

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
<b>Government Agencies</b>			
National Trust Whitby Falls Hostel 1619 South Western Highway, Whitby		<p>Thank you for your letter dated 10 January 2022 regarding the proposed inclusion of the Whitby Falls Hostel (fmr) as a Management Category 1 place on the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale’s Draft Local Heritage Survey.</p> <p>It is noted that the place was classified by the National Trust of Western Australia in 2019 and that it is included on the State Register of Heritage Places.</p> <p>The National Trust of Western Australia therefore has no objection to the place being included on the Shire’s Heritage Survey as a Category 1 place.</p> <p>Please do not hesitate to contact me if you wish to discuss this further.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/3548</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Supported</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p>
Water Corporation Byford Water Storage Tank (above the brickworks scar, Byford) Serpentine Dam and Tearooms Kingsbury Drive, Serpentine		<p>To whom it may concern - thanks for the opportunity to comment on the Shire's draft local heritage survey.</p> <p>With respect to the Water Corporation properties referred to in the attached letter:</p> <p><u>Byford Water Storage tank - Lot 702 Ray Close, Byford</u></p> <p>Water Corporation has no objection to the proposed entry of the Byford Water Storage tank on the Shire’s local heritage survey.</p> <p>The Water Corporation notes the proposed ‘Category 3’ classification, which we understand acknowledges the contribution of the place to the heritage of the locality while also providing for the future reuse or redevelopment of the site, if required.</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Supported</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>The Serpentine Dam is included on the inherit database.</p> <p><b>Byford Water Storage tank not classified</b></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>The Byford Water Storage tank is an operational water supply site essential for provision of water services to the locality. The 'Category 3' classification is considered appropriate noting that various upgrades and modifications to the site will likely be required in the future to ensure the continued provision of water services as the locality grows.</p> <p><u>Serpentine Dam and tea rooms - Kingsbury Drive, Serpentine</u>  Water Corporation has no objection to the proposed entry of Serpentine Dam and tea rooms on the Shire's local heritage survey.</p> <p>The Water Corporation notes the proposed 'Category 3' classification, which we understand acknowledges the contribution of the place to the heritage of the locality while also providing for the future reuse or redevelopment of the site, if required.</p> <p>Serpentine Dam is an operational water supply site essential for provision of water services to the locality. Water Corporation has a number of planned public realm improvements around the dam that will alter its existing character, which the Water Corporation will work through in consultation with the Shire. Future operational upgrades will also be required, as part of continuing upgrades to the water supply of the metropolitan region. The 'Category 3' classification is considered appropriate as it will provide for these various upgrades to proceed while also acknowledging the local heritage values of the place.</p> <p>Please be in touch should you wish to discuss.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/3551</p>	<p>Serpentine Dam &amp; Tearooms classified as Management Category 3</p>
<p>Department of  Education  Jarrahdale Primary  School</p>		<p>Thank you for your letter dated 10 January 2022 providing the Department of Education (the Department) with the opportunity to comment on the proposed Draft Local Heritage Survey (DLHS).</p> <p>The Department has reviewed the DLHS and notes three public primary</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u>  Supported</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**

**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**

**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
20 Wanliss Street, Jarrahdale Byford Primary School 36 Clifton Street, Byford Serpentine Primary School (crème building only) Lefroy Street, Serpentine		schools (Serpentine Primary School [crème building only], Jarrahdale Primary School and Byford Primary School) comprise heritage Category 3 'Some / moderate significance'. The Department wishes to offer no comments nor has any in principle objections to the DLHS.  CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/3653	Noted  <b>The Serpentine Primary School [crème building only], Jarrahdale Primary School and Byford Primary School classified as Management Category 3.</b>
<b>Business</b>			
Harley Dykstra 78 Bruns Drive, Darling Downs		Further to your correspondence dated 10 <sup>th</sup> of January 2022 regarding the above, I confirm that Harley Dykstra has been requested by the landowners, CL Castafaro, to make this submission to you. This submission relates to the draft Local Heritage Survey that has been endorsed for the purpose of community consultation, and specifically the intention to classify features at 78 Bruns Drive, Darling Downs.  Please note that the landowners are not in a position to make a comment one way or another in relation to the proposed classification for the Wungong Farm Cottage or the Carob Trees.  This submission focuses on the olive trees that have been proposed for listing in the Draft Local Heritage Survey. These are none native trees that are not being used for any production purpose or even for domestic production and hence cause problems in terms of management and maintenance. They were planted by previous owners obviously for some domestic purpose, however, they have no purpose at all for the current owner and they wish to retain the ability to remove these trees at any time. There is no explanation given as to why these trees are considered to have	<u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed to the olive trees being listed on the Draft Local Heritage Survey.  <u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted.  The olive trees are included on the inherit data base along with the carob trees as Place number 04386 'Olive & Carob Trees, Wungong Farm' They have been included on the Register of significant trees as at June 1988 and Classified by the National Trust since 11 November 1988. The orchard of trees are also mentioned as 'small orchard between the Brook and the cottage' in the Local Heritage Listing from the year 2000 in the Wungong Farm Cottage entry. They are classified as Management Category 3.

