<br>previous ASS<br>investigations conducted |

| Submitter | Νο | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|-----------|----|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|

| <br>   |   |
|--|---|
| <complex-block></complex-block>  | in 2005 and 2007 for the<br>original Keysbrook<br>Project and in 2021 for<br>the Lot 56 amendment<br>area, with only minor<br>levels of actual acidity<br>being detected within the<br>soil profile, generally<br>below the level of mining<br>(therefore not disturbed).<br>Mining methods for the<br>proposed amendment will<br>be the same as for the<br>existing areas of the<br>Sites, comprising dry<br>mining in the shallow<br>Bassendean formation to<br>an average depth of ~1-<br>2mbgl, with minor<br>dewatering required |
| Issue  | during winter periods.  |
| Groundwater Licence  | Given the targeted ASS  |
| Advice   | investigation for the<br>proposed amendment   |
| The subject lot is located within the Serpentine Groundwater Area (Keysbrook 1 sub area) which is proclaimed under the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914. Any groundwater abstraction in this proclaimed area for purposes other than domestic and/or stock watering taken from the superficial aquifer, would be subject to licencing by the Department.<br>There are no current licences for dewatering for Lot 63 Hopeland Road, Keysbrook. The Department's Licencing Branch has received an application | area has identified similar<br>low risk results to<br>previous ASS<br>investigation across the<br>Site, it is considered<br>appropriate that the<br>existing ASSMP required   |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|---|---|---------------------------|
|           |    | for dewatering and it is currently under assessment, however the application<br>is only for the previously mined area on Lot 63. If the applicant requires a<br>dewatering licence over the whole of Lot 63 then the applicant should<br>contact the Department's Licencing Branch at the Mandurah office on 9550<br>4222.<br><b>Issue</b><br>Contaminated Sites<br><b>Advice</b><br>Acid Sulfate Soils (ASS) risk mapping indicates that the site is located within<br>an area identified as representing a low to moderate risk of ASS occurring<br>within 3 metres of the natural soil surface. Please refer to Department of<br>Water and Environmental Regulation's acid sulfate soil guidelines for<br>information to assist with the management of ground and/or groundwater<br>disturbing works. <u>https://www.der.wa.gov.au/your-environment/acid-sulfate-<br/>soils/69-acidsulfatesoils-guidelines</u><br>In the event that the applicant determines that a works approval or licence<br>application is required under Part V of the Environmental Protection Act<br>1986 (EP Act), the advice provided in this communication does not prejudice<br>and must not be considered to infer the outcome of the EP Act licence and<br>works approval process.<br>Where the Department has a statutory role, planning applications should be<br>considered prior to the Department issuing any relevant permits, licenses<br>and/or approvals. | under MS810 Condition<br>12 is continued to be<br>applied for the Western<br>Extension and is<br>sufficient to manage any<br>minor occurrences of<br>acidity at the Site.<br>Currently material<br>samples are collected<br>weekly from the mine pit<br>and tailings areas for<br>analysis of acid<br>generating risk. Data<br>indicates no significant<br>acid generating material<br>has been encountered in<br>mining to date. This is<br>consistent with the low to<br>moderate risk identified<br>during all ASS<br>investigations, orebody<br>geology and the limited<br>depth of mining, which is<br>confined to the upper,<br>weathered part of the<br>sand profile |                           |
| DMIRS     | B. | The Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS) has determined that this proposal raises no significant issues with respect to mineral and petroleum resources, geothermal energy, and basic raw materials.   | Noted   | Noted                     |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation  |
|-----------|----|---|--|--|
|           |    | DMIRS lodges no objections to the above extension of time and amendment.  |  |  |
| DBCA      | C. | In reference to your correspondence dated 23 May 2023, the Parks and Wildlife Service at the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) provides the following comments.   |  | Noted  |
|           |    | It is DBCA's expectation that the proposal will comply with the requirements<br>of Ministerial Statement's 810 and 1089, the subsequent 46c and 45c<br>Environmental Protection (EP) Act approvals, the Part V of the EP Act<br>Environmental Licences, and that any additional mining areas will be subject<br>to EPA assessment and advice. |  |  |
