## **Ancillary Dwelling ASSESSMENT SHEET**

APPLICATION DETAILS						
			<b>FILE NO:</b> PA21/511			
OFFICER NAME	Haydn	laydn Ruse				
PROPOSAL	Retros	pective Additions to Ar	ncillary Dwelling			
LOCATION	Lot 117	7, 214 Abernethy Road	l, Byford			
APPLICANT	David a	and Sharene Kelder				
OWNER	As abo	ve				
APPLICATION 29 June 2021 RECEIVED			APPLICATION DATED	29 June 2021		
ZONING	Specia	l Residential	LOT AREA	6,461.808m <sup>2</sup>		

REFERRALS	REFERRALS					
	Y/N	Comment				
DAU Comments	N					
Heritage Precinct	N					
WAPC	N					
Main Roads	N					
Heritage Council	N					
Scheme Heritage Listed	N					
Internal	Υ					
Other	N					

5.5.1 Ancillary Dwellings						
	Required	Proposed	Comment			
Existing Development	Single House	Y	Complies			
Min. Lot Size	350m²	6,461.808m <sup>2</sup>	Complies			
Max. plot ratio	70m <sup>2</sup>	111.64m <sup>2</sup>	Variation			
Design	Compatible with colour, pitch and materials of single house	Green Colourbond, with a gable roof.	Variation			

## Deemed Provisions – Cl 67 Matters to be considered by Local Government

a) The aims and provisions of this Scheme and any other local planning scheme operating within the area	YES 🖂	NO	N/A
Comment: The proposed development is a discretionary use with would comply with the provisions of TPS2.	in the Spec	ial Residen	tial zone and
b) The requirements of orderly and proper planning including any proposed local planning scheme or amendment to this Scheme that has been advertised under the <i>Planning and Development</i> (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 or any other proposed planning instrument that the local government is seriously considering adopting or approving	YES	NO ⊠	<b>N/A</b> □
Comment: The retrospective additions would not comply with the Ancillary Dwellings.	e provisions	s under LPS	3 relating to
c) any approved State planning policy	YES	NO ⊠	N/A
Comment: The development does not comply with the provisions Codes as per the below assessment.	or design	principles o	f the R
d) any environmental protection policy approved under the Environmental Protection Act 1986 section 31(d) – Environmental Protection (Peel Inlet – Harvey Estuary) Policy 1992	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Comment:	ı	Τ	
e) any policy of the Commission	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Comment:			
f) any policy of the State	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Comment:			
g) any local planning policy for the Scheme area	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Comment:			
h) any structure plan, activity centre plan or local development plan that relates to the development	YES ⊠	NO	N/A
Comment: Building envelope			
i) any report of the review of the local planning scheme that has been published under the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i>	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Comment:			21.62
j) in the case of land reserved under this Scheme, the objectives for the reserve and the additional and permitted uses identified in this Scheme for the reserve	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Comment:	1/50		21.15
k) the built heritage conservation of any place that is of cultural significance	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
		i	

Comment:			
I) the effect of the proposal on the cultural heritage significance	YES	NO	N/A
of the area in which the development is located			
·			
Comment:			
m) the compatibility of the development with its setting including	YES	NO	N/A
the relationship of the development to development on adjoining	$\boxtimes$		
land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to,			
the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and			
appearance of the development			
Comment: The development is significantly larger than any simila	r developm	ent in the	surrounding
area and is considered to be inconsistent and incompatible.			
n) the amenity of the locality including the following –	YES	NO	N/A
I. Environmental impacts of the development	$\boxtimes$		
II. The character of the locality			
III. Social impacts of the development			
Comment:			
o) the likely effect of the development on the natural	YES	NO	N/A
environment or water resources and any means that are			$\boxtimes$
proposed to protect or to mitigate impacts on the natural			
environment or the water resource			
Comment:			
p) whether adequate provision has been made for the	YES	NO	N/A
landscaping of the land to which the application relates and			$\boxtimes$
whether any trees or other vegetation on the land should be			
preserved			
Comment:			
q) the suitability of the land for the development taking into	YES	NO	N/A
account the possible risk of flooding, tidal inundation,			
subsidence, landslip, bushfire, soil erosion, land degradation or			
any other risk			
Comment:	1/70		
r) the suitability of the land for the development taking into	YES	NO	N/A
account the possible risk to human health or safety		Ш	Ш
Comment:	VEC	NO	81/8
s) the adequacy of —	YES	NO	N/A
I. The proposed means of access to and egress from the site;	Ш	Ш	
and Arrangements for the leading unleading management and			
<ol> <li>Arrangements for the loading, unloading, manoeuvring and parking of vehicles</li> </ol>			
Comment:			
t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the	YES	NO	N/A
development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road			
system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and			
safety			
Comment:		<u> </u>	
u) the availability and adequacy for the development of the	YES	NO	N/A
following –			$\boxtimes$
I. Public transport services		_	
II. Public utility services			
III. Storage, management and collection of waste			

