DBCA	The Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions - Swan Region Office has no comments on the application.	Noted	Noted
DWER	Thank you for providing the development application for the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (Department) to consider. The Department has identified that the transport depot at Lot 2 King Road in Oldbury has the potential for impact on water resource values and/or management. In principle the Department does not object to the proposal, however key issues and recommendations are provided below and these matters should be addressed. Issue Peel-Harvey Coastal Plain Catchment Recommendation The proponent is to be advised that the proposal is located within the Peel-Harvey coastal plain catchment and the provisions of State Planning Policy 2.1 – The Peel-Harvey Coastal Plain Catchment and Environmental Protection (Peel Inlet – Harvey Estuary) Policy 1992 shall apply. Issue Best Practice Management Recommendation The following Water Quality Protection Notes (WQPN's) provide best practice management guidelines to protect the state water resources. These can be found on the Department's website here and searching under "publications" WQPN 10 – Contaminant spills - emergency response WQPN 65 – Toxic and hazardous substances WQPN 68 – Mechanical equipment wash down	Noted	Noted. As discussed in the main report. the applicant is required to provide a Spill Management Plan (SMP) prepared as the subject site is in close proximity to Multiple wetlands to the south. The SMP shall be prepared in in accordance with the relevant best practice management and protection notes, recommended by Department of Water Quality Protection DWER's Water Quality Protection Notes

 WQPN 28 - Mechanical servicing and workshops WQPN 56 - Tanks for fuel and chemical storage near sensitive water resources Best management practices at the depot includes the following: The transport depot and parking areas must be constructed on a non-permeable concrete hardstand that will contain leaks and spills of all fuels, lubricants and wastewater in the event that the integrity of the vehicles becomes compromised. Mechanical servicing should be carried out on a durable, low-permeability floor or pad (such as reinforced concrete) finished and graded to contain any spill material or washdown water. Washdown water containing any oils or grease emulsions should pass into a physical separator (e.g. corrugated plate interceptor) or chemical separator (e.g. chemical coagulation tank, followed by water-oil separator (e.g. chemical coagulation tank, followed by water-oil separator (e.g. chemical coagulation tank, followed by water-oil separator (e.g. chemical acadusus substances (THS), including fuels and lubricants, shall be located within a weatherproof compound, upon a bunded hardstand area. Tools and materials are to be available for managing chemical spills including absorbent pillows, sawdust, rags, 'kitty litter', mops, brooms and dustpans and chemical-resistant plastic drums. Used batteries, used solvent containers, water treatment process sludge, lubricants and other chemicals, machinery parts, tyres and contaminated waste products should be stored inside the workshop or in a contained, weatherproof area (e.g. a lockable skip or sea container), with the green weather of the first erea container).

	In the event there are modifications to the proposal that may have implications on aspects of environment and/or water management, the Department should be notified to enable the implications to be assessed. Should you require any further information on the comments please contact Jane Sturgess on 9550 4228.		
A153308	We have no objection to the proposed Transport Depot. We know the owners and the proposal is not large enough to cause any concern. If it was Toll Ipec or similar large company we would certainly object.	Noted	Noted
A112705	 We hereby lodge our objection to a Transport Depot at 508 King Road (Lot 2) for the following reasons: 1. We moved to Oldbury to live a quiet rural existence – in a rural area. 2. We are already constantly being disturbed by the 'commercial parking' of six trucks and combinations of trailers, (supposed to be two only) and suffer the eyesore of the destruction of vegetation on the property adjoining ours, replaced by handstands, while trucks come and go all hours of the day and night –5am through to 11pm – regularly waking us from our sleep in the hours of darkness. 	The proposed application clearly states the hours during which the vehicles will enter or exit the subject site. These vehicle movements will not be daily movements, but occasional movements. The current 'commercial vehicle' use does not limit the number of vehicles permitted on site.	proposal is considered inconsistent with the objective of the 'Rural' zone. As such, the report recommends that the application be granted conditional approval, subject to a time limit of four years.