| A5310     | 1. | The continuing of the extraction timeframe & area of extraction for the development of mineral sands in Hopeland Rd, Keysbrook presents the following ongoing discomforts & problems for those living in the area as mining moves closer to residents.  | Noise.<br>Mining the Lot 63<br>amendment area is<br>proposed to occur over<br>~18 months and will<br>continue as day time<br>mining only, with mineral<br>processing occurring 24<br>hours, 7 days per week.<br>Noise management will<br>continue to be applied in | proposal would<br>comply with the Noise<br>Regulations and as<br>such it is considered<br>that the proposal<br>would not result in<br>adverse amenity<br>impacts by way of<br>noise. |
|           |    | The noise from the mine is constant and often loud especially at night making sleeping difficult at times. As the mining will be moving closer this will be ongoing for many years to come.   |  |  |
|           |    | Dust created from the mining is already a problem often quite thick in<br>Summer made worse by the strong east winds. This makes the air we<br>breathe detrimental for the health of us and our children and the layers of<br>dust throughout the house is an ongoing problem.  |  |  |
|           |    | There is evidence that the water table has dropped in the local area since<br>the commencement of mining. Many farms in the area depend on a constant<br>water supply for stock and with wells not having the same water capacity<br>that they have had for many previous years this create a huge problem in<br>animal welfare.              | accordance with MS1089<br>Condition 14-1 and 14-2,<br>which requires the use of<br>amenity agreements for<br>any noise sensitive<br>premise within 2km of the  |  |
|           |    | Farmers and residents of the area have for years left areas of natural bush which has become feeding and breeding sites for unique local wildlife   | mining operations.   |  |

| Submitter No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------------|
|              | including Phascogales, Sugar gliders, Wallabies, Bandicoots and many<br>nesting birds including Wedge Tail.<br>Eagles, Hawks, Owls and the Red & White Tailed Black Cockatoos. No<br>amount of rehabilitation makes up for the complete clearing of an area as<br>trees take many years to grow and many species will be lost for ever. | KLPL currently has<br>amenity agreements in<br>place for all neighbours<br>within the 2km arc of the<br>proposed disturbance<br>with the exception of one<br>confirmed as an<br>unoccupied residence<br>and another near to the<br>2km boundary which is in<br>negotiation. KLPL intends<br>to continue to have<br>amenity agreements in<br>place with all affected<br>residences throughout<br>the mining of the<br>amendment area.<br>Noise modelling results<br>for the proposed Lot 63<br>amendment area<br>demonstrate that mining,<br>tails and rehabilitation<br>activities can be<br>undertaken within while<br>maintaining compliance<br>with the project noise<br>limits for all receivers with<br>amenity agreements<br>within the 2km buffer<br>zone surrounding the<br>mining operations (Wood,<br>2023). Compliance was |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|--|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | demonstrated assuming<br>implementation of the<br>following noise mitigation<br>measures:  |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Noise bunds at mobile<br/>screening plants<br/>oriented to attenuate<br/>sound propagation<br/>towards the nearest<br/>affected receptors.</li> </ul>   |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Noise barriers at field<br/>pumps oriented to<br/>attenuate sound<br/>propagation towards<br/>the nearest affected<br/>receptors.</li> </ul>  |                           |
|           |    |                    | No specific noise<br>management measures<br>are required for mobile<br>equipment, other than not<br>exceeding the sound<br>power levels and<br>numbers of equipment<br>items operating<br>simultaneously assumed<br>in the modelling<br>scenarios. |                           |
|           |    |                    | Predicted noise levels do<br>not exceed the Assigned<br>Levels at any receptors  |                           |

| Submitter N | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation   |
|-------------|----|--------------------|---|---|