·		ns and cyclists (includ	ling end of trip			
		nower facilities) ble and people with c	licability			
Comment:	idei peop	ne and people with t	iisabiiity			
v) the potential loss	of any co	ommunity service or	benefit resulting	YES	NO	N/A
1		•				
from the development other than potential loss that may result from economic competition between new and existing businesses						
Comment:						
	site who	ere the development	is to be located	YES	NO	N/A
Community						
Comment:	develon	ment on the commu	nity as a whole	YES	NO	N/A
notwithstanding the						
individuals			·			
Comment:						
y) any submissions i	eceived	on the application		YES 🖂	NO	N/A
						Ш
Comment: Objection	n receive	ed during the consul	tation period			
		sions received from a	any authority	YES	NO	N/A
consulted under cla	use 66					
Comment:						
	ng consi	deration the local go	vernment	YES	NO	N/A
considers appropria	te	_				
Comment:						
5.1.2 STREET SET	BACK					
5.1.3 LOT BOUNDA	RY SET	BACK				
5.1.4 OPEN SPACE						
5.3.1 OUTDOOR LI	VING AF	REAS				
A :!!! D !!!						
Ancillary Dwellin	g	D-t-C	Proposed	ОК	Comment	
Front Setback	g	D-t-C	Proposed	ОК	Comment	
Front Setback (excluding	g Min	<b>D-t-C</b> 20m	Proposed	ОК	Comment	
Front Setback			Proposed 58.11m	OK Y	Comment	
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches,	Min	20m	· .		Comment	
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches, balconies,			· .		Comment	
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches, balconies, verandahs)	Min	20m 10m	58.11m			
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches, balconies, verandahs) Secondary Street	Min	20m 10m m	58.11m m		N/A	
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches, balconies, verandahs) Secondary Street Corner truncation	Min	20m 10m m m	58.11m			
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches, balconies, verandahs)  Secondary Street Corner truncation Setback of unenclose	Min Avg	20m 10m m m Projection into	58.11m m		N/A N/A	
Front Setback (excluding carports, unenclosed porches, balconies, verandahs) Secondary Street Corner truncation	Min Avg	20m 10m m m	58.11m m		N/A	

Ancillary Dwelling			D-t-C		Proposed		ок	Con	nment	
			the primary st setback (no averaging required)							
Setback to Garage			m		m			N/A		
Setback to Car	port		m		m			N/A		
Surveillance of (only where loc	cated on a		early definable try point							
lot with second or right of way			/O of Habitable room facing treet or access leg					N/A		
Garage Door of Width at Fronta			% (single level) 0% (2 storey*)	)				N/A		
Retained Dwell	ing		xisting dwelling be upgraded					N/A		
<b>Building Desig</b>	n	ls	dwelling in a He	erit	age Precinct?	N	Υ			
* See specific C		isions			T =	<u> </u>			T <u> </u>	100
Lot Setbacks	Length		Height		M/O	D-t-	-C		Proposed	ОК
Ground Floor					<u>,                                      </u>				<del>,</del>	
North	N/A		N/A		N/A	10m			105.55m	Y
South (Primary)	N/A		N/A		N/A	20n	20m		58.11m	Y
East	N/A		N/A		N/A	10m			19.558m	Y
West	N/A		N/A		N/A	10m			3.5m	N
Boundary Walls to dwelling	D-t-C Ler	ngth	D-t-C Height		Proposed Length	Pro Hei	pose ght	d	Comments	ОК
									N/A	
Boundary Walls to Patio, verandah or equivalent where behind setback			th D-t-C Height		Proposed Length		pose ght	d	Comments	ОК
	10m		2.7m						N/A	
		i	Required		Proposed	OK	Co	mme	ent	l
Open Space (%	Open Space (%)		s not vary for ngle House	(	Open Space complies	Y				
Outdoor Living	) (m²)		s not vary for ngle House		utdoor Living omplies	Y				

