Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage - Aboriginal Affairs	1	Thank you for your letter dated 18 January 2021 regarding the Development Application received by the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale for 895 and 899 South Western Highway, Byford. I have reviewed the Aboriginal Heritage Register of Places and Objects as well as the DPLH Aboriginal Heritage database. The results indicate that the proposed works do not intersect with the boundary of any Aboriginal sites or heritage places. Therefore, the proponent should be advised that no approvals under the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972</i> are required.	Satisfied	Noted
		If you have any further queries regarding the above, please contact me on (08) 6551 7929 or lorna.cooper@dplh.wa.gov.au.		
DFES	2	I refer to your email dated 21 December 2020 regarding the submission of a Bushfire Management Plan (BMP) (Revision 0), prepared by Entire Fire Management and dated 11 November 2020 for the above development application. This advice relates only to <i>State Planning Policy 3.7 Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas</i> (SPP 3.7) and the <i>Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas</i> (Guidelines). It is the responsibility of the proponent to ensure the proposal complies with relevant planning policies and building regulations where necessary. This advice does not exempt the applicant/proponent from obtaining approvals that apply to the proposal including planning, building, health or any other approvals required by a relevant authority under written laws. 1. Policy Measure 6.5 a) (ii) Preparation of a BAL contour map	We have addressed the issues raised and the BMP has been updated to reflect all of the listed concerns. Deemed to be satisfied	Noted – the BMP has been amended to address these comments.

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments			Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations	
		Issue	Assessment	Action			
		Location and siting design	A1.1 & A2.1 – not demonstrated The BAL ratings cannot be validated for the reason(s) outlined in the above table. There are areas of the site exposed to BAL-40/BAL-FZ which represents an extreme risk which does not	Modification the BMP required.	to		
			comply with A1.1. In addition, as the commercial buildings are not covered by AS3959:2018, it is recommended that the buildings be constructed to utilise all of the aspects of AS3959 that apply to the appropriate Bushfire Attack Level (BAL). This is consistent with Clause 78E(i) of the LPS Regulations				

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments		Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
			to the bushfire construction requirements of the Building Code. This recommendation is further supported by Clause 5.8.3 of the Guidelines which reads as follows: The bushfire construction provisions of the Building Code of Australia do not apply to Class 4 to Class 9 buildings. In these instances, the applicant has the discretion to utilise any or all of the elements of AS3959 in the construction of the building that they deem appropriate. While the vegetation is outside the lot	Comment noted. With all due respect we believe comments re parking are not within DFES brief.	
			boundary, there are measures that can be taken to increase the resilience of the existing buildings and contingencies should	Comment noted	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	ce / consuming Rooms / c		Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
			be in place for occupants of these buildings during a bushfire emergency.			
		Vehicular Access	The design of the off- street parking is primarily in a blind aisle configuration that inhibits circulation.	Comment only.		
			The Traffic Impact Statement should have regard to the potential of all parking bays within Lot 8 being occupied. the resultant consequence for motorists travelling along South Western Highway in the event of a vehicle reversing out of the blind aisle temporarily obstruct the vehicular crossover needs to be assessed.		Comment noted. It is our understanding that with the prescribed land use no Bushfire Emergency Evacuation Plan is needed.	
		Extreme bushfire hazard and/or BAL-40/ BAL-FZ applies	Subdivision and development applications for vulnerable or high-risk land uses in areas of BAL-40/BAL-FZ will	Comment Only	Bushfire Management measures have been refined and the updated BFMP has been forwarded to shire officers.	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		Bushfire Emergency Evacuation Plan (EEP)		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		Shire of Serpentine- Jarrahdale.		
		Recommendation – not supported modifications required		
		It is critical that the bushfire management measures within the BMP are refined, to ensure they are accurate and can be implemented to reduce the vulnerability of the development to bushfire. The proposed development is not supported for the following reasons: 1. The development design has not demonstrated compliance to –		
		Element 1: Location, and Element 2: Siting and Design.		
		If you require further information, please contact Joel Gajic, Senior Land Use Planning Officer on telephone number 9395 9739.		
Main Roads		In response to correspondence received on 21 December 2020, Main Roads has no objections subject to the following conditions being imposed:		Refer to Officer comment on Main Roads updated submission below
		Conditions		
		1. Prior to the Building Permit, the applicant is to submit a preliminary design (15% concept) for a left auxiliary turn lane from South West Highway into the development to Main Roads requirements.	condition to be fair, equitable or just to	
		Justification for Condition	impose on the proponent.	
		The left auxiliary turn is necessary to facilitate this development.	A left turn is not	
		2. Prior to the occupation of the building, the applicant must design and construct the approved left auxiliary turn lane as detailed in Condition 1, to Main Roads requirements.	necessary to facilitate this development.	
		All costs associated with the design and construction of the left auxiliary turn lane are to be borne by the applicant.	The road at this location is a dual carriage way with a 60km limit and is	

