Deemed Provisions – CI 67 Matters to be considered by Local Government

| a) The aims and provisions of this Scheme and any other local planning scheme operating within the area | YES ⊠ | NO □ | N/A □ | | |
|---|----------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Comment: The proposed use is classified as a 'Civic Building' which is defined under TPS2 as: | | | | | |
| "a building designed, used or intended to be used by a Government Department, an instrumentality of the Crown, or the Council as offices or for administrative or other like purpose." | | | | | |
| The subject site is located within the 'Urban Development' zone while the designation is 'Residential' under the Doley Road Precinct Local Structure Plan (LSP). Pursuant to Table 1 - Zoning Table of TPS2, the use of a 'Civic Building' under the 'Residential' zone is an 'AA' use which means that the Council may, at its discretion, permit the use. | | | | | |
| b) The requirements of orderly and proper planning including any proposed local planning scheme or amendment to this Scheme that has been advertised under the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i> or any other proposed planning instrument that the local government is seriously considering adopting or approving | YES ⊠ | O | N/A | | |
| Comment: Similar to the above, LPS3 lists a 'Civic Use' as "premises used by a government department, an instrumentality of the State or the local government for administrative, recreational or other purposes." Further, pursuant to LPS3, the subject site is located within the 'Urban Development' zone. The designation remains as 'Residential' under the LSP. Pursuant to the LPS3 zoning table, a 'Civic Use' under the 'Residential' zone use an 'A' use which means that the use is only permitted if the local government has exercised its discretion by granting development approval after giving notice in accordance with clause 64 of the deemed provisions. As detailed above, consultation has occurred as part of the application. | | | | | |
| c) any approved State planning policy | YES ⊠ | NO | N/A | | |
| Comment: SPP3.7- Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas— The subject site lies within a bushfire prone area and as such is required to have a Bushfire Management Plan (BMP) prepared achieving compliance with the Guidelines for Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas (Guidelines). A BMP has been prepared as part of the application and demonstrates consistency with | | | | | |
| the elements of the Guidelines as follows: | | | | | |

Location, Siting and Design:

The future building can be located in an area that will, on completion, be subject to bushfire rating of BAL-12.5.

Vehicular Access:

The subject site is located on a corner and therefore has the benefit of two lot frontages and alternative access in the case of a bushfire emergency.

Water:

The development will be provided with a dedicated water tank for firefighting, consistent with the requirements of the Guidelines.

E23/5127 Page 1 of 4 Ordinary Council Meeting - 15 May 2023

| d) any environmental protection policy approved under the Environmental Protection Act 1986 section 31(d) | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
|---|-----------|------|-----------------|--|
| Comment: | | | | |
| e) any policy of the Commission | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| f) any policy of the State | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
| Comment: | | | • | |
| g) any local planning policy for the Scheme area | YES ⊠ | NO | N/A | |
| The fire station building of the proposed development has a finished floor level of 40.01AHD. The natural ground level of the site has a level of approximately 39.19AHD. The proposal as a result includes filling certain sections of the western portion of the site to a maximum of 0.82m. The level of fill is necessary given that the land falls from a contour level of 40.38AHD in the north-east corner to 38.78AHD in the south-west corner, resulting in a fall across the site of 1.6m. The fill is not only required to create a level building footprint but also to ensure adequate separation from groundwater. | | | | |
| h) any structure plan, activity centre plan or local development plan that relates to the development | YES ⊠ | NO | N/A | |
| Comment: The subject site is located within the Doley designated the site as residential – refer to land use secti | on of rep | ort. | | |
| i) any report of the review of the local planning scheme that has been published under the <i>Planning and Development</i> (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| j) in the case of land reserved under this Scheme, the objectives for the reserve and the additional and permitted uses identified in this Scheme for the reserve | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
| Comment: | | 1 | | |
| k) the built heritage conservation of any place that is of cultural significance | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| I) the effect of the proposal on the cultural heritage significance of the area in which the development is located | YES | NO | N/A ⊠ | |
| Comment: | | | | |
| m) the compatibility of the development with its setting including the relationship of the development to development on adjoining land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the development | YES ⊠ | NO | N/A □ | |
| Comment: The proposed development is considered to be compatible with the development in its setting. Refer to 'Built Form' assessment section of the Council Report. | | | | |

