TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	ATTEND	ANCE & APOLOGIES:	3
2.	PUBLIC	QUESTION TIME:	3
3.	PUBLIC :	STATEMENT TIME:	4
4.	PETITION	NS & DEPUTATIONS:	6
5.	PRESIDE	NT'S REPORT:	6
6.	DECLAR	ATION OF COUNCILLORS AND OFFICERS INTEREST:	7
7. RECOMN		S OF MINUTES OR REPORTS AND CONSIDERATION FO	
		CHANGE OF USE - LOT 90 (840) SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY	
SD069/11 (A0858)		INITIATE MUNDIJONG WHITBY DISTRICT STRUCTURE PLA	N
SD069/11	1/09	MUNDIJONG WHITBY DISTRICT STRUCTURE PLAN (A0858) 1	9
SD058/11 JARRAHI		PROPOSED SHADE SAIL – RESERVE 129 MUNRO STREE 0129-03/03)6	
SD059/11 YANGED	I/09 I ROAD, H	PROPOSED PRIVATE RECREATION – (TWO HANGARS) – LOT 16 HOPELAND (#6,P02909/32, #10,P02909/34)6	4 7
		PROPOSED EXTENSION TO EXISTING HANGAR - LOT 16 IOPELAND (P02909/31)7	
SD068/11 FACILITY		PROPOSED PRIVATE RECREATION - PAINTBALL GAMING BARGE DRIVE, BYFORD (P04188/03)7	
CGAM03	1/11/09 81	DRAFT - WASTE STRATEGY FOR WESTERN AUSTRALIA (A1177	7)
		REQUEST FOR SPEED TO BE REDUCED ON SOUTH WES D (R0001-03)8	
8.	MOTION	S OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN8	6
OCM013/	/11/09	LOCALITY FUNDING PROGRAM (A1631)8	6
		PROPOSED SINGLE DWELLING & SHED – LOT 241 CARDU FORD (P07501/02)9	
9.	CHIEF EX	KECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT9	3
OCM016/	/11/09	INFORMATION REPORT9	3
10.	URGENT	BUSINESS :9	4
11.	COUNCII	LOR QUESTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN:9	4

12.	CLOSUR	E:					94
13.	INFORM	ATION REPORT	- COMMITT	EE DELEGA	ATED AUT	HORITY:	95
EXISTING	SINGLE	PROPOSED RI DWELLING TO (P00249/02)	CARETAKE	R'S DWELLI	NG – LOT	11 (553) GC	SSAGE
SD056/11	/09	DEVELOPMEN	T SERVICES	SINFORMAT	TION REP	ORT	99
SD057/11 STATION (RS0112/	/COMMUI	PROPOSED NITY HALL - LO 100					
		PROPOSED C 7 (72) PURE ST					
		PROPOSED O 359/03)					
		PROPOSED O					
		PROPOSED (MUNDIJONG (P					
		PROPOSED S E, BYFORD (PO					
CGAM029	9/11/09	MONTHLY FI	NANCIAL RE	PORT – OC	TOBER 20	009 (A0924/0	7) 106
CGAM030	0/11/09	CONFIRMATIC	N OF PAYM	ENT OF CRI	EDITORS	(A0917)	106
CGAM03:	3/11/09	INFORMATION	I REPORT				107

NOTE:

- a) The Council Committee Minutes Item numbers may be out of sequence.

 Please refer to Section 10 of the Agenda Information Report Committee Decisions Under Delegated Authority for these items.
- b) Declaration of Councillors and Officers Interest is made at the time the item is discussed.

MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 6 PATERSON STREET, MUNDIJONG ON MONDAY, 23rd NOVEMBER 2009. THE PRESIDING MEMBER DECLARED THE MEETING OPEN AT 7.01PM AND WELCOMED COUNCILLORS, STAFF AND THE MEMBERS OF THE GALLERY.

1. ATTENDANCE & APOLOGIES:

IN ATTENDANCE:

COUNCILLORS: S Twine Presiding Member

M Harris
WJ Kirkpatrick
K Murphy
C Buttfield
MJ Geurds
E Brown
C Randall
T Hoyer
A Lowry

APOLOGIES: Nil

GALLERY: 9

2. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME:

Mrs Bond - 70 Stockmans Close, Oakford

- Q. What qualifications are required to be the Chief Executive Officer of the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire?
- Q. Does the current Chief Executive Officer have these qualifications and if so how long has she held them?
- A. The Chief Executive Officer advised that under the Local Government Act the requirements are for Council to satisfy themselves that the Chief Executive Officer is suitably qualified for the position. Council at the time of appointment of the current Chief Executive Officer resolved this.
- Q. Does the Chief Executive Officer require full Council approval for any increases in salary?
- A. The Chief Executive Officer advised that the Performance Review of the Chief Executive Officer goes before full Council and is endorsed by full Council.
- Q. What position does Brad Gleeson hold at Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire and what are his qualifications?

A. The Chief Executive Officer advised that Brad Gleeson is the Director Development Services and he holds a Bachelor of Urban and Regional Planning.

Mrs Bond requested that all of these responses be provided in writing.

Vitalina Madeo - 92 Keirnan Street, Mundijong

- Q1. Has / will the proposed Primary School earmarked for the property at Lot 177 Keirnan Street and Lot 183 Tonkin Street be/been removed from off of these properties?
- 2. What assurances can the Shire give me that a Primary School will not be placed on my property in the future?
- 3. How soon will the Shire be making a decision as to allowing landowners/ratepayers to subdivide their properties?
- 4. Could I please have a response in writing.

There are larger development lots across the road and I cannot understand why a school has not been placed across the road.

A. The Shire President advised that the District Structure Plan has not been approved and is an indicative plan only at this stage.

The Director Development Services advised that once adopted by Council the District Structure Plan will go to landowners for comment. It will then be finalised and Council will make a decision. The Plan also requires approval from the Western Australian Planning Commission.

The Director Strategic Community Planning advised that the previous submissions received were landowner's views on the Concept Plan. All that is on the table currently is the Concept Plan. This Plan has not yet been finalised however will be throughout the District Structure Plan process.

Maria Jones - 62 Adam Street, Mundijong

- Q. Has met with the Executive Manager Strategic Planning regarding the Mundijong Whitby Structure Plan will the Executive Summary refined Concept Plan be presented as a revised plan for the Mundijong Whitby Structure Plan, thus removing the indicative Primary School sites from Lot 183 and Lot 177?
- A. The Director Strategic Community Planning advised that there is only one Concept Plan in question however there are many parts to this plan.

The Shire President advised that once the District Structure Plan is in place, landowners will have the opportunity to make a submission. The Plan will then be refined and come back to Council for final adoption.

3. PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME:

Fred Cox - Chairman, SJ Telecentre Committee

My name is Fred Cox and I am Chairman of the SJ Telecentre Committee.

We have a current project on the books to build a new facility in Mundijong to house our present and future needs.

The actual building project is fully funded by DEEWR under the Federal Government economic stimulus plan so it must be completed and paid for by 10th June 2010.

As you may know, our initial partnership was with the SJ Grammar School whereby they provided the site and services to support the new construction.

Public feedback and comment from our members suggested that the new building may be better placed in Paterson Street and this ultimately led us to where we are today.

Our partnership with the SJ Shire on this project has proven to be a robust and positive one which I believe will be mutually beneficial to us both, but more importantly beneficial to our Community at large and our customers and users in particular. We would like to see that partnership grow and flourish.

As the project owner and project manager I am delighted with the way that Council officers have adopted the project as their own. Their co-operation in reducing the overall time for approvals is very much appreciated. The project meetings which I have asked for have been well attended with each person contributing according to their area of expertise.

We are aware that there is some discussion in relation to the car park but I remind Councillors that not too long ago there was no available car parking for Council employees or visitors because the Grammar School occupied the entire site.

I hasten to add that any proposals shown on the tendered drawings are merely ideas.

All of the land on that site belongs to the Shire and it is not our intention to be in any way proscriptive on how the final layout should look, that clearly rests with you.

On completion, the new facility will allow us to enhance and increase the range of service we offer to the Community and we trust that it will also become a landmark for the townsite of Mundijong which we hope will attract a larger number and wider range of users.

We are confident that our wide range of partnership bodies such as PDC, TSB, Medicare, Tourism and TAFE will become resources which we can tap into to make the final finished building an attractive addition to the town. TAFE for example may be able to have their horticultural and landscaping classes apply their learnings here and possibly at no cost to the project.

All of the construction work and fit out of the building and service will be completed by locally based trades people and the new Community Resource Centre will provide employment for at least two additional people.

I commend the new building to you as a well designed and fit for purpose construction which will generate a sense of place while fitting in with the Paterson Street guidelines as well as the surrounding environment.

In closing I remind Council that time is very short so we must commence building on either Paterson Street or Bishop Road by the end of November in order to have the project completed within the tight timeframe demanded by the funding body.

Our preference is clearly to have this new facility built on Shire land and then vested in the Shire, the choice is clearly in your hands.

Mrs Bond - 70 Stockmans Close, Oakford

Although I am capable of stating the following without reference to my written statement I will read the following from the statement.

The disorganisation of this Council is a major concern for the residents and ratepayers of this Shire.

What was once a beautiful peaceful rural area within distance of all major needs has now become an unpalatable ghetto of house roofs and some very anti social beings. There is no commonsense with regards to development, planning and the needs of the people who long ago moved to this area because of its beauty. Because of this councils grab for rates, the lack of qualified employees and Councillors who have ignored the needs of residents and ratepayers we have a Shire that is strongly unsustainable and one we can be ashamed of.

The continued interference by those not on Council nor employed by this Council is still very evident in the day to day running of this Council. I don't speak of the Government Departments, residents and ratepayers have sought help and advice from after being frustrated to the point of exhaustion. Your position as Councillors and Council employees is to provide intelligent law abiding co-operative decisions not one rule for one and another rule for others. To push residents and ratepayers to the point where they need to get legal advice because of the stupidity and nonsense thrust upon them, by those whose ignorance can be described as outstanding is an appalling waste of their time and Council resources.

No Councillors should assume that if they are elected unopposed they are doing a good job, its more likely to be the residents who are heartily fed up and perhaps would prefer to direct their energies into one area at a time. A Council is only as good as its CEO, employees and Councillors.

Vitalina Madeo - 92 Keirnan Street, Mundijong

We would like the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire to remove the proposed Primary School from off of my property at (Lot 177) 92 Keirnan Street, Mundijong to one of the larger properties that are near my land, which are owned by the larger development corporations.

Maria Jones - 62 Adam Street, Mundijong

Submissions presented object to the Primary School being earmarked on our property at Lot 183 Adams Street, Mundijong. Having lived and paid rates for the past 32 years we feel the positioning of schools and public buildings should be placed on the developers vast holdings not small land holdings.

4. PETITIONS & DEPUTATIONS:

Nil

5. PRESIDENT'S REPORT:

I would like to thank John Price who has recently retired as Deputy President of the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire for his long and dedicated service to our local residents.

John has been a member of Council for 12 years and Deputy President for six years. During his time on Council, he has been involved in many different and diverse projects. He guided the fledgling Telecentre to the vital part it plays in the community today. He was also involved in assisting the SJ Grammar School to establish an important educational facility in the Shire.

John has comprehensive knowledge of the history of the Shire and has, for many years been a member of the Mundijong and Jarrahdale Historical and Heritage Societies. He was one of the founder members in the establishment of the Jarrahdale Guided Bush Walks. He started the project at the SJ Youth Activity Group to construct a replica 'Diamond Crossing' where the historic timber railway crossed the Government railway. His activities for this Shire are too numerous to detail.

John has also been a member of numerous committees, both in the Shire, in the wider Peel Region and the South East Metropolitan Region. His retentive memory, his sensible views and his way with words has made him a valuable member of these groups.

John's expertise and support of others will be missed in Council. I wish him well in his coming projects of building his dream straw bale house with his wife at his side, and following his passions of flora photography and long distance bike riding.

At the Sustainable Development Committee or at the Corporate Governance and Asset Management Committee the meeting progresses slowly with many questions being asked of officers. Once items are presented at the Ordinary Council Meeting, questions are not so numerous and the meeting progresses quickly. This does not mean that we are not taking care of these items. We are taking great care and the items have been subject to rigorous debate and questions. Between the Sustainable Development Committee and Ordinary Council Meeting there are a number of days where our Chief Executive Officer is kindly there to answer questions. She has also given permission to approach Directors to ask questions. Please do not think that as items are progressing quickly that we are not glossing over them, they have been subject to great debate in the past.

6. DECLARATION OF COUNCILLORS AND OFFICERS INTEREST:

Cr Kirkpatrick declared an interest of impartiality in item SD068/11/09 as he has dealings with the proponent who is a security guard at Alcoa. Cr Kirkpatrick advised that this will not affect the way in which he votes on this matter.

Cr Harris declared an interest of impartiality in item SD059/11/09 as her brother is the applicant. Cr Harris will leave the Chamber whilst this item is discussed.

Cr Harris declared an interest of impartiality in item SD069/11/09 as her brother owns property within this District. Cr Harris advised that this will not affect the way in which she votes on this matter.

Cr Harris declared an interest of impartiality in item OCM014/11/09 as the proponent is her direct superior as a volunteer fire fighter. Cr Harris advised that this will not affect the way in which she votes on this matter.

Cr Brown declared an interest of impartiality in item SD067/11/09 as her sister is a member of the RSL. Cr Brown advised that this will not affect the way in which she votes on this matter.

Cr Lowry declared an interest of impartiality in item SD069/11/09 as she lives in this District and advised that this will not affect the way in which she votes on this matter.

7. RECEIPTS OF MINUTES OR REPORTS AND CONSIDERATION FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

7.1 Audit Committee Meeting - 15 October 2009

Moved Cr Brown, seconded Cr Murphy
The attached (E09/6411) minutes of the Audit Committee Meeting held on
15 October 2009 be confirmed.
CARRIED 10/0

7.2 Ordinary Council Meeting - 26 October 2009

Moved Cr Harris, seconded Cr Brown The *attached (E09/6599)* minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 26 October 2009 be confirmed. CARRIED 10/0

7.3 Special Council Meeting - 17 November 2009

Moved Cr Harris, seconded Cr Geurds
The attached (E09/7001) minutes of the Special Council Meeting held on
17 November 2009 be confirmed.
CARRIED 10/0

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES:

SD067/11/09 CHANGE OF USE – LOT 90 (840) SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY, BYFORD (P05204/01)			
Proponent:	Serpentine – Jarrahdale Returned Services League	In Brief	
Owner:	B Greenham	The applicant seeks approval for	
Author:	Brad Gleeson – Director Development Services	change of land use to "Club" on Lot 90 (#840) South Western Highway,	
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director Development Services	Byford.	
Date of Report 12 October 2009		It is recommended that the	
Previously N/A		application be conditionally	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act	approved.	
Delegation	Council		

Date of Receipt: 15 July 2009

Advertised: Yes
Submissions: None
Lot Area: 1,456.8 m²

L.A Zoning: Urban Development, no zone or local scheme reserve –

local road

MRS Zoning: Urban and Primary Regional Road

Byford Structure Plan: Residential (R30-60)

Rural Strategy Policy Area: MRS / Structure Plan Urban and Future Urban Areas

Date of Inspection: 11 September 2009

Background

This development application is the result of a complaint lodged with the Shire in relation to the Serpentine-Jarrahdale Returned Services League of Australia (RSL) conducting activities on the subject site that were not compliant with the provisions of the Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS2). After discussions between the Shire and the applicant it was suggested that the best path forward would be to lodge an application for a 'change of use' that would enable the types of activities proposed to continue on site.

Council at the Ordinary Council meeting held on 27 July 2009 resolved:

OCM003/07/09 COUNCIL DECISION:

Moved Cr Brown, seconded Cr Kirkpatrick

- 1. That Council notes:
 - a) the RSL's charitable status;
 - b) the apparent good faith acquisition of the current premises without actual knowledge of the permissibility status of the use;
 - c) the apparent lack of unfair competition considerations;
 - d) the lack of malicious or mischievous intent;
 - e) the difficulty of obtaining other suitable premises on an immediate basis;
 - f) a pending development application before Council; and
 - g) that a report will be presented, including legal advice, to a future Council meeting advising on all options.

- 2. Council notes on the other hand the constraints of TPS 2 under which the use is prohibited, and Council's obligation to properly enforce its Scheme as has been done to date in relation to this matter.
- 3. In the special circumstances of the case and without establishing any precedent, Council acknowledges the desirability of affording the RSL the longest reasonable time by way of a winding down period of the purposes of Council's notice to cease under section 214 of the Planning and Development Act.
- 4. In light of 1-3 above, the CEO is authorised to specify six months as the period for cessation, subject to any extension or more favourable decision that may be made by the State Administrative Tribunal.
- 5. The section 214 direction is to notify the RSL of its rights to apply to the Tribunal by way of review for a longer period.

CARRIED 9/0

Outcome of Appeal to State Administrative Tribunal

On the application heard before Member Maurice Spillane and Senior Sessional Member Brian Hunt on 23 September 2009, it is ordered that:

1. Pursuant to s 46(1) of the State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004 (WA) the applicant has leave to withdraw these proceedings and the proceedings are hereby withdrawn.

In response the RSL have lodged a request to change the use of the land from 'Residential' to 'Club Premises' to establish a temporary Club office and facilitate their fundraising activities. The applicant indicates that the site will not be used for any social gatherings and the house will be used to carry out fundraising activities and administration required as part of the Sub Branch activities. A portion of the space will be used to conduct interviews with people seeking to make claims to the Department of Veterans Affairs, Centrelink and other Government agencies, including offering the services of a Justice of the Peace.

At this point in time the RSL have not proposed to modify any of the structures or improvements on site.

A copy of the location and site plan and an aerial photograph are with attachments marked SD067.1/11/09.

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: The applicant is proposing to utilize an existing residential development as a 'Club Premises'. There are no additional developments proposed on site so it is not expected to have any affect on the environment.

Use of Local, Renewable or Recycled Resources: The application will ensure that the activities of the RSL Branch are lawful and can continue to serve the community. The RSL provide a service to the community that supports the capacity building objectives of the Shire's Community Services and Facilities Plan 2020.

Economic Viability: In conversations with the applicant it has been made clear to the Shire that the RSL do not have the money to construct a purpose built facility. The Shire has been working with the RSL to secure an area within the community purpose site that is proposed within The Glades Village Centre. This change of use application is an economic way of continuing to provide the service to the community while a more suitable location is identified.

Economic Benefits: There will be a number of people in the community that benefit from the RSL continuing to conduct business in the area. Many of those people are the underprivileged and unemployed.

Social – Quality of Life: By approving a 'change in use' the Shire will be supporting an existing community group and allowing them to continue contributing to the community.

Social and Environmental Responsibility: The application is socially responsible and builds up the community and enables full participation of all members in society.

Social Diversity: Many applications do not address the needs of the less privileged in society. This application will assist the RSL to provide such a service.

<u>Statutory Environment:</u> Serpentine Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No.2

(TPS 2)

Policy/Work Procedure

<u>Implications:</u> There are no work procedures/policy implications directly

related to this application.

<u>Financial Implications:</u> If the application is refused and that decision is appealed

there would be financial implications for the Council

related to legal costs and officer time.

<u>Strategic Implications:</u>
This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

2. Develop good services for health and well being.

- 3. Retain seniors and youth within the community.
- 4. Respect diversity within the community.
- 6. Ensure a safe and secure community.

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

- 1. Increase information and awareness of key activities around the Shire and principles of sustainability.
- 2. Develop compatible mixed uses and local employment opportunities in neighbourhoods.
- 4. Foster a strong sense of community, place and belonging.

Objective 3: High level of social commitment

Strategies:

- Encourage social commitment and self determination by the SJ community.
- 2. Build key community partnerships.

3. Economic

Objective 1: A vibrant local community

Strategies:

1. Attract and facilitate appropriate industries, commercial activities and employment.

4. Governance

Objective 1: An effective continuous improvement program

Strategies:

5. Harness community resources to build social capital within the Shire.

Objective 2: Formation of Active Partnerships to progress key programs and projects

Strategies

- 1. Improve coordination between Shire, community and other partners.
- 2. Improve customer relations service.
- 3. Develop specific partnerships to effectively use and leverage additional resources.

Community Consultation:

The application was referred to four affected landowners and Main Roads for comment prior to being presented to Council for determination. The only submission received during the advertising period was from Main Roads. Prior to referral to the affected landowners the Shire received an email of support for the development.

Affected Property	Summary of Submission	Support/ Object	Officer's Comment	Action (Condition/ Support/ Dismiss)
Main Roads	Proposed development is acceptable to Main Roads	Support	There are a number of recommended conditions. As no additional development is proposed on site the recommended conditions do not apply to this application. They would be relevant where further development was proposed.	Support

Comment:

The following provides an assessment of the development application against TPS2, the Byford (District) Structure Plan and the draft Byford Town Centre Local Structure Plan.

Delegation

A portion of the subject lot is reserved Primary Regional Road within the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS). An application within or abutting the MRS is to be determined by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) unless delegated to the local authority or other local authority.

Notice of delegation to local government of certain powers and functions of the Western Australian Planning Commission relating to the Metropolitan Region Scheme.

Referral Requirements for development on land on or abutting a Primary Regional Roads and Other Regional Roads Reservation.

Development applications that are on land that abuts or that are fully reserved as Primary Regional Roads (PRR) or Other Regional Roads (ORR) in the MRS shall be referred to Main Roads WA or the Department of Planning and Infrastructure, where required for comment and recommendation, before being determined by the local government....

Government Gazette 19 December 2008

This section of South Western Highway is considered to be a Category 2 road. Within the WAPC delegations it states that 'No vehicle access is permitted' and the referral public authority is Main Roads WA. Based on the delegation, the proposed change of use was

referred to Main Roads for comment. Main Roads is supportive of the application subject to a range of conditions

A copy of the Main Roads response is with attachments marked SD067.2/11/09.