Submitter	No Subr	mitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
Submitter	Justi The performance of the per	tification for Condition I left auxiliary turn lane is necessary to mitigate impacts on the formance and/or safety of the state road network. One (1) driveway is permitted onto South Western Highway. This eway must be constructed in accordance with Main Roads reways Policy. It if it is in a commodate a 12.5m service vehicle, which has been demonstrated by the applicant within the current application kage. The construction drawing will be checked at the time an lication to undertake works within the road reserve is lodged with in Roads. It is in a forward gear. Prior to the issue of a Building Permit for the development, the licant must submit a Landscape Plan to the satisfaction of the ree of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and in consultation with Main Roads ensure that permanent landscaping abutting the South Western hway road reservation is limited and maintained to a mature height metre. Sight lines must be maintained at all times to ensure driver	sufficient to facilitate this development just like every other development in the vicinity. In all our correspondence with Main Roads regarding this development and in two pre-lodgement meetings where Main Roads made their demands clear the proponent has agreed to these demands and implemented them yet the concept of a left turn was never raised by Main Roads even when given the opportunity to share if they had any more ideas, concerns, requests, requirements. This left turn condition at the 11th hour is not fair or just.	Officer Recommendations

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		Any recommendations contained in the acoustic report must be thereafter implemented and maintained for the duration of the development.		
		Justification for Condition		
		The development incorporates a noise sensitive land use (i.e. creche/child minding facility).		
		7. Prior to the issue of the Building Permit, Lot 201 (895) and Lot 8 (899) South Western Highway, Byford are to be amalgamated into one (1) lot.	The wording of creche and childminding where the only words available regarding land use, however they do not describe the intention of this use. It is merely a	
		Justification for Condition		
		To allow for orderly and proper planning to occur.		
		8. Notification, pursuant to Section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act 1893 is to be placed on the certificate(s) of title of the proposed lot(s). The notification is to state as follows:	'babysitting' arrangement for parents attending the gym to look after each	
		'The lots are situated in the vicinity of a transport corridor and are currently affected, or may in future be affected by transport noise.'	other's children in turn. As such an acoustic report is not required, nor	
		Justification for Condition	a section 70A.	
		To ensure the acoustic requirements of SPP 5.4 are implemented.	In addition, no new titles	
		9. Any proposed illumination of the sign must not exceed 300cd.m2 (candela per square metre) between sunset and sunrise.	,	
		10. The proposed sign must not flash, pulsate or chase.		
		11. The device must not contain fluorescent, reflective or retro reflective colours or materials.		
		12. Vegetation within the state road reserve must not be removed or trimmed to improve the visibility of the proposed advertising sign.		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		13. Redundant vehicle crossover(s) to be removed and kerbing, verge, and footpath reinstated with grass or landscaping to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale and to the specifications of the local government.		
		14. No earthworks must encroach onto the South Western Highway road reserve.		
		15. Stormwater discharge (if any) must not exceed pre-development discharge to the South Western Highway road reserve or the widened road reservation.		
		16. All waste collection is to be onsite.		
		17. The landowner/applicant must make good any damage to the existing verge vegetation within the South Western Highway road reserve.	The Waste generated from the development will	
		18. The ground levels on the South Western Highway are to be maintained as existing.	not greatly exceed the current waste demands. Having waste collected	
		Advice	via council bins as	
		a. The U-turn facility at Nettleton Road referred to is not supported at this point in time.	proposed and collected from the roadside, will have minimal impact on	