|             |    |                    | beyond the 2km buffer<br>zone surrounding the<br>mining operations.<br>Mineral processing can<br>be undertaken at all<br>times; however, mining<br>operations have been<br>restricted to weekdays<br>(Monday to Saturday<br>0700 to 1900hrs,<br>excluding public<br>holidays).<br>Dust<br>In relation to Dust<br>impacts, the EPA<br>considered that the<br>Project could be<br>managed, on the basis<br>that:<br>• Mining occurs rapidly<br>over the Project area<br>(i.e., proximal receptors<br>are exposed to a dust<br>risk for a relatively short<br>period of time)<br>• Agreement is reached<br>with landowners when<br>mining in close | As discussed in the<br>main report and based<br>on the information<br>provided, Officers<br>consider that dust can<br>be appropriately<br>managed. The<br>Ministerial Approval<br>sets out the<br>requirement to fully<br>implement the<br>management and<br>monitoring measures. |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|--|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>proximity to their residence;</li> <li>An Air Quality and Dust Management Plan is implemented.</li> <li>In accordance with the approved Air Quality and Dust Management Plan (MS810 Condition 15), dust monitoring (PM10 and total suspended particulates) is undertaken around the perimeter of the mining area and levels transmitted in real time to a website enabling immediate review in highrisk periods. A program of dust mitigation is ongoing and particularly focussed on preparation for the seasonal strong easterly winds in the summer and autumn months. Key controls include;</li> <li>Progressive backfill and rehabilitation activities,</li> </ul> |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation   |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---|
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Minimisation of open<br/>ground and stockpile<br/>areas,</li> </ul>  |   |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Utilisation of water carts<br/>to dampen active<br/>mining areas,</li> </ul>   |   |
|           |    |                    | • Utilisation of water carts<br>adapted to distribute<br>wet clay fines to provide<br>a clay sealant for open<br>areas and stockpiles,<br>and                               |   |
|           |    |                    | • Stabilisation of<br>backfilled mine voids by<br>sowing an intermediate<br>cover crop of ryegrass<br>and oats to create a<br>ground cover prior to<br>topsoil replacement. |   |
|           |    |                    | <u>Groundwater</u><br>Mining of the Keysbrook<br>Mine commenced in<br>2015.   | As discussed in the<br>main report monitoring<br>and management<br>measures to prevent  |
|           |    |                    | The current Water<br>Management Plan (MBS<br>Environmental, 2015)<br>(MS810 Condition 11),<br>defines the approach to<br>be adopted for                                     | adverse impacts to<br>local groundwater and<br>surface water should<br>be ongoing to ensure<br>that adjoining sensitive<br>receptors who also |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation   |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---|
|           |    |                    | management of<br>groundwater and surface<br>water. Additionally, the<br>Groundwater Licence<br>Operating Strategy<br>(GLOS) (Doral, 2020)<br>defines details of the<br>groundwater monitoring<br>program (i.e., abstraction<br>quantities, water levels<br>and water quality), trigger<br>levels and contingency<br>actions to mitigate<br>potential impacts caused<br>by the Keysbrook mining<br>operations and also to<br>ensure the actual impacts<br>are not greater than<br>predicted. | draw groundwater<br>from the same aquifer<br>are not adversely<br>impacted upon. This is<br>associated with<br>Condition 11 of the<br>Ministerial Approval<br>which requires<br>monthly monitoring of<br>water levels and<br>quarterly monitoring of<br>the water quality to<br>mitigate potential<br>impacts caused by the<br>mining operations and<br>also to ensure the<br>actual impacts are not<br>greater than predicted. |
|           |    |                    | A summary of the most<br>recent 2021 Annual<br>Groundwater Monitoring<br>Summary (Groundwater<br>Resource Management,<br>2021) are as follows:  |   |
|           |    |                    | • Totals of around<br>350,000kL (i.e. 11L/s)<br>was recorded as being<br>abstracted from the<br>Superficial aquifer due<br>to mining of the   |   |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | Bassendean Sand in 2021;  |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Dewatering was done<br/>via in-pit sumps only<br/>during a two- month<br/>period (i.e. January to<br/>February 2021), which<br/>included recycled water<br/>recovered from tailings<br/>backfill;</li> </ul> |                           |
|           |    |                    | It is understood that for<br>most of the year mining<br>was carried out above the<br>water table, consequently<br>groundwater seepage into<br>the pits was minimal;   |                           |
|           |    |                    | The groundwater level<br>in the Superficial<br>Aquifer continues to<br>demonstrate a cyclical<br>seasonal variability<br>(between 0.4 to 3.6m,<br>averaging 1.8m),  |                           |