Town Planning Scheme No. 2

The subject site is zoned 'Urban Development' within TPS2 and within that zone development is to be in accordance with an adopted structure plan. Within that area the Byford (District) Structure Plan has been adopted and the provisions of the structure plan will be detailed later in this report.

In relation to the land use, the applicant is proposing a change in use from 'Residential' to 'Club Premises'. Club Premises within TPS2 is defined as:

'Club Premises -

Means land and buildings used or designed for use by a legally constituted club or association or other body or persons united by a common interest whether such building or premises be licensed under the provisions of the Liquor Act, 1970 (as amended) or not and which building or premises are not otherwise classified under the provisions of the Scheme.

The applicant has not indicated a desire to apply for a licence under the provisions of the Liquor Act 1970. The intent of the 'Club Premises' is to enable the typical range of club activities to occur on site, such as the fundraising activities and office.

Byford (District) Structure Plan

The Byford (District) Structure Plan has been adopted pursuant to TPS2 and provides guidance in relation to land use and development. The subject site is identified as 'Residential (R30-60)'. On the 22 June 2009 Council considered a review of the Byford District Structure Plan. At that meeting Council adopted a minor amendment to provide for an operative section to the Byford (District) Structure Plan. Provisions located on the map have now been included into an operative section of the structure plan. There are a number of provisions that provide guidance to development within the precinct, however, the following provisions directly effect the development application before Council:

- 2.2 The Byford Structure Plan is a District Structure Plan. The Structure Plan provides the **broad-district level** planning framework for development of the Structure Plan area. It provides the **broad disposition of land use**, major roads, rail and other community infrastructure. It is intended that the Structure Plan will form the general basis for subsequent preparation of Local Structure Plans on a precinct-basis.
- 3.0 Requirement for the preparation of Local Structure Plans
- 3.1 This Structure Plan provides indicatives zonings, residential density codings and detailed development standards and requirements. Consequently, no subdivision or development should be commenced or carried out until such time as a Local Structure Plan has been prepared, adopted by Council and approved by the Western Australian Planning Commission for the relevant precinct within the Structure Plan area.
- 3.4 Notwithstanding Clause 3.1 above, any application for development submitted before a Structure Plan has been

adopted by Council and approved by the Western Australian Planning Commission shall be considered in accordance with clause 5.18.7 of the Scheme.

As is detailed within the provisions of the Byford (District) Structure Plan the application can be determined by Council in accordance with Clause 5.18.7 of TPS2.

Town Planning Scheme No. 2

- 5.18.7 No development Before Structure Plan
- 5.18.7.1 Except as provided in sub-clauses 5.18.7.2 and 5.18.7.3 hereof, no new development or use of land shall be commenced or carried out within the Urban Development Zone until a Structure Plan has been approved for the relevant part of the zone.
- 5.18.7.2 Development of a single house on a lot within the "urban Development" zone prior to the approval of a Structure Plan is permitted subject to the Council being satisfied that such development will not have an adverse effect on:-
- (a) the preparation of a Structure Pan for; or
- (b) the orderly and proper planning of the area intended for the preparation of a Structure Plan
- 5.18.7.3 Council may approve the development or use for other than a single house within the Urban Development zone subject to Council being satisfied that the nature and scale of such development or use will not have an adverse effect on:
- (a) the preparation of a Structure Pan for; or
- (b) the orderly and proper planning of; or
- (c) the health, amenity, safety or convenience of the future occupants of the area intended for the preparation of a Structure Plan, and subject to the proposed development of use being advertised for public inspection in accordance with Clause 6.3.

Pursuant to clause 5.18.7.2 a single dwelling is permitted as long as there are no adverse effects on the preparation of a structure plan. Council needs to consider whether the application may adversely effect the preparation of a local structure plan for precinct 11 of the Byford (District) Structure Plan, the orderly and proper planning or adversely effect the health, amenity, safety or convenience of the future occupants of the area.

Byford Town Centre Local Structure Plan

Council at a Special Meeting on 12 October 2009 considered a draft Local Structure Plan (LSP) for the Byford Town Centre and determined that the LSP was satisfactory for advertising, subject to a number of modifications as follows:

- 'B. Pursuant to Clause 5.18.3.2 of Town Planning Scheme No. 2 determine that the Byford Town Centre Local Structure Plan as provided in Attachment SCM008.1/10/09 is satisfactory for advertising with the following modifications:
- (1) The portion indicated as "Area subject to further investigations" be confined to Lots 6, 8, 9, 15, 200, 201 and 202.
- (2) The removal of the Highway Commercial designation for those lots on the eastern side of the South Western Highway, north of Clara Street.'

The above-mentioned modifications to the LSP do not impact on the subject land. The draft LSP, as deemed satisfactory for advertising, depicts the subject land as part of the future 'Town Centre' zone. The Town Centre LSP is accompanied by a local planning policy (LPP) to provide guidance on matters such as preferred land uses and built form outcomes. The

subject land is included in the 'Town Centre East Precinct' under the LPP, which identifies the following as 'preferred land uses'.

- Retail:
- Office;
- Café;
- Civic facilities:
- Showrooms and:
- Residential.

The Byford Town Centre LSP and associated LPP have not been adopted and as such do not yet have full statutory effect and are still potentially subject to change. Historically, the land has been broadly designated as 'Residential' under the Byford (District) Structure Plan, with 'shop' and other similar uses being not permitted. Recognising that the Town Centre LSP represents a substantial refinement to the Byford (District) Structure Plan, it is considered appropriate that any decision to allow uses that have not historically been permitted be deferred at this time. It may, however, be appropriate for Council to determine the application at this time for a 'club premises', with appropriate conditions to preclude various activities including the selling of goods by way of retailing.

Access to site/car-parking.

The Shire's engineers have raised concerns relating to visitors to the premises and the safety associated with accessing the site from South Western Highway. To ameliorate those concerns it is proposed that all vehicular access; be it associated with fund raising activities or social welfare, shall be gained from the rear lane way only (the portion that connects Clara Street & Blytheswood Avenue). The current standard of the lane way is expected to accommodate the expected number of vehicle movements proposed per day. This will ensure the safety of the visitors and the officers of the organisation.

There are parking standards set out in Section 7.7 of TPS 2. For a club premises, Table 5 requires 1 space per 4 persons to be accommodated. Clause 7.7.3 of TPS 2 requires that all parking areas to be (a) laid out and designed in accordance with the specifications set out in Appendix 11 and Appendix 12; and (b) paved and marked to the satisfaction of the Council.

Clause 5.2 of TPS 2 provides Council with the discretion to modify development standards, as follows:

'5.2.1 If a development, other than a residential development, the subject of an application for planning consent, does not comply with a standard or requirement prescribed by the Scheme with respect to that development the Council, may, notwithstanding that non-compliance, approve the application unconditionally or subject to such conditions as the Council thinks fit.'

The application does not propose the construction of any formal parking bays. Although it is open to Council to approve a development where it does not comply with a standard or requirements, Council is legally required to consider the matters set out in Clause 5.2.2, as follows:

- '5.2.2 The power conferred by this Clause may only be exercised if the Council is satisfied that:
- a) approval of the proposed development would be consistent with the orderly and proper planning of the locality and the preservation of the amenity of the locality;
- b) the non-compliance will not have any adverse effect upon the occupiers or users of the development or the inhabitants of the locality or upon the likely future development of the locality; and
- c) the spirit and purpose of the requirement or standard will not be unreasonably departed from thereby.'

Health and Building Requirements

There are requirements under relevant Health and Building requirements that would need to be satisfied. Although they are supported by separate legislation, these matters are considered to be relevant planning considerations.

The existing building is not likely to comply with the above-mentioned requirements. Under the BCA and the Health Act, there is no discretion to vary these requirements. The proponents have previously advised that as a temporary premises, they are not prepared to invest the necessary funds into the building to achieve compliance with the above-mentioned building and health requirements. Failure to comply with the BCA and Health Act will result in compliance action being taken by the Shire.

Conclusion

RSL intend to establish a temporary club office that will facilitate their fundraising activities and provide a space to conduct interviews with people seeking to make claims to the Department of Veterans Affairs, Centrelink and other Government agencies, including offering the services of a Justice of the Peace. These are services that are supporting some of the most frail in our community and providing a worthy capacity building activity that is supported by the Shire.

The approval of the change of use from 'residential' to 'club premises' (with appropriate conditions) would not appear to compromise the preparation and finalisation of a LSP for the Byford Town Centre. The approval of uses relating to the selling of goods, however, would in the opinion of officers be premature. The proposed planning framework represents a significant refinement to the Byford Structure Plan and the LSP and LPP themselves are potentially subject to change, following advertising for public comment. The introduction of land uses that have historically not been permitted by the Shire at this time is not considered appropriate.

Aside from the proposed uses for the land, there are a number of statutory requirements that need to be carefully considered including the car-parking requirements of TPS 2, the requirements under the Building Code of Australia and the Health Act 1911.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the application for approval for change of use from 'Residential' to 'Club Premises' at Lot 90 (#840) South Western Highway, Byford be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The displaying of goods for sale and the exchange of goods by way of retailing shall not be permitted.
- 2. Vehicular access shall only be gained from the rear lane way only (the portion that connects Clara Street and Blytheswood Avenue);
- 3. The lane way to the rear of Lot 90 South Western Highway shall be kept clear of parked vehicles at all times;
- 4. All parking shall be onsite, to the rear of Lot 90 as illustrated on site plan, and is the responsibility of the applicant:
- 5. The rear crossover to the lane way, is the sole responsibility of the lot owner; and shall be maintained and dis-allowed to fall into dis-repair.
- 6. No development other than landscaping shall be permitted on land as shown required for future road purposes on the enclosed extract of WAPC drawing 1.2656/1.
- 7. A separate application for planning approval including a plan or description of all signs for the proposed development (including signs painted on the building) shall be submitted and approved by the Council prior to the erection of any signage on the site.

8. No signs are to be displayed in the road reserve at any time.

Advice notes

- 1. The applicant is advised that the premises will need to comply with the BCA and Health Act.
- 2. The building is not to be occupied until a Certificate of Classification has been issued by the Shire.
- No indigenous vegetation and trees shall be destroyed or cleared except by the
 proponent obtaining the prior consent of the Council in writing, where such vegetation
 is dangerous or where the clearing is required to accommodate approved
 developments.
- 4. All activity at the site is to comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.
- 5. This property is affected by land reserved in the Metropolitan Region Scheme as shown on the enclosed extract of WAPC drawing 1.2656/1 and will be required for road purposes at sometime in the future.
- 6. The project for the upgrading/widening of South Western Highway is not in Main Roads current 4-year forward estimated construction program and all projects not listed are considered long term. Be aware that timing information is subject to change and that Main Roads assumes no liability whatsoever for the information provided.

SD067/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Kirkpatrick, seconded Cr Hoyer

That the application for approval for change of use from 'Residential' to 'Club Premises' at Lot 90 (#840) South Western Highway, Byford be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The displaying of goods for sale and the exchange of goods by way of retailing shall not be permitted.
- 2. Vehicular access shall only be gained from the rear lane way only (the portion that connects Clara Street and Blytheswood Avenue);
- 3. The lane way to the rear of Lot 90 South Western Highway shall be kept clear of parked vehicles at all times;
- 4. All parking shall be onsite, to the rear of Lot 90 as illustrated on site plan, and is the responsibility of the applicant;
- 5. The rear crossover to the lane way, is the sole responsibility of the lot owner; and shall be maintained and dis-allowed to fall into dis-repair.
- 6. No development other than landscaping shall be permitted on land as shown required for future road purposes on the enclosed extract of WAPC drawing 1.2656/1.
- 7. A separate application for planning approval including a plan or description of all signs for the proposed development (including signs painted on the building) shall be submitted and approved by the Council prior to the erection of any signage on the site.
- 8. No signs are to be displayed in the road reserve at any time.

Advice notes

- 1. The applicant is advised that the premises will need to comply with the BCA and Health Act.
- 2. No indigenous vegetation and trees shall be destroyed or cleared except by the proponent obtaining the prior consent of the Council in writing, where such vegetation is dangerous or where the clearing is required to accommodate approved developments.
- 3. All activity at the site is to comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.
- 4. This property is affected by land reserved in the Metropolitan Region Scheme as shown on the enclosed extract of WAPC drawing 1.2656/1 and will be required for road purposes at sometime in the future.

5. The project for the upgrading/widening of South Western Highway is not in Main Roads current 4-year forward estimated construction program and all projects not listed are considered long term. Be aware that timing information is subject to change and that Main Roads assumes no liability whatsoever for the information provided.

CARRIED 10/0

Committee note: The Officer Recommended Resolution was changed by deleting Advice Note 2.

		Y DISTRICT STRUCTURE PLAN
()	A0858)	
Proponent:		In Brief
Owner:		
Officer:	Councillor Kevin Murphy	Council receives the Mundijong
Senior Officer:		Whitby Enquiry by Design Workshop
Date of Report	17 November 2009	Outcomes Report and resolves to
Previously	Not Applicable	initiate a District Structure Plan.
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Council	

Committee/Councillor Recommended Resolution:

- 1. Council receives the Mundijong/Whitby Enquiry by Design Workshop Outcomes Report (v4 August 2009) and resolves to initiate a District Structure Plan.
- 2. Council resolves to engage a suitably qualified person or company as lead consultant to expeditiously finalise the District Structure Plan suitable for advertising with assistance from consultants specialised in such disciplines as the lead consultant finds necessary; a framework for a developer contribution fund is to be included.
- 3. Time is of the essence with the target date for the final DSP to come to Council for consideration of approval and recommendation to the WAPC in May 2010.
- 4. Council accepts the offer from the major developers within the District Structure Plan area, that these developers will pre-fund the cost of the consultants to carry out the work as required; such funding is not refundable by the Shire. The developers accept that the consultants, whilst working on the Mundijong/Whitby projects, will be engaged by the Shire, report to the Shire and accept directions only from the Shire.

Council Note: Council notes and takes into consideration the advice of the CEO to the effect that to proceed with initiation of the District Structure Plan at this time would be unwise due to unresolved questions relating to the capacity of the Shire's staff and financial resources to perform the work that would arise following the initiation of the District Structure Plan. Council considers these resolutions to be expedient.

Officer Comment (from Sustainable Development Committee Agenda)

Officers are committed to working throughout the weekend in order to continue progressing the orderly and properly planning of Mundijong Whitby and to provide the most professional advice possible within the timeframe to assist Council in making an informed decision.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

This item was inadvertently listed in the Agenda as OCM015/11/09.

SD069/11/09 N	MUNDIJONG WHITBY DISTRICT	STRUCTURE PLAN (A0858)
Proponent: Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire		In Brief
Owner: Not applicable		
Officer:	Joanne Abbiss - Chief Executive Officer; Suzette van Aswegen - Director Strategic Community Planning; Brad Gleeson - Director Development Services	The Officer Recommended Resolution is for the Shire to continue its process of orderly and proper planning of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan.
Senior Officer:		
Date of Report	20 November 2009	
Previously	SD069/11/09	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act	
Delegation	Council	

INTRODUCTION

This is the most professional advice able to be provided within the timeframe in order to assist councillors in making what is a major decision with far reaching implications for the sustainability of future communities and the Shire as an organisation.

MUNDIJONG WHITBY

History

In order to provide councillors with some context for the consideration of the notice of motion that has been put forward and given that many of the current councillors charged with making a decision on this matter have not been party to the history regarding the development of the Mundijong Whitby Urban Cell, a detailed chronology has been provided in order to demonstrate the comprehensive, orderly and proper planning process the Shire has been systematically and independently progressing. It could be argued that the summary is too detailed but this has been done deliberately to provide an almost physical context of the scale of the current circumstances in relation to what has come before and what is yet to come.

How did it all start?

In April 2002 the Western Australian Planning Commission's (WAPC) determination of subdivision application WAPC 117398 included the following advice note:

"As advised in its letter of 6 December 2000 in relation to WAPC Reference 114114 the Commission requests the Council prepare as a matter of priority planning guidelines to assist in the consideration of subdivision and development proposals in the interim period leading up to the preparation of the structure plan for Mundijong.

A Structure Plan for the Mundijong urban cell should be prepared as a matter of priority in view of increasing pressure to subdivide the larger land holdings in the townsite without the benefit of an overall guiding plan that addresses the objectives for co-ordinated planning of development as detailed in the Commission's South East Corridor Structure Plan (1996), and required by the Shire's Town Planning Scheme No. 2."

Council considered this matter at its meeting of 27th May 2002 wherein it resolved:

"CRP131 COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Scott seconded Cr Price that

- In response to the advice note from the WA Planning Commission arising in respect of the Commissions consideration of WAPC S117398 (Lot 1 Adams Street, Mundijong), Council advises the Commission that preparation of a Structure Plan for Mundijong is included in Council's Draft 2002-2007 Principal Activities Plan for 2004/2005, as the issue of Mineral Sand Mining has yet to be resolved.
- 2. Council advises the WA Planning Commission that it has not allocated resources in its Draft 2002-2007 Principal Activities Plan for the next financial year to prepare interim planning guidelines for Mundijong, however, if the Commission were to respond favourably to Council's requests of 31 July 2001 and 19 February 2002 for a \$10,000 contribution towards its Byford Urban Stormwater Management Strategy it would be able to consider the preparation of interim planning guidelines for Mundijong next financial year.

CARRIED 9/0

Note: The Committee Recommended Resolution was changed in point 1 to include the issue of Mineral Sand Mining."

Proposal to lift the "Urban Deferred" under the Metropolitan Region Scheme

With regard to the issue of mineral sand mining, Urban Pacific (a development arm of the Macquarie Bank) purchased in September 2005 a large 504ha site in the north eastern corner of the Mundijong Whitby Urban Cell which had been the subject of prolonged interest for its mineral sand mining potential since the 1980s, with a view to progressing urban development. A request was then lodged by Urban Pacific with the Shire and the WAPC for the transfer of the land from "Urban Deferred" to "Urban" in the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS).

In 1995 the subject land had been zoned by the WAPC as Urban Deferred in the MRS via the South Eastern Corridor Amendment 933/33, following a request from the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire. The Green Towns Study undertaken by the Shire had identified that this land would form the north-eastern portion of the proposed Mundijong Urban Village. A draft structure plan was prepared as an outcome of the Green Towns Study undertaken by the Shire in 1994 however this plan had no formal status other than as a planning study.

The Shire was requested by Roberts Day Planning Consultants, acting for Urban Pacific, to provide written advice to the WAPC in support of the request for the land to be transferred from the Urban Deferred Zone to the Urban Zone in the MRS. The request was accompanied by a planning report which outlined a very ambitious timeframe for development by Urban Pacific. Officers identified in the report to Council that in order to accelerate the formalisation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan (MWDSP) additional resources would be required. The Shire allocated a two day per week strategic planning resource to undertake the preparation of the MWDSP.

At the time of the request the land was not included in the Metropolitan Development Program (and still isn't today), and was therefore not within the water and wastewater planning horizon of the Water Corporation, the MWDSP had not been completed and there was no supporting environmental or urban planning research to assess the implications of lifting the urban deferment.

Officers recommended that the request to transfer the land from the Urban Deferred Zone to Urban in the MRS needed to be considered in the context of the following:

- Urban development would possibly lead to the existing mineral sand mining tenements over this land being extinguished.

- Urban Deferred zone as presently delineated includes land which may not be environmentally suitable for urban development.
- This site is not within the Metropolitan Development Program of the State Government.
- Urban drainage and the implications for the hydrology of the locality have yet to be resolved.
- Structure planning work which would better inform the spatial delineation of the urban zone has only just been commenced.
- Preliminary consideration of the proposal by officers of the Shire and the Department for Planning and Infrastructure indicates that transfer of the entire Urban Deferred landholding to Urban ahead of proper environmental and urban planning research may jeopardise environmentally sustainable objectives for habitat protection, in terms of in-situ biodiversity and faunal links between the coastal plain and the Darling Scarp habitats.

In the light of these significant and unresolved issues officers recommended that the Shire offered the following advice to the Department for Planning and Infrastructure:

"The Shire supports the request to transfer the land from Urban Deferred to Urban Zone in principle, it is does not however advocate this action to the WA Planning Commission until and unless known areas of environmental quality and conservation value have been identified and removed from the proposed transfer for subsequent and more appropriate zoning/reservation in the Metropolitan Region Scheme.

The land is not yet included in the Metropolitan Development Program (MDP) and therefore important issues of servicing such as wastewater and drainage have not been addressed or resolved. The Shire is aware that issues of servicing the land and strategic water planning should be properly addressed and resolved as a part of the land being included in the MDP.

The Shire does not support the use of this land for mineral sand mining activity as per Council's Planning Policy No. 7 – Objections Against Mineral Sand Mining in the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale.

This advice is also provided to the South Eastern District Planning Committee for their further information."

At its meeting on the 27 March 2006 the Council did not support the officer recommendation and resolved to advise the WAPC that it supported the request.

"SD112/03/06 COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Hoyer seconded Cr Murphy

The Council resolves to provide the following advice to the Western Australian Planning Commission:

- 1. Council supports the request to transfer the land from Urban Deferred to Urban.
- 2. The Council does not support mineral sand mining at the Whitby, Cardup and Mundijong locations as is consistent with the 19 year community and Shire objection to Mineral Sands Mining over the land as per Planning Policy No. 7 Objections Against Mineral Sand Mining in the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.

Advice Note:

1. This resolution is also to be provided to the South Eastern District Planning Commission for their information. Such support recognises that known "bush forever" sites and other areas of environmental quality and conservation value have been identified and should be fully acknowledge as requiring a "Parks and Recreation" or other protected status as the proposed transfer occurs.