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>any heritage or cultural significance, the trees themselves are not fantastic specimens' and are not located in a prominent or visible public view in any event, and therefore it is questionable whether they have any value at all.</p> <p>On the basis of the above, on behalf of the landowners we respectfully request that the olive trees be deleted from the Draft Local Heritage Survey. We understand from the correspondence that the Shire intends to liaise further with us and or the landowners in relation to any feedback that we provide, and I look forward to discussing this with you further shortly.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2309</p>	<p>Wungong Farm Cottage is currently included in the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Scheme List as No. 25 and has been classified as Management Category 2 and included in the draft Heritage List.</p>
<p>Landowner 1201 (L14) Nettleton Road, Karrakup</p>		<p>We have received your letter informing us on the draft local heritage survey and the proposed classification of management category of 3 for a building and/or property we own at 1201 (L14) Nettleton Road, Karrakup, known as Cooralong Orchard.</p> <p>We oppose the proposal that our property be considered for classification of a Management Category of 3 on the Shires Draft Local Heritage Survey. The house that is on 1201 (L14) Nettleton Road, received full internal renovations in 2016 and the facade of the house has been significantly changed. This house cannot be seen from any public roads. This property was also previously cleared of all of its original trees that were a part of the Orchard.</p> <p>It must be noted that the house and shed on 1201 (L14) Nettleton Road, are not the original house or shed of Cooralong Orchard. We believe there has been some confusion on the matter, as the original house and shed can be seen from public roads. However, we do not own the property that contain the original buildings of Cooralong Orchard.</p> <p>We fail to see how any buildings and/or property at 1201 (L14) Nettleton Road, are being considered for classification contain any original structures or trees of Cooralong Orchard.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2088</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**