5.1.6 BUILDING HEIGHT				
	D-t-C check category under table 3	Proposed	ок	Comment
Maximum height of wall	7m	3.8m	Y	
Maximum height of building – gable, skillion or concealed	8m	5m	Y	
Maximum height of building – hipped and pitched roof	10m			N/A
5.3.2 - LANDSCAPING				
	D-t-C	Proposed	ок	Comment
Minimum Number of trees	1	0	Υ	Existing vegetation on site
Minimum tree planting area	2m x 2m			N/A
Landscaping of street setback area	Not more than 50% impervious surfaces and roof cover			N/A

5.3.3, 5.3.4, 5	.3.5 ACCI	ESS AND CAR F	PARKING		
		D-t-C	Provided	ОК	Comment
No. Car Bays	/ Dwg.	1	1	Υ	
Design of Pa	rking: Width	2.4m + 0.3m	2.4m + 0.3m	Y	
	Depth	5.4m internal	5.4m	Υ	
Man	oeuvring	6.0m			N/A
Vehicular Access:	<ul><li>availa</li><li>Second exists</li></ul>	ndary street whe	eet or ROW if re no right of way COW or Secondary st.	Υ	
	of 6m		and maximum width	Y	
Driveways:	Pole. • Setba	ack 0.5m to a Sid ack 6.0m from an ed at right angles		Y	

	•	Location to avo		ees or repla	ced at				
5.3.7, 5.3.8, 5	.3.9	SITEWORKS,	RETAINING	G WALLS A	ND S	TORMV	VATER	MANAGEMENT	
Excavation or Fill		Maximum of 0. except to provivehicles, & pro Retaining walls the site and be setback to com Table 4 – Setback Height of site work and/or retaining was 1.5m 2m	de access for the vide natural strain and extended the record of the vortex of site works and the vortex of site works are required to the vortex of site works are required to the vide of the vortex	for pedestria I light. cavation wi quired stree ble 4	ans & thin t	Y			
		2.5m 3m	2.5m 3m			$\exists$			
Storm Water		All Storm Wate				Y		nod: Condition	
5.4.1 VISUAL	. PRI	VACY – FLOO	I	OF MORE T	I		1	1	_
			Location		Prop	osed	OK	Comment / Scre Provisions	ening
Types of hab rooms/active spaces			Setback <r50< td=""><td>Setback &gt;R50</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></r50<>	Setback >R50					
Major Opening and Studies	gs to	Bedrooms	4.5m	3m				N/A	
Major Opening rooms other the studies	_	habitable pedrooms and	6m	4.5m				N/A	
Unenclosed o		or active	7.5m	6m				N/A	
5.4.2 SOLAR	ACC	CESS FOR AD.	JOINING S	ITES					
		D-t-C	Prop	osed	ок	Con	nment		
Overshadow	ing	25%	C	1%	Υ				
Note: R25 and	d lowe	er 25%, R30 – R40				oining sit	e area.		
			E	cternal Fixt	ures				
		[	D-t-C R-Co	des			Pro	oposed	ОК
Solar collector	rs	Installed	on roof or o	other part of					N/A
Television aer	ials	Of	the standar	d type					N/A
Essential plumbing vent pipes	t	Above the ro		external roo	f				N/A
Other externa fixtures	I	Not visible     Designed to     Located to	o integrate v	with the buil	ding				N/A

Storeroom	<ul> <li>4m² per grouped dwelling</li> <li>Minimum dimension of 1.5m when external to garage or 1m when within garage</li> </ul>	N/A
Rubbish Collection	Communal pick up area to be provided where direct street collection not possible	N/A
Clothes Drying areas	Screened from view from primary and secondary street	N/A

areas	secondary street			N/A
				I
Officer Signature:	Haydn Ruse		Date:	6/10/2021
•	ovisions of this Scheme and any other local	YES	NO	N/A
planning scheme of	perating within the area			
Comment: The pro	posed development is considered to align witl	n the aims an	d provisio	ons of
	s of orderly and proper planning including any	YES	NO	N/A
proposed local plan	ning scheme or amendment to this Scheme			
	rtised under the <i>Planning and Development</i>			
•	emes) Regulations 2015 or any other			
	instrument that the local government is			
	ng adopting or approving			
	posed development is considered to generally			
c) any approved Sta	ite planning policy	YES	NO	N/A
	anning Policy 7.3 – Residential Design Codes V	olume 1		
	tal protection policy approved under the	YES	NO	N/A
Environmental Prot	ection Act 1986 section 31(d) –			
Comment:				
e) any policy of the	Commission	YES	NO	N/A
Comment:				
f) any policy of the	State	YES	NO	N/A
Comment:				
	g policy for the Scheme area	YES	NO	N/A
Comment:				
	n, activity centre plan or local development	YES	NO	N/A
plan that relates to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Commont				