CARRIED 6/3"

Initiation of the MWDSP

The District Structure Plan for Mundijong Whitby covers the area bounded by South Western Highway to the east, the proposed Tonkin Highway extension to the south (south of Watkins Road), the proposed Tonkin Highway extension to the west and Bishop and Norman Roads to the north.

The initiation of the MWDSP began in April 2006 when Council endorsed the proposed process for the orderly and proper preparation of a District Structure Plan for Mundijong Whitby.

SD123/04/06 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Price seconded Cr Wigg

The report on the proposed District Structure Plan Process for Mundijong/Whitby including the community consultation processes be endorsed. CARRIED 7/0

Council endorsed process for preparation of the MWDSP

In the April 2006 report, officers recommended that a Community Enquiry Workshop Process (CEWP) be utilised to inform the preparation of the MWDSP. The main aim of undertaking such a process was to raise awareness of the principles of best practice, sustainable urban design and to explore and demonstrate how they could be applied, through an interactive community consultation process. It was envisaged that the process would assist in developing ideas, solutions and outcomes in real-world planning and design situations.

Participants with different skills and knowledge of the site would work in teams to investigate and understand urban issues and future trends. A broader and better understanding of the principles of best practice, sustainable urban design would develop as a result of such an approach.

Objectives of the CEWP

- 1. Introduce the application of the principles of best practice, sustainable urban design.
- 2. Educate participants in the planning process.
- 3. Test any current plans and further develop these plans in accordance with the above principles.
- 4. Bring together professionals from the planning and development sector and other interested parties who will have the opportunity to debate and agree upon the best ways to achieve designs that reflect those principles.
- 5. Allows participants to draw designs instead of just talking about them.
- 6. Encourages participants to respond to the physical characteristics of an actual site by examining and reinforcing local characteristics and protecting natural features.
- 7. Help participants to understand the development opportunities and constraints of a site and how designs may be implemented.
- 8. Provide important feedback to assist in refining design principles and the policy and statutory framework through which they are applied.

Outcomes of CEWP

The outcomes from the CEWP process would inform the finalisation of the draft District Structure Plan that would then be advertised and considered through the formal planning process. The benefits of using a CEWP process include:

- Education of the community on the planning process and the reasons, considerations and decisions that are made during this process
- A draft Structure Plan that all stakeholders, private and public, have been involved in preparing and have an understanding of

- Reduce the potential for objections to proposals put forward in the draft plan as a result of everyone being involved from the very beginning
- The involvement of the community in a planning process that they would not normally have the opportunity to be involved in
- All planning work and decisions being made in an open environment

Who is responsible?

It was clearly outlined in the report adopted by Council in April 2006 that the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire would take the leading role in organising and managing the MWDSP process with a number of government agencies, private stakeholders and various consultants and the community being involved.

Participants

It was proposed that two groups be established, a Technical Working Group and a Consultation Group.

The Technical Working Group would prepare and participate in all briefings/workshops and was proposed to consist of:

- Council Officers
- Department for Planning & Infrastructure
- Department of Environment
- Department of Education and Training
- Water Corporation
- Council appointed Consultant Team (facilitator, town planners, architects, urban designers, traffic engineers, environmental scientists, landscape architects, economic development/retail development specialists)
- Other government stakeholders may be required to discuss specific issues during the running of the process eg Public Transport Authority, Main Roads WA.

These people would attend the whole workshop and would collectively develop the designs and other outcomes for presentation at the end of the process. This group would also run the day to day and technical side of the workshop process.

A second group known as a Consultation Group would consist of:

- Councillors
- Community Representatives
- Developers/landowners
- Any agencies that may have funding and service delivery implications

This group would be present mainly at the design review sessions, although some individuals may attend at other times. This group is made up mainly of those who have a clear interest in the outcomes, either as decision makers, implementers or clients.

Community Consultation:

The CEWP outlined above is based on extensive community involvement in the planning process and far exceeds the traditional community involvement in the preparation of a Structure Plan. The CEWP would also be followed by the formal planning process and advertising of a District Structure Plan which provides further opportunity for the community to comment on the Draft Plan.

The Community Enquiry Workshop Process was considered the most effective process to carry out the preparation of a District Structure Plan for Mundijong/Whitby. It would involve the community, private and public stakeholders, government agencies and Council in the preparation of a plan that will lead the future development of the Mundijong/Whitby area.

Mundijong-Whitby District Structure Plan process A half-day forum involving selected members of the community to identify community values associated with Community Consultation Group focus forum Community input series of key themes (environment, transport, drainage, retail, etc) Outcomes of the Community Focus Forum compiled by Compilation of forum results SJ Shire and distributed to attendees **Briefing Session Day** Community input Focus Forum outcomes confirmation A three-day briefing session to advise an expert technical group of the community values from the Community Focus Forum. The technical group will then investigate the key themes for issues that may arise and Technical analysis of themes and issues Community Consultation Group review of themes and issues Community input _ then report back to the community group for discussion. Each day of the Briefing Session will investigate several **Briefing Session Day** of the key themes. Other than helping to inform everyone involved, the Technical analysis of themes and issues briefing sessions will identify technical issues, areas of conflict between the imperatives of different themes, and Community Consultation Group review of issues Community input consistency or otherwise of potential solutions with community values. **Briefing Session Day** The Briefing Session will also highlight areas of further study required to find out any missing information that Technical analysis of issues will be needed for the workshop. Community Consultation Group review of Community input Whole of community debrief session Outcomes of the Briefing Session compiled and additional Compilation of issues and preparation of additional material information by SJ Shire Workshop Day 1 Community input Recap of values, issues and additional info Technical design session A three-day Enquiry-by-Design workshop to utilise a raft of technical expertise to prepare concepts for discussion Workshop Day 2 by the community group. Depending on the complexity of the issues arising from the Briefing Session, the technical group may prepare a range of planning scenarios at the workshop for debate Technical design session by the community group. Community Consultation Group review of The role of the community group at the workshop review session is to provide feedback and guidance so that Community input design sessions over the course of the workshop, a preferred plan may Workshop Day 3 emerge and be investigated in sufficient detail by the group of technical experts. Technical design session Community input .. Community Consultation Group review of design session Whole of community debrief session Workshop plans refined by the consultant team and Refinement of workshop plan and supporting text supporting text prepared by the SJ Shire. Refined plan and supporting documentation considered by Council for advertising within the broader community. Consideration by Council for Councillor input advertising Refined plan and supporting documentation presented Community consultation Community input to the broader community for review and the preparation of submissions Community input Remainder of statutory planning process

What happened next?

Draft timeframe

Following the endorsement of the process a Workshop was held with the Councillors on 9 May 2006 where the finer details of the CEWP were discussed. From this Workshop it was requested that a draft time table be prepared for the project which was presented to Council for consideration in June 2006.

Officers provided what they believed to be an achievable but ambitious timeframe with the caveat that there are issues and external influences that can significantly affect time tables that are out of the control of Council. Officers advised that there may need to be extensions made to the time table in the future to ensure that adequate information is provided and appropriate feedback obtained. The original timeframe has not been met.

Statutory Planning Process

There were also a number of steps in the project which needed to be progressed in tandem with the preparation of the draft MWDSP. These included:

<u>Lifting Urban Deferment</u> – There was still land outside of the Urban Pacific proposal that remained under Urban Deferred in the MRS. There was a requirement for a request to be prepared and lodged with the WAPC to transfer this land to Urban following the same process as that undertaken by Urban Pacific. It was recommended that Council prepare and lodge this request on behalf of the landowners affected, due to the fragmented ownership and given that Council is preparing the District Structure Plan. It was proposed that the draft District Structure Plan be used as the basis for the request for the lifting of the deferment. This request would be lodged with the WAPC during the advertising of the draft District Structure Plan.

<u>Bush Forever</u> – A Negotiated Planning Solution (NPS) relating to the Bush Forever site located on the northern portion of Lots 22, 23 and 29 Norman Road/South Western Highway owned by Urban Pacific needed to be achieved. This involved discussions between Department for Planning and Infrastructure's Bush Forever Office, Department of Environment, CALM, Council Officers and Urban Pacific to determine the extent of the Bush Forever vegetation, management of this land and appropriate development interface.

<u>Town Planning Scheme Amendment</u> – A number of amendments to Council's Town Planning Scheme No. 2 had been initiated in the past to introduce the 'Urban Development' zone to the Mundijong Whitby urban cell (Amendment No. 69 and 119). These amendments did not rezone the whole cell largely due to the sand mining debate over the Whitby land. An amendment to bring Council's Scheme into line with the lifting of the Urban Deferment and the future urban development in the Mundijong Whitby cell was required and it was proposed to be initiated prior to the preparation of the Structure Plan. As with the previous amendments this would introduce the 'Urban Development' zone to the Mundijong Whitby urban cell and provide the requirement for a structure plan to be prepared and endorsed prior to any development occurring.

1. Use of Section 126 of the Planning and Development Act 2005

In September 2006 Urban Pacific requested that Council consider using Section 126, a new power included in the Planning and Development Act 2005, in particular Section 126(3)(b). The intent of this provision is that where land was zoned 'Urban' under a Region Scheme, and either the Region Scheme was published, or a Region Scheme Amendment was published for public consultation, the full scheme amendment process for the local government Scheme would be unnecessary, as further public consultation would not be required. In simple terms, Urban Pacific believed that by getting the MRS zone changed from Urban Deferred to Urban under the MRS, Council could agree to the Shire's Town Planning Scheme zoning going from Rural to Urban Development and that this could occur automatically without going through a scheme amendment process. This would speed the process for Urban Pacific to commence their project.

However, as this new provision had not been tried it was unclear if it was intended to be used in the situation of the lifting of 'Urban Deferred' zoned land as that occurs without public consultation. No other local authority had used this new provision at the time.

As a result of the complexity of this provision, the unknown implications of using Section 126, questions on how the EPA might become involved in the District Structure Plan process

and the Section being untried in WA, the lack of opportunity for community input and advice received from Council's solicitors, it was recommended that Council not agree to the utilisation of Section 126.

2. Proposed Scheme Amendment No. 152

There were a number of inconsistencies between the MRS and TPS 2 relating to the 'Urban', 'Urban Deferred' and 'Urban Development' zones in the Mundijong Whitby cell. Proposed Amendment No. 152 aimed to rectify these inconsistencies.

Amendment No. 152 was split into three land areas. The details of each proposal are outlined below:

A. Lot 9500 Mundijong Road (formally known as part of Lot 499 Mundijong Road) – This land was owned by Mundella Farms Pty Ltd and was zoned 'Urban' under the MRS and 'Rural' under TPS 2. The extent of the 'Urban' zone under the MRS was bounded by the highway reservation in the MRS required for the Tonkin Highway connection to the South Western Highway.

Amendment No. 152 proposed to rezone Lot 9500 Mundijong Road from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development' with a 'Development Area No. 1' designation.

- B. Remaining land within Cell This land was in multiple ownerships and included the following:
 - a) All land zoned 'Special Rural No. 9';
 - b) Lots 100 and 101 Keirnan Street;
 - c) Part of Lot 492 Galvin Road;
 - d) Lot 0 Galvin Road;
 - e) Crown Land Reserve 4395 South Western Highway

This land was zoned 'Urban Deferred' under the MRS. The land was zoned 'Special Rural No. 9' and 'Rural' under TPS 2.

C. Paterson Street Commercial Strip – TPS 2 zoned the Mundijong commercial strip as 'Commercial'. This would prevent the District Structure Plan provisions applying to this land and therefore it was proposed to be included in the 'Urban Development' zone.

Amendment No. 69 to TPS 2 introduced the 'Urban Development' zone text provisions to the Scheme and rezoned the majority of Mundijong (and Byford) to 'Urban Development'. However, it was unclear from reviewing the amendment documents why the 'Commercial' zone was left intact. The existing commercial strip is a critical part of the existing and future Mundijong Whitby area and therefore should be subject to a requirement for structure planning and a Developer Contribution Scheme enabled under the 'Urban Development' zone.

Proposed Scheme Amendment No. 152 introduced the 'Urban Development' zone and 'Development Area No. 1' to that land in the Mundijong Whitby cell (excluding Urban Pacific land) that was not currently zoned 'Urban Development' to ensure the protection of the future of the cell and required that a Structure Plan be prepared before any development or subdivision in the area could be approved.

The Amendment also proposed the introduction of a description of 'Development Area No. 1' in Appendix 15 along with the purpose and any particular requirements that apply to Development Area No.1.

3. Proposed Scheme Amendment No. 153

Roberts Day Group on behalf of Urban Pacific requested that a separate amendment to TPS 2 be initiated for their landholding to rezone their land, for the following reasons:

- The landholding is in one ownership and comprises a significant parcel of land with obvious edges;
- Urban Pacific have already lodged a request with the WAPC to lift the 'Urban Deferred' zone over the land. As such it has been the subject of recent comprehensive investigations which have identified issues relevant to urban development and either resolved these or demonstrated their capacity to be resolved at the later stages of structure planning and subdivision;
- Other landholdings within the District Structure Plan (DSP) area have not been the subject of such investigations and may be more constrained by issues such as drainage or have environmental values that require lengthy investigations and negotiations to quantify and resolve. If such issues arise they will require detailed attention and therefore result in delays in progressing all the land affected by the TPS amendment;
- Ownership in other parts of the DSP area is fragmented and landowners are not actively
 pursuing rezoning of their land to 'Urban Development' at this point in time. The
 rezoning of their land to 'Urban Development' is a consequence of its location in the DSP
 area and is being pursued by the Shire rather than by the landowners; and
- The Minister's instructions regarding the previously initiated amendment to rezone land in the DSP area was to exclude the Whitby landholding. If this amendment had proceeded the result would have been that two separate amendments were pursued, one for the Whitby landholding and one for the remaining area.

This request was supported given the points raised above. The initiation of a separate amendment relating only to Urban Pacific's land was not considered to have any significant impact from a strategic or statutory planning point of view on the future planning of the Mundijong Whitby cell. This land had already undergone investigations as part of the lifting of the 'Urban Deferred' zone under the MRS.

Amendment No. 153 proposed to zone all of this land (except for that area identified as Bush Forever) as 'Urban Development' with a 'Development Area No. 2' designation.

There was a large Bush Forever site in the north-east corner that was undergoing a Negotiated Planning Solution. It was proposed that the Bush Forever area remained as 'Rural' under TPS 2, however, still be covered by 'Development Area No. 2'. As with the existing 95ha in the northern part of Bush Forever site 354, eventually this 'Rural' zoned area would be transferred to 'Parks and Recreation' under the MRS. The Development Area No. 2 designation would ensure that the Local Structure Plan produced for the Whitby land addresses all the requirements outlined in the Scheme and Appendix 15.

Although a separate amendment for the Urban Pacific land was supported both amendments would be lodged with the WAPC concurrently with a request for consent to advertise.

4. Development Areas

Section 5.18.1 of TPS 2 requires a Structure Plan for a Development Area, or for any particular part or parts of a Development Area, before recommending subdivision or approving development of land within the Development Area. Appendix 15 describes the Development Areas in more detail and sets out the purpose and particular requirements that may apply to the Development Area.

Section 5.18.1.3 goes on further to state that "the local government or the Commission may, as a condition of adopting or approving a Proposed Structure Plan, require a more detailed Structure Plan in future if the local government or the Commission considers that it will be necessary to provide additional detail to the proposals contained in the Proposed Structure

Plan." This will be the case in the Mundijong Whitby cell where Local Structure Plans will be required to follow on from the District Structure Plan.

Scheme Amendment No. 152 and 153 proposed the introduction of two new Development Areas, one over Urban Pacific's land and another over the remaining 'Urban Deferred' and proposed 'Urban Development' zones in the Mundijong Whitby cell.

5. TPS 2 – Amendment No. 119

In 1999, Council initiated Amendment No. 69 to TPS 2 to:

- 1. Introduce an Urban Development zone;
- 2. Rezone land in the Byford and Mundijong areas; and
- 3. Introduce provisions relating to the preparation and adoption of a structure plan and equitable sharing of infrastructure costs.

The WAPC advised Council in April 2000 that the former Minister for Planning had determined not to approve Amendment No. 69 until a number of modifications were effected. The most significant modification required was for the removal of most of the land in the Mundijong townsite between the South Western Highway, railway line and generally north of Watkins Road and Evelyn Street, from the Urban Development zone. Amendment No. 69 was gazetted on 16 June 2000.

In February 2001, Council initiated Amendment No. 119 to TPS 2 to rezone those parts of the Mundijong urban cell excluded from the Urban Development zone in Amendment No. 69.

The decision to initiate the amendment to TPS 2 was based on:

- 1. Council's long standing opposition to mineral sand mining in the Mundijong urban cell; and;
- 2. The need to bring the town planning scheme into line with the MRS where the land is zoned Urban Deferred.

In May 2003 the Hon Minister for Planning and Infrastructure granted consent for Amendment No. 119 to be advertised for public comment subject to the area north of Manjedal Brook being deleted from the 'Urban Development' zone proposal (Urban Pacific's land).

In November 2003, Council resolved to advise the WAPC that by complying with the Ministers directive the Shire would be unable to bring its TPS into conformity with the MRS and requested that the WAPC consider this anomaly and reconsider the inclusion of the land north of the Manjedal Brook in the 'Urban Development' zone.

The Scheme Amendment documents were modified but the Amendment was never advertised as there did not appear to be any response on file from the WAPC to Council's request to reconsider the land north of the Manjedal Brook in the 'Urban Development' zone. The Shire placed a request under Freedom of Information for further information on this matter. The WA Planning Commission provided the Shire with a copy of the planning report prepared in relation to this scheme amendment.

As there was no overriding planning benefit associated with the Amendment and considerable time had passed and the Amendment no longer addressed the planning process for the Mundijong Whitby cell, it was recommended that Council did not proceed with Amendment No. 119.

6. Development Contribution Areas

There is a priority need in the future to introduce Development Contribution Areas over the entire Mundijong Whitby cell.

An amendment to introduce Development Contribution Areas for the Mundijong Whitby urban development cell will be initiated once the District Structure Plan has been prepared and any infrastructure requirements and costs are known. Clause 15.9 of Council's TPS 2 outlines the Development Contribution Areas and requirements, an amendment to introduce Development Contributions for the Mundijong Whitby cell will involve a schedule to be inserted into Appendix 16 that outlines the development contribution works.

In relation to all of these matters council resolved the following in September 2006:

"SD027/09/06 COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Star seconded Cr Murphy

- A. Council receives and notes the report on the Proposed Town Planning Scheme Amendment – Mundijong/Whitby District Structure Plan Area.
- B. Council advise Urban Pacific Ltd that it does not support the use of Section 126 of the new Planning and Development Act given the uncertainty of the use of the section and Council's desire to involve the community as much as possible in the planning for the future of the Mundijong/Whitby area.
- C. Council advise the Western Australian Planning Commission that it does not support Urban Pacific Ltd's request for the use of Section 126 of the new Planning and Development Act to expedite the Town Planning Scheme amendment process.
- D. Pursuant to Section 72 of the Planning and Development Act 2005, the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No. 2 be amended by:-

AMENDMENT NO. 152

- 1. Rezoning Lot 9500 Mundijong Road, Mundijong from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development';
- 2. Rezoning all land in Keirnan/Evelyn Street, Mundijong zoned 'Special Rural No. 9' to 'Urban Development';
- 3. Rezoning Lots 100 and 101 Keirnan Street, Mundijong from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development';
- 4. Rezoning part of Lot 492 Galvin Road, Mundijong from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development';
- 5. Rezoning Lot 0 Galvin Road, Mundijong from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development';
- 6. Rezoning Crown Land Reserve 4395 South Western Highway, Mundijong from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development';
- 7. Rezoning all lots on Paterson Street, Mundijong that are currently zoned 'Commercial' to 'Urban Development';
- 8. Amending the Scheme Map accordingly:
- 9. Including the following in Appendix 15 Structure Plan Boundaries:

Ref. No.	Area	Provisions
DA 1	Mundijong	1 A District Structure Plan is to be adopted to guide
	(Urban Development Zone)	subdivision, land use and development and will cover Development Areas No. 1 and 2. Any Local Structure Plans prepared within Development Area No. 1 or 2 are to reflect the District Structure Plan.

Ref. No.	Area	Provisions
		2. The provisions of the Scheme shall apply to the land uses classified under the Structure Plan, in accordance with clause 5.18.6.
		3 The local government may adopt Design Guidelines and Detailed Area Plans for any development precincts as defined on the Structure Plan. All development in such precinct is to be in accordance with the adopted guidelines and plans in addition to any other requirements of the Scheme and where there is any inconsistency between the design guidelines or plans and the Scheme, the Scheme shall prevail.
		4 No subdivision or development of incompatible land uses will be supported within the separation distances associated with:
		Dairy on Lot 11 Taylor Road;
		 Dairy on Lot 123 Randell Street;
		Poultry Farm on Lot 2 Adamson Street;
		Poultry Farm on Lot 56 Shanley Road;
		 Garden and hire business on Lot 410 Watkins Road;
		 Stockfeeds on Lot 100 and 101 Keirnan Street;
		 Council's Pound on Reserve 37149 Watkins Road;
		 Council's Depot on Lots 48, 50, 221 and 222 Butcher Street;
		Telecommunications Tower on Lot 180 Shanley Road;
		until these uses cease or the separation distances are scientifically determined and approved by Council and the Department of Environment and Conservation. Separation distance requirements are to be determined in consultation with the Council and the Department of Environment and Conservation and are to be shown on the Structure Plan.
		5 In addition to the requirements of Clause 5.18.2 of this Scheme the following matter are to be to addressed when preparing a Local Structure Plan(s) for the area:
		 Development interface with Bush Forever Sites 360 and 362 and Manjedal Brook;

D ()		
Ref. No.	Area	Provisions
		 Preparation and implementation of an interim Management Plan for Bush Forever Sites 360 and 362. This Plan is to address management of the sites until the site is ceded to the Crown.
		 Buffers associated with wetlands and Mandejal Brook; and
		 An adequate buffer to all watercourses from the top of the bank to protect the beds and banks of the stream;
		6 Noise attenuation measures will need to be addressed during the preparation of Local Structure Plans for those properties affected by noise from South Western Highway, the railway line, Mundijong Road, the proposed Tonkin Highway extension through to South Western Highway and any other major roads as identified by Council. These measures are to be to the satisfaction of the Council.