		b. Council should consider setting a condition requiring a 4 metre building setback from the boundary with Lot 200. This would facilitate a future road connection at the rear pursuant to current planning as detailed in the Byford Townsite Detailed Area Plan.	the current waste collection service to this stretch of road.	
		c. In reference to Conditions 1 and 2, the applicant is referred to MRWA's Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design - Part 4. Supporting information can be found on the Main Roads website> Technical & Commercial> Guide To Road Design.	This advice is not accepted by the proponent and they have	
		d. The use of service vehicles for the development will require the applicant to ensuring safe and efficient manoeuvring can be achieved	no intention to amalgamate the	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		within the subject property. It is recommended the Shire of Serpentine- Jarrahdale require the applicant to submit a swept path diagram demonstrating that the desired vehicle access can be achieved internally within the site.	properties, nor do they need to. Sweep paths have	
		e. The upgrading/widening of South Western Highway is not in Main Roads current 4- year forward estimated construction program and all projects not listed are considered long term. Please be aware that timing information is subject to change and that Main Roads assumes no liability for the information provided.	already been provided in the Traffic Management Statement.	
		f. The applicant is required to submit an Application form to undertake works within the road reserve prior to undertaking any works within the road reserve. Application forms and supporting information about the procedure can be found on the Main Roads website > Technical & Commercial > Working on Roads.		
		This letter has been reissued after discussions and gives greater clarity regarding access requirements. This letter replaces the previous correspondence dated 20 May 2021.		
		Should the Shire disagree with or resolve not to include as part of its conditional approval any of the above conditions or advice, Main Roads requests an opportunity to meet and discuss the application further, prior to a final determination being made.		
		Main Roads requests a copy of the City's final determination on this application to be sent to planninginfo@mainroads.wa.gov.au quoting the file reference above.		
Main Roads (2) Dated 2 July 2021		Further to discussions with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale via Teams on 28 June 2021, and subsequent email of 28 June 2021, Main Roads is not in a position to support the inclusion of 28 June 2021		Noted – Officers have included these conditions as part of the recommendation.

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		duett een ditiene ee naarded by Council		
		draft conditions as presented by Council. Should Council consider road upgrades are warranted, the appropriate 15% concept design for such works must be provided, prior to further consideration as part of this development application. This would afford Council and Main Roads the opportunity to consider such proposals.		An additional condition regarding an access strategy that will provide for south bound access into and out of the Mixed Use precinct located on
		Main Roads shall collaboratively work with the Shire to resolve wider access matters in the locality. Further investigations regarding other planning mechanisms to fast track and resolve the Vehicle Access Strategy (VAS) should be undertaken as a priority. The VAS should not be considered in isolation and should be incorporated in the Shire's Planning Scheme to ensure the access requirement are translated into the planning requirements.		the western side of South Western Highway, north of Nettleton Road and south of Abernethy Road has also been recommended. Refer to report for full details.
		Considering the above, please be advised in relation to this development application, Main Road has no objection to this proposal subject to the following conditions being imposed:		
		Conditions		
		One (1) driveway is permitted onto South Western Highway. This driveway must be constructed in accordance with Main Roads Driveways Policy.		
		Justification for Condition		
		The crossover must accommodate a 12.5m service vehicle, which has not been demonstrated by the applicant within the current application package. The construction drawing will be checked at the time an application to undertake works within the road reserve is lodged with Main Roads. The driveway is to be up to 11m in width.		
1		2. All vehicles must enter and exit the site in a forward gear.		