|           |    |                    | forming a peak around<br>August and September<br>each year following<br>winter rainfall and a<br>trough around March-<br>April at the end of the<br>dry season. The pattern   |                           |
|           |    |                    | of seasonal variability in  |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | the Superficial aquifer<br>indicates active rainfall<br>recharge;   |                           |
|           |    |                    | • The groundwater level<br>contours for the<br>Superficial aquifer<br>during December 2015<br>and December 2021<br>shows a groundwater<br>flow direction towards<br>the west (as in the pre-<br>mining period) and the<br>data does not indicate<br>any impacts to the<br>Superficial aquifer<br>associated with pit<br>dewatering and tailings<br>discharge, apart from<br>within the immediate<br>mining areas; |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>In the five years of<br/>abstraction to date<br/>there has been no<br/>evidence of impact on<br/>groundwater availability<br/>to surrounding users<br/>(Groundwater<br/>Resource<br/>Management, 2021);</li> </ul>   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation   |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---|
|           |    |                    | Groundwater modelling<br>and impact assessment<br>for lot 63 (AQ2 2023,<br>Section 5.5.2) was<br>undertaken and<br>summarised as "minimal<br>localised changes in the<br>immediate area of the<br>active mining (pits) and<br>that these would be<br>temporary and relatively<br>small. There will be a<br>negligible regional scale<br>groundwater impact to the<br>Superficial aquifer".<br>Clearing.<br>No clearing of native<br>vegetation is proposed for<br>the additional mine area<br>within Lot 63. It is noted<br>that a very minor area<br>(0.13ha) of misc<br>vegetation (present as<br>isolated scattered<br>shrubs/trees) will be<br>cleared and rehabilitated<br>in accordance with<br>MS810 Condition 8 –<br>Rehabilitation<br>Management Plan. | As discussed in the<br>main report the<br>Department of Water<br>and Environmental<br>Regulation (DWER)<br>consider the proposal<br>likely to be exempt<br>from requiring a<br>clearing permit under<br>Schedule 6, Clause 2<br>of the EP Act |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation  |
|-----------|----|---|--|--|
|           |    |   | No impacts to Black-<br>Cockatoo habitat will<br>occur as a result of<br>implementing the<br>proposed amendment<br>area.   | As discussed in the<br>main report the<br>remnant vegetation<br>areas in the proposed<br>mine area are<br>predominantly mature<br>trees which do not<br>contain foraging<br>and/or breeding<br>habitat for the<br>protected Black<br>Cockatoo. |
| A399104   | 2. | We are strongly opposed to the proposed above amendment:<br>Our property is approximately 500m outside the notification zone of the<br>proposed amendment and extension. Noise and dust don't stop at 2km.<br>Noise:<br>We have been negatively impacted by the low level noise from the loaders<br>that continue all night. Although the noise is not loud it is a continuous low<br>humming sound that has often meant either moving to another room to sleep<br>or always having windows closed which in summer is not ideal. If the mine<br>amendment/extension is approved this noise will be closer and louder. | Noise<br>Mining the Lot 63<br>amendment area is<br>proposed to occur over<br>~18 months and will<br>continue as day time<br>mining only, with mineral<br>processing occurring 24<br>hours, 7 days per week.<br>Noise management will<br>continue to be applied in<br>accordance with MS1089<br>Condition 14-1 and 14-2,<br>which requires the use of<br>amenity agreements for<br>any noise sensitive<br>premise within 2km of the<br>mining operations. | As discussed in the<br>main report the<br>proposal would<br>comply with the Noise<br>Regulations and as<br>such it is considered<br>that the proposal<br>would not result in<br>adverse amenity<br>impacts by way of<br>noise.                 |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments   | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--|--|---------------------------|
|           |    | Dust   | KLPL currently has   |                           |
|           |    | The dust from the mine as it is now, extends to our property especially in summer with the high easterly winds. If the amendment is approved the dust will be a bigger problem.  | amenity agreements in<br>place for all neighbours<br>within the 2km arc of the<br>proposed disturbance   |                           |
|           |    | Water:   | with the exception of one  |                           |
