Submitter	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comments
A119044	I am surprised by this building submission.  I wasn't aware anything like this was allowed to be constructed in the Chestnuts Estate.  Especially something of the size and height of the proposed structure.  14m x 9m x 5m high is larger than the first house I owned in Australia.  When I moved in to my property at 29 King Jarrah Circle in 2003 I couldn't have anything bigger than a 3x3 garden shed and that had to be on or touching the building envelop.  Obviously the rules for building/usage in The Chestnuts has changed significantly over the past year or so.	There are numerous sheds in the Chestnuts Estate, that I know of, that are similar or larger in dimension, than the proposed shed at 35 King Jarrah Circle. 6Kunzea Rise 12mx8m 29 Kunzea Rise 12mx9m 17 Ghost Gum Heights 12mx9m 7 Hibbertia Ct 13mx8m 28 Ghost Gum Heights 15mx8m 40 Coral Vine Loop 15mx8m 23 Hibbertia Ct 15mx8m 11 Hibbertia Ct 15mx8.5m 11 Honey Bush Vista 16mx8m 7 Chestnut Road 16mx9m 23 Bullich Retreat 16m14m 7 Honey Bush Vista 22mx11m	Noted. Please refer to the form of development and amenity section of the report.
		Regarding height, I also know many of these are 4m to the eaves which is the same as I have applied for. There-fore I do not feel that I am asking to build anything out of the ordinary on my property.	Refer to the land use section of the main report.

Submitter	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comments
	The property of the control of the c	The arranged sheet arrange 20 to	
	In regard to the submission, there is no indication	The proposed shed space will be	
	as to what such a large building is to be used for.	used to store our personal vehicles	
	i.e. as a commercial business, small enterprise,	securely (Nissan Patrol, classic	
	separate residence, housing of machinery,	Ford Escort, Jayco Expanda	
	storage of heavy vehicles, band practice etc. etc	caravan and trailer. There are three	
		adults that live at our property.	
	As such it isn't clear what impact it may have on	Myself, my partner and my Mother-	
	myself as the owner of the adjoining property	in-law. We each own a vehicle,	
	which is closest to the proposed development	currently we only have two single	
	area.	garages. Not long after we moved	
		into the property at 35 King Jarrah	
	I don't know the owners of the property who are	Circle there were break-ins on the	
	making this submission, and haven't been	next street and in the estate. We	
	approached by them regarding it.	want to be able to have a secure	
		storage space for our vehicles	
	My main concerns are	where they are not visible and are	
		protected from weather exposure	
	Its intended usage, primary amongst this is	and damage. We each also own a	
	subsequent pollution, mainly noise, light or	lifetime of possessions and this	
	environmental.	requires storage space, which	
		unfortunately we just do not have	
	Its proximity to my dwelling is very concerning. It will virtually lay parallel alongside my own house.	within the house.	
		I am a FIFO worker, my partner is a	
		Registered Nurse and my Mother-	As discussed in the main
		in-law is retired. We have no	report, Officers consider that
		intentions of ever starting a	the proposal would not
		business or enterprise, or living in	adversely impact on the
		the shed. Also we are not musically	visual amenity of the

Submitter	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comments
		gifted pehady in the household can	noighbouring proporty due
		gifted, nobody in the household can play a musical instrument.	neighbouring property due to the existing mature
		As above. In regards to light	vegetation along the
		pollution, this is impossible due to	boundary and between the
		the way the sun rises and falls (East	outbuilding and the
		to West with Northern arc). 29 King	neighbouring dwelling.
	Can it be moved much further rearwards towards	Jarrah Circle lies on the Northern	3 3
	the back of the block?	boundary of 35 King Jarrah Circle.	In order to provide further
			screening of the outbuilding
	I'm the only neighbour that will be directly affected		to the north, Officers have
	by the structure.	The 5 metre distance from boundary	recommended a condition
		is a requirement.	requiring a Landscape and
			Revegetation Plan that
		Not possible due to where driveway	demonstrate additional
		Not possible due to where driveway, mature trees and ATU system are	planting comprising trees and intermixed medium and
		already situated.	small shrubs along the
		andady ondated.	northern boundary between
			the boundary edge and side
		We also moved to Jarrahdale to	of the outbuilding.
		enjoy the peace and quiet and bring	
		up our children in a safe and	
	Having it 5 Metres from our adjoining boundary	supportive community. We do not	
	suggests that more parking will be provided in this	intend to cause any disruption in our	As discussed in the man-
	gap or is this distance a building / planning requirement?	residence here.	As discussed in the report the applicant has provided
	requirement!		information that the location
	Don't get me wrong, I don't want it closer, in fact	Irrelevant point but we are	of the outbuilding has been
	I'd prefer it be as far away as possible, maybe up		influenced by a number of

Submitter	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Comments
	against one of the other neighbour's fences if they	obvious lack of recreational	site contextual issues,
	are registering no opposition to this building.	amenities, within the Chestnuts	specifically that the
	I don't want to hinder any development that	Estate, for children and families. We	proposed location would not
	I don't want to hinder any development that improves lives for all Jarrahdale residents, but	welcome any future proposals	result in the requirement to remove trees.
	would appreciate if it didn't have an adverse effect	We do not wish to cause anyone	
	on the peace and quiet I currently enjoy.	any "angst". The proposed shed will	
		not cause any adverse impact on	
		light, noise or the environment.	
		There-fore we fail to see how it	
	Over the veere living in the estate live had to	could possibly have an impact on,	
	Over the years living in the estate I've had to endure the proposal to build the Jarrahdale Skate	the occupant of 29 King Jarrah Circles, quality of life.	
	Park in front of my house, then another proposal	Circles, quality of life.	The location Jarrahdale
	to develop a BBQ area in the same place (for	The proposed shed will not lie	Skate Park is not relevant to
	others who don't live near me to use), then the	parallel to the dwelling at 29 King	this application.
	Fire Water Tank which eventually got built.	Jarrah Circle. It will lie behind the	
	, ,	dwelling, parallel to the left hand	
		side access driveway and	
	On that matter I was very appreciative that the	trees/overgrowth that end to the	
	shire listened to my concerns and agreed to move	rear of the property at 29 King	
	it further from the road and paint it so as to blend	Jarrah Circle.	
	into the greenery.	Absolutely not Thousand two large	
		Absolutely not. There are two large, mature trees situated in the back	
		corner of our property, which is one	
		of the reasons we bought it. We will	
		not remove them, this would cause	
	The first two caused me great angst and would	un-necessary environmental	

a serious impact on the quality of my e not to go through that again.	damage.	
	damage.	
hat my concerns can be taken into		
		nat my concerns can be taken into