**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**

**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
<p>Jarrahdale General Store 701 Jarrahdale Road, Jarrahdale</p>		<p>I am writing to you with regard to above project, which affects my property at 701 Jarrahdale Road, Jarrahdale, known as Jarrahdale General Store. I believe the Shire contacted myself via writing twice with regards to this matter but I was only recently made aware of the impact a re-classification of this property and proposed listing with the State Register of Heritage Places would have on any future redevelopment plans and/or future sale of land and the conditions it would impose if the property was to be sold. I do believe the current system wherein the property is included on the Shire Municipal Register is satisfactory under its current classification. Under the proposal a move to change the property to a Management Category of 2 would be detrimental to said business and involve a lot of red tape when seeking approvals for works. The Shire has deemed the afore-mentioned property to have considerable significance to the heritage precinct, I somewhat disagree with that sentiment, the property has been moved several times over the years, namely from Foster Way in 1959. It has been highly modified by numerous owners over those years to suite commercial business viability. The only change we have made in 15 years ownership has been a shire approved patio installed over the deck area. The frontage of the shop no longer observes its heritage visage, understandably it was never asbestos when built in the 1870's, nor was it clad with zincalume of three sides. As custodian of this property I would propose a more suitable classification of Category 3- moderate significance within the Shire Municipal Register. When talking heritage features for this structure it is more the roof line, interior wall cladding and floors that retain any originality. This property needs a lot of restorative work; unfortunately the funds are lacking to execute this at this present time, if I am subjected to a higher classification I feel this work will never be completed. CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/4297</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response</u> Under the proposal an application to change the property to a Management Category of 2 would be detrimental to said business and would be onerous when seeking approvals for works.</p> <p><u>Officer Comment</u> Noted</p> <p>Jarrahdale General Store has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000, included on the inherit database and proposed in Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification – Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17.)</b></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b>  Possible recommendations:  Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council.</p>
<b>Landowners/Residents</b>			
Landowner 15 Giblett Street, Serpentine		Further to our meeting on 6th January 2022, as requested I am writing to inform you, as I did at the meeting, that there is no significant heritage buildings on the property located at 15 Giblett Street, Serpentine. The current home is a 1940/1950 house which has been significantly altered by previous owners. The property approx. 30 acres, was originally part of a 100-150 acre property which the previous owner subdivided into numerous lots. I would not know where the original homestead was located. Also I have no photos or maps of the original property.	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed  <u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>
Landowner 102 Lyster Road, Jarrahdale		<p>We are emailing in regards to the Draft Local Heritage Survey of our property located at 102 Lyster Rd Jarrahdale</p> <p>We would like to advise that we do not want our property included in this.</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed  <u>Officer Comments:</u></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>We feel very strongly about this. This is our home! We feel our privacy is being violated. It makes us feel extremely uneasy that someone can hold this level of control over our home unanimously and invade the very place we are supposed to feel safe. This is our home not a public building or museum. We do not give authorization for this. We were never consulted from the very beginning to find out if we were even interested in this. To think someone could nominate anybody's home unanimously scares us. We are now left feeling that people are watching us! We have lived here for nearly 30 years. We have raised our children in this home and it doesn't belong to anyone else to come in and invade our home and privacy in this manner. We are private people and don't want our home on any public listing. We are the owners of this property and should have been asked (not told by a letter in the mail which felt very threatening!) if we would like our property included. We don't so it shouldn't go any further.</p> <p>Please respect our decision and remove our property from the Draft Local Heritage Survey immediately.</p> <p>CS019828</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>
<p>Landowner 13 Clifton Street Byford</p>		<p>13 Clifton Street Byford You have listed this as a Category 3. I don't understand how it contributes to the heritage of the locality. It is an asbestos house with cladding on timber footings. It would not be possible to protect the façade or adapt the house for re-use due to the asbestos it is not Brick. As far as I am aware there is no history of the house available. I request that the property is taken off the Draft Local Heritage List.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/1879</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>
<p>Landowner</p>		<p>I would like to object to making 4a Larsen Road, Byford a heritage listed house.</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
4a Larsen Road, Byford		<p>The house was purchase with the intent of being knocked down and having a new house built.</p> <p>The price that was paid for the property was for the land value only. This was clearly stated by the real estate agent when the house was purchased. The house had no value.</p> <p>The house currently has tenants that should be living in state housing in it. The father (who is about to start dialysis) only stays at 4a Larsen instead of state housing because his son is his carer, and his son cannot say in the state housing accommodation with him.</p> <p>Once the tenant passes my intention is to knock down the residence and build a better house.</p> <p>I do not want to go into full detail about how bad the house it, as it is currently someone's home and the only home they can afford. However it is not a house that most people would like to live in.            CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2166</p>	<p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>
Landowner Lot 331 Selkirk Road, Serpentine		<p>Lot 331 Selkirk Road, Serpentine</p> <p>On August 9 last year the manager of Strategic Planning notified me that the building on lot 331 was under consideration for heritage listing. I contacted Wendy Garner of the same dept. &amp; advised her that the building was inappropriately nominated due to it being beyond repair &amp; is ready to fall down. Also, that the name of Plaistowe's farm was inaccurate. I was under the assumption that she would include that information in her report to the committee.</p> <p>My father took possession of the property in 1929 (as per attached title deed) which does not list any Plaistowes whom I believe resided &amp; farmed</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>No evidence that Plaistowe operated a farm or engaged in business with the Spencer family on this property.</p>