3. We are already having our peace disturbed by the constant movement of a front end loader moving equipment and resurfacing the handstand	The subject site hardstand has been	Park. The time limited approval of four years
and further desecrating the land, causing noise and dust problems.	completed and will not be	demonstrates a
4. We are already being disturbed by the constant beeping of earthmoving	further extended as part	commitment to work
machinery down on King Road, nearly a kilometre away. Because of the	of this application. The	
openness of pasturelands etc, the sound carries.	site is for commercial	enable a transition to a
	vehicle parking only. The	more appropriately
	only use of vehicles on	zoned area, and for
	site will be the water cart to provide dust	
	suppression on site, or	achieve full transition
	any of the farm vehicles	prior to the expiry of
	that are kept on site for	this time limited
	farming works (but these	approval. Four years
	are acceptable vehicles	also reflects that
	and not commercial	Council has advanced
	vehicles).	the Structure Plan for
		West Mundijong, and
		that the first
		subdivision
		applications have been progressed
	The owner of the subject	providing a strong
	site is open to planting of	likelihood of new lots
	suitable dense	being available to
	vegetation along the	market well within the
	edge of the hardstand	four year timeframe.
	area to reduce potential	
	dust, noise and visual	
	impact.	Officer
		Officer
		recommendation limits

 We have suffered for years the disturbance of Scofield's operations, legal and unauthorised, and after years of Council trying to remedy the situation, have only just finally gained some peace. 	We are unaware of the issues surrounding this comment or of Schofield's operations, and therefore do not know the relevance of this comment against the proposed application.	the number of vehicles to ten vehicle movements per day. The hours of operation restrict the proposed transport depot operations to 0700 to 5pm Monday to Saturday
 We have in the past also suffered the noise down on Jackson Road of trucks starting up and being left idling for long periods of time (not lately, thankfully), nearly 300 metres away. 	The intersection of Jackson Road and King Road is 1km away from the subject site's hardstand area, and therefore unsure of the relevance of this comment to this application. When vehicles are to be started on the subject site, it will not be for the purpose of idling, but to leave site for the work sites they are required at.	Sauluay
	The proposed depot is for the storage of commercial vehicles	Noted.

7. Now, to have a Transport Depot of six large trucks coming and going within 400 metres of our home, as the crow flies, across fairly open paddocks, the noise will easily travel and interfere with our rural lifestyle. Given this business intends operate from 7am through to 5pm six days a week, including the resounding echoing banging of truck maintenance, means we would never have any peace at all.	whilst not required at civil and construction work sites. The vehicles are left dormant when on subject site. The vehicles are retained on the	
8. I also note that the plan and information provided in the application is so minimal in information and print size that it is impossible read and therefore gauge the full intent of the business, and almost no detail of the operations has been submitted, so they would be free to do as they please.	worksites for the duration of their lease period, and are not returned to the subject site on a daily basis. Therefore, the coming and going of	Noted
9. Because the information is almost non-existent, we cannot agree to something that we do not know how it will operate and what major effect it would have on us. Also, what likely impact will there be on the environment? What buffers for dust or noise will be put into place to protect the neighbours? Frankly, the application is sadly lacking in content.	vehicles will be seldom at best. The maintenance carried out on site will be minor maintenance and repairs when required for returned vehicles.	
10. Our greatest concern is that these vehicles will require cleaning after use, which will probably be done on site because that is what happens at a Transport Depot. This could mean not only washing down of trucks and tippers, and undertaking oil and tyre changes. The protected wetland is across the boundary and the likelihood of contaminants getting into the wetland is Extremely High. Not to mention the likelihood of chemicals, either carried in the trucks, or used to wash down the trucks, can destroy the fauna in the area as well as the ecology of the	Maintenance and repairs are not a significant portion of the businesses site operations. All intensive servicing and repairs will be undertaken off site.	
wetland. 11. Then there is the risk factor of vehicles entering King Road. The speed limit along King Road is 100 kph. Cars approaching from Mundijong Road at 100 kph might not have time to stop after cresting the hill if a	Updated plans have been submitted. Detailed cover letter outlining the business operations was submitted at outset of application, as well as	To assess noise impacts from the development the