|           |    | When the mineral sands mine started operation there was an immediate reduction of the water table. We have had Dept of Water test bores installed since 2010 with recorded data showing this.  | confirmed as unoccupied<br>residence and another<br>near to at the 2km<br>boundary which is under  |                           |
|           |    | Our conservation covenanted wetland was not inundated with water that year and in many winters since. This has never happened before according to all the historical records we have, not even in the 2010/11 drought years. The wetland is now mostly only reliant on surface water rainfall and is now usually dry by early summer even after the 2020 wet winter. Historically this wetland has remained with some surface water until March. | negotiation. KLPL intends<br>to continue to have<br>amenity agreements in<br>place with all affected<br>residences throughout<br>the mining of the       |                           |
|           |    | I understand that the mine is not the only high groundwater use business in<br>the area and also I understand that the area is subject to a drying climate,<br>however I believe it has contributed heavily to the lowering of the water<br>table.   | amendment area.<br>Noise modelling results<br>for the proposed Lot 63<br>amendment area  |                           |
|           |    | Apparently the SJ Shire/DWER are not releasing any new bore licences and existing bore owners are now being required to buy and install water meters. With this in mind I find it hypocritical that the mine be approved for another two years to continue to take enormous amounts of groundwater, from any aquifer.  | demonstrate that mining,<br>tails and rehabilitation<br>activities can be<br>undertaken within while<br>maintaining compliance<br>with the project noise |                           |
|           |    | Clearing:  | limits for all receivers with  |                           |
|           |    | Although much of the mineral sands mine has been on previously cleared farm land, many large old trees and some catches of remnant bushland have also been cleared. This is criminal and must stop! It takes between 100 and 200 years for a tree to have a suitable sized hollow for our endangered black cockatoos to breed and the large old trees are also home  | amenity agreements<br>within the 2km buffer<br>zone surrounding the<br>mining operations (Wood,<br>2023). Compliance was                                 |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|---|---|---------------------------|
|           |    | <ul> <li>to our local Wedge Tailed Eagles and huge numbers of other wildlife of varying species rely on the big trees for protection and food.</li> <li>There are many old large native trees and some areas of remnant bushland on the proposed amendment site.</li> <li>It is impossible to replace remnant bushland. Revegetation to replace the</li> </ul>  | demonstrated assuming<br>implementation of the<br>following noise mitigation<br>measures:<br>Noise bunds at mobile  |                           |
|           |    | local bush that has been cleared is good but it is limited to replacing only the<br>plant species that can easily and economically be propagated. There are<br>many many species in our native bush, particularly in Banksia Woodland,<br>that are unable to or are difficult to propagate making them not economically<br>viable for the nurseries to sell. Our revegetation sites are all planted with the<br>same species mix that is easy to grow. All species play an important part in<br>each ecosystem and when one is missing something else suffers.<br>Thank you for giving us, as locals affected by the proposed amendment, the<br>opportunity to submit our concerns and opinions. I hope that the local people<br>will be heard and that approval will not be granted. | <ul> <li>screening plants oriented<br/>to attenuate sound<br/>propagation towards the<br/>nearest affected<br/>receptors.</li> <li>Noise barriers at field<br/>pumps oriented to<br/>attenuate sound<br/>propagation towards<br/>the nearest affected<br/>receptors.</li> </ul> |                           |
|           |    |   | No specific noise<br>management measures<br>are required for mobile<br>equipment, other than not<br>exceeding the sound<br>power levels and<br>numbers of equipment<br>items operating<br>simultaneously assumed<br>in the modelling<br>scenarios.                              |                           |
|           |    |   | Predicted noise levels do<br>not exceed the Assigned<br>Levels at any receptors<br>beyond the 2km buffer  |                           |

| Submitter | Νο | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|-----------|----|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|