**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**

**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**

**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>in Nettleton Rd. Byford and/or Albany. The deed only shows when it was transferred to me in 1983. Since there isn't any permanent water supply on the property it isn't feasible to farm it unless storage tanks were built, which would be filled from roof collection. My father built the initial cottage &amp; a large tank probably 90 years ago, then added brick rooms &amp; another large tank approx. 10 years later (I was pre school &amp; I recall helping him to carry bricks which he carted from the Cardup brick works).</p> <p>I have included a photo which shows the roof collapsed &amp; fallen down due to wood rot, white ants &amp; rust aggravated by storms so that nails will no longer hold. The brick work is all falling down so that timbers are not supported &amp; deteriorates even further after each storm. Possibly one of the most significant problems is vandalism, to the extent that all interior walls, ceilings &amp; floors have been desecrated &amp; windows smashed to the extent where nothing remains.</p> <p>I was dismayed that no-one even came to look at the wrecked house to be able to make an informed decision.</p> <p>I then received a letter from Andrew Trosic informing me that my concern had been ignored &amp; to contact the Shire to discuss it further. On Tuesday Feb. 1st I spoke to Wendy &amp; Deon &amp; they agreed it was useless heritage listing the building.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2211</p>	<p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>
<p>Landowner 20 Bradshaw Road, Byford</p>		<p>Thank you for taking the time to talk to me.</p> <p>As per my conversation to you, can you kindly remove 20 Bradshaw Road, Byford from the Shire's Draft Local Heritage Survey consideration to classify list.</p> <p>There is currently no structure/s on the site. Nor is there any leftover articles suggesting there is any link to Sain's Vineyard.</p> <p>Therefore, I believe 20 Bradshaw Road, Byford does not have any significance in contributing to the local heritage value.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2608</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>There are no remnants of Sain's Vineyard present.</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural</b></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			heritage information was received through the community engagement.
Landowner 844 South Western Hwy, Byford		<p>In reference to our phone conversation on Tuesday 08/02/22 regarding the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shires Heritage Survey.</p> <p>Please remove my property from this list.</p> <p>The property: 844 South Western Hwy is a post war State Housing built wood frame, fibro (Asbestos) clad house. This has absolutely no historic or heritage value. Being built of Asbestos any retention of a “Facade” is both ludicrous and improbable.</p> <p>The placing of my property on this Heritage list will only have a deleterious effect on its value. Litigation over this issue does not benefit either party. I hope that the above outlined reasons are more than enough to remove my property from the Heritage Survey.</p> <p>Regardless of whatever Freedom of Information regulations there are, I look forward to finding out the name of the person who has put forward my property.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2738</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>
Landowner 63 Summerfield Road, Serpentine		<p>63 Summerfield Road</p> <p>Further to your letter dated 10 January regarding Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify, we have spoken to someone in the Local Heritage section at the Shire regarding our property and in particular Rudall’s/Perringa Stud being considered for classification. We advised that the original dairy building and home is no longer in place and either it fell down or was taken down a long time ago, therefore it is not relevant.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/2868</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>No remnant of the built form present from Rudall’s home but the Perringa Stud has built form.</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p><b>The Rudall's/Perringa Stud is classified at Management Category 3 as it contributes to the heritage of the locality.</b></p>
<p>Landowner 911 South Western Highway, Byford</p>		<p>Further to my brief phone conversation with you today, I am confirming that I do not agree with our property, 911 South Western Hwy Byford, being considered for Classification in Draft Heritage Survey. I attended the Shires meeting on the 13/12/21, as did other property owners who had their properties nominated by an unknown third party, and at this meeting there was no opportunity provided to voice my disapproval. Consideration to classify our property to category 3 will restrict future development by increasing the criteria for future development. CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/3247</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>The property was built to supply housing for the Royal Australian Navy armament depot in Byford. Although some building materials have changed on the dwelling, it is well preserved in near original built form.</p> <p><b>Classification as Management Category 3 confirmed.</b></p>
<p>Landowner 1441 Mundijong Road, Mundijong</p>		<p>We have been invited to comment on the proposed classification of 1441 Mundijong Road, Mundijong. We do not agree with the proposed heritage listing. We plan to demolish this house within the next 12 months as it has been poorly maintained for many years, with minimal DIY renovations over time. The historical value of this house has been lost by repaints and DIY renovations. We plan to build a new house to live in within the next 12 months ; we love the 3 acre property and the lifestyle it offers. CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/5605</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>Classification as Management Category 3 confirmed.</b></p> <p><b><u>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</u></b> Possible recommendations:</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p>Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council.</p>
<p>Landowner 49 Richardson Street, Serpentine</p>		<p>J Stewart Further to a conversation I had with Wendy in late 2020 and a follow up email I sent with attached photos of the state of the house at 49 Richardson St Serpentine I would again like to reiterate my thoughts on the proposal. Let me begin by saying I appreciate initiatives of this kind. I actually happily own/live in a Heritage listed home in Kelmscott (Circa 1900's). My wife has run a vintage store for over 20 years...we appreciate and conserve "old" and we value historic old houses. But truthfully, I don't believe this house is worthy of inclusion on any Municipal inventory and I am surprised it was nominated. I am not keen for it to be included in the proposed heritage listings. In its current state it is unappealing, rundown and has a much changed facade. It is asbestos/weatherboard in very poor condition. It has had numerous unsympathetic additions in the 60's and 70's and consequently very few original features and post war charm remain. The facade is completely lacking in original heritage appeal as the original verandah is long gone following an unsympathetic 60's enclosed asbestos sleepout. All you see from the road is a bore stained asbestos "wall" and a meter box in place of the original verandah. I believe its integrity as a</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>This building has been significantly altered from the original condition. The place does not warrant further assessment.</p> <p><b>This place should not be classified in the Local Heritage Survey as no significant cultural heritage information was received through the community engagement.</b></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>heritage home is long gone and especially from the road it has limited street appeal and little visual reference to its past. So in regard to protection of its historic facade I would ask ..what facade? I am also concerned that a heritage listing, even the proposed relatively low Category 3, will stymie my options as an owner and limit my options if I choose at a later date to rebuild on the block. Though this is not currently a plan, because of its unappealing facade, rundown state, small size and its use of asbestos the "adaptive reuse" idea would prove very costly and I would like the right to choose to rebuild.</p> <p>Given that it is a large half acre block I would like the ability to set back a potential future residence to minimize train line noise (which runs directly opposite) and because of the close proximity to the road/footpath be able to position a house further back for privacy. Let me reiterate this is NOT what I am currently planning to do but I think I should be able to do this if I choose to and my reading of the Category 3 guidelines suggests this will hamper my choice.."retain scale and setback in the event of demolition and redevelopment...". A few further remarks regarding its current condition and why I don't believe it is worthy of inclusion:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The original front entry and verandah were blocked off with asbestos in the 60's and the front verandah was enclosed as a sleepout. A meter box was added to the asbestos wall fronting the road. It is covered in bore water stains.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A new entry was cut into the left side of the house (visible from road) and 60's era breezeblocks/concrete steps were added.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Lounge ...original timber windows removed and replaced with aluminium frames and awnings (visible from the road). Internally 70's era veneer panelling added. Fireplace blocked off. High ceiling gone as faux lower ceiling added at some point.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The back verandah is gone...it is now enclosed and 70's veneer panels were added along with a 70's era bathroom/loo and patio area.</p>	