Submitter	No	Sul	bmitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		3.	Prior to the issue of the Building Permit, Lot 201 (895) and Lot 8 (899) South Western Highway, Byford must be amalgamated into one (1) lot.		
			Justification for Condition		
			To allow for orderly and proper planning to occur.		
		4.	Prior to the issue of a Building Permit for the development, the applicant must submit a Landscape Plan to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine -Jarrahdale and in consultation with Main Roads to ensure that permanent landscaping abutting the South Western Highway road reservation is limited and maintained to a mature height of 1 metre. Sight lines must be maintained at all times to ensure driver safety.		
			Justification for Condition		
			To ensure driver safety and efficiency on the state road network is maintained.		
		5.	Prior to the issue of a Building Permit for the development, an acoustic report by a qualified acoustic consultant consistent with the requirements of <i>State Planning Policy No 5.4 - Road and Rail Noise (SPP 5.4)</i> is to be prepared and submitted to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale and in consultation with Main Roads. Any recommendations contained in the acoustic report must be thereafter implemented and maintained for the duration of the development.		
			Justification for Condition		
			The development incorporates a noise sensitive land use (i.e. creche/child mindingfacility).		
		6.	Notification, pursuant to Section 70A of the Transfer of Land		

Submitter	No	Sub	omitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
			Act 1893 is to be placed on the certificate(s) of title of the proposed lot(s). The notification is to state as follows:		
			'The lots are situated in the vicinity of a transport corridor and are currently affected, or may in future be affected by transport noise.'		
			<u>Justification for Condition</u> To ensure the acoustic requirements of SPP 5.4 are implemented.		
		7.	Any proposed illumination of the sign must not exceed 300cd.m2 (candela per square metre) between sunset and sunrise.		
		8.	The proposed sign must not flash, pulsate or chase.		
		9.	The device must not contain fluorescent, reflective or retro reflective colours or materials.		
		10.	Vegetation within the state road reserve must not be removed or trimmed to improve the visibility of the proposed advertising sign.		
		11.	Redundant vehicle crossover(s) to be removed and kerbing, verge, and footpath reinstated with grass or landscaping to the satisfaction of the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale and to the specifications of the local government. No earthworks must encroach onto the South Western Highway road reserve.		
		12.	No stormwater discharge to the South Western Highway road reserve is permitted.		
		13.	All waste collection is to be onsite.		
		14.	The landowner/applicant must make good any damage to the existing verge vegetation within the South Western Highway road		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		reserve.		
		 The ground levels on the South Western Highway are to be maintained as existing. 		
		Advice to the Shire		
		a) The U-turn facility at Nettleton Road and breaking of the existing median as suggested by the Shire is not supported at this point in time.		
		b) Should Council consider road upgrades are warranted the appropriate 15% concept design for such works must be provided, prior to further consideration of this development application. This would afford Council the opportunity to consider how road infrastructure can be achieved and costs to be distributed across the precinct area.		
		c) The proposed mitigation measures as discussed and outlined by Council are not considered to provide certainty for the current development application and it is questionable, if relevant to the scale of development proposed.		
		d) Main Roads is not in a position to support the inclusion of 28 June 2021 draft conditions proposed by Council. It is recommended the Council further investigates mechanisms to resolve access to the wider precinct. The conditions as presented are unclear and uncertain.		
		e) Council should set a condition requiring a 4 metre building setback from the boundary with Lot 200. This would facilitate a future road connection at the rear pursuant to current planning as detailed in the Byford Townsite Detailed Area Plan. It is essential that the opportunity to facilitate rear access in the future is not		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		lost.		
		f) The use of service vehicles for the development will require the applicant to ensuring safe and efficient manoeuvring can be achieved within the subject property. It is recommended the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale require the applicant to submit a swept path diagram demonstrating that the desired vehicle access can be achieved internally within the site.		
		g) The upgrading/widening of South Western Highway is not in Main Roads current 4-year forward estimated construction program and all projects not listed are considered long term. Please be aware that timing information is subject to change and that Main Roads assumes no liability for the information provided.		
		h) The applicant is required to submit an Application form to undertake works within the road reserve prior to undertaking any works within the road reserve. Application forms and supporting information about the procedure can be found on the Main Roads website > Technical & Commercial > Working on Roads.		
		i) The previous conditions 1 and 2 have been removed so delivery of access to the wider precinct is not constrained.		
		This letter has been reissued after discussions with Council and to provide greater clarity in relation to the recommendations made by Main Roads.		
		Main Roads requests a copy of the Shire's final determination on this proposal to be sent to planninginfo@mainroads.wa.gov.au quoting the file reference above.		
A309800	3	Do the present conditions stay the same or are the premises being redeveloped?	Noted	