| Submitter | Νο | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | • An Air Quality and Dust<br>Management Plan is<br>implemented.   |                           |
|           |    |                    | In accordance with the<br>approved Air Quality and<br>Dust Management Plan<br>(MS810 Condition 15),<br>dust monitoring (PM10<br>and total suspended<br>particulates) is<br>undertaken around the<br>perimeter of the mining<br>area and levels<br>transmitted in real time to<br>a website enabling<br>immediate review in high-<br>risk periods. A program of<br>dust mitigation is ongoing<br>and particularly focussed<br>on preparation for the<br>seasonal strong easterly<br>winds in the summer and<br>autumn months. Key<br>controls include; |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Progressive backfill and<br/>rehabilitation activities,</li> </ul>   |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Minimisation of open<br/>ground and stockpile<br/>areas,</li> </ul>  |                           |

| Submitter | Νο | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | Utilisation of water carts     to dampen active     mining areas,   |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Utilisation of water carts<br/>adapted to distribute<br/>wet clay fines to provide<br/>a clay sealant for open<br/>areas and stockpiles,<br/>and</li> </ul>                                      |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Stabilisation of<br/>backfilled mine voids by<br/>sowing an intermediate<br/>cover crop of ryegrass<br/>and oats to create a<br/>ground cover prior to<br/>topsoil replacement.</li> </ul>       |                           |
|           |    |                    | Doral undertakes<br>individual consultation<br>with potentially affected<br>neighbours immediately<br>during or following dust<br>issues in extreme<br>weather conditions. In<br>addition to on-site dust |                           |
|           |    |                    | mitigation controls<br>including the shutdown of<br>vehicle movements,<br>offers of as necessary<br>compensation in this<br>circumstance are offered<br>and well accepted.                                |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | Groundwater Monitoring<br>Summary (Groundwater<br>Resource Management,<br>2021) are as follows:<br>Totals of around<br>350,000kL (i.e. 11L/s)<br>was recorded as being<br>abstracted from the<br>Superficial aquifer due to<br>mining of the<br>Bassendean Sand in<br>2021; |                           |
|           |    |                    | • Dewatering was done<br>via in-pit sumps only<br>during a two-month<br>period (i.e., January to<br>February 2021), which<br>included recycled water<br>recovered from tailings<br>backfill;  |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>It is understood that for<br/>most of the year mining<br/>was carried out above<br/>the water table,<br/>consequently<br/>groundwater seepage<br/>into the pits was<br/>minimal;</li> </ul>  |                           |
|           |    |                    | The groundwater level<br>in the Superficial<br>Aquifer continues to   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | demonstrate a cyclical<br>seasonal variability<br>(between 0.4 to 3.6m,<br>averaging 1.8m),<br>forming a peak around<br>August and September<br>each year following<br>winter rainfall and a<br>trough around March-<br>April at the end of the<br>dry season. The pattern<br>of seasonal variability in<br>the Superficial aquifer<br>indicates active rainfall<br>recharge; |                           |
|           |    |                    | The groundwater level<br>contours for the<br>Superficial aquifer<br>during December 2015<br>and December 2021<br>shows a groundwater<br>flow direction towards<br>the west (as in the pre-<br>mining period) and the<br>data does not indicate<br>any impacts to the<br>Superficial aquifer<br>associated with pit<br>dewatering and tailings<br>discharge, apart from        |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|--|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | within the immediate mining areas;   |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>In the five years of<br/>abstraction to date<br/>there has been no<br/>evidence of impact on<br/>groundwater availability<br/>to surrounding users<br/>(Groundwater<br/>Resource<br/>Management, 2021);</li> </ul>  |                           |
|           |    |                    | Groundwater modelling<br>and impact assessment<br>(AQ2 2023, Section<br>5.5.2) was undertaken<br>and indicated "minimal<br>localised changes in the<br>immediate area of the<br>active mining (pits) and<br>that these would be<br>temporary and relatively<br>small. There will be a<br>negligible regional scale<br>groundwater impact to<br>the Superficial aquifer". |                           |