 truck pulls out. And the driveway opening onto the property is inadequate for the manoeuvring of large vehicles to react safely. 12. Considerable damage has already been done in Oldbury due to industrial endeavours encroaching on the rural environment (cite: The Bush Forever zone on Jackson Road behind us by the dumping of industrial waste; the protected wetland on Scofields land on Boomerang Road due to the dumping of industrial waste; the destruction and contamination of rural land by the Recycling Plant down near Mundijong Road). More and more industrial/trucking businesses are trying to move into this area due to the time restraints imposed on their movement by other Shires Councils, with the result that they are just destroying the environment for those of us who want to live a quiet rural lifestyle in a rural zone. 13. We alert the Shire to the possibilities that will occur through the objection process, the applicant (usually) gets approval anyway, then we spend years trying to get the application overturned while the land and resident lifestyles are destroyed. It takes years for the Council to take action and succeed in shutting the business down again at ratepayers expense. But the damage to the land is done and will take twice as long to repair or recover, if it ever does. And the residents have to live with the aftermath. 14. If this application is permitted, it will set a precedent to other trucking businesses, and our rural environment in this area will be completely destroyed. We moved to Oldbury for a rural lifestyle and are now surrounded by industrial businesses depriving us of the lifestyle we sought. We cannot even live rural because the businesses surrounding us have maimed, or killed, our livestock and given us serious health issues. If you allow one, how do you stop others of similar nature? 	follow up written advice providing detailed clarification on business operations. As there are no washdown bay facilities currently operational or proposed, or risk of spills, but only storage and light servicing and repairs, the potential for environmental impact is very low. As stated earlier, the property owner is open to planting the perimeter of the hardstand area to reduce visual impact, dust and noise, if deemed necessary by the Shire. The current planning scheme No.2, and proposed scheme No.3 allow for the existing use of 'Commercial Parking' as a permitted use, and	Noise Assessment report The outcome of the report is that the development is compliant with the <i>Environmental</i> <i>Protection (Noise)</i> <i>Regulations 1997</i> and concluded that there would be no adverse impact on the residents of the two sensitive receptors (dwellings) within the buffer zone. No objections have been received from the sensitive receptors within the noise buffer The report recommends that the first 20metres of the driveway be sealed.
	of 'Commercial Parking'	The concerns have been considered by the Shire. The Shire's approach is to

Please, we have an industrial zone now – this is where a business like this should be operating from, where their noise and pollution will not disturb the quiet lifestyle sought by those living on the land nearby, nor destroy the landscape and its resident colonies of wildlife so valuable in and to this area. Please give the rural residents a break.	proposal is not for a major transport depot that has trucks coming and going from the site all day every day, such a logistics company, but is simply for the storage of vehicles owned by the property owner's business, that leases the vehicles to construction and civil work sites as plant hire. This is not a trucking business, but a	year approval is to the Transport Depot to allow the use to a more appropriate zone consistent with the planning framework such as the West Mundijong Industrial Area or Cardup Business Park. This is consistent with previous planning
	plant hire business. There has been no	
	unauthorised clearing of land to accommodate the	demonstrates a
	hardstand, and there is no proposed future	
	clearing.	enable a transition to a more appropriately
	King Road is straight and has a wide verge without	zoned area, and for
	visual obstructions. This provides excellent vision	that process in order to
	of oncoming traffic. The	prior to the expiry of
	entry to the subject site is also clear of visual	approval. Four years
	obstructions which allows significant view in both	
	directions. The heavy	the Structure Plan for

	vehicles entering and exiting the subject site are elevated significantly more than light vehicles, and therefore have got greater vision of distant traffic. Furthermore, given the very few vehicle trips that are expected to and from the site each week, the risk of an incident is considered to be very low.	that the first subdivision applications have been progressed providing a strong likelihood of new lots being available to market well within the