i) any report of the review of the local planning scheme that has been published under the <i>Planning and Development (Local</i>	YES	NO	N/A ⊠
Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015  Comment:			
j) in the case of land reserved under this Scheme, the objectives	YES	NO	N/A
for the reserve and the additional and permitted uses identified			$\boxtimes$
in this Scheme for the reserve			
Comment:			
k) the built heritage conservation of any place that is of cultural	YES	NO	N/A
significance			$\boxtimes$
Comment:			
I) the effect of the proposal on the cultural heritage significance	YES	NO	N/A
of the area in which the development is located			$\boxtimes$
Comment:			
m) the compatibility of the development with its setting including	YES	NO	N/A
the relationship of the development to development on adjoining	$\boxtimes$		
land or on other land in the locality including, but not limited to,			
the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and			
appearance of the development		:4h :4a aa44:	
n) the amenity of the locality including the following –	YES	NO	N/A
IV. Environmental impacts of the development			IN/A
V. The character of the locality			
VI. Social impacts of the development			
Comment: The development is not considered likely to detriment	ally impact	the charac	ter or
amenity of the locality.	,		
o) the likely effect of the development on the natural	YES	NO	N/A
environment or water resources and any means that are			
proposed to protect or to mitigate impacts on the natural			
environment or the water resource			
Comment:		T	
p) whether adequate provision has been made for the	YES	NO	N/A
landscaping of the land to which the application relates and			
whether any trees or other vegetation on the land should be			
preserved			
Comment:	VEC	NO	NI/A
q) the suitability of the land for the development taking into account the possible risk of flooding, tidal inundation,	YES	NO	N/A
subsidence, landslip, bushfire, soil erosion, land degradation or			
any other risk			
Comment:			
r) the suitability of the land for the development taking into	YES	NO	N/A
account the possible risk to human health or safety			$\boxtimes$
,			
Comment:			
s) the adequacy of –	YES	NO	N/A
III. The proposed means of access to and egress from the			$\boxtimes$
site; and		İ	

IV. Arrangements for the loading, unloading, manoeuvring			
and parking of vehicles			
Comment:			
t) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the	YES	NO	N/A
development, particularly in relation to the capacity off the road			$\boxtimes$
system in the locality and the probable effect on traffic flow and			
safety			
Comment:			
u) the availability and adequacy for the development of the	YES	NO	N/A
following –			$\boxtimes$
VI. Public transport services			
VII. Public utility services			
VIII. Storage, management and collection of waste			
IX. Access for pedestrians and cyclists (including end of trip			
storage, toilet and shower facilities)			
X. Access by older people and people with disability			
Comment:			
v) the potential loss of any community service or benefit resulting	YES	NO	N/A
from the development other than potential loss that may result			$\boxtimes$
from economic competition between new and existing			
businesses			
Comment:			
w) the history of the site where the development is to be located	YES	NO	N/A
			$\boxtimes$
Comment:			
x) the impact of the development on the community as a whole	YES	NO	N/A
notwithstanding the impact of the development on particular			$\boxtimes$
individuals			
Comment:			
y) any submissions received on the application	YES	NO	N/A
	$\boxtimes$		
Comment: Submissions have been noted and considered in the re	port.		
Za) the comments or submissions received from any authority	YES	NO	N/A
consulted under clause 66			$\boxtimes$
Comment:			
Zb) any other planning consideration the local government	YES	NO	N/A
considers appropriate			$\boxtimes$
Comment:			

Assessment of Variations								
Planning Element	Applicabl e Rule	Design Principles	Deemed-to- Comply	Proposed	Comment	Condition Imposed		
Ancillary Dwellings	R-Codes Clause 5.5.1 P1	P1 Ancillary dwelling is of a small scale and designed to support people living independently or semi-dependently to the residents of the single house, sharing some site facilities and services.  P2 Ancillary dwellings to positively contribute to its setting, including the existing single house and, where visible from the street or adjoining properties, to the amenity of the streetscape and context.	70m² plot ratio area	111.64m <sup>2</sup> plot ratio area	The Ancillary Dwelling is still small scale in relation to the main dwelling and would achieve the intended function of supporting independent living while sharing some site facilities and services.  The additional plot ratio area is located on the eastern side of the Ancillary Dwelling, away from the closest neighbouring property and screened by existing vegetation from the east. The Ancillary Dwelling is located to the rear of the primary dwelling and would not be visible from the street.	Nil		