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>The original outhouse is gone, the original laundry gone            In kitchen the original woodstove is gone as are the cabinets            Interior doors between kitchen/dining are gone            Original interior doors are gone and replaced with 70's veneer doors            Original front and back door gone            Original tin roof gone. I sent many photos in an earlier email to Wendy Gardner to support the above info and show both the interior and exterior are seriously compromised in regard to heritage value. I understand it may have a link to Whittakers timber though I always believed timber workers/manager lived on Lefroy street? If it is found a timber manager did indeed once live at 49 and the council wants to acknowledge this part of Serpentine's history perhaps a plaque embedded on the footpath /placed out front would be all that is needed.</p>	
<p>Landowner            4 Staff Street,            Jarrahdale</p>		<p>As the owner of a house on Staff Street in Jarrahdale, I strongly oppose the proposed historical category of Staff Street and Millars Road homes. Due to the history of the homes, I can understand the category rating. However, I fear the heritage council's requirements on the homeowners are not realistic, especially for a residential property. Owners will face extraordinary costs just for upkeep, not to mention any renovations will be entirely out of range for an expected budget. While some may think historical listing buildings preserve the historical value, I have experienced a much different situation.</p> <p>Watching the Mill Manager's House renovation follies, a couple hundred thousand dollars wasted in contractors and 'historical supplies' hardly got the house to livable condition. Now it's condemned because of mould due to poor renovations. This house will end up crumbling and become 'The remains of the Mill Manager's House' because of the historical listing resulting in exuberant maintenance costs and restrictions. I request Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire officers and councillors consider owners' opinions who already put a significant amount of pride into their homes. We are entirely aware of the house's history and intend to keep as much of it as</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response</u>            Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment</u>            Noted</p> <p>4 Staff Street has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000 and the precinct is proposed in the Survey as Category 2. Also included as a Mill Workers Cottage on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, included on the inherit database and the building is proposed in the Survey as Category 3.</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>possible, which has already been achieved without the highest historical rating placed upon the houses. I would like to see the historical category for these streets reduced at the very least.</p>	<p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification –</b> Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (<i>AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17.</i>)</p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b> Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council.</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
Landowner 12 Staff Street, Jarrahdale		<p>As the owner of 12 Staff Street Jarrahdale I oppose the proposal to list the named property on the historical category of the Serpentine Jarrahdale shire council. I stand with many others in the area and believe there is no need for this to happen to our properties. We all take pride in our properties and are trying to make them suitable for growing families to live and prosper in the community. I believe a heritage listing of the proposed highest category will affect most of us in the ability to undertake necessary renovations and maintenance on our properties due to the extra expense and stringent rules that are associated with the heritage classification. It will also have an adverse effect on our property values and ability for resale. We as a family have worked very hard on our property since we have owned it and would not wish to change any of the heritage appeal that it contains as that is the reason we purchased it in the first place.</p> <p>However, we would like to undertake what we deem as necessary renovation's due to the growth of our family in which we are planning to return the property to its original exterior trimming's that were removed during it's time of council ownership. I hope that you understand this view and listen to our communities concerns on this matter.</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p>12 Staff Street has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000 and proposed in Survey as Category 2 for the precinct. Also included on the inherit database. Also included as a Mill Workers Cottage on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, the included on the inherit database and the building proposed in Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification – Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17).</b></p>