- 10. Amending the Scheme Map to include Development Area No. 1 DA 1 accordingly.
- E. Pursuant to Section 72 of the Planning and Development Act 2005, the Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No. 2 be amended by:-

AMENDMENT NO. 153

- 1. Rezoning Lot 302 and portion of Lot 399 Reilly Road, Lots 24, 25, 26, 27, 45 and portion of Lots 23 and 29 South Western Highway, Whitby from 'Rural' to 'Urban Development';
- 2. Amending the Scheme Map accordingly;
- 3. Including the following in Appendix 15 Structure Plan Boundaries:

Ref. No.	Area	Provisions
DA 2	Whitby (Urban Development Zone)	A District Structure Plan is to be adopted to guide subdivision, land use and development and will cover Development Areas No. 1 and 2. Any Local Structure Plans prepared within Development Area No. 1 or 2 are to reflect the District Structure Plan. The area is in a fifth of the property of the land of the plant.
		2. The provisions of the Scheme shall apply to the land uses classified under the Structure Plan, in accordance with clause 5.18.6.
		3. The local government may adopt Design Guidelines and Detailed Area Plans for any development precincts as defined on the Structure Plan. All development in such precinct is to be in accordance with the adopted guidelines and plans in addition to any other requirements of the Scheme and where there is any inconsistency between the design guidelines or plans and the Scheme, the Scheme shall prevail.

Ref. No.	Area	Provisions
		No subdivision or development of incompatible land use will be supported within the separation distances associated with:
		Extractive Industry on Lot 202 South Western Highway;
		Extractive Industry on Lot 344 South Western Highway;
		South Cardup Landfill on Lots 200 & 201 Shale Road;
		Sawmill, manufacture and distribution of timber and related products on Lots 2, 20, 60 and 21 Norman Road;
		Hendley Park Motorcross track on Reserve 7125 South Western Highway;
		until these uses cease or the separation distances are scientifically determined and approved by Council and the Department of Environment and Conservation. Separation distance requirements are to be determined in consultation with the Council and the Department of Environment and Conservation and are to be shown on the Structure Plan.
		5. In addition to the requirements of Clause 5.18.2 of this Scheme the following matters are to be addressed when preparing a Local Structure Plan(s) for the area:
		 Development interface with Bush Forever Site 354 and Manjedal Brook;
		Preparation and implementation of an interim Management Plan for Bush Forever Site 354. This Plan is to address management of the site until the site is ceded to the Crown.
		 Bush fire risk and the preparation of an Emergency Management Plan;
		 Buffers associated with the Conservation Category Wetlands along the railway line and Mandejal Brook;
		 Buffers associated with wetlands and Mandejal Brook;
		 An adequate buffer to all watercourses from the top of the bank to protect the beds and banks of the stream;
		 Water Sensitive Urban Design and Drainage Management

Ref. No.	Area	Provisions
		6. Noise attenuation measures will need to be addressed during the preparation of Local Structure Plans for those properties affected by noise from South Western Highway, the railway line and any other major roads as identified by Council. These measures are to be to the satisfaction of the local government.

- 4. Amending the Scheme Map to include Development Area No. 2 DA 2 accordingly.
- F. Both Amendment documents are to be signed and the Western Australian Planning Commission is to be advised of Council's decision:
- G. A copy of the signed documents are to be forwarded to the Environmental Protection Authority in accordance with Section 81 of the Planning and Development Act;
- H. Request the Western Australian Planning Commission give consent to advertise the Amendments in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations. This request is to be undertaken concurrently with Recommendation G above. That Council forward a copy of the formal advice from the Environmental Protection Authority onto the Western Australian Planning Commission upon its receipt.
- I. Council resolves not to proceed with Amendment No. 119 to Shire of Serpentine-Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No. 2 and the WA Planning Commission be advised accordingly.

CARRIED 7/0

Council Note: The Revised Officer Recommended Resolution was changed by deleting reference to the Saleyards and Residential Composite/Light Industrial area (Darling Views Estate)."

Community Consultation

A Community Information Session was held in the evening on 16 August 2006 with approximately 150 people attending. The purpose of this session was to outline the process for the preparation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan and initiate community participation in the enquiry by design process.

A Community Consultation Group was then formed comprising 24 Community Members and 9 Councillors. 30 plus nominations were received for the 24 positions available. The Group represented a wide range of interest groups, demographics and backgrounds. The first Community Consultation Group meeting was held on the 13 December 2006 where the Group members had the opportunity to meet each other and discuss their involvement in the project.

Lifting of 'Urban Deferred' zone over Whitby Land Gazetted

On the 14 November 2006 the Western Australian Planning Commission agreed to lift the 'Urban Deferred' zone over the Whitby land owned by Urban Pacific. The process to achieve lifting of the 'deferment' commenced in December 2005 and was placed in the Government Gazette on 1 December 2006. The circumstances surrounding this MRS zoning change then became the subject of a Corruption and Crime Commission investigation.

Finalisation of Amendment 152 and 153

Amendments 152 and 153 to Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS 2) were advertised for public comment and referred to government agencies and services providers with the advertising period closing on 2 March 2007. In February 2007 the Senior Strategic Planner's hours increased from 2 to 3 days per week.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Comments

Prior to the commencement of public advertising, both Amendments were referred to the EPA under section 48A of the Environmental Protection Act. The EPA advised in relation to Amendment 152 the following:

3. Environmental Issues not assessed

Without limiting the EPA's discretion under Section 5(e) of the Environmental Protection Act to require the referral of proposals arising from the amendment and your discretion, as the responsible authority, under Section 48I of the Environmental Protection Act to refer proposals arising from the amendment, the EPA advises that the following environmental issues are not assessed:

- Native vegetation and fauna
- 4. Advice and recommendations regarding Environmental Issues not assessed

Sufficient information is not available at this stage regarding the nature of the impacts on the environment arising from the implementation of the scheme amendment. Accordingly, the EPA advises that the environmental issues listed in paragraph 3 above are not assessed and recommends further consideration of the need to assess proposals arising from the scheme amendment when more information is available, for example, subsequent rezoning, preparation of a Development Guide Plan, Structure Plan, Outline Development Plan, subdivision or development.

Officers advised Council that as part of the District Structure Planning process for the Mundijong Whitby cell an Environmental Study would be carried out. This study would include investigation into a wide range of environmental issues including such issues as:

- Terrestrial flora and fauna
- Landscape and landform
- Land degradation
- Wetlands, Linear Watercourses, Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems and Groundwater quantity
- Surface and ground water quality
- Site contamination
- Acid sulfate soils
- Air quality
- Noise

Given the potential for an EPA assessment of the District Structure Plan to delay the process and in order to ensure that the DSP had the greatest chance of addressing any EPA concerns, the brief for the preparation of this Study was referred to EPA officers for their feedback.

The EPA were also invited to form part of the Technical Team for the preparation of the District Structure Plan which would require EPA officers to actively participate and provide advice during and after the preparation of the District Structure Plan. This would also assist in addressing EPA's concerns on native vegetation and fauna which had been identified as issues that would require further investigation.

With regard to Amendment 153 the EPA provided the following advice:

Native Remnant Vegetation and Fauna

The EPA understands agreement has been reached with the Bush Forever Interagency Technical Advisory Group (BFITAG) on modifications to the boundary of Bush Forever site 354 and that the BFITAG has made several recommendations regarding the management

buffers, the closure of Norman Road and protection of other remnant vegetation etc. The EPA supports the BFITAG advice as detailed in DPI's letter to Cardno BSD dated 21 September 2006. In particular, the EPA strongly recommends the closure and rehabilitation of Norman Road as this will enable Bush Forever site 354 and 361 to be consolidated into a single and very significant conservation area. In addition, it is important that the bushland immediately east of Zone A, containing the Threatened Ecological Community FCT 20b Eastern Banksia Woodland, is protected by a buffer along the full length of the southern side of the bushland. The inclusion of a buffer should be an outcome from structure planning.

It is noted that a biological assessment identified a number of potential nesting trees outside the Bush Forever NPS boundary. The EPA recommends that these trees be retained where possible within areas of Public Open Space or given some form of protection, such as a tree preservation clause or through development conditions.

As the outcome of the structure planning is not yet known, and therefore the potential impact on the environment unknown, the issue of native vegetation and fauna has been deferred by the EPA.

WAPC Comments on Amendments 152 and 153

As resolved by Council at its meeting on 25 September 2006 both Amendments were referred to the WAPC for consent to advertise. Although they did not contravene Planning Bulletin No. 29, given the complexity of the amendments, the differences between the existing MRS 'Urban Deferred' and 'Urban' zones and TPS 2 'Urban Development' zones and the history of previous scheme amendments, the proposal was referred to the WAPC.

On the 20 November 2006 the DPI advised that consent to advertise Amendment 153 – Whitby was not required given that the WAPC had on the 14 November 2006 agreed to lift the Urban Deferred zoning from the Whitby land. However, DPI officers advised that it would be necessary for the Council, prior to finalising the amendment, to verify the correct north-eastern boundary of the amendment in relation to the Regional Open Space/Bush Forever area.

DPI advised at the same time that consent could not be given to advertise Amendment 152 – Mundijong until the WAPC received a formal request for the lifting of the Urban Deferred zoning, and for the relevant processing being carried out.

This matter was raised with the DPI officers immediately given that a meeting had been held with the DPI officers on the 1 November 2006 to outline both Amendments and Council officers intentions to use the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan as the basis for the lifting of the 'Urban Deferment in' the Mundijong cell. No objections were raised at this meeting by the DPI officers.

On the 29 November 2006 a further letter was received from the WAPC advising that consent was granted to advertise Amendment 152 – Mundijong. This consent was granted on the basis that Council would, as soon as possible, request the Commission to lift the 'Urban Deferred' zoning of the relevant portions of the amendment area, such that this action could be completed prior to the finalisation of the amendment.

Council resolved in April 2007 to finalise Amendments 152 and 153 and to advise the WAPC that the District Structure Plan was considered the most appropriate document to form the basis for the request to lift the 'Urban Deferment' over the remaining parts of the Mundijong Whitby cell and that the request for the lifting would be lodged at the time of the advertising of the draft District Structure Plan.

However Council did also resolve that if the WAPC insisted that the request be lodged prior to the draft District Structure Plan being prepared then Council would lodge this request immediately.

Progress to June 2007

Work continued on preparing the scope and tender briefs for the following studies:

- Environmental Study- Tenders had been called for the provision of an Environmental Study to be carried out for the entire Structure Plan area. All 3 submissions received were non-complying and tenders were to be called before the end of June with those who had already made submissions being asked to resubmit.
- Activity Centres Strategy Ratio Consultants, from Melbourne, had been appointed to carry out an Activity Centres Strategy for the entire Shire.
- Traffic and Transport Study Cardno BSD had been appointed to carry out a Traffic and Transport Study for the Structure Plan area.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study The Senior Strategic Planner engaged with the PDC to discuss potential funding opportunities for investigations into alternative wastewater treatment systems and was successful in obtaining a \$30,000 grant. A brief to carry out the investigations was still to be prepared. Officers also met with a number of potential wastewater treatment system providers.
- Urban Water Management Study The Department of Water had completed a draft Floodplain Management Study for the Mundijong Whitby urban cell. This Study was being reviewed by officers. Connell Wagner had been appointed to prepare a Brief for the preparation of a Mundijong Whitby Urban Water Management Strategy. A draft Brief had been lodged with Council and was being reviewed by officers.
- ▶ Infrastructure and Services Study 2 quotes had been received to carry out an Infrastructure and Services Study. Officers were negotiating with those who made submissions. It was envisaged a consultant would be appointed by the end of June 2007.
- > The Community Consultation Group had a Planning Training Session in April 2007.
- > Amendments No. 152 and 153 had been forward to the WAPC for finalisation.

Progress to August 2007

- Environmental Study 5 submissions were received in relation to the recall of tenders. A report was to be put to Council for consideration at its meeting on the 27 August to appoint a consultant to carry out this Study.
- Traffic and Transport Study Cardno BSD were waiting for population calculations from Council Officers so that they could model traffic in the area to produce the draft report.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study Council Officers continued to meet with potential infrastructure providers.
- Urban Water Management Strategy Council Officers met with DoW Officers to discuss the draft Floodplain Management Study for the Mundijong Whitby urban cell. Tenders were likely to be called for the preparation of an Urban Water Management Strategy by the end of August. It was anticipated that a Report would be presented to Council in September or October for the appointment of a consultant to carry out the Strategy.
- > Infrastructure and Services Study Parsons Brinkerhoff had commenced this Study.

- Community Consultation Group Focus Forum was held on the 21 August 2007.
- Community Consultation Group Bus Tour held on 4 August.
- CEO, Executive Manager Strategic Community Planning and Senior Strategic Planner met with WAPC and the Minister's Officers to discuss Amendments No. 152 and 153. WAPC Officers were in the process of preparing a report for consideration by the WAPC. It was anticipated that this report would go up to the WAPC by the end of August.

Progress to September 2007

- Environmental Study SMEC Australia had been appointed and commenced work on the Environmental Study. They were currently reviewing all the relevant information, reports and data and were to carry out Spring surveys for the cell.
- Activity Centres Strategy Ratio Consultants had submitted the first Vision Framework for the Activity Centres Strategy for the entire Shire. Officers were currently reviewing this framework.
- Traffic and Transport Study Cardno BSD had lodged a draft Study. Officers were currently reviewing this draft.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study Council Officers had a brainstorming session on this topic and were looking at preparing a brief in the coming month.
- Urban Water Management Strategy Tenders had just closed. It was anticipated that a Report would be presented to Council in October for the appointment of a consultant to carry out the Strategy.
- Infrastructure and Services Study It was anticipated that a draft would be provided to officers by the end of September.
- Council Officers were in the process of organising a meeting with the Community Consultation Group to refine the Focus Forum notes. This meeting was proposed to be held on 20 October.
- A letter was received from the WAPC on 14 September advising that the Minister had considered Amendments 152 and 153. Minor modifications relating to the noise attenuation provisions contained in both Amendments had been requested. The requested changes were very minor and did not alter the intent of the amendments. The Amendment documents were being modified and would be returned to the WAPC in the next week for finalisation.
- Council Officers had been verbally advised that the WAPC had approved the lifting of the 'Urban Deferment' over the remainder of the cell. It was likely to be gazetted before the end of the month.

Progress to December 2007

- Environmental Study SMEC Australia had carried out Spring surveys for the cell.
 Council Officers were successful in obtaining \$79,944 towards this Study from the DPI EnviroPlanning Section.
- Activity Centres Strategy Ratio Consultants had submitted the draft Reports 1 and 2 which were being reviewed by Officers.
- > Traffic and Transport Study Cardno BSD had lodged a draft Study. A meeting was held with State Agencies and Services providers at the end of November to discuss

- the draft Study. Council Officers would now pull together all the comments/feedback and present these to Cardno.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study Council had been successful in obtaining an election pledge of \$200,000 from the Federal Government towards this Study. A Brief was to be prepared in the near future.
- Urban Water Management Strategy Options for the way forward on this Strategy were being considered. It was hoped a Report would be put to Council early in the New Year on the way forward.
- Infrastructure and Services Study This Study had been put on hold until the Transport Study had been finalised and work had progressed on the Urban Water Management Strategy and Integrated Water Cycle Study.
- The Amendment No. 152 and 153 documents had been modified in accordance with the Minister's request. The Documents were waiting to be signed and sealed by the CEO and Shire President and would then be returned to the WAPC for finalisation.
- ➤ On the 24 October Council received formal advice from the WAPC that on the 11 September the WAPC had recommended that the Urban Deferred be lifted from the remaining areas within the cell. This was placed in the Government Gazette on 12 October 2007.

Progress to January 2008

- > Environmental Study Shire awaiting SMEC to deliver Report.
- Urban Water Management Strategy Council awarded the tender to GHD Australia Pty Ltd for the preparation of an Urban Water Management Strategy for the Mundijong Whitby Urban Development Area. Next step was to advise GHD in writing of successful appointment and invite them to an inception meeting. Expected completion date of study was October 2008.
- Activity Centre Strategy Waiting on further reports, comments on draft Paper 1 and 2 had been pulled together and provided back to Ratio.
- Traffic and Transport Study Comments and feedback from meeting held on 27 November with relevant State Agencies and Service providers had been given to Cardno. Meeting to take place with Cardno, some concerns raised by Main Roads.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study No further progress had been made due to a shortage of resources. Officers decided to progress obtaining the \$200,000 pre-election promise to Council as a priority. Work on preparing the brief would follow when funding was secured. A formal presentation to the Federal Government was going to be required to convince them that the election promise was a project worthy of funding.
- Community Facilities and Services Plan Report received from Consultants.
- Senior Strategic Planner in charge of project resigns.

Progress to June 2008

- Environmental Study The draft study had been received and evaluated, changes requested and it was anticipated to be finalised at the beginning of June.
- > Urban Water Management Strategy GHD were conducting the study and the completion date was still October 2008 as agreed to in the terms of the appointment.

- Activity Centre Strategy All but the final paper had been received and comment given. The completed papers should be ready within the next month.
- Traffic and Transport Study Council were to have a meeting with Cardno in July to see if there was any way to change the appointment to accommodate new data. DPI had indicated that they may be willing to assist in this regard.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study The brief was almost completed and officers were in contact with the Federal government to finalise the paperwork for approval. It was hoped that Council would be able to go out to tender shortly. The Federal Government approval process was rigorous with representatives from Canberra visiting the Shire to ensure the funding would be used for the stated purposes and that it formed part of a more holistic planning process for the MWDSP.
- Community Facilities and Services Plan The document had been workshopped with the major stakeholders/developers and the ideas from the workshop were being consolidated and discussed. Final adoption of the plan should take place in due course.
- New Strategic Planner recruited from South Africa to fill the position commences in April 2008.

Progress to September 2008

- Environmental Study The study had been finalised.
- Urban Water Management Strategy GHD were on track for the delivery of a first final draft by the end of September. They had discussed their draft report with our Engineers and DoW and the completion date was still October 2008.
- Activity Centre Strategy The reports had been completed and Ratio were assisting us by adding some detailed information for the Local Structure Plan for the Byford Town Centre.
- Traffic and Transport Study The Acting Director of Engineering had resigned and the meeting was not held as planned. Another Acting Director had been appointed and would be brought on board with the process as soon as possible.
- Integrated Water Cycle Study Awaiting written confirmation of approval for the funds from the Federal Government.
- Community Facilities and Services Plan The final reports with the requested amendments from the various workshops had been given to Councillors to study before adoption thereof.
- Workshop Facilitation and Urban Design Preliminary contact was made with Malcolm McKay and a briefing meeting would be held with him in October. He had indicated that he was available for March 2009. Discussions regarding arrangements for Briefing sessions would commence when the major studies were completed and Malcolm was on board towards the end of the year.

Progress to November 2009

Completion of the majority of draft technical papers was achieved by October 2008 with the main exception being the Integrated Water Cycle study.

A copy of the Mundijong-Whitby District Water Management Strategy is with attachments marked OCM015.1/11/09 (IN09/474)

A copy of the Mundijong-Whitby Environmental Report is with attachments marked OCM015.2/11/09 (IN09/5255)

A copy of the Mundijong-Whitby Transportation Study is with attachments marked OCM015.3/11/09 (IN07/11667)

A copy of the Mundijong-Whitby Infrastructure Study is with attachments marked OCM015.4/11/09, OCM015.4a/11/09, OCM015.4b/11/09, OCM015.4c/11/09 and OCM015.4d/11/09.

The sub consultants then prepared briefing papers based on their reports for presentation at an Enquiry by Design (EbD) Briefing Session held in February 2009. All consultants but Ratio attended. There was a public information session later that day following the briefing session and it was well attended by the public.

The Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire then hosted an EbD workshop (referred to as CEWP in the above process) from 25th to 27th March in accordance with the endorsed MWDSP preparation framework. The EbD workshop involved 25 to 30 people including Shire officers, various consultants and State Government agency representatives. Public Information Sessions were held each evening of the workshop where a facilitator provided a synopsis of the day and presented the workshop sketches and drawings to the community.

Finally in March 2009, with the funding agreement with the Federal Government secured, consultants were appointed for the Integrated Water Cycle Management Feasibility Study (IWCMFS).

During the same month, Local Planning Policy 29: Mundijong Planning Framework was given consent to advertise.

The Mundijong Implementation Working Group was established in May 2009 and receipt of the first version of the EbD Outcomes report occurred in June 2009. The final version of the EbD Outcomes Report was received in August 2009. A consultant was appointed in September 2009 to work on preparing a framework for the drafting of the DSP.

A copy of the Mundijong-Whitby Enquiry by Design Workshop Outcomes Report is with attachments marked OCM015.5/11/09 (IN09/12400)

The Outcomes of the Mundijong-Whitby EBD Outcomes Report are detailed below.

Mundijong-Whitby EBD Outcomes Report

The EBD workshop sought to apply the principles of best-practice sustainable urban design - to encourage ideas about how to enable Mundijong-Whitby to better adapt to the changes it may face in future years. Outcomes from the workshop included:

- A consolidated Vision statement that reflects extensive consultation with the community and their representatives.
- A suite of planning principles that can inform and guide the preparation of the planning documents which are required to enable development to occur.
- Identification of opportunities in the surrounding region to help stimulate interest in living and working in Mundijong-Whitby and help the future town become more sustainable.
- Recognition of the potential to realign the freight railway and establish a major employment area to the west of Mundijong.
- Identification of the potential of the surrounding area to become an urban food bowl to grow produce with reduced transportation costs.
- Recognition of the potential for a significant industrial and commercial service area in Cardup to serve both Mundijong- Whitby and Byford.

