Submitter	No	No Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer	
					Recommendation
Water Corporation			poration advises the Shire that the property is currently remote from water and ter related services.		Noted
Main Roads	revie prop	wed	nse to the Shire's correspondence received on 11 April 2022, Main Roads has if the development application material. Main Roads does not support the as presented, as it does not align with future road planning for Thomas Road on as a primary distributor road.		This submission and the Applicant's response is addressed in the
	rega pote	rdin ntial	pads is in the early stages of consultation with the landowner of Lot 801 g the ultimate intersection configuration. Hence, the landowner is aware of the impacts associated with future upgrades at the intersection of Thomas Road/the Road as shown on the enclosed Main Roads plan.		Report.
	Main reas		pads does not support the development application due to the following		
			proposed development poses an unacceptable impact to future upgrades for tersection of Thomas Road / Kargotich Road, as:	Noted. The proposed upgrades to the intersection of Kargotich	
	а	im a Th ma	nomas Road is the subject of an 'Under Construction' project. As part of these provements for Thomas Road, planning and detailed design are underway for dual lane roundabout at its intersection with Kargotich Road. Details of the nomas Road Safety Improvements can be viewed on Main Roads website at ainroads.wa.gov.au>project initiatives>all projects>metropolitan>thomasadsafety-improvements	Road and Thomas Road have been understood since project conception, and discussed in previous meetings between the applicant,	
	b	int do sta	the northernmost driveway is proposed inside the functional area of the future ersection treatment of Thomas Road / Kargotich Road. The proposed location less not align with Main Roads Driveways Guideline, Section 2.4.4 which lates, that if there is a reasonable likelihood of traffic signals or a roundabout ling required at an intersection that is not signalised or planned to be	Shire and Main Roads. The proposed development has been specifically designed to accommodate the upgrades to the intersection and does not	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer
				Recommendation
	r ii t c. 1 F	signalised within 10 years of the development of the site, then driveways shall not be permitted within the functional area of the future intersection. The siting of the northernmost driveway in proximity to the future intersection increases both the potential conflict points and decisions motorists must make, hereby decreasing safety in the vicinity of the intersection. The subject land abuts Planning Control Area 161 (PCA 161) - Thomas - Kargotich Roads. The purpose of PCA 161 is to protect this section of Thomas Road for future upgrade to achieve its freight and regional road functions. If approved in its current format, the proposed development is likely to impede the planned regional road functions for Thomas Road as outlined at Item b.	reserve or Planning Control Area 161 (PCA161). Refer to Transcore's comments provided at Appendix 2.	
	d. F	PCA 161 came into effect in November 2020 and enables the construction of he dual lane roundabout at the intersection of Thomas Road / Kargotich Road. For Thomas Road to function as a primary distributor road pursuant to Perth and Peel @ 3.5 million - The Transport Network (March 2018), the planning concept stage is underway for the ultimate intersection configuration with Kargotich Road.		
	is F id f	The planning concept stage has identified that a grade separated interchange is the most likely intersection configuration. As shown on the enclosed Main Roads plan, this design will require reconsideration of the land requirements dentified for PCA 161. The ultimate intersection configuration will impact on the luture development of Lot 801, particularly the developable area and vehicular access to the adjoining road network (i.e. both Thomas and Kargotich Roads).	specifically designed to accommodate the planned upgrades to the Thomas Road/ Kargotich	
	e E F	As identified at Item b. Main Roads Driveways Guidelines does not support driveways within the functional area of a roundabout (or future roundabout). Section 2.4.4 also outlines provisions relating to the siting of driveways associated with acceleration and deceleration lanes for the proposed land uses. Based on the current development proposal and Main Roads future road planning for the intersection of Thomas Road/ Kargotich Road as discussed at tem b., the vehicular access arrangements cannot be supported.	Road intersection, which was the purpose for the establishment of PCA 161. As demonstrated in the Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) lodged with the development application, the proposed	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer
				Recommendation
	The the control of th	reference to Item b., reasonable likelihood for upgrading of the intersection of nomas Road / Kargotich Road is established through committed funding for e dual lane roundabout (discussed at Item a.) and the commencement of ormunity and stakeholder engagement on the early concept design for the nomas-Anketell Road freight corridor as part of Westport. s of the early concept for the road corridor between Clementi Road and Tonkin ray, including a new interchange at Thomas and Kargotich Roads can be d on the Westport website at westport.wa.gov.au >news>updates>planning-esses-for-the-thomas-anketell-road-freightcorridor The enclosed Main Roads is consistent with the early concept design which includes upgrading Thomas to four lanes and a new interchange at Thomas Road/ Kargotich Road. Indingly, the proposed development is not acceptable having regard to the ning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, Schedule nuse 67 (r), (s), (t) and (za). Ithe Council disagree with the above advice, Main Roads requests an anity to meet and discuss the application further, prior to a recommendation nalised. Padas requests a copy of the Council's recommendation on this proposal to be planninginfo@mainroads.wa.gov.au quoting the file reference above.	the site are deemed satisfactory, and work to complement the future intentions for the intersection. The flyover intersection concept developed by	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer Recommendation
		Lot 801 – Land Impacts Sketch (Preliminary) SUBJECT LOT 801 (Not To Scale) PRELIMINARY PLAN ONLY - SUBJECT TO ALTERATION EXTRACT OF DRAWING NUMBER: DATE OF EXTRACTION. 16 May 2022	a seriously-entertained planning proposal where it was "a serious and important planning document which defines, for the present, what the responsible authority sees as the orderly and proper planning for the area". In this case, the planning for Thomas Road/Anketell Road being undertaken by Westport and Main Roads Western Australia is in its early phase. High-level concept plans have been prepared for initial consultation purposes, and the design has not been fixed. There is no timeframe for completion of the works. Orderly and proper planning requires that where major public infrastructure is proposed, it should be reflected in the planning framework. There are	