Page 2 of 4 Ordinary Council Meeting - 15 May 2023 E23/5127

| n) the amenity of the locality including the following – | YES | NO | N/A | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| I. Environmental impacts of the development | \boxtimes | П | П | | |
| II. The character of the locality | | Ш | | | |
| III. Social impacts of the development | | | | | |
| Comment: Refer to Council report | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| o) the likely effect of the development on the natural | YES | NO | N/A | | |
| environment or water resources and any means that are | \boxtimes | | | | |
| proposed to protect or to mitigate impacts on the natural | | | | | |
| environment or the water resource | | | | | |
| Comment: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| p) whether adequate provision has been made for the | YES | NO | N/A | | |
| landscaping of the land to which the application relates and | \boxtimes | П | П | | |
| whether any trees or other vegetation on the land should be | | | | | |
| preserved | | | | | |
| Comment: the fire station development requires veget | ation rem | oval both | onsite and | | |
| within the adjacent Orton Road reserve. No intact vegeta | | | | | |
| however a Landscape Plan has been submitted showi | | | | | |
| species both onsite and within the adjacent road reserv | | | | | |
| planting in the Orton Road reserve due to future roadwor | • | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| q) the suitability of the land for the development taking into | YES | NO | N/A | | |
| account the possible risk of flooding, tidal inundation, | \boxtimes | П | П | | |
| subsidence, landslip, bushfire, soil erosion, land degradation | | | | | |
| or any other risk | | | | | |
| Comment: the development will be constructed to a Ba | AL 12.5 ra | ating whil | e vegetation | | |
| removal will ensure bushfire threat is further reduced. | | | | | |
| Floodplain management area however it is recommended | | | | | |
| Plan' be submitted. | | | 3 | | |
| | | | | | |
| r) the suitability of the land for the development taking into | YES | NO | N/A | | |
| account the possible risk to human health or safety | \boxtimes | | | | |
| , , | | _ | | | |
| Comment: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| s) the adequacy of – | YES | NO | | | |
| The proposed means of access to and egress from | | NO | N/A | | |
| the site; and | \boxtimes | | N/A □ | | |
| Arrangements for the loading, unloading, | \boxtimes | | | | |
| in thangonionic for the loading, amouting, | X | | | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles | able how | ever once | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept | able how | ever once | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the | able how | ever once | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the | able how | ever once | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be th amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. | able how e develop | □ ever once per's resp | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the | able how e develop | ever once per's resp | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the | able how e develop | ever once per's resp | Doley Road | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic | able howe e develop YES | ever once per's resp | Doley Road consibility to | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety | able howe e develop YES | ever once per's responser | Doley Road consibility to | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety Comment: the submitted 'Traffic Impact Statement' has designed. | YES emonstra e will not o | ever once per's responsible. | Doley Road consibility to | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety Comment: the submitted 'Traffic Impact Statement' has destimated trips generated by the proposed fire station us | YES emonstra e will not dess than | ever once per's responsible. NO ted that the exceed the 19m and 1 | Doley Road consibility to N/A ne number of e capacity of therefore are | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety Comment: the submitted 'Traffic Impact Statement' has destimated trips generated by the proposed fire station us the local road network while fire trucks have a length of | YES emonstra e will not dess than | ever once per's responsible. NO ted that the exceed the 19m and 1 | Doley Road consibility to N/A ne number of e capacity of therefore are | | |
| manoeuvring and parking of vehicles Comment: that the current crossover designs are accept and Orton Road have been upgraded then it will be the amend the crossover to tie in to the new roads. t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety Comment: the submitted 'Traffic Impact Statement' has destimated trips generated by the proposed fire station us the local road network while fire trucks have a length of | YES emonstra e will not dess than | ever once per's responsible. NO ted that the exceed the 19m and 1 | Doley Road consibility to N/A ne number of e capacity of therefore are | | |

E23/5127 Page 3 of 4 Ordinary Council Meeting - 15 May 2023

| Public transport services | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| II. Public utility services | | | |
| III. Storage, management and collection of waste | | | |
| IV. Access for pedestrians and cyclists (including end of | | | |
| trip storage, toilet and shower facilities) | | | |
| V. Access by older people and people with disability | | | |
| Comment: The proposed development is considered to be | adequate | ly service | d taking into |
| account those matters listed above. | • | • | J |
| v) the potential loss of any community service or benefit | YES | NO | N/A |
| resulting from the development other than potential loss that | | П | |
| may result from economic competition between new and | | _ | |
| existing businesses | | | |
| Comment: the proposed development is vital for protect | ting the c | ommunity | against the |
| threat of fire and in particular bushfires. | | • | |
| w) the history of the site where the development is to be | YES | NO | N/A |
| located | | П | \boxtimes |
| | | _ | |
| Comment: there is no site history relevant to the assessr | nent of thi | s applicat | tion. |
| x) the impact of the development on the community as a | YES | NO | N/A |
| whole notwithstanding the impact of the development on | \boxtimes | П | |
| particular individuals | _ | | _ |
| Comment: refer to previous discussion regarding potenti | al noise ir | npacts. | |
| y) any submissions received on the application | YES | NO | N/A |
| y) any submissions received on the application | ILS | NO | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Comments during the consultation paried and submission | | | |
| Comment: during the consultation period, one submission relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and continuous the road network. This is addressed in the body of the Continuous c | oncerns re | elating to | ed. Concerns |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and co | oncerns re ouncil repo | elating to | ed. Concerns |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and conthe road network. This is addressed in the body of the Control of the comments or submissions received from any | oncerns re ouncil repo | elating to ort. | ed. Concerns the safety of |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and co the road network. This is addressed in the body of the Co | oncerns re ouncil repo | elating to ort. | ed. Concerns the safety of |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and conthe road network. This is addressed in the body of the Control of the comments or submissions received from any | oncerns repute YES | elating to ort. | ed. Concerns the safety of |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and continuous the road network. This is addressed in the body of the Continuous the comments or submissions received from any authority consulted under clause 66 | YES nal author | elating to ort. | ed. Concerns the safety of |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and conthe road network. This is addressed in the body of the Contact and the comments of submissions received from any authority consulted under clause 66 Comment: the application has not been referred to External to the contact and th | YES nal author | elating to ort. NO ities. | ed. Concerns the safety of N/A |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and conthe road network. This is addressed in the body of the Continuous the comments or submissions received from any authority consulted under clause 66 Comment: the application has not been referred to Externation and other planning consideration the local government | YES YES YES | NO Unities. | ed. Concerns the safety of N/A |
| relate to impacts upon the nearby housing estate and conthe road network. This is addressed in the body of the Control of the comments or submissions received from any authority consulted under clause 66 Comment: the application has not been referred to Externation and other planning consideration the local government | YES YES YES | NO Unities. | ed. Concerns the safety of N/A |

Page 4 of 4 Ordinary Council Meeting - 15 May 2023 E23/5127