|           |    |                    | Clearing<br>No clearing of native<br>vegetation is proposed<br>for the additional mine<br>area within Lot 63. It is<br>noted that a very minor   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments   | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation   |
|-----------|----|--|--|---|
|           |    |  | area (0.13ha) of misc<br>vegetation (present as<br>isolated scattered<br>shrubs/trees) will be<br>cleared and rehabilitated<br>in accordance with<br>MS810 Condition 8 –<br>rehabilitation<br>Management Plan.<br>No impacts to Black-<br>Cockatoo habitat will<br>occur as a result of<br>implementing the<br>proposed amendment<br>area. |   |
| A60401    | 3. | <ol> <li>During the summer months huge amounts of dust get blown westwards<br/>from the mine.<br/>This can't be good for the health of anyone living in its path.<br/>It also requires huge amounts of cleaning to keep houses liveable.</li> <li>When mining they clear any small amounts of remnant bush in their path<br/>which destroys habitat for all the birds and small animals living in the<br/>area.<br/>Any revegetation that is done now can take up to 100 years to replace<br/>what has been destroyed.</li> <li>On still nights there is the constant sound of machines working which<br/>can be detrimental to our sleep.</li> <li>Living in a rural area is a lifestyle choice.</li> </ol> | DustIn relation to Dustimpacts, the EPAconsidered that theOriginal Project could bemanaged, on the basisthat:• Areas of active miningmove across theProject area meaningthat proximal receptorsare exposed to a dustrisk for a relatively shortperiod of time.   | Matters raised have<br>been discussed in the<br>main report. As<br>discussed in the main<br>report the proposal<br>would comply with the<br>Noise Regulations and<br>as such it is<br>considered that the<br>proposal would not<br>result in adverse<br>amenity impacts by<br>way of noise. |

| Submitter No | Submitter Comments  | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation  |
|--------------|---|--|--|
|              | To be surrounded by a noisy, dusty, environment wrecking industry is<br>not our choice.<br>The S. J. Shire logo of EXPERIENCE THE BEAUTY has definitely been lost<br>in our area. | <ul> <li>Agreement is reached<br/>with landowners when<br/>mining in close<br/>proximity to their<br/>residence;</li> <li>An Air Quality and Dust<br/>Management Plan is<br/>implemented.</li> <li>In accordance with the<br/>approved Air Quality and<br/>Dust Management Plan<br/>(MS810 Condition 15),<br/>dust monitoring (PM10<br/>and total suspended<br/>particulates) is<br/>undertaken around the<br/>perimeter of the mining<br/>area and levels<br/>transmitted in real time to<br/>a website enabling<br/>immediate review in high-<br/>risk periods. A program of<br/>dust mitigation is ongoing<br/>and particularly focussed<br/>on preparation for the<br/>seasonal strong easterly<br/>winds in the summer and<br/>autumn months. Key<br/>controls include;</li> <li>Progressive backfill and<br/>rehabilitation activities,</li> </ul> | main report and based<br>on the information<br>provided, Officers<br>consider that dust can<br>be appropriately<br>managed. The<br>Ministerial Approval<br>sets out the<br>requirement to fully<br>implement the<br>management and |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Minimisation of open<br/>ground and stockpile<br/>areas,</li> </ul>  |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Utilisation of water carts<br/>to dampen active<br/>mining areas,</li> </ul>   |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Utilisation of water carts<br/>adapted to distribute<br/>wet clay fines to provide<br/>a clay sealant for open<br/>areas and stockpiles,<br/>and</li> </ul>                                |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Stabilisation of<br/>backfilled mine voids by<br/>sowing an intermediate<br/>cover crop of ryegrass<br/>and oats to create a<br/>ground cover prior to<br/>topsoil replacement.</li> </ul> |                           |
|           |    |                    | Doral undertakes<br>individual consultation<br>with potentially affected<br>neighbours immediately<br>during or following dust<br>issues in extreme<br>weather conditions. In                       |                           |
|           |    |                    | addition to on-site dust<br>mitigation controls<br>including the shutdown of<br>vehicle movements,<br>offers of compensation  |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation   |
|-----------|----|--------------------|--|---|
|           |    |                    | additional to amenity<br>agreements in this<br>circumstance are offered<br>and accepted.   |   |
