

Deemed Provisions – Cl 67 Matters to be considered by Local Government

a) The aims and provisions of this Scheme and any other local planning scheme operating within the area	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The proposed keeping of horses is consistent with the stated purpose and intent of the Zone.			
b) The requirements of orderly and proper planning including any proposed local planning scheme or amendment to this Scheme that has been advertised under the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i> or any other proposed planning instrument that the local government is seriously considering adopting or approving	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The scale of the proposed operations and the context of the site present a proposal that would need careful management to ensure adverse environmental and amenity impacts could be avoided. Officers have considered that the implementation of the management plans in their entirety would address amenity and environmental concerns raised within the submissions.			
c) any approved State planning policy	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: State Planning Policy 2.5 – Rural Planning (SPP2.5); State Planning Policy 2.1 The Peel-Harvey Coastal Plain Catchment (SPP2.1); State Planning Policy 3.7 – Planning in Bushfire Prone Areas (SPP3.7).			
d) any environmental protection policy approved under the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> section 31(d)	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
e) any policy of the Commission	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
f) any policy of the State	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
g) any local planning policy for the Scheme area	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
h) any structure plan, activity centre plan or local development plan that relates to the development	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
i) any report of the review of the local planning scheme that has been published under the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			

j) in the case of land reserved under this Scheme, the objectives for the reserve and the additional and permitted uses identified in this Scheme for the reserve	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
k) the built heritage conservation of any place that is of cultural significance	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
l) the effect of the proposal on the cultural heritage significance of the area in which the development is located	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
m) the compatibility of the development with its setting including the relationship of the development to development on adjoining land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the development	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: Officers have considered that the implementation of the management plans in their entirety would address amenity and environmental concerns raised within the submissions.			
n) the amenity of the locality including the following – I. Environmental impacts of the development II. The character of the locality III. Social impacts of the development	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: Discussed in the main report.			
o) the likely effect of the development on the natural environment or water resources and any means that are proposed to protect or to mitigate impacts on the natural environment or the water resource	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: Stormwater condition has been recommended. An updated Waste Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale.			
p) whether adequate provision has been made for the landscaping of the land to which the application relates and whether any trees or other vegetation on the land should be preserved	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
q) the suitability of the land for the development taking into account the possible risk of flooding, tidal inundation, subsidence, landslip, bushfire, soil erosion, land degradation or any other risk	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: Discussed in the main report .			
r) the suitability of the land for the development taking into account the possible risk to human health or safety	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
s) the adequacy of – I. The proposed means of access to and egress from the site; and II. Arrangements for the loading, unloading, manoeuvring and parking of vehicles	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			
t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>

system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and safety			
Comment: The existing roads in the locality can cater for the additional low traffic movements.			
u) the availability and adequacy for the development of the following – I. Public transport services II. Public utility services III. Storage, management and collection of waste IV. Access for pedestrians and cyclists (including end of trip storage, toilet and shower facilities) V. Access by older people and people with disability	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The existing access is considered to be adequate.			
v) the potential loss of any community service or benefit resulting from the development other than potential loss that may result from economic competition between new and existing businesses	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: No			
w) the history of the site where the development is to be located	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: Discussed in the report.			
x) the impact of the development on the community as a whole notwithstanding the impact of the development on particular individuals	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: Measures proposed within various management plans (dust, noise and waste) to be enshrined within the overarching Equine Land Management Plan management plans recommended through conditions, once approved and implemented in their entirety, are considered to address amenity and environmental concerns raised within the submissions received by the Shire.			
y) any submissions received on the application	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: As discussed in the report Officer considers that subject to robust management conditions, the environmental and amenity issues raised can be managed.			
Za) the comments or submissions received from any authority consulted under clause 66	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment: The application was referred to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER), the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) and the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) for comment. Issues raised have been discussed in the main report.			
Zb) any other planning consideration the local government considers appropriate	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
Comment:			