- A logical location for a major town centre that would avoid extensive disfigurement of the existing settlement and potentially deliver a transit-orientated development in association with a new railway station.
- A plan that provides a network of village-style neighbourhoods that can provide a range of lifestyles and local services.
- A plan that establishes a logical and robust movement network that is robust, direct and expressed as a clear hierarchy.
- A plan that retains significant environmental assets.
- A plan that identifies space to manage stormwater in a natural fashion through a series of connected green corridor.
- A plan that defines potential locations for secondary and primary education.
- A plan that locates commercial uses in the places that they are more likely to survive and thrive.
- A movement network that enables the Mundijong-Whitby area to be served relatively efficiently by public transport.
- A suite of housing and lot product types that provide for a range of lifestyles and, in some cases, enable better retention of trees and landform.
- A range of measures to imbue new development with a character that is unique and appropriate to the location.
- A rationale for the location and co-location of elements of community infrastructure.
- Identification of environmental constraints and their potential implication on development and the delivery of essential infrastructure.
- An understanding of Integrated Water Cycle Management and its benefit to a place such as Mundijong-Whitby.
- A preliminary strategy for the staging of development over the entire development life of the Mundijong-Whitby area.
- An estimate of the likely ultimate residential population based on the Concept Plan produced during the workshop.
- Analysis of the areas of conflict that may need to be resolved through the subsequent planning process.

Additionally, the workshop explored implementation issues such as:

- What happens next after the Enquiry-by-Design workshop;
- District Structure Planning;
- Local Structure Planning;
- Other Statutory Processes:
- Things to be achieved through non-statutory processes;
- On-going consultation and promotion;
- Major Risks associated with the planning process; and
- Responses to a number of questions raised by Serpentine-Jarrahdale Councillors after the workshop.

Population projections

The Concept Plan prepared as part of the EbD Outcomes Report identified the potential for a residential population of 30,890 people in Mundijong Whitby. Of this total, and based on the distribution of residential densities assumed by the Concept Plan, approximately 1,130 would be accommodated in low density 'rural lifestyle' areas at the fringe of the study area, approximately 12,850 would be accommodated in an urban pattern within, or close to, the activity centres, whilst the remaining population of around 16,910 would be living in areas with a conventional suburban density.

Planning Principles

A set of Planning Principles were also developed to enable achievement of the shared vision. These principles were expressed as follows:

Natural Environment

- The natural environment will be protected, repaired, enhanced and respected within the urban context.
- Urban development will produce significant levels of green power.
- The total water cycle will be sustainably repaired, maintained and enhanced.
- Feasible water cycle management approaches will be promoted.
- Existing landform must be respected and maintained and be utilised to enhance the built environment.

Built Environment

- Area-specific urban design code will be established to reflect the rural character of the community.
- The Green Towns character of the community will be maintained.
- Activity centers will facilitate mixed-use built form which is robust and adaptable and allows for social exchange.
- The movement network will provide accessibility and connectivity to a full range of housing, employment, retail, recreational and community service opportunities.
- Local and regional infrastructure will provide a full range of urban services.
- A full range of housing options will be provided.
- An effective balance between spatial equity and commercial viability will be achieved.
- An integrated system of multi-use areas / corridors to be provided.

Sustainable Economic Growth

- Diverse and self contained local employment opportunities will be promoted.
- The provision of community and infrastructure services will be economically viable.
- State-of-the-art communications technology will facilitate and enhance local business and learning opportunities.

People & Community

- Country lifestyle and amenity will be maintained and enhanced.
- Community activism, empowerment and integration will be promoted.
- Activation of a vibrant 'sense of place' and 'village feel' must be a priority.
- A safe living and working environment will be delivered.
- Education opportunities aligned with equine, agricultural and environmental activities will be promoted.

Serpentine - Jarrahdale Council at Work

- Strategic alliances will be established and promoted through an integrated implementation plan.
- A collaborative and interactive approach will be formulated to deliver the successful implementation of the District Structure Plan.

Areas of conflict

From the robust discussions during the workshop it was recognised that there were a number of areas of conflict that would continue to arise during the planning process and would need some form of resolution. In some cases, a creative technical or design solution may be found to achieve a 'best fit', and in some cases, a compromise will need to be sought that may not satisfy all parties. However, in other cases, a hard (and potentially unpopular) decision will need to be taken at a Council or State Government level to resolve the conflict.

The areas of conflict that were identified are listed below:

Railway

- The use of the existing railway reserve for freight vs. use for a passenger rail service.
- A northern station location vs. A southern station location.

- The desire to forge East West connections across the railway reserve vs. the financial and environmental costs.
- The ecological values of the Threatened Ecological Communities (TEC's) in the railway reserve vs. the global environmental benefits of encouraging rail travel.
- Current benefits of rail over car travel vs. Possible future use of 'green' car technologies.

Town Centre location

- Consolidating the existing settlement as the major centre vs. establishing a new major centre in the Whitby area.
- The role of Byford vs. Mundijong as the dominant town.

Environmental

• Retaining the spatial buffers to the TEC's vs. using limited urban land as efficiently as possible.

Population

• High growth to maximise urban efficiency vs. Low growth to ease community concern about change.

Employment

 Promoting local self sufficiency vs. providing a well-connected workforce source for central Perth

Implementation

- Responding quickly to demand vs. getting the right planning solution
- Establishing new area-specific planning codes vs. using existing planning codes

Character

• Maintaining a sense of the existing rural character vs. creating a new and unique urban character

Energy systems

 Adopting new alternative means of local power generation vs. Utilising conventional gridsourced power

Water Management

• Adopting a total water cycle management approach vs. utilising conventional water and waste systems

The Regional Analysis in the Outcomes Report states that the most significant threat to the growth and role of the emerging Mundijong Whitby area is nearby Byford which is still early in its growth phase and is closer to the rest of the metropolitan area. In a similar vein to the Key Economic Issues identified before the Workshop, the Regional Analysis in the Outcomes Report also identified that there is a risk that the relatively low land values in Mundijong will be seen as a politically expedient solution to the issue of housing affordability, and this may weigh against the desire to secure a high quality built environment.

What happens next?

According to the Outcomes Report the process is as follows:

"The Enquiry-by-Design process is a non-binding process: the outcomes will require further consideration and consensus from stakeholders and the community to reach a set of preferred and negotiated positions. Furthermore, the Enquiry-by-Design process creates expectations that need to be followed up to sustain momentum and support.

Whilst a number of community members were able to participate in the workshop by being a part of the consultation group, it is important that the outcomes are communicated to the wider community in the Mundijong-Whitby area and that opportunities are provided to review and comment on the outcomes.

All of those involved in the Workshop, including the community members, need to continue to work together to communicate the outcomes to the wider community, and to take the further steps needed to make the vision for Mundijong-Whitby a reality.

Following the Enquiry-by-Design workshop, this outcomes report will provide the basis for public consultation with the broader community <u>before significant detailed planning</u> (such as the preparation of a District Structure Plan) is undertaken."

Community engagement

It was suggested in the Outcomes Report that the community consultation process include:

- "• Making the outcomes report widely available through public libraries, the internet, and at other key locations where the community can access it easily.
- Providing the outcomes report directly to key community groups, stakeholders and agencies.
- Displaying the main outcome drawings at key locations, including the Byford shopping centre, supported by material that summarises and clearly conveys the main workshop issues, objectives and outcomes.
- General invitations to comment through newspaper advertisements, notices, letters and a page dedicated to the project on the Council and Partnership web-sites.
- Open public information and discussion forums.
- Small group discussions on specific proposals and areas of interest and concern.

A broad approach to community engagement provides a greater opportunity to reach a representative cross section of the community, including groups who may find it difficult to participate through conventional 'formal' consultation processes."

The only "advertisement" of the Outcomes Report after the EbD Workshop has been updating the MWDSP page on the Shire's website with a few select figures and maps extracted from the Report and some text that provides a brief overview.

Preparation of the MWDSP Map and Documentation

Liveable Neighbourhoods is an operational policy of the Western Australian Planning Commission and outlines the level of detail and requirements for the preparation of District and Local Structure Plans. The core requirements to be addressed by the MWDSP as per Liveable Neighbourhoods, includes:

- Indicative neighbourhoods, with a designated town centre;
- Dwellings per urban hectare
- Existing and proposed neighbourhood centres
- Broad movement network (arterial routes and neighbourhood connector streets)
- Water Courses and Vegetation
- Major open spaces and parkland
- Major Public Transport Routes and Facilities
- Proposed land use distribution
- Proposed schools and facilities

In addition to the requirements identified in Liveable Neighbourhoods, the Shire's Draft Local Planning Policy 29 identifies the following considerations:

Community Design:

- Crime Prevention
- Design for Better Health
- Housing Diversity
- Townscape character and streetscape
- Energy Efficiency
- Community Farming
- Emergency Management

Movement Network:

- Regional Public Transport infrastructure location, type of facilities, scale of facilities, connections to the road, cycle and pedestrian networks, integration with surrounding urban form
- Regional Public Transport interim arrangements and staging plan for infrastructure development and surrounding urban form in consultation with Public Transport Authority.

Cost Sharing Provisions

Developer Contribution Plan/Arrangement (District Level)

Many of these considerations are consistent with Liveable Neighborhoods, albeit at various levels of detail. It is considered that the EbD report and its supporting technical documents provide a significant foundation to the required elements and can be incorporated in a DSP with a particular focus on establishing appropriate sustainability criteria and associated targets for:

- Character Statement
- Design Principles
- Dwelling yield/density
- Movement Network
- Centres (classification and indicative location)
- Major Open Spaces
- Urban Water Management
- Schools/Community Facilities
- Sustainability Criteria

Implementation Plan

As part of the EbD Workshop process, an expert planning team discussed and prepared a draft implementation strategy that identified the next steps in the statutory planning process – that is, the things that need to be done to put in place the regulatory framework for development, and, in particular, to ensure that development is ultimately consistent with the vision and principles expressed during the EbD Workshop.

The implementation items were grouped into five main categories:

- District Structure Planning
- Local Structure Planning
- Other Statutory Processes
- Things to be achieved through non-statutory processes
- On-going consultation and promotion

The implementation team also identified the components of an Implementation Plan, as well as the areas of risk in achieving effective implementation of the workshop outcomes. The main implementation items, the components of the Implementation Plan, and the main areas of implementation risk are as follows:

<u>District Structure Planning</u>

- Establish a District Structure Plan (DSP) Implementation Working Group based on the Byford Technical Group / landowners group meeting monthly to discuss Developer Contributions, staging/rollout of development, and protocols or information sharing ,etc.
- Re-establish the Community Reference Group (CRG) to meet at two-monthly intervals to receive reports on progress. The CRG should have at least one representative in the DSP Implementation Working Group .
- Finalise the current Enquiry by Design technical studies and contracts and complete the Enquiry-by-Design workshop outcomes report
- District Structure Plan (DSP) to be prepared.
- Seek consent from Council and the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) for advertising of the DSP.

- Initiate Implementation Plan and contract .
- Final evaluation of the DSP and Draft Implementation Plan by Council.
- Refer to the WAPC for ultimate endorsement of DSP by the WAPC.

Local Structure Planning

- Preparation of a town centre Local Structure Plan (LSP) and a Management plan (as for the Byford Town centre)
- Submission of a Local Structure Plan for each of the major development areas once the DSP has received final approval by Council
- Submission of subdivision plans once the LSP's have received final approval by Council

Other Statutory Planning Processes

- Prepare relevant Local Planning Policies and an Urban Growth Strategy to address broadly relevant items not covered by District or Local Structure Plans and ensure that development is consistent with the workshop vision and planning principles.
- Prepare a Mundijong Planning Framework for the growth and consolidation of the Mundijong town site.
- Prepare a Developer Contributions Plan to be included in the draft Implementation Plan.
- Finalise an MRS amendment to ensure consistency of planning instruments.
- Prepare scheme amendments to ensure consistency of planning instruments and tidy up any areas not previously covered.
- Submission to Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) where plans require specific environmental approvals

Things to be achieved through non-statutory processes

- Identify issues outside the structure plan area and draft action plans to address them (eg: a strategy to consolidate the surrounding agricultural land as an urban food bowl)
- Liaise with State Government to determine regional transport infrastructure outcomes such as the establishment/improvement of the Southern link road, Bishops road, and Patterson street.
- Liaise with State Government to implement the realignment of the Freight rail line and extension of the suburban rail service to the Mundijong-Whitby area.
- Research and seek available grants or other funding sources for public infrastructure improvements.
- Establish 'Green' power initiatives and infrastructure implementation targets (SEREG).
- Investigate the feasibility of the industrial area to the west of the Mundijong-Whitby area and seek expressions of interest from potential development partners.

On-going consultation and promotion

- Provide regular feedback to the media on progress and new initiatives.
- Establish joint development initiatives public and private entities working together to achieve the best outcome for the local community.
- Undertake a 'road show' to inform various government agencies about the Mundijong-Whitby area's potential for agency inputs and obligations.
- Prepare a marketing strategy for Mundijong-Whitby to sell the common vision.

Components of the Implementation Plan

- Developer Contribution Plan
- Engineering Standards
- Management Plans
- Funding sources (Grants, Council budgeting, etc)
- Place-based design codes
- · Schedule of resourcing and funding implications
- Linking of rates to development staging
- Provision of community facilities and services

Major risks:

- An inability of the major stakeholders to agree a development staging plan.
- The WAPC approval process and changes to the State Government policy framework.

- An inability to agree and finalise a Developers Contribution Plan.
- An inability to secure critical State Government agency sign-offs, specifically in reference to the Environmental Protection Authority for environmental improvements, and the Public Transport Authority for agreement to extend the suburban railway line to the Mundijong-Whitby area.
- Risk assessment processes in relation to rail safety that may require a significantly more expensive rail crossing solution.
- An inability to secure funding or State Government support for major infrastructure items.
- Downward trends in prevailing economic circumstances which may constrain the demand for new urban growth.
- Significant increase in oil prices that may inhibit community desire to settle in outlying urban fringe locations.

The Outcomes Report states that whilst the implementation team discussed the issue of timing for the implementation process during the EbD Workshop, it was agreed that at this stage in the planning process it was too early to accurately determine timeframes due to the number of uncertainties still to be confronted and the need for greater buy-in to the process from developers and State Government agencies as part of a vision of strategic alliances. The Outcomes Report stated in terms of timeframes that "a conservative estimate would be three-five years to put the enabling planning controls in place".

What else was happening during the preparation of the MWDSP?

There was unprecedented urban development in Byford from 2004/2005 to 2007/2008 resulting in a 498% increase in residential lots released. Over the same period there was an almost three fold increase in the value of building approvals and the number of new dwellings more than doubled.

In order to manage this enormous increase in workload, staff numbers increased by 46% or from 63 in 2005/2006 to 93 in 2008/2009. Staff attraction and retention was unbelievably difficult during this time as there was an unprecedented national skills shortage that saw local governments cannibalising each other for staff. Solutions employed to address this included:

- o Experienced staff were recruited from South Africa using the 457 Visa process
- o A new banding structure for over award payments for Senior Staff was introduced
- Revised packages for new and existing positions including increased salaries and improved conditions were negotiated
- o Part time, job share, school hours, work from home and study leave were offered
- External consultants, part time consultants and semi retired engineers were engaged
- o A Collective Work Place Agreement was negotiated with the Outdoor workforce
- Management Team and Leadership Team training, mentoring and coaching was implemented

Experienced engineering staff, critical for the complicated development that was occurring, were impossible to obtain and creative solutions, in addition to those mentioned above, were constantly being sought.

Council responded from the 2006/2007 budget onwards with rates increases of between 8.5 and 12% compared to previous levels of around 6%. The total operating expenditure increased from \$10,960,387 in 2004/2005 to \$16,934,642 in 2008/2009.

Compared to other Outer Metropolitan Growth Councils we had considerably less staff to deal with the rate of development and, unlike these larger local governments, we also had to amend our processes to cope, for the first time, with thousands of residential lots when the most we had coped with previously was 50 or so mainly rural living blocks. The Shire went from rural to urban in the middle of the boom, amidst a national wide skills shortage with huge political impetus, and insatiable community desire, for residential housing lots.

So, not only was it very difficult to get staff to fill positions due to the skills shortage, there were not enough funds to meet demand. It was impossible, with the resources that we had available, to meet stakeholder expectations. Expectations that were more urgent, frenzied and excessive as everyone sought to cash in on the boom.

People were camping out for land, deposits were being taken for lots before local structure plans had even been approved, lots were getting title without basic infrastructure in place as everything had been bonded to allow for release of title and builders were signing clients up and taking their money knowing full well they were not going to be able to build it in time, 'professional' lobbyists were engaged by developers, the Department of Planning and Infrastructure were chronically understaffed and approvals were issued over our heads. There were no funding programs available to assist us.

Trying to manage the impacts of hyper-growth during a skills shortage whilst transforming from rural to urban with low staff numbers was difficult enough but with the added complexity of trying to implement water sensitive urban design in a complex natural environment with expert conjecture over required flood protection and drainage outcomes, with an inexperienced and short staffed engineering team, was beyond the endurance of many.

The Department of Water were a new agency and were still establishing where they integrated into the planning system. There were four different drainage standards expected to be enforced by the Shire in the 2 years between 2006 and 2008.

Due to the confusion and conjecture over applicable drainage standards just about every subdivision that proceeded to final approval did so through a resource intensive State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) process. Local Structure Plans and subdivisions were being held in abeyance pending the final Byford Townsite Drainage Water Management Plan at the peak of the market.

The advent of SAT meant that local governments had to focus on bringing their statutory and policy framework up to a standard to survive the quasi-judicial rigour of hearings. The Shire was not only trying to develop a policy framework to deal with the new issues generated by urban growth and reflect the non-main stream vision of council but develop policies which would withstand the SAT process.

Added to this there were a group of directors new to their roles and new councillors as a result of the 2007 election.

To have survived that period of phenomenal growth would have been an achievement in itself, but to have also managed to develop the necessary plans and strategies to take the Shire into the future with the best possible foundation, is a result of extreme commitment and incalculable effort by everyone.

The studies progressed during that time are listed below:

- Local Planning Strategy
 - Status: Significantly progressed
- Community Facilities and Services Plan
 - Status: Adopted by Council
- Biodiversity Strategy
 - o Status: Adopted by Council
- Activity Centres Strategy
 - o Status: Final Draft
- Demand Analysis for Employment Generating Land Study
 - o Status: Final Draft
- Public Transport Study
 - o Status: Final Draft
- Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan (MWDSP)
 - Status: Significantly progressed

- Byford Detailed Area Plan
 - Status: Adopted by Council
- Byford Structure Plan Review
 - Status: Adopted by Council
- Population Projections
 - o Status: Completed
- Byford Town Centre Local Structure Plan
 - o Status: Significantly progressed
- Byford Development Contribution Plan
 - Status: Significantly progressed
- Urban Growth Management Strategy
 - Status: Significantly progressed
- Serpentine Urban Development Zone Amendment.
 - o Status: Significantly progressed
- Plan for the Future 2009 2014
 - Status: Final Draft
- Plan for the Future Action Plans
 - Status: Significantly progressed
- Fully Costed Plan for the Future
 - Status: Significantly progressed
- Communications and Marketing Strategy
 - o Status: Completed
- Crime Prevention and Community Safety Plan
 - o Status: Adopted by Council
- Disability Access and Inclusion Plan
 - o Status: Adopted by Council
- Peel Climate Change Project
 - Status: Significantly progressed
- Jarrahdale Heritage Park Business and Marketing Plan.
 - Status: Adopted by Council
- WAAMI and Asset Management
 - o Status: Commenced

In addition to the studies mentioned above the Shire also worked closely with the Department of Panning and developers respectively on the preparation of the following:

- Southern Metropolitan and Peel Region Urban Growth Management Strategy
 - o Status: Final Draft
- Oakford Rural Village Concept Plan
 - o Status: Significantly progressed

The Shire has also undertaken an ambitious capital works program aimed at bringing its ageing community infrastructure up to a standard that is more useable for today's generation. During this period the Shire also won national awards for its social and environmental initiatives.

More recently the Shire has been fully engaged in the Local Government Reform process, receiving a Category 1 rating which found that the Shire has the financial and organisational capacity to meet current and future needs and the Shire was acknowledged for its best practice strategic and financial planning.

Officers should be commended for significantly advancing the MWDSP amidst all of those others pressures and projects.

Financial Implications of Growth

High growth reduces a local government's financial capacity to invest in infrastructure. This is evidenced by the case of the City of Mandurah which experienced a rapid growth rate with its population growing from 30,000 in 1993 to 60,000 in 2005. This represents an average

annual growth rate of 5.9%. Over this period the City generated \$220M in rate revenue. Over the corresponding period of time, the State grew at a rate of 1.7% per annum.

If the City had grown at the same rate as the rest of the State it would have reached its 60,000 population in 2040. Over this longer period, the City would have amassed rate revenue of \$670M. It is standard for a local government to invest approximately 10% of its rate revenue into capital projects. Using this as a basis, the City has foregone \$45M in capital projects and missed out on 35 years of grant and borrowing opportunities.

This difficulty is reflected in the financial modelling that accompanied the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale's Community Facilities and Services Plan to 2020. The total Plan to 2020 requires \$207 M. The \$207 M is made up of the following components:

- \$163 M is required in real time costs to build new (or renovated) facilities at the cost that would be incurred when they will be actioned between 2008 and 2020.
- \$30 M then needs to be added to this for repairs and maintenance
- \$14 M also needs to be added for the human resources to enable and activate the facilities through a Futures Office (Economic/Funding/Architect/Major Projects), Mobile Librarian & extended CD Team (Place planners).