|           |    |                    | ClearingNo clearing of native<br>vegetation is proposed<br>for the additional mine<br>area within Lot 63. It is<br>noted that a very minor<br>area (0.13ha) of misc<br>vegetation (present as<br>isolated scattered<br>shrubs/trees) will be<br>cleared and rehabilitated<br>in accordance with<br>MS810 Condition 8 –<br>rehabilitation<br> |   |
|           |    |                    | No impacts to Black-<br>Cockatoo habitat will<br>occur as a result of<br>implementing the<br>proposed amendment<br>area.   |   |
|           |    |                    | <u>Noise</u><br>Mining the Lot 63<br>amendment area is<br>proposed to occur over<br>~18 months and will  | As discussed in the<br>main report the<br>proposal would<br>comply with the Noise |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | continue as day time<br>mining only, with mineral<br>processing occurring 24<br>hours, 7 days per week.<br>Noise management will<br>continue to be applied in<br>accordance with MS1089<br>Condition 14-1 and 14-2,<br>which requires the use of<br>amenity agreements for<br>any noise sensitive<br>premise within 2km of the<br>mining operations.<br>KLPL currently has<br>amenity agreements in<br>place for all neighbours<br>within the 2km arc of the<br>proposed disturbance<br>with the exception of one<br>residence at the 2km<br>boundary, and intends to<br>continue to have amenity<br>agreements in place with<br>all affected residences<br>throughout the mining of<br>the amendment area.<br>Noise modelling results<br>for the proposed Lot 63<br>amendment area<br>demonstrate that mining,<br>tails and rehabilitation |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment  | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|--|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | activities can be<br>undertaken within while<br>maintaining compliance<br>with the project noise<br>limits for all receivers with<br>amenity agreements<br>within the 2km buffer<br>zone surrounding the<br>mining operations (Wood,<br>2023). Compliance was<br>demonstrated assuming<br>implementation of the<br>following noise mitigation<br>measures: |                           |
|           |    |                    | <ul> <li>Noise bunds at mobile<br/>screening plants<br/>oriented to attenuate<br/>sound propagation<br/>towards the nearest<br/>affected receptors.<br/>Noise barriers at field<br/>pumps oriented to<br/>attenuate sound<br/>propagation towards<br/>the nearest affected<br/>receptors.</li> <li>No specific noise<br/>management measures</li> </ul>    |                           |
|           |    |                    | management measures<br>are required for mobile<br>equipment, other than not<br>exceeding the sound   |                           |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation                                   |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---|
|           |    |                    | power levels and<br>numbers of equipment<br>items operating<br>simultaneously assumed<br>in the modelling<br>scenarios.   |   |
|           |    |                    | Predicted noise levels do<br>not exceed the Assigned<br>Levels at any receptors<br>beyond the 2km buffer<br>zone surrounding the<br>mining operations.  |   |
|           |    |                    | Mineral processing can<br>be undertaken at all<br>times; however, mining<br>operations have been<br>restricted to weekdays<br>(Monday to Saturday<br>0700 to 1900hrs,<br>excluding public<br>holidays). |   |
|           |    |                    | Noisy, Dusty,<br>Environment  |   |
|           |    |                    | Noted. Doral continue to<br>operate the Keysbrook<br>mine in accordance with<br>Conditions detailed in<br>Ministerial Statement 810<br>and 1089. The<br>environmental                                   |   |
|           |    |                    | mine in acco<br>Conditions<br>Ministerial Sta<br>and 108  | ordance with<br>detailed in<br>atement 810<br>39. The<br>al |

| Submitter | No | Submitter Comments | Applicant Comment   | Officer<br>Recommendation |
|-----------|----|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
|           |    |                    | Keysbrook Mine<br>progressively improved<br>through the course of<br>2017 and onwards. No<br>material matters of non-<br>compliance or potential<br>non-compliance have<br>been identified in the last<br>four years. The<br>improvement in<br>performance is also<br>attributed to the cessation<br>of night mining activities<br>(following ownership<br>change from MZI to Doral<br>in July 2019), tightened<br>operational management<br>and monitoring<br>procedures and improved<br>understanding of the local<br>environment.<br>KLPL will continue to<br>operate the Mine in<br>accordance with all State<br>and Federal approvals<br>and conditions. |                           |