E22/4754

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer
			,	Recommendation
	ī			
			several ways this can be	
			achieved, including an	
			amendment to the	
			Metropolitan Region	
			Scheme to reserve the	
			land required, and the	
			declaration of a planning	
			control area to preserve	
			future planning options.	
			At this point in time, no	
			steps have been taken to	
			protect the land required	
			under the high-level	
			concept plans for the	
			Thomas Road/ Anketell	
			Road upgrade. For this	
			reason, we consider	
			consistent with orderly	
			and proper planning, no	
			regard should be given to	
			Main Roads Western	
			Australia's request to	
			have regard to the	
			concept plans.	
			The proposed	
			development has already	
			been designed to	
			accommodate the	
			proposed upgrades to the	
			intersection as identified	
			by PCA 161. It is	
	<u> </u>		understood these	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer
				Recommendation
			upgrades are imminent, commencing construction in late 2022. The proposal is therefore clearly consistent with the existing intentions for PCA161 and will not compromise the imminent upgrades to the Thomas Road and Kargotich Road intersection.	
			It is therefore respectfully requested the Shire consider this proposal based on the applicable planning framework and make a favourable recommendation to Council.	
			Refer to Transcore's comments provided at Appendix 2 .	
			Whilst acknowledged there's a high-level concept design that has been prepared by MRWA for the flyover intersection, this is not a seriously entertained planning proposal, and	

Submitter		No Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer	
					Recommendation
				therefore does not hold any consideration in the determination of this application. It would go	
				against the principles of orderly and proper planning should the Shire recommend refusal based on the comments received from MRWA.	
				The proposed development has been carefully designed to ensure vehicle circulation and pedestrian movements occur in a safe and efficient manner. The proposed access arrangements and vehicle circulation routes have been assessed by Transcore and are deemed to be	
				satisfactory. The proposed means of access and egress to and from the site are confirmed to be satisfactory. The design has considered the future upgrades to the Thomas	

Submitter	No Submitter Comments		Applicant Comment	Officer
			• •	Recommendation
			Road/ Kargotich Road intersection, which includes the installation of a dual carriageway roundabout. The proposed access fits in well with the future upgrades, as confirmed	
			by Transcore in their TIA. As confirmed in Transcore's TIA, the proposed development will not have a significant impact on the operations of the surrounding roads or Thomas Road/Kargotich Road intersection.	
			Whilst the comments from Main Roads are acknowledged, the high-level concept plan of the future flyover intersection is not a seriously entertained planning proposal, and therefore should not influence the determination of this application. With regards to the location of the northern crossover,	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Comment	Officer Recommendation
			Transcore have confirmed the proposed access and egress arrangements to be safe and satisfactory, whilst also complimenting the imminent upgrades to the Thomas Road/ Kargotich Road intersection.	
			As demonstrated in Table 2 above, the proposed development appropriately responds and addresses the key matters raised in the letter from MRWA, as well as the Shire's assessment comments. We therefore respectfully request the Shire to make a favourable recommendation to Council and the Development Assessment Panel.	
DPLH	road pla propose	rrent form, DPLH does not support the proposed application from a regional nning perspective, as it does not make provision for the land required for the d freight corridor. The proposed access arrangements are also likely to need odified to the satisfaction of MRWA, reflecting the significance of the future orridor.		Noted