It is possible that \$155 M of this \$207 M could be funded to 2020 by:

- Community Infrastructure Contributions of \$40 M
- Shire 25% of revenue of \$85 M
- Shire loans of \$30 M

generating a cash flow related shortfall of \$52 M.

The Shire has adopted a best practice forward financial planning process and is working with other like local governments to bring to the attention of the State and Federal Governments the funding gap generated by rapid growth.

The Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale has formed a strategic alliance of Outer Metropolitan Growth Councils with Wanneroo, Swan, Cockburn, Armadale, Kwinana, Mandurah and Gosnells to lobby at a State level regarding issues associated with growth as well as to conduct research and contribute to policy development.

This then led to the National Growth Areas Alliance (NGAA) where the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale is able to lobby at Federal level as part of a group of 25 who represent, 22.6% of the nation's population. Over the five years to 2006 54.7% of Australia's population growth occurred in NGAA councils. This trend will continue. More than 1.4 million people will move into growth areas over the next 15 years. This is the equivalent to building a city the size of Canberra every 3.6 years. We now have an Executive officer in place and a national marketing strategy. The NGAA's first campaign was the entitled "Fund the Gap" prior to the last Federal election.

Financial Implications of Growth for Serpentine Jarrahdale

The following table outlines the currently unfunded gap that is being generated by the rapid growth that the Shire is experiencing.

		DRAFT FULLY COSTED PLAN FOR THE FUTURE								
	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
Total Estimated Revenue (inclusive of a 7% increase in rates each year)	16,824,339	18,234,386	19,748,279	21,395,793	23,151,435	25,059,714	27,133,713	29,387,866	31,837,608	34,499,448
Total Estimated Expenses	24,749,771	25,606,143	25,437,615	26,985,106	29,270,668	31,411,668	32,555,184	35,804,906	39,057,193	44,026,332
Additional increase in revenue needed to fund growth in Byford	- 5,543,869	- 4,871,116	- 3,063,663	- 2,832,356	- 3,224,428	- 3,312,409	- 2,229,949	3,065,942	3,700,932	- 5,832,298
Additional increase in revenue needed to fund growth in Byford and Mundijong	- 6,778,985	- 6,044,436	- 3,702,964	- 3,490,050	- 4,113,610	- 4,358,826	3,090,967	- 4,366,997	- 5,401,285	- 8,449,287
Additional increase in revenue needed to fund Mundijong Whitby	1,235,116	1,173,320	639,301	657,694	889,181	1,046,418	861,018	1,301,055	1,700,354	2,616,989

Council has invested \$590,728 on external consultants thus far on the preparation of the MWDSP and anticipates spending a further \$323,078 this year to progress the DSP toward finalisation. During that time officers have managed to offset that cost by attracting \$310,000 in grant revenue.

As a matter of interest it is worth examining the level of State investment into the Armadale Redevelopment Authority, which does not have responsibility for the full range of services provided by a local government.

Armadale Redevelopment Authority – Annual Report Summary

Year	Total Revenue From Ordinary Activities	Total Cost of Service	Revenues From State Government	Net Profit/(Loss)
2002	4,814	172,119	755,000	587,695
2003	20,858	953,115	510,000	(422,257)
2004	134,606	1,429,955	3,261,500	1,966,151
2005	239,000	4,479,000	1,188,000	(3,052,000)
2006	8,739,000	12,936,000	1,470,000	(2,727,000)
2007	25,211,000	36,532,000	2,752,000	(8,569,000)
2008	3,691,000	5,057,000	1,450,000	84,000
2009	10,160,000	11,633,000	1,720,000	247,000

^{*}Note the revenue received from State Government does not include additional one off injections such as, for example, \$10 million for the Forrestdale Industrial Park and others.

The ARA also has a service level agreement in place with Landcorp to assist with human resources and DoP also assist with staffing. They outsource most of the technical staff. The other thing to note is that they are also a land developer, not just an administrator.

Land Supply:

On Page iv of Directions 2031 Gary Pratley, the Chairman of the WAPC, states "We need to use land and infrastructure more efficiently and we must in the first instance prioritise land that is already zoned for development. Fortunately we already have nearly 19,000 hectares of undeveloped urban and urban deferred land which can be used to accommodate most, if not all of the expected growth to 2031.

In that same document with regard to Serpentine Jarrahdale the following extract is provided:

Byford: The Byford District Structure Plan has identified growth capacity for a future population of 38,000 residents, which is anticipated to be sufficient to accommodate demand beyond 2031.

Mundijong: the town of Mundijong is experiencing significant development pressure. Structure planning for the area has recently been initiated and has identified the capacity to accommodate 30,000 residents over the long term. It is expected that development in Mundijong will follow Byford, and is therefore considered a medium to long term growth opportunity (i.e. beyond 2031).

The whole of the South East Corridor is estimated to have another 58,000 people by 2031 according to Directions 2031. If Byford can accommodate 38,000 and the City of Armadale is predicting that they will have another 69,617 people and Gosnells 35,096, there is almost triple the amount of land supply needed in the corridor without even thinking about Mundijong. Why on earth is there such a rush to bring Mundijong on stream by May next year? Even if DPIs figures are conservative it is highly unlikely that they would be out by 100,000 people.

City of Armadale Population Projections

Forecast POPULATION the City of Armadale	Forecast year		Change I 2006 ar		
(Area)	2006	2016	2031	number	average annual % change
City of Armadale	52,747	77,373	122,364	69,617	3 .4
Armadale North	5,228	6,019	7,178	1,950	7 1.3
Armadale South	7,248	8,123	9,831	2,583	7 1.2
Bedfordale - Ashendon - Illawarra	1,856	2,904	3,431	1,575	2 .5
Brookdale - Wungong	2,607	3,358	6,078	3,471	3 .4
Camillo	4,780	4,921	5,150	370	8 0.3
Champion Lakes	544	1,857	3,256	2,712	7.4
Forrestdale	1,131	1,328	1,935	804	7 2.2
Harrisdale	83	4,850	9,051	8,968	7 20.6
Haynes	152	978	5,968	5,816	7 15.8
Hilbert	366	4,701	22,735	22,369	7 18.0
Kelmscott East	5,318	5,859	7,135	1,817	7 1.2
Kelmscott West	4,518	5,427	6,617	2,099	7 1.5
Mount Nasura - Mount Richon	5,061	5,108	5,532	471	≈ 0.4
Piara Waters	97	3,628	9,656	9,559	7 20.2
Roleystone - Karragullen - Lesley	6,487	7,206	7,445	958	₹0.6
Seville Grove	7,271	11,106	11,366	4,095	7 1.8

stable* from previous year increase from previous year decrease from previous year table refers to between +/- 0.5% change

City of Gosnells Population Projections

Forecast POPULATION the City of Gosnells	Forecast year			Change between 2006 and 2031	
(Area)	2006	2016	2031	numbe r	average annual % change
City of Gosnells	95,679	111,363	130,775	35,096	71.3
Beckenham	6,228	6,436	8,296	2,068	71.2
Canning Vale (North)	6,035	10,415	10,531	4,496	72.3
Canning Vale (South)	8,798	12,320	11,932	3,134	71.2
Gosnells (Balance)	6,718	6,721	8,315	1,597	70.9
Gosnells (Central)	11,349	12,172	14,635	3,286	71.0
Huntingdale	8,403	8,866	10,186	1,783	70.8
Kenwick	5,383	5,307	5,643	260	≈ 0.2
Langford	5,065	4,862	4,976	-89	≈ -0.1
Maddington	9,551	10,088	12,042	2,491	70.9
Martin (East) - Orange Grove	1,400	1,470	1,627	227	₹ 0.6
Martin (West)	437	841	2,607	2,170	77.4
Southern River (East)	577	772	5,843	5,266	79.7
Southern River (West)	2,480	8,212	10,812	8,332	7 6.1
Thornlie (Central)	11,204	10,544	10,549	-655	≈ -0.2
Thornlie (East)	5,073	5,036	5,289	216	≈ 0.2
Thornlie (West)	6,978	7,301	7,492	514	≈ 0.3

₩stable* from previous year increase from previous year decrease from previous year

The State Government has spent millions of dollars on the development of Armadale as a strategic city centre providing a mix of retail, office, community, entertainment, residential and employment activities well serviced by high frequency public transport through the Armadale Redevelopment Authority, with large areas of broad hectare residential developments being planned allowing maximum utilisation of existing infrastructure. There is little hope of achieving these services in the district centre of Mundijong for at least the next decade, probably two. Those services don't even exist in Byford. Byford has an infrequent bus service, doesn't have a state high school (the Shire has had to help the first private high school to establish by accommodating it in its car park and hall for several years because there is community need that wasn't being met by the State) and the public primary schools in Byford are bursting at the seams and there are no plans for any new ones to be built.

^{*} stable refers to between +/- 0.5% change

The Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub Regional Structure Plan recently released for public comment was conducted over many years involving close collaboration between DPI, local governments, key state government agencies especially the Department of Water and Department of Environment and Conservation and service providers, especially the Water Corporation. This study has provided even more detailed population projections for Serpentine Jarrahdale and estimates that only 7,600 people of the 93,100 increase in population of the Sub Region will occur in Serpentine Jarrahdale.

Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub Regional Structure Plan Population Projections

6. Population

6.1 Current population and population projections

The population in the five local government areas making up the sub-region, has risen from approximately 148,000 in 1997 to approximately 222,600 in 2008, representing an annual average growth rate of 3.78% per annum in this period.

In terms of WA Tomorrow population estimates and Directions 2031, the population of the sub-region is projected to grow to 315,700 by 2031 as reflected in table 1.

Table 1: Sub-regional population: current projections

Local government	2008	2031	Growth 2006 to 2031	Proportion of growth 2006 to 2031
Kwinana	26,900	37,000	10,100	10.8%
Rockingham	96,400	128,500	32,100	34.5%
Mandurah	71,900	105,700	33,800	36.3%
Murray	13,000	22,500	9,500	10.2%
Serpentine Jarrahdale	14,400	22,000	7,600	8.2%
Total	222,600	315,700	93,100	100%

It is projected that the additional population, will be accommodated in an additional 54,900 dwellings as summarised in table 2.

Table 2: Projected additional dwellings required

	Kwinana	Rockingham	Mandurah	Murray	Serpentine Jarrahdale	Total
Additional dwellings 2008 to 2031	6,000	18,900	19,900	5,600	4,500	54,900

The number of new dwellings needed could almost be provided by The Glades local structure plan in Byford alone.

The Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub Regional Structure Plan also indicates that Mundijong Whitby is not on the Water Corporations water and wastewater timeframe for the provision of services until 2021. Development in Byford which has been underway for 3

years is currently being constrained by the inability of Water Corporation to build a sewer pump station.

Water and wastewater	Etc (\$'000,000)	Start	Finish
Water Corporation			
Years 11 – 20: 2017-18 to 2026-27			
Amarillo South Main PS PM and Main Sewer	10.8	2017	2021
Byford Main PS and PM Transfer to PS B	14.4	2018	2019
Baldivis South Main Pump Station	15.8	2018	2020
Baldivis South Main Sewer Section 3	22.7	2018	2020
Halls Head WWTP upgrade to 8.8 ML/d	33.2	2019	2024
Mundijong: PS, PM and Main Sewer	20.9	2021	2022
Amarillo South Main Sewer Stage 2	13.3	2021	2025
Karnup: PS F, PM and Main Sewer	13.2	2022	2023
Kwinana WWTP: Upgrade to 24ML/d	73.0	2022	2025
Caddadup TWWM Improvements Stage 2	36.1	2022	2027
Baldivis South Main Sewer Section 4	21.6	2023	2025
Amarillo South Main Sewer Stage 3	16.0	2023	2027
Serpentine Tunnel - PH Dam to Main Dam	403.9	2023	2027
Mandurah Gordon Rd WWTP 26 ML/d	16.7	2024	2027
Tims Thicket WWTP: Ocean Outfall	14.0	2026	2027
Mandurah Biosolids Storage and Treatment	14.0	2026	2027
Tims Thicket WWTP: Stage 1 10ML/d	28.1	2028	2027

This is not just about the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale, it is about every government agency and utility being able to service the opening of another development front. The Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub-Regional Structure Plan liaised with all of those key agencies and yet forecast that only 4,500 homes were needed until 2031, Byford will more than adequately provide this. The reason the Department of Planning were forecasting such low numbers is they were trying to create a more compact city that better utilises existing infrastructure, opening Mundijong up prematurely goes against all those principles.

Continuing skills shortage

As part of the Shire's Local Government Reform submission the Chamber of Commerce and Industry (CCI) were engaged to examine the feasibility of a shared service model for the Peel local governments. As part of that analysis one of the key drivers for advancing the project is the forecast continued shortage of skilled labour and the inability for local government to effectively compete in the market place due to the higher rates paid by the private sector. The following is an extract from the report:

Labour Pressures

The strong economic growth translated into extremely tight labour market conditions. Since the current phase of economic expansion started six years ago, almost 200,000 jobs have been created in the WA economy, while the unemployment rate has also dropped to historically low levels, reaching a low of 2.8 per cent in October 2008. Since then, the unemployment rate has increased as global and domestic economic conditions have deteriorated, although this may be a temporary situation.

The strong employment growth has been hampered by an inability to source suitable labour, with labour shortages becoming a key limiting factor to additional growth in WA. Labour shortages and associated wage pressures were identified as the single largest concern in 2008 by nearly 70 per cent of WA businesses. These labour shortages were not isolated to one particular sector of the economy and impacted most occupational groups.

Local governments have not been immune to the labour shortage challenge. In fact, the ability to attract and retain suitably qualified staff was identified by the sector and Minister as one of the reasons for considering reform.

As a consequence of tight labour markets, WA has experienced considerable wage pressure, with the wage price index in WA increasing by more than the national average for the past four years. This strong wage pressure was spread across the labour market, with higher wage price pressure in almost every Western Australian industry. While this pressure is easing in the short term, due to changed international global conditions, the expectation is that wage pressures will resume in the medium to longer term.

In 2006 the main industries of employment in the Peel region were: manufacturing, accounting for 14.3 per cent of the total workforce; retail trade, accounting for 11.9 per cent; and construction, accounting for 11.6 per cent. The three major employment areas in the Peel, manufacturing, construction and retail trade, have seen considerable wage increases above the Australian average.

The unemployment rate in the Peel has been higher than the State and regional average over the last 3 years. However, this differential has narrowed as the labour market expanded significantly. In March 2008, the unemployment rate was 4.1 per cent in the Peel compared to a 3.5 per cent average for regional Western Australia and 3.2 per cent for the state. Over this three year period ending in 2008 the Peel labour force has grown by 6.3 per cent to 39,967. During this period, the number of people employed in the Peel has risen by 9.1 per cent while unemployment fell by 33.1 per cent. This indicates a tightening of the labour market.

Competition for local employees has been increasing over the last six years as can been seen with the lowering of the unemployment rates at the local level and is likely to continue into the future. Increased competition is likely to increase the difficulty of local government's recruiting and retaining staff due to low unemployment rates resulting in higher wages which local governments often struggle to match and as a result will lose employees to the private sector.

Labour markets are tightening across the Peel region, with the most significant reductions in unemployment rates occurring in the smaller population local governments giving them the most need to produce a solution relating to labour needs.

The current global uncertainty has resulted in a significant increase in the number of unemployed. However, CCI forecasts that there will be considerable growth in the WA economy in the medium to longer term.

Over the longer term, CCI estimates, on the strength of business investment and offshore demand for resources, economic growth will be maintained at the average rate of growth experienced over the first six years of this decade. On that basis, it is expected that an additional 400,000 workers will be required in the WA economy in the years to 2017. Based on current population growth rates, which are at historically high levels, Western Australia is likely to experience a shortfall of available workers of approximately 150,000 people. This will create extraordinary pressure on businesses, and in particular local governments, to attract and retain appropriately skilled staff.

The forecast is for strong growth to return to the Peel region due to expected future population growth and the high level of business investment being considered for Western Australia, over \$172 billion in March 2009. As a consequence, local government business conditions are likely to return to a state of constrained labour markets and rapidly expanding economic activity in the medium to long term.

The detailed analysis by Council Officers of the human resources needed to support the growth of the Shire generated by the implementation of the Byford and Mundijong District Structure Plans is in the order of fifteen staff per year for the next ten years. There is going

to be enormous pressure on the Shire to not only find the money to fund these new staff but to recruit them and then retain them. This is going to be made even more difficult by prematurely advancing the MWDSP and setting them a task that cannot humanly be achieved with the resources that will be available.

Councillor motion of which notice has been given

One cannot question the intent behind the councillor notice of motion as being an honourable endeavour to maintain Shire responsibility for the preparation of the MWDSP.

However with regard to the wording of the notice of motion, there is no need to initiate the MWDSP process as Council has already resolved to initiate the process back in April 2006. There is also no statutory requirement to receive the EbD Outcomes Report.

With regard to the "offer from developers" stated in the motion received on 11th November 2009, only the two councillors on the Implementation Working Group received a written offer from the major developers, this offer was dated Monday 16th November and copies were provided to other members of the Sustainable Development Committee during the Committee meeting on Tuesday 17th November 2009. The offer states that the Shire has requested support from the landowner group to resource the assessment of future local structure plans. At no stage has Council formally resolved to seek this support. The offer is also subject to the achievement of certain dates including formal adoption of the MWDSP for referral to the WAPC by May 2010 and adoption by the Council of the EbD Outcomes Report at their meeting of 30th November 2009.

The developers' desire for the EbD Outcomes Report to be adopted may be motivated by a desire to produce their own DSP which, if Council endorses the EbD Outcomes Report, allows them to then argue that it has been prepared in accordance with an adopted position of Council.

The May 2010 deadline for the final adoption of the MWDSP for referral to the WAPC is physically unachievable.

To produce the MWDSP the Shire would need to appoint consultants, if this engagement is over \$100,000 it will have to go out to tender in accordance with the Local Government Act requirements and be presented to Council for endorsement, all of the issues to be resolved as outlined in the EbD Outcomes report would need to be determined, the Implementation Plan inclusive of a Development Contribution Plan would need to be finalised, all of the individual studies would need to be resolved against an agreed concept plan, the DSP would then need to be written, then a report would need to go to Council for adoption of the DSP for advertising, advertising conducted, all of the submissions assessed, a report written and presented to Council for final adoption.

Realistically this would take until May 2012, with all of the fast tracking in the world and a supreme concerted effort you may be able to come close to a stretch target of May 2011 but May 2010 is impossible if you want to have any hope of securing a half way decent outcome.

COMMENT

The vision for the future of Mundijong Whitby arising from the EbD workshop was:

"A contemporary, connected place reflecting the community's rural character, green values and vibrant village feel."

Discussions had been held with the previous Minister for Planning and Infrastructure who was able to see that the potential risk to prematurely advancing the Mundijong front would be creating another poor replication of suburbia on the urban fringe and she acknowledged the need to do something different with Mundijong and create something quite unique in order to avoid that outcome. The Minister had agreed to call a visioning workshop with the

developers, DPI officers, the Shire and a number of key sustainability specialists to try and reach a tripartite agreement as to the overall vision for a completely sustainable urban village on a scale that had never been achieved in WA before.

The EbD Outcomes Report also acknowledges there is a risk that the low land values in Mundijong Whitby will not bring the high quality development that the community envisage. The vision of something different, may result in the generation of something ordinary if the implementation is not carefully planned and adequately resourced. Equally the vision of something different is at risk if Council does not control the process.

The risk of prematurely advancing the MWDSP is that you will not get the vision and Council needs to understand that by accepting this timeframe they are unlikely to achieve their desires in relation to integrated water cycle management and renewable energy.

This could be seen as a no win situation, either put forward an unachievable timeframe that will mean sub optimal outcomes because time hasn't been taken to put the correct planning controls in place or continue with the proper process that will not suit the developer's timeframes and they will by pass the Shire and lodge a DSP with DPI which, if approved, will still result in a sub optimal outcome that Council will have to deal with in the future with no resources to staff the implementation or the provide the needed community infrastructure.

Since this project commenced the developers have been eager to explore every avenue to shorten the process as it is in their business interest to do so. It is appropriate that the Council has control of the planning processes as they serve the community's interest as was intended from the very origins of planning legislation in WA.

The answer does not lie in wresting control of an orderly and proper planning process being conducted by the responsible local government in the best interests of the community in order to satisfy the expectations of the major developers. Given that the State's own strategic planning document Directions 2031 recently released for public comment considers Mundijong Whitby a long term prospect "i.e post 2031" one cannot help but wonder if the haste to finalise the MWDSP by the developers is to pre-empt the finalisation of this document.

If the councillors want to resolve to go with the councillor recommended resolution then they should also resolve to go out to their community and advise them that the likely consequence is that their rates may increase and they're probably going to receive a reduced level of service because Council have advanced the MWDSP ahead of time out of concern that the developers' push for this project would be supported by the State and the Shire would lose control of the preparation of the District Structure Plan. Councillors should acknowledge that they have made this decision without resolving the significant impacts it will have on the Shire's financial and organisational sustainability as well as how they are going to ensure the quality of outcomes and the provision of adequate infrastructure and services to prevent the creation of a low quality development.

The Shire deviated from the endorsed CEWP process at the EbD workshop by having developers as part of the technical team. Under the original scenario they were to attend only at the evening sessions with the community reference group to review the outcomes from the technical group. The current imbalance of community input was identified in the EbD Outcomes Report with the recommendation that at least one community reference group member be appointed to the Implementation Working Group and that the EbD Outcomes Report be the subject of extensive community engagement prior to the preparation of the MWDSP documentation. If this community consultation does not occur with the broader community then Council will be making decisions on the unresolved issues without feedback from the general public reflecting a changed priority on community input into this process.

Council needs to be assured that by making this decision it is doing so in the interests of the good governance of all residents of the Shire and that it truly understands the ramifications on its ability to provide services to community.

Council also needs to be mindful that they have a contract with the CEO which requires that they provide her with an acceptable level of resources and personnel in order for the CEO to be able to perform her duties.