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
A308200	4	We own/live next door to the above proposed development, our property is Lot 9 &10, 901 &903 South Western Highway know as 901 South Western Highway. My Wife and I are not against the development plan but after reviewing the Plan on your website we have some concerns, questions and comments that we seek clarification on as listed below; Questions, Comments, Concerns; The Proposed application has the removal of trees, I can see that 14 plus % has been retained and the requirement is at least 10% - in previous developments on the other side of our property 100% of the trees were removed and we found our property inundated with the local bird life- I have concerns that the planned removal of the trees is going to exacerbate the already growing numbers of wildlife in our trees and may affect the wildlife. There is a quite an old Jarrah tree 12 meters from the western fence line and it is situated half on our land and half on the development land – smack in the middle of the fence -it is 1.5 meters in girth and is a very large tree. It is home to lots of local birds and many Native Black Cockatoos (See Phots below), from what I can see this tree is planned to be removed as it is located where the conference rooms are going. Other than our concern for the wildlife above, if the council agrees it needs to be removed I estimate that this tree would be very expensive and difficult to remove – it should not just be cut off and the stump left but the stump removed as well. To do this our property would need accessed which leads me to physical security during development.	As many trees as possible will be retained and landscaping improvements implemented. Noted and all necessary approvals will be sort and	Noted – A Landscaping Plan has been recommended by way of a condition to ensure once developed the site is revegetated to maintain the amenity of the area and support biodiversity.

PA20/1266 - South Western Highway, Byford 895 (L201) 398307 / South Western Highway, Byford 899 (L8) 308100 Gym / Office / Consulting Rooms / Shop / Cafe / Child Minding

Submitter	No	Gym / Office / Consulting Rooms / Shop / Cafe Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations

N21/13783

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		Jarrah Tree – Black Cockatoo's flying in and playing in tree, tree is on the fence line.		
		Black Cockatoo's in the tree (9/2/21)		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		On the fence line		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
IN21/13783			Ordinary Counci	Page 21 of 23 Meeting - 16 August 2021

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
		Security / Fence The development plan has 7m precast walls on the fence line and the existing fence retained where practical — to install wall and footings and reinstate the fence at such proximity would require the existing fence to be breached /removed and will need sections replaced — again what is the plan to maintain our physical security and is access required.		
		Fallout from Construction As seen with the development on the other side of our property the noise was substantial and ongoing for a long time – across the highway with new development we had sand and dirt cover our house and property on several occasions due to the high winds – is noise and dust/dirt going to be controlled.		
		Will there be any compacting of ground as was conducted with the nearby bridge upgrade – not that we had any fall out from that project.		
		Will water, power and phone services be cut, and will we be given warning.		
		 In summary our concerns are: The large tree that is home to the black cockatoos being removed and if so, will it be done professionally and ground reinstated. Security of our Property during construction /fence, if it is removed our property is open for anyone to enter what is the intention to maintain security for us. Any access required on our property – what type. Any disruption to services 		
A404446	5	Support the proposal.		

Submitter	No	Submitter Comments	Applicant Response	Officer Recommendations
A400816	6	Surely we already have enough of each of these services. Can we please stop building up so much in Byford that it becomes just another crowded suburb?	Noted	Noted
A401548	7	We already have enough gyms, in our suburb. I am a member of the original YMCA gym and will continue to support them. The proposed location would just cause a lot more congestion for the people that live in the new estates surrounding and not necessarily increase value to their properties.		Noted – the TIA demonstrates that there are not adverse impacts resultant by way of congestion and in addition MRWA have provided no concern in this regard.
A400836	8	We don't need another gym, shop, creche or café in Byford we have enough small businesses to support and it's just not necessary.		Noted