**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b>  Possible recommendations:  Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council.</p>
Landowner 22 Forest Avenue Jarrahdale		I have no idea what this may cause me positive or negative. I'm not opposed to being heritage listed as I believe that the building is important to the history or an area. My main concern is that what assistance will I receive to help me and my neighbours understand what is in store for future repairs and maintenance, financial support, guidelines and guidance to create a positive communication with our community. Personal communication from the shire with a good outcome with any costs to the residents.	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Support</p> <p><u>Officer Comment</u> Noted</p> <p>The Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale does not have a grant program for Heritage buildings.</p> <p>State Heritage Listed or those currently undergoing assessment for this are eligible for a grant to assist in the fees towards appointing a Heritage Consultant to provide a management plan for their property.</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p>22 Forest Avenue has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000 and is proposed in Survey as Category 2 as a Forestry Workers Cottage. Also included on the inherit database. Also included as a CALM Cottage on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, included on the inherit database and the building proposed in Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification – Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17).</b></p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b> Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council.</p>
<p>Landowner 4 Millars Road Jarrahdale</p>		<p>We have been in this house for over 10 years and understand the whole concept of Heritage listing etc. When this house was purchased, we received the Heritage Agreement, so we understand what we are and are not allowed to do with the property. We purchased the property due to the fact that we love the heritage of the house, the style of the house and the Heritage of the town. If this does go under the Heritage council of WA and into the State Register, I would like to know where we access the financial assistance to apply for grants through the Heritage Council Heritage Grants Program to undertake conservation works such as structural repairs.</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p>4 Millars Road has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000 and the precinct in the Survey as a Category 2 and included on the inherit database. Also included as a Mill Workers Cottage on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, included on the inherit database and the building is proposed in the Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification –</b> Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (<i>AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17</i>).</p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b> Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council</p>
Landowner 1441 Mundijong Road Mundijong		This is a rundown house which has had multiple renovations over time, and it is not historically authentic. All these renovations have been done cheaply and with no consideration to the original building.	<u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>As owners we plan to demolish this house within the next 12 months and to build a new house to live in.</p> <p>This is an old house which has been extended 3 times, by back yard carpenters. This house is full of asbestos and it also has a rat and possum problem, and is constantly full of bees. This house is an eye sore. We intend to demolish the house as we want to expand the Byford Accountant Offices onto this property.</p>	<p><u>Officer Comment:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>Assessment of the place recommended.</b></p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b></p> <p>Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council.</p>
Landowner 12 Staff Street Jarrahdale		<p>The draft is very ambiguous and vague and doesn't provide clarification and is open to interpretation. The Draft is hard to read and interpret, and amendments are interspersed throughout, some of which have been amended years ago, making it hard to decipher what is currently being amended; I found it too hard to read to understand what is relevant to my property and what is currently being amended. I consulted thoroughly with SJ staff in the planning team and heritage team and am still none the wiser, both teams directed me to the other to answer some of my queries, which doesn't instill confidence that there is a sure understanding of the draft internally. I still don't have an accurate understanding of the town category that applies to my home, it is</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p>4 Millars Road has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000. The Survey proposes the precinct as a Category 2. It is included on the inherit database. Also included</p>

## NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

### Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740

Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>listed as both category 2 and 3, I was led to understand after consulting with shire staff that category 3 applies to any development application, but that category 2 is applicable in keeping to the character of the area - this is still quite vague and I still do not understand what specifically in category 2 is applicable to any development application I make, I was told it was weighed up at the time of application, but still nothing specific to guide me as to what this entails. This doesn't provide much clarity or security for myself, or for me to describe the requirements to a new owner if I were to sell. I don't agree with the clause in 5.16.3.1 b) which asks homeowners to provide a report of the age of the building, I've been unable to find this information due to the destruction of the shire records in a fire many years ago, this provision is unable to be ascertained for the property we are in, and I would not want any application I made to be delayed due to this provision, and do not want to undertake an obscure history or research project to be able to make an application for development. I was advised that my property would not be state heritage listed, but there is a clause in the draft that states it may be. So I'm left with no certainty or accurate information for me to base any financial decisions regarding my home. I'm also skeptical of verbal advice provided by shire staff regarding my property as when we purchased our home, I made multiple enquiries and visits to the shire speaking with planning to investigate if our back deck was building approved, not just planning approved. I was eventually advised verbally it was. After making an offer based on this information and purchasing our home, years later upon requesting pool specs I was advised our deck is not approved, only planning has been approved. Consequently, this has cost us financially. So I'm very hesitant to take advice at face value from shire staff and prefer written clarification to provide security and accountability.</p>	<p>as a Mill Workers Cottage on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, included on the inherit database and the building proposed in the Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification – Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17).</b></p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b> Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse</li> </ul>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p>building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;  Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council</p>
<p>Landowner  4 Staff Street  JARRAHDALÉ</p>		<p>Uncertainty over the implications of the categories of Mill Houses in Jarrahdale, stand alone category (3) and inclusion in the Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite (2)  CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/3382</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u>  Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u>  Noted</p> <p>4 Staff Street has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000. It is included on the inherit database and the precinct is proposed in the Survey as a Category 2. Also included as a Mill Workers Cottages on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, included on the inherit database and the building proposed in Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification – Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17).</b></p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b> Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council</p>
Landowner 12 Staff Road, Jarrahdale		<p>Uncertainty over the implications of the categories of Mill Houses in Jarrahdale, stand alone category (3) and inclusion in the Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite (2)</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: OC22/5674</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p>12 Staff Street has been included in the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage Precinct on the Local Heritage Survey and the Scheme List since the year 2000.</p>



**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p>It is included on the inherit database and the precinct is proposed in the Survey as a Category 2. Also included as a Mill Workers Cottages on the Local Heritage Survey from the year 2000, included on the inherit database and the building proposed in Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification –</b> Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (<i>AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17</i>).</p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b> Possible recommendations: Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> </ul>


**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council
Landowner 19 Wanliss Street, Jarrahdale		Jarrahdale Community uncertainty over the implications of the categories of Mill Houses in Jarrahdale, stand alone category (3) and inclusion in the Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite (2) CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/1821	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted</p> <p><b>Recommendation to retain Proposed Category 3 – individual place, category 2 – Jarrahdale Heritage Townsite Precinct.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planning application would be assessed under Category 3 – encourage retention of the building or at the very least, the façade.</li> <li>• Category 2 – to be in keeping with the Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage character.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendation for assessment to clarify Jarrahdale Townsite Heritage classification –</b> Jarrahdale character in terms of scheme clause 5.16 Jarrahdale Heritage and Townscape Policy Precincts (<i>AMD 89 gg 6/8/99; AMD 192 gg 30/05/17</i>).</p>