The Shire is undertaking an enormous task in planning a District Centre to accommodate 30,000 people, a development that will generate a population the size of the City of Albany, which is recognised within the State's recently released Activity Centres Strategy hierarchy.

The Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub Regional Structure Plan states that the provisions of State Planning Policy 4.2, or such interim policy statement as approved by the WAPC, shall apply to District Centres such as Mundijong. The final extent of the Centres is to be approved by the WAPC on submission by the local government of an Activity Centre Plan. There is a potential risk that the DSP process could be delayed as the Shire's Activity Centres Strategy has not yet been endorsed by Council or the WAPC.

The Byford District Structure Plan took 10 years to prepare, by the same logic the MWDSP shouldn't be finished until 2016. Even the EbD Outcomes report suggests another 3-5 years taking it to 2014.

This is an argument about orderly and proper planning. If Council agree to this they risk repeating the errors of our nation's forebears with poor urban fringe development that will create social problems for our successors.

The Minister for Planning's latest press release regarding the rationale for the introduction of his planning reform Bill into Parliament for Development Assessment Panels and a range of other reforms aimed at denuding local governments, and more importantly their communities, of their level of influence over their own planning, states that this will allow local government's to refocus their resources on strategic planning. If the MWDSP is advanced prematurely through WAPC or DoP support of the developer push then this statement will be revealed to be a falsehood.

If the MWDSP is advanced prematurely then all of the planning principles espoused in the recent suite of strategic planning documents released by DoP will be seen as rhetoric without substance. To support this push by the developers is not consistent with orderly and proper planning and will more than likely result in a socially unjust outcome, not only for the current residential rate base that will have to fund it but the future rate base who won't be able to be serviced.

The Shire has a history of quality long term strategic planning which is evidenced by the alignment of the Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub Regional Structure Plan to the Shire's Rural Strategy.

This decision needs to be considered in the context of the Shire's Rural Strategy. This is the last urban village identified in the Rural Strategy. The Southern Metropolitan and Peel Sub Regional Structure Plan does not indicate any further areas for urban growth at this scale. Mundijong is the last opportunity for at least the next 20 – 30 years that Council has to realise the community's vision. There will not be another opportunity to get it right.

The Shire acknowledges the sensitivity of the State Government to ensuring that there is sufficient land that can be brought on rapidly if the economic situation requires it and the Shire is committed to finalising the MWDSP properly with all of the necessary planning controls and contribution mechanisms in place so that Mundijong will be ready when needed on the proviso that the State is able to ensure that it can provide the required level of infrastructure and services.

The correct way forward is to progress the MWDSP in an orderly and proper manner ensuring that all stakeholders can service the development front, including local and state government, whilst letting Byford establish into the high quality urban village that The Glades, The Scarp and Red Gum Brook are delivering, cementing the attractiveness and vision of the area and then do something special with Mundijong.

Implementing the MWDSP will result in significant costs being added to the operating and capital expenses of the Shire, conservatively placing an additional burden in the order of \$1.2M per year for the next ten years. The Shire already has to find an average of \$3.8M per year for the next ten years to deal with the growth generated in Byford. These figures are in addition to the funds generated by a 7% rate rise every year for the next ten years. There needs to be serious discussions with both levels of government and the major developers to find viable funding options to service rapid urban growth. Grant funding is not the solution as the majority of costs are operational and not subject to capital grant opportunities, opportunities that are likely to diminish considerably as the Federal Government contracts its spending to manage the deficit created by the stimulus package.

Should Council make this decision the pain will not be felt immediately and that will lull decision makers into a false sense of satisfaction that the concerns raised over this matter were spurious. However it will be when the avalanche of local structure plans and subdivisions hit, when skilled staff can't be sourced and the Shire has insufficient funds to put them on and all of the planning controls aren't in place and the outcomes on the ground are not delivered that the initial pain will be felt. But the real pain will be when those people attracted to cheap land move in without any infrastructure, jobs or public transport and the Shire and the State have to deal with the social problems it will create. That is an outcome no-one wants and we need to take the time to get it right.

There is actually no need from a statutory sense for Council to make any formal resolutions at all at this point. However, what there obviously is a need for is to give the major land developers a clear indication of council's commitment to progressing finalisation of the DSP in a timely manner and informing the WAPC that we are proceeding with orderly and proper planning of the Mundijong Whitby urban cell.

The Shire needs to enter into serious discussions with the major developers and the State Government to address the economic issues outlined in the Outcomes Report namely:

- Creating an environment that encourages businesses to move to Mundijong.
- Delivering sufficient jobs to reduce the export of labour.
- Locating commercial activity where it has the most potential to survive and thrive.
- Achieving high quality development outcomes from a base of relatively low land values.
- Establishing sufficient residents and workers to justify the extension of the passenger rail service from Armadale.

This critical issue is echoed in Directions 2031 which states that "the south-east sub-region has a relatively low level of employment self-sufficiency, which means that a significant number of residents must travel outside of the area for work. Under the connected city scenario it is estimated that by 2031 the population of the sub-region will have grown to 228,000, a 34 per cent increase on current population levels. Directions 2031 has identified an employment self sufficiency target of 55 per cent for the sub region, which will require 31,000 new jobs by 2031. This is a significant increase on current employment levels and will require concerted action over the next two decades if it is to be achieved."

A high level of government investment in infrastructure and services will be necessary to give full effect to the MWDSP. The State Government will have to be just as equally committed to fast tracking the West Mundijong Industrial Area, the freight line relocation and the provision of the electric rail to Mundijong as they may be to expediting the progression of

the MWDSP. There is a very real question as to whether the State is able to resource the implementation of the MWDSP.

The Shire is certainly not being asked by its community to expedite the MWDSP, if anything they are saying they don't want it and concentrate on getting Byford right. The Shire is not naïve, it recognises the pressure from the developers and the current political landscape but as is stated in the EbD Outcomes Report the risk associated with implementation that needs to be managed is that of "Responding quickly to demand vs. getting the right planning solution".

At the very least, even if councillors don't support the officer's motion, it is recommended that a decision on this matter should be deferred pending a meeting between the Shire and the Director General of the Department of Planning, the Chairman of the WAPC and the Minister for Planning, without developers being present, to determine the State's position on the timing of the adoption of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan.

CONCLUSION

It is imperative that Council firmly advises the major developers, the Department of Planning and the Western Australian Planning Commission that it has been undertaking with due diligence its role as a responsible planning authority in the preparation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan (MWDSP).

Any threat or attempt to wrest control of the MWDSP process from the Council, which is being undertaken in the best interests of the community, could only be perceived to be motivated by the profit driven self interest of the major developers. This is particularly evident when every strategic planning document recently released by the Western Australian Planning Commission identifies that there is sufficient zoned land and land under investigation in the Southern Corridor to cope with the growth of Perth for the next 20 years without Mundijong Whitby. This isn't a debate about land supply nor is it about affordable housing.

That being said, the Shire remains committed to progressing to finalisation the preparation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan in the most expedient manner practicable and to systematically working through the implementation components of the EbD Outcomes Report as well as to working with both levels of government and the development industry to proactively address the significant resourcing implications that will be generated by its adoption.

Strategic Plan Implications:

A copy of the Shire's Plan for the Future objectives

that are relevant to this proposal are attached at

OCM015.6/11/09.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Officer Recommended Resolution

That Council:

- Acknowledge the significant progress made to date by the Shire Officers on the preparation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan in accordance with the process endorsed by Council in April 2006.
- 2. Resolve that the continued progression of the preparation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan will be in accordance with the implementation components outlined in the Mundijong-Whitby Enquiry-by-Design Workshop Outcomes Report (v4 August 2009).
- 3. Is committed to continuing to progress to finalisation, with the greatest expediency practicable, the process of orderly and proper planning that the Shire has been systematically and independently undertaking in the best interests of the community for the preparation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan.

- 4. Continue to progress the development of the Implementation Plan for the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan in accordance with Council's best practice strategic and financial planning processes to ensure that the Shire has the fiscal and organisational resources to meet current and future community needs.
- 5. Proactively and positively engage with the Federal and State Governments, the Department of Planning and the development industry regarding available funding options for adequately resourcing a successful Implementation Plan for the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan.
- 6. Seek written clarification from the Western Australian Planning Commission regarding the potential conflict between the post 2031 timeframe put forward for the progression of the development of Mundijong in Directions 2031 and any support that may be provided by the Western Australian Planning Commission or the Department of Planning for the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan process to be expedited prematurely.
- 7. Place the State Government on notice that if they support the push by the developers to prematurely advance the finalisation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan without the necessary implementation measures being in place and without the ability to provide the necessary infrastructure, then the State will be solely and utterly responsible for creating a dormitory suburb on the outskirts of Perth with low employment self sufficiency, under provision of facilities and services and inadequate public transport, not to mention jeopardising the organisational and financial sustainability of the Shire.
- 8. Place the State Government on notice that if the WAPC, either willingly or under instruction, prematurely advance the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan then they will not be upholding the principles of orderly and proper planning and their purported commitment to strategic planning, infrastructure coordination, protection of the environment and building communities.
- 9. Seek a meeting between the Shire and the Director General of the Department of Planning, the Chairman of the Western Australian Planning Commission and the Minister for Planning, without developers being present, to determine the State's view of the urgency regarding the finalisation of the Mundijong Whitby District Structure Plan.
- 10. Advise the Western Australian Planning Commission, the Department of Planning and the Implementation Working Group of this resolution.

Denis McLeod attended the meeting and declared a financial interest prior to providing advice as the decision made at this meeting may impact on the work his firm may do for this Shire.

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Brown, seconded Cr Lowry that the meeting be closed to members of the public at this point in accordance with S5.23.(2)(d) of the Local Government Act 1995 to allow consideration of legal advice relating to item SD069/11/09. CARRIED 9/1

Cr Geurds left the meeting at 8.29pm and returned at 8.30pm.

Denis McLeod also declared that his firm may currently be engaged by land developer Mark Hector. He declared that this engagement will not affect the advice that he provides to the Shire.

COUNCIL DECISION

Moved Cr Lowry, seconded Cr Buttfield that the meeting be re-opened to the public. CARRIED 10/0

Council Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Murphy, seconded Cr Kirkpatrick

- 1. Council receives the Mundijong/Whitby Enquiry by Design Workshop Outcomes Report (v4 August 2009) and resolves to initiate a District Structure Plan.
- 2. Council resolves to engage a suitably qualified person or company as lead consultant to expeditiously finalise the District Structure Plan suitable for advertising with assistance from consultants specialised in such disciplines as the lead consultant finds necessary; a framework for a developer contribution fund is to be included.
- 3. Time is of the essence with the target date for the final DSP to come to Council for consideration of approval and recommendation to the WAPC in May 2010.
- 4. Council accepts the offer from the major developers within the District Structure Plan area, that these developers will pre-fund the cost of the consultants to carry out the work as required; such funding is not refundable by the Shire. The developers accept that the consultants, whilst working on the Mundijong/Whitby projects, will be engaged by the Shire, report to the Shire and accept directions only from the Shire.

THIS MOTION WAS WITHDRAWN

Council Note: Council notes and takes into consideration the advice of the CEO to the effect that to proceed with initiation of the District Structure Plan at this time would be unwise due to unresolved questions relating to the capacity of the Shire's staff and financial resources to perform the work that would arise following the initiation of the District Structure Plan. Council considers these resolutions to be expedient.

Manager Community Development left the meeting at 9.04pm and returned at 9.10pm.

Manager Community Development left the meeting at 9.11pm.

SD069/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/New Motion:

Moved Cr Murphy, seconded Cr Kirkpatrick

Council resolves to obtain urgent legal advice on the appropriateness of resolutions in the form and involving the principles of the following with the intent that the substantive motions be included in a report and be presented to Council at a Special Council Meeting on 1 December 2009:

- 1. Council receives the Mundijong/Whitby Enquiry by Design Workshop Outcomes Report (v4 August 2009) and renews its resolve to initiate a District Structure Plan and also to prepare a Development Contribution Plan.
- 2. Council resolves to engage a suitably qualified person or company as lead consultant to expeditiously draft the District Structure Plan suitable for advertising with assistance from consultants specialised in such disciplines as the lead consultant finds necessary; a framework for a development contribution fund is to be included.
- 3. Time is of the essence and the intent of Council is for the draft District Structure Plan to be brought to Council for consideration and possible adoption and recommendation to the Western Australian Planning Commission as soon as possible, the present target being May 2010.
- 4. Council accepts the principle that the developers should be responsible for the cost of preparing a District Structure Plan and Development Contribution Plan and on that basis the funds offered by the major developers should be applied by the Shire to the cost of carrying out the work required. Receipt of the funds does not alter the requirement that the consultants, whilst working on the Mundijong/Whitby projects, will be engaged by the Shire, report to the Shire and accept directions only from the Shire. The funding should only proceed if the funding developers accept that the monies provided are not refundable by the Shire.
- 5. Details of funding arrangements with developers in connection with the District Structure Plan and Development Contribution Plan and implementation be discussed at the earliest possible time with the developers and any other

- necessarily interested parties and the detail be brought back to Council for consideration at the earliest possible time.
- 6. Council expresses its concerns as to the likely demand in terms of funds and human resources to implement the programs which will simply be initiated by the District Structure Plan and Development Contribution Plan contemplated by the preceding resolutions and will explore all possible avenues for discussion of these issues with developers, the Planning Department and appropriate agencies of the State Government.

CARRIED 10/0

Council Note: The Committee/Councillor Recommended Resolution was amended by changing parts 1, 2, 3 and 4 and the addition of parts 5 and 6. Council did not resolve to adopt the Committee/Councillor Recommended Resolution as they need to seek further legal advice on this matter.

Executive Manager Strategic Planning, Senior Planner – Projects and Communications Officer left the meeting at 9.14pm.

Director Corporate Services left the meeting at 9.14pm.

		SERVE 129 MUNRO STREET,		
JARR	RAHDALE (RS0129-03/03)			
Proponent:	Jarrahdale Playgroup	In Brief		
Owner:	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale			
Author:	Casey Rose - Planning	The applicant seeks approval to install a shade sail over the outdoor		
	Assistant			
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director	play area. It is recommended that:		
	Development Services	1) The application be conditionally		
Date of Report	14 October 2009			
Previously	NA	approved; and		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	2) Delegated authority be granted		
Interest	preparation of this report is	to officers to consider		
	required to declare an interest in	applications on land owned or		
	accordance with the provisions	under the care, control and		
	of the Local Government Act	management of Council.		
Delegation	Council			

Date of Receipt: September 2009

Lot Area: 1.84 ha

L.A Zoning: Public Open Space

MRS Zoning: Urban

Rural Strategy Policy Area: Parks and Recreation (Public)

Background

The applicant seeks approval to install a shade sail over the outdoor playground area. Officers do not have delegated authority to determine applications for development on land reserved under Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS 2) and as such the matter is presented to Council for consideration.

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: As the proposed shade sail will be placed over the existing playground area, there will be no impacts on existing vegetation as a result of this proposal.

Statutory Environment: Planning and Development Act 2005

TPS 2

Policy/Work Procedure Implications:

There are no work procedures or policy implications directly related to this application.

Financial Implications:

The applicant has requested Council waive the building and planning applications fees of \$257.00. Delegation CG10 – Waiver of fees for community organisations, contains provisions for the Shire's Director Corporate Services to authorise that the fee be waived. The Director has granted approval under delegation for this request for waiver of fees.

Strategic Implications:

This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

5. Protect built and natural heritage for economic and cultural benefits.

4. Governance

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation Strategies:

- 1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
- 3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Community Consultation

Community consultation was not required to be undertaken for this proposal.

Comment

Proposal

The shade sail will be approximately $60m^2$ and fix to the rear of the existing building. The proposed shade sail will be a standard green shade cloth material.

A location plan, aerial photograph and development plans are with attachments marked SD058.1/11/09.

Statutory Environment

The subject land is reserved as Public Open Space under TPS 2. Clause 2.3 of TPS 2 states the following:

"Where an application for planning consent is made with respect to land within a local reserve, the Council shall have regard to the ultimate purpose intended for the reserve and the Council shall, in the case of land reserved for the purposes of a public authority, confer with that authority before granting its consent".

The Public Open Space reserve contains the Jarrahdale Tennis Pavilion building which is currently used as a community hall and houses the playgroup. It is considered that the application for the shade sail is in accordance with the ultimate purpose of the reserve.

Delegation

Officers do not have delegation to consider any development applications on land owned or under the care, control and management of Council. Most applications received are minor in nature (eg. patios, sheds, shade sails) and it is recommended that officers be granted delegated authority to consider such applications.

A copy of the proposed Delegation is with attachments marked SD058.2/11/09.

Conclusion

The proposed shade sail will provide sun protection for the playgroup volunteers and children when conducting outdoor activities. It is recommended that the application be approved.

Voting Requirements: ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

SD058/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Kirkpatrick, seconded Cr Hoyer

- A) The application for approval to commence development for the construction of a shade sail on Reserve 129 Munro Street, Jarrahdale be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. The shade sail is to be constructed in accordance with the submitted Schedule of Materials and Finishes.
 - 2. The shade sail is to be maintained in good repair at all times.
 - 3. All existing native trees on the subject lot and adjacent road verge shall be retained and shall be protected from damage prior to and during construction unless subject to an exemption provided within Town Planning Scheme No. 2 or the specific written approval of the Shire has been obtained for tree removal either through this planning approval or separately.
- B) In accordance with Section 5.4.2 of the Local Government Act 1995, Council authorises and grants the delegation of authority, power and duties as listed in attachment SD058.2/11/09 entitled:
 - DS-27 Power to Approve or Refuse Development and Land Uses on Land Owned or Under the Care, Control and Management of Council;
- C) Requests the delegated authority register be updated accordingly. CARRIED 10/0

Cr Harris declared an interest of impartiality in item SD059/11/09 and left the meeting at 9.15pm.

Director Corporate Services returned to the meeting at 9.17pm.

SD059/11/09 PROPOSED PRIVATE RECREATION - (TWO HANGARS) - LOT 164						
YANGEDI ROAD, HOPELAND (#6,P02909/32, #10,P02909/34)						
Proponent(s):	V Harris & L Ricardo	In Brief				
Owner:	Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire]				
Author:	Helen Maruta - Planning	The applicants seek planning				
	Officer	approval for development of two				
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director	aircraft hangars at the Serpentine				
	Development Services	Airfield. It is recommended that the				
Date of Report	12 October 2009	application be conditionally approved				
Previously	N/A					
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the					
	preparation of this report is					
	required to declare an					
	interest in accordance with					
	the provisions of the Local					
	Government Act					
Delegation	Council					

Date of Receipt: September 2009
Lot Area: 64.7421 ha.
L.A Reservation: Public Open Space

MRS Zoning: Rural

Rural Strategy Policy Area: Parks and Recreation

Bush Forever: Conservation Category Wetland & Bush Forever site 378

Background

Two applications have been lodged for the development of aircraft hangars at the Serpentine Airfield. The land is in the care, control and management of the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale for the purpose of Recreation. The site is leased to the Sports Aircraft Builders Club of WA Inc. During the time the club has leased the land it has been developed to establish a private airfield with infrastructure including hangars, 3 km of runway and a clubhouse.

The two hangars are proposed to be 12 metres by 12 metres in size with a 4.2 metre wall height and an apex height of 5.5 metres. These two hangars will be located in the area already cleared, following approval by the Shire and the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). The use of the land is subject to a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) regarding the Reserve Management Plan for the site.

A location plan, aerial photograph and proposed elevations for Hanger #6 are with attachments marked SD059.1/11/09.

A location plan, aerial photograph and proposed elevations for Hangar #10 are with attachments marked SD059.2/11/09.

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: The northern half of the airfield contains Bush Forever site number 378. The site also contains a Conservation Category Wetland. The proposed development is associated with the predominant use of the reserve for the Sports Aircraft Builders Club. A number of hangars are already in existence on the subject land and as such it is considered that the proposed hangars will fit in with the existing built development on the subject lot.

Economic Benefits: The proposed hangars may create some limited employment or economic benefit to the Shire.

<u>Statutory Environment:</u> Town Planning Scheme No 2 (TPS 2)

Planning & Development Act 2005

Policy Implications: There are no work procedures/policy implications directly

related to this application.

<u>Financial Implications:</u> There will be no direct financial implications to Council as

a result of this application.

<u>Strategic Implications:</u> This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability

Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

1. Provide recreational opportunities

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

5. Protect built and natural heritage for economic and cultural benefits.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

- 3. Encourage protection and rehabilitation of natural resources.
- 6. Value, protect and develop biodiversity.

3. Economic

Objective 1: A vibrant local community

Strategies:

1. Attract and facilitate appropriate industries, commercial activities and employment.

4. Governance

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation Strategies:

- 1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
- 3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Comment

TPS₂

Clause 2.3 of Council's TPS 2 states the following:

"Where an application for planning consent is made with respect to land within a local reserve, the Council shall have regard to the ultimate purpose intended for the reserve and the Council shall, in the case of land reserved for the purposes of a public authority, confer with that authority before granting its consent".

The Public Open Space reserve is currently being used for the purposes of a sports airfield. It is considered that the applications for the additional hangars are in accordance with the ultimate purpose of the reserve, as the reserve is currently being used as an airfield.

Reserve Management Plan

A draft Management Plan has been prepared by Shire officers in consultation with members of the aircraft club, the DEC and the Department of Planning. The Reserves Advisory Group is currently reviewing the draft and it is planned to present this to Council soon.

There is still a few remaining sites for future hangars at the airfield.

Options

There are primarily two options available to Council in considering this proposal:

- (1) to approve the application, with or without conditions; and
- (2) to refuse the application.

Should the applicant be aggrieved by a determination by Council, including a refusal determination or approval conditions, the applicant could lodge an application for review with the State Administrative Tribunal.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

SD059/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Murphy, seconded Cr Buttfield

- A) The application for approval to commence development for the construction of two hangars on Lot 164 Yangedi Road, Hopeland be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. The extent of development to be consistent with that shown on the approved plans attached to and forming part of this approval.

- 2. The storage of fuels shall be contained within a perimeter/container bund of sufficient height to contain the total volume of stored fuel in event of a leak.
- 3. The use is not to cause injury to or prejudicially affect the amenity of the neighbourhood including (but without limiting the generality of the foregoing) injury, or prejudicial affection due to the emission of light, noise, vibration, electrical interference, dust, oil, liquid wastes or waste products or the unsightly appearance of the subject land or any structure built thereon.
- 4. The hangars are to be constructed in accordance with the submitted Schedule of Colours and Materials.
- 5. Prior to the commencement of works the boundary of the hangar site, the subject of this approval, is to be fenced or taped off to prevent encroachment of works into surrounding bushland areas.

Advice Notes:

- 1. Any development or use not depicted or detailed in the approved plan and proposal documentation will require further planning approval to be obtained from the Council.
- 2. A building licence is to be obtained prior to commencement of development including earthworks.
- 3. Applicants are required to comply with State and Federal Legislation in regards to the storage of fuels.
- 4. The dumping of rubbish or other material is not permitted within any bushland areas. All rubbish to be disposed of via appropriate methods.

CARRIED 8/1

Cr Harris was not present and did not vote

Cr Randall voted against the motion

Cr Harris returned to the meeting at 9.22pm.

SD060/11/09 PROPOSED EXTENSION TO EXISTING HANGAR - LOT 164 YANGEDI						
ROAD,	ROAD, HOPELAND (P02909/31)					
Proponent:	C Chua	In Brief				
Owner:	Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire	Diam's a summer of the sum of the test				
Author:	Helen Maruta – Planning	Planning approval for an extension to				
	Officer	an existing hangar at the Serpentine				
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director	Airfield. It is recommended that the				
	Development Services	application be conditionally approved.				
Date of Report 21 October 2009						
Previously	N/A					
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the					
	preparation of this report is					
	required to declare an					
	interest in accordance with					
	the provisions of the Local					
	Government Act					
Delegation	Council					

Date of Receipt: 19 September 2009

Lot Area: 64.7421 ha.

L.A Reservation: Public Open Space

MRS Zoning: Rural

Rural Strategy Policy Area: Parks and Recreation

Bush Forever: Conservation Category Wetland & Bush Forever site 378

Background

An application has been lodged for the extension of an existing hangar at the Serpentine Airfield. The land is in the care, control and management of the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale for the purpose of Recreation. The site is leased to the Sports Aircraft Builders Club of WA Inc. During the time the club has leased the land it has been developed to establish a private airfield with infrastructure including hangars, 3 kilometres of runway and a clubhouse.

The hangar extension is to be approximately 15 metres by 4 metres with a wall height of about 3.6 metres. The extension is to be constructed entirely of zincalume to match the existing hangar and the surrounding hangars. The proposed extension will position the hangar in line with existing hangars on existing cleared land. The use of the land is subject to a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) regarding the Reserve Management Plan for the site.

A location plan, aerial photograph and proposed elevations for Hangar extensions are with attachments marked SD060.1/11/09.

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: The northern half of the airfield contains Bush Forever site number 378. The site also contains a Conservation Category Wetland. The proposed development is associated with the predominant use of the reserve for the Sports Aircraft Builders Club. The proposed extension of the hangar is located on land already cleared and will fit in with the existing built development on the subject lot.

Economic Benefits: The proposed hangars may create some limited employment or economic benefit to the Shire.

Statutory Environment: Town Planning Scheme No 2 (TPS 2)

Planning & Development Act 2005

Policy Implications: There are no work procedures/policy implications directly

related to this application.

<u>Financial Implications:</u> There will be no direct financial implications to Council as

a result of this application.

<u>Strategic Implications:</u> This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability

Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

1. Provide recreational opportunities

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

5. Protect built and natural heritage for economic and cultural benefits.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

- 3. Encourage protection and rehabilitation of natural resources.
- 6. Value, protect and develop biodiversity.

3. Economic

Objective 1: A vibrant local community

Strategies:

1. Attract and facilitate appropriate industries, commercial activities and employment.

4. Governance

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation Strategies:

- 1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
- 3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Comment

TPS 2

Clause 2.3 of Council's TPS 2 states the following:

"Where an application for planning consent is made with respect to land within a local reserve, the Council shall have regard to the ultimate purpose intended for the reserve and the Council shall, in the case of land reserved for the purposes of a public authority, confer with that authority before granting its consent".

The Public Open Space reserve is currently being used for the purposes of a sports airfield. It is considered that the application for the hangar extension is in accordance with the ultimate purpose of the reserve, as the reserve is currently being used as an airfield.

Reserve Management Plan

A draft Management Plan has been prepared by Shire officers in consultation with members of the aircraft club, the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Planning. The Reserves Advisory Group is currently reviewing the draft and it is planned to present this to Council soon.

Options

There are two options available to Council in considering this proposal:

- (1) to approve the application, with or without conditions; and
- (2) to refuse the application.

Should the applicant be aggrieved by a determination by Council, including a refusal determination or approval conditions, the applicant could lodge an application for review with the State Administrative Tribunal.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

SD060/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Murphy, seconded Cr Buttfield

The application for approval to commence development for the extension of an existing hangar on Lot 164 Yangedi Road, Hopeland be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The extent of development to be consistent with that shown on the approved plans attached to and forming part of this approval.
- 2. The hangar extension is to be constructed in accordance with the submitted Schedule of Colours and Materials.
- 3. The storage of fuels shall be contained within a perimeter/container bund of sufficient height to contain the total volume of stored fuel in event of a leak.

- 4. The use is not to cause injury to or prejudicially affect the amenity of the neighbourhood including (but without limiting the generality of the foregoing) injury, or prejudicial affection due to the emission of light, noise, vibration, electrical interference, dust, oil, liquid wastes or waste products or the unsightly appearance of the subject land or any structure built thereon.
- 5. Prior to the commencement of works the boundary of the hangar site, the subject of this approval is to be fenced or taped off to prevent encroachment of works into surrounding bushland areas.

Advice Notes:

- 1. Any development or use not depicted or detailed in the approved plan and proposal documentation will require further planning approval to be obtained from the Council.
- 2. A building licence is to be obtained prior to commencement of development including earthworks.
- 3. Applicants are required to comply with State and Federal Legislation in regards to the storage of fuels.
- 4. The dumping of rubbish or other material is not permitted within any bushland areas. All rubbish to be disposed of via appropriate methods.

CARRIED 8/2

Cr Twine and Randall voted against the motion

SD068/11/09 PROPOSED PRIVATE RECREATION - PAINTBALL GAMING FACILITY			
LOT 5	LOT 58 BARGE DRIVE, BYFORD (P04188/03)		
Proponent:	Aiden Hoey	In Brief	
Owner:	As Above		
Author:	Peter Varelis – Planning Officer	To establish a private recreation	
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director	(paintball) facility on Lot 58 Barge	
	Development Services	Drive, Byford. It is recommended	
Date of Report	24 September 2009	that the application be refused.	
Previously	N/A		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the		
Interest	preparation of this report is		
	required to declare an interest in		
	accordance with the provisions		
	of the Local Government Act		
Delegation	Council		

Date of Receipt: 19 June 2009

Advertised: Yes

Submissions: 5 Objections, 1 Support with Amendments

Lot Area: 12.07 hectares

L.A Zoning: Rural MRS Zoning: Rural

Use Classification Private Recreation - AA (Discretionary)

Rural Strategy Policy Area: Rural

Rural Strategy Overlay: Peel-Harvey Coastal Plain Catchment Area

Date of Inspection: 9 September 2009

Background

The subject site is located at the end of Barge Drive, a cul-de-sac off Nettleton Road in Byford. The proposed site is zoned Rural under Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS2) and is surrounded by other Rural zoned properties. A Metropolitan Scheme Reserve - State Forests abuts the proposed site in the south eastern corner, but the site itself has no major boundary with the forest.

An application has been received for the establishment of a paintball gaming facility on the subject site. The application is presented to Council for a determination under the provisions of TPS 2, in light of objections being received during the advertising of the proposal

A location, site plan and aerial photograph are with attachments marked SD068.1/11/09.

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: It has been identified by the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) that the proposed activities will result in the intermittent trampling and inadvertent damage to vegetation within the proposed paintball fields. The DEC have made evident that the proposed activities constitute clearing under the Environmental Protection Act 1986 and thus a clearing permit is obligatory. Relative clearing permit assessment processes will be adhered to should the applicant wish to obtain a clearing permit. The paintballs themselves are biodegradable and water soluble, being made up of the following chemicals - mineral oils, food colouring, ethylene glycol and iodine.

It is expected that there will be limited adverse affect on the environment from the actual paintballs.

Economic Benefits: The proposed land use is expected to support local business by providing additional recreational opportunities for visitors to the area thus attracting people to the community that may engage in discretionary spending. There is also potential that the business will create limited employment opportunities within the Shire.

Social – Quality of Life: The increased recreational opportunities may be of interest to some members of the community. There is the potential for the land use to adversely impact on the amenity of adjoining properties by virtue of noise and traffic however this is expected to be limited.

Statutory Environment: Planning and Development Act 2005

Serpentine Jarrahdale Town Planning Scheme No.2

(TPS 2)

Serpentine Jarrahdale Rural Strategy

Policy/Work Procedure

Implications: Statement of Planning Policy No. 1 (State Planning

Framework)

Statement of Planning Policy No. 2 (Environmental and

Natural Resources)

Statement of Planning Policy No. 2.1 (Peel-Harvey

Catchment)

Financial Implications: There may be financial implications on the Shire in

relation to moving forward any maintenance program in

relation to Barge Drive.

If the application is refused and that decision is appealed there would be financial implications for the Council

related to legal costs and officer time.

<u>Strategic Implications:</u> This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability

Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

1. Provide recreational opportunities.

2. Develop good services for health and well being.

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

2. Develop compatible mixed uses and local employment opportunities in neighbourhoods.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

- 1. Increase awareness of the value of environmental requirements towards sustainability.
- 3. Encourage protection and rehabilitation of natural resources.
- 6. Value, protect and develop biodiversity.

Objective 2: Strive for sustainable use and management of natural resources

Strategies:

1. Implement known best practice sustainable natural resource management.

3. Economic

Objective 1: A vibrant local community

Strategies:

1. Attract and facilitate appropriate industries, commercial activities and employment.

Objective 2: Well developed and maintained infrastructure to support economic growth

2. Consider specific sites appropriate for industry /commercial development.

4. Governance

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation

Strategies:

- 1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
- 3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most cost-effective way.

Community Consultation:

The application was referred to adjoining landowners for a period of 21 days in accordance with the requirements set out in TPS 2. Of the 11 landowners abutting Lot 58 or abutting Barge Drive there was one submission of support and four objections. The comments have been summarised in the schedule attached.

A copy of the landowner submissions are with attachments marked SD068.2/11/09.

A summary of the concerns detailed in the submissions is set out below:

Noise Issues:	The concern raised by surrounding residents is in relation to the noise impact the facility will have on their amenity levels	
Barge Road:	The condition of Barge Road itself is a concern for many residents. The road is winding, narrow, steep and has little or no signage. The intersection of Barge Drive and Nettleton Road is not very visible and this may pose an accident risk to patrons of the proposed development who are not familiar with the area.	
Environment:	Nearby residents are concerned that the native flora will be destroyed on the subject site and possibly in the surrounding areas. Damage to the habitats of the native fauna is also a concern. Mention is also made about	

	the large number of kangaroos in the area and that people unfamiliar with the area may collide with them as they cross the roads.
Parking:	The plans show customer parking of 10 bays, residents are concerned that is will not be sufficient to cater for the volume of people the proponent expects to frequent the premises.
Devaluation of neighbouring properties:	Residents are concerned that having a paintballing facility next door will devalue their properties as it will negatively impact on the rural amenity and lifestyle.

Key Considerations

There are a number of matters that Council needs to consider in determining the application, including:

- The operation and nature of the proposal
- · Car-parking
- Noise
- Impacts on the amenity of the area
- Impacts on native vegetation.

Operation and Nature of the proposed land use

Paintball facilities are widely recognised as an alternative recreational pursuit and is often utilised by companies as a team bonding and character building activity. Players learn the importance of teamwork and gain self-confidence whilst developing leadership abilities. A wide variety of people use paintball facilities.

The applicant has indicated that the game of paintball became legal on 1 January 2005, when the Firearms Amendment Act 2004 was enacted. This made the paintball gun a recognised firearm under the Firearms Act 1973, and subject to all appropriate approvals being obtained for the game of paintball to be undertaken within Western Australia. In terms of appropriate approvals, both local government approval (in the form of planning consent) and police approval (in the form of a category E corporate firearms licence) are required.

The applicant has advised the local authority of the strict requirements imposed as part of a corporate firearms licence being granted for a paintball gaming facility, including:

No.	Comment provided by applicant.	
1	The use only being permitted at approved licensed venues and strictly within designated	
	playing fields only.	
2	The use must be strictly supervised by licensed staff at all times.	
3	Safety instructions must be delivered to all patrons before engaging in the use.	
4	A register of users must be maintained, and made available for inspection upon request.	
5	Internal safety screening must be provided, to comply with the Western Australian Police	
	Firearms Division.	
6	Operators are required to have first aid skills.	

The applicant also advised the Shire of the proposed operational details of the business:

Information requested	Comment provided by applicant.
Size of paintball site	The paintball field is approximately 140m x 100m.
Parking	Ample parking for 25 vehicles.
Environmental impacts	No vegetation will be removed from the property and fire breaks are to be maintained.
Food/beverage service	A sausage sizzle at the end of each session, no alcohol will be served or sold.
Waiting areas	Waiting area is designed in the paintball area with ample signage in all areas stating No Mask Area. The Mask ONLY area, it is also detailed in

	the safety induction prior to all sessions.	
Storage of equipment	No additional sheds are required. The operator must have a gun safe that meet the Corporate Firearm Licence and Police Firearms Division.	
Access to site The road is sealed to the driveway and crossover is sufficient to he the extra traffic. No dust will be created as the surface is cracked gravel and blue metal.		
Operating hours and days The business plans to operate seven days a week, with two sessi per day with a maximum of 45 players. Operating hours will be 8an 6pm.		
Ablution facilities	Two toilets are already on site.	
Signage	A sign at the entrance of the property 2,400mm x 1,200mm in accordance with the Shire regulation.	

Further information on a number of the above matters is provided in the following sections.

Operating days

In discussions relating to previous applications by the applicant for the same land use the Shire has advised the applicant that a seven day operation would be unlikely to be supported. A maximum of five days may be considered in order to give surrounding neighbours a guarantee that for at least two days per week no activities would be undertaken. The Shire understands based on previous discussions with the applicant that operating the business on weekends and public holidays is vital to the economic viability of the business. The approval of a previous application has supported five operating days per week.

Operating hours

The operating times proposed by the applicant relate to when the property may open for business. The first session is likely to start at 8:30am or 9:00am with the last session finishing by 5:30pm. Again based on a previous approval for the same land use operating hours of between 8:00am and 6:00pm have been supported.

Sessions

The two sessions per day are only likely to be run on the weekends when there is greater demand. It is possible that less than two sessions may occur on week days.

Maximum number of patrons

The applicant has indicated that a maximum of 45 patrons will take part in a session. The number of patrons has been set at 45 to reflect the number of guns that the applicant is seeking to have licensed under the 'Category E Corporate Firearms Licence' from the WA Police.

Car parking bays

The number of car parking bays proposed by the applicant on-site has been informed by the previous consideration of a similar application by Council and the State Administrative Tribunal. For 45 patrons, twelve car parking bays are proposed. An additional two bus parking bays are to be provided on-site in close proximity to the dwelling and in an area that enables appropriate vehicle movements for a bus to leave the site in a forward gear. It is open to Council to determine whether the proposed parking arrangements are appropriate.

Service of alcohol and food

Concern has been raised regarding the potential for anti-social behaviour on-site by the paintball patrons. It is considered that to help reduce this potential, no food or alcohol

should be served on site. This will ensure that patrons leave the site as soon as the session is finished.

Screening of paintball field

It is understood that screening is a requirement of WA Police and has the potential to provide some additional screening of the site which will add to the amenity of the site. If the application is approved by Council, it is recommended that the exact type and form of shading being included as a condition of approval.

The following addresses the key considerations that the landowners had in relation to the development application.

Noise/Amenity impacts

Any land use activities proposed within the subject lot will be required to comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997.

The surrounding landowners have raised concerns in respect to noise associated with the paintball activities and the increased traffic levels associated with the patrons accessing the site. The subject site is located in an area which is surrounded by rural retreat/residential land uses. The activities are not agricultural in nature as the landowners have predominately preserved the vegetation on site.

The paintball guns themselves are anticipated to make noise, when fired, similar to that generated by a tennis racquet striking a tennis ball. Council needs to have regard for both (a) the potential noise generated from the activity as a whole and (b) the nature of existing land uses in the area.

Originally the proposed paintball field, was located approximately 80 metres from the southern boundary of the property. After discussions with the adjoining landowner to the southern boundary, revised plans have been lodged by the proponent. The revised plans provide for a different playing field configuration. While the corner of one playing field will be 20 metres closer to the southern boundary the majority of the playing fields will be aligned within 60 metres of the eastern boundary of the property. As it is proposed to restrict the land use to two sessions per day the location of the activity to an existing residential dwelling on Lot 59 will range from approximately 130 metres to 150 metres on alternate days. If in fact the residents of the closest residential dwelling are able to hear any noise generated from the activities on site this will be ameliorated by the rotation of the playing fields further away on a regular basis.

Some additional noise will likely be generated by the proposal as a result of vehicle movements along Barge Drive, as patrons enter and exit the site. It is anticipated that up to 90 patrons may frequent the operation each day.

Barge Drive

An inspection of Barge Drive was completed, identifying that it is constructed to a standard that is appropriate for the expected traffic volumes. The upgrading of the road is not considered to be required. The general maintenance of the road through the Shire's normal operations is considered to be sufficient.

Environment

The Shire's Biodiversity strategy has identified this property as containing a potentially local significant natural area (PLSNA). PSLNA require verification through a site visit and flora survey. For the purposes of this development application a site visit has identified that the area has high biodiversity – it contains vegetation of two complexes – Darling Scarp and Dwellingup and includes the ecotone of change between them. The dominant overstory

includes Corymbia calophylla and Eucalyptus wandoo. It contains pockets of Kingia australis in association with C.calophylla. Soils are derived from granite. Species richness is very high; vegetation condition is good to very good with some evidence of plant deaths in recent drought periods, due to shallow soil profile. Vegetation in this steeply sloped part of the escarpment is integral in stabilising erosion in these soil types. The property is also within the landscape protection policy zone.

It has been identified by the DEC that the proposed activities will result in the intermittent trampling and inadvertent damage to vegetation within the proposed paintball fields. The DEC have made evident that the proposed activities constitute clearing under the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* and thus a clearing permit is obligatory. Relative clearing permit assessment processes will be adhered to should the applicant wish to obtain a clearing permit.

The site is largely covered in remnant vegetation, except in close proximity to the existing residential dwelling to the north of the property. The adjoining landowners have raised concerns that the proposed paintball activities will have a detrimental affect on the flora and fauna on site. Due to the undisturbed nature of the site there is potential for vegetation that is protected under existing environmental legislation to be located on site. The applicant has not provided an assessment of the vegetation on-site, however, has revised plans which relocate the playing fields to provide an opportunity to rotate the playing fields. The applicant will rotate the use of the fields to reduce the impact on the existing vegetation. A condition of development will be the preparation of a Flora and Fauna Management Plan. This plan will address the impact of the activity on any vegetation on site and reduce the impacts on the fauna that traverse the site. Notwithstanding the above there is a requirement for the applicant to address Schedule 6 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 and/or the Environmental Protection (Clearing of Native Vegetation) Regulations 2004.

<u>Devaluation of neighbouring properties/Amenity impacts</u>

The adjoining landowners have concerns relating to the loss of rural atmosphere and lifestyle that originally attracted them to the area. Concerns are raised that a paintball facility will impact on the value of their property and possibly make it difficult to sell.

The Council is required to determine a development application pursuant to the provisions of TPS2 and any other relevant planning policy. Within the Rural zone, a 'private recreation' land use is a use that can be permitted at the discretion of Council. Potential impacts on the amenity of the area, including adjoining landowners, is a relevant consideration. There is expected to be limited affect on the visual amenity of adjoining landowners as the playing fields will be screened by the vegetation on site and the activities are likely to be low in the desired sight lines of the area as the adjoining residents are located above the proposed playing fields. It is expected that there will be limited noise emissions as the paint ball gun only emits a sound as loud as a tennis racket striking a tennis ball. The closest playing fields are approximately 130 metres from any existing residents, thus reducing any noise emissions.

Fire Risk

The adjoining landowners have highlighted their concerns relating to fire risk. Should Council approve the application, it is recommended that a condition be placed on the approval requiring the preparation of a Fire Management Plan that clearly defines and explains the evacuation process that is in place. To ensure the Emergency Services are provided with the best possible opportunity to assist in the event of a fire there must be correct fittings on static water supplies as per council specifications. In addition, a hardstand area within 8 metres of the fittings is required to allow access to fire appliances up to and including 15tons. A turning around area of not less than 19m radius must also be available. All roads, routes, firebreaks, and parking accesses on the property are to remain unobstructed at all times so as to allow safe unimpeded access in the event of an emergency. To ensure the safety of the patrons

an emergency evacuation process should be explained as part of the briefing on the facility and sign to say they have been properly advised of emergency procedures.

Location of playing fields

The applicant has discussed the proposed site for the playing fields with the landowner to the south and, based on discussions, has lodged revised plans. The revised location of