**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b>  Possible recommendations:  Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council</p>
<p>Bodhinyana Monastery  216 (L1) Kingsbury Drive, Keysbrook</p>		<p>I would welcome an understanding of how a Heritage classification level is determined.</p> <p>Our intention is that the main buildings remain unchanged for as long as possible, and perhaps were classified as level 2. Thousands of people visit yearly (outside of Covid time) from all over the world to visit the unique site.</p> <p>Andrew Trosic has been given an extensive tour of the facilities in the past and any officer is welcome to visit.</p> <p>CONTENT MANAGER REF: IN22/3729</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u>  Supported. Would support a reclassification of category 2 on some built forms on this property.</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u>  Noted</p> <p><b>Recommend that the ‘A’ frame dwelling, Memorial Wall, Tree and Ajahn Brahms dwelling to be reclassified as Category 2.</b></p>
<p>50 Paterson Street, Mundijong</p>		<p>Whollogan’ s Bakers at 50 (LBO) Paterson Street Mundijong  In response to your letter dated 10 January 2022 'Draft Local Heritage Survey - Consideration to Classify I would like the Shire to consider</p>	<p><u>Applicant Response:</u>  Opposed</p> <p><u>Officer Comments:</u></p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		<p>removing Whollogan’s Bakers located on my Paterson Street property listed on the LHS as SJ9-02.</p> <p>The reason for this request is outlined below:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The baker’s oven was stolen some years ago. The hasty removal of this has left a gaping hole through the entire middle section of the structure. We have placed sheets of tin inside the structure to prevent the sand and brick rubble from constantly tumbling onto the floor (images below) The timbers supporting the roof are also extensively damaged by termites and exposure to the elements, rendering the rear of the structure quite unstable and unsafe.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The building is also in very poor condition, internally and externally. I do not have the financial means to maintain or protect this from further disrepair. There are substantial cracks on the walls, some leaving gaping holes in the structure. (see images)</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The roof is also in poor condition. A combination of my lack of maintenance (due to finances) and the intense weather conditions over the years which have greatly weakened the entire structure’s covering. Gutters are literally non existent and during the peak of winter or through heavy down pours of rain, the structure floods.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I also do not feel it is an easily identifiable building. The structure is behind a large parking area located to the left of my residence on the corner of Richardson Street. The external boundary wall that borders Richardson Street has no marking to identify it’s original use.</p> <p>Although I understand and acknowledge the importance of our cultural heritage, I do not believe this building is worthy of being a part of this history.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div>	<p>Noted, Poor condition</p> <p><b>Assessment of the place recommended.</b></p> <p>Wholagan’s Bakery was included on the Local Heritage Survey from before the year 2000 and included on the inherit database and the building proposed in Survey as Category 3.</p> <p><b>Also recommended that Management category 3 to be revised for all properties –</b></p> <p>Possible recommendations:</p> <p>Significant as an individual place with considerable value to the Municipality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retain if possible;</li> <li>• Encourage adaptive re-use of the building;</li> <li>• Recommend protection of façade where warranted, retain scale and setback or reuse building materials if possible in the event of demolition and redevelopment;</li> </ul> <p>Photographically / oral history record the place prior to any major redevelopment or demolition. Refer to <i>Guide to preparing an Archival Record</i> Heritage Council</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
		 <p data-bbox="517 978 658 1007">IN22/6064</p>	
<p>121 Nettleton Road, Byford</p>		<p data-bbox="517 1015 1496 1318">I am replying to your letter dated 10th January 2022 in relation to putting my house on the Local Heritage Listing.                      I do not wish for my house/property to be listed on the Local Heritage site as it no longer represents the original house. It has been modified to how it looks today.                      It has never been heritage listed and I feel it is unfair to put this burden on me. I have worked hard to buy this home and have the resale value be affected by the proposed Local Heritage Listing.                      I trust you will give this letter due consideration.</p> <p data-bbox="517 1353 658 1382">IN22/6221</p>	<p data-bbox="1518 1015 1794 1078"><u>Applicant Response:</u> Opposed</p> <p data-bbox="1518 1150 1771 1214"><u>Officer Comments:</u> Noted.</p> <p data-bbox="1518 1254 2184 1382">Mary Ann Gordin (nee Mead) and her husband Arthur James Gordin lived here. Arthur and Mary married in 1895 and Henry Mead died in 1903. The house still represents the building methods of the</p>

**NEW SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**  
**Draft Local Heritage Survey – Consideration to Classify – Summary of Submissions SJ2740**  
**Advertising Date: 17 January – 18 March 2022 (60 days)**

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response and Officer Comment
			<p>time and its history adds to Millrace Farm and Meads house (lake view).</p> <p><b>Assessment of the place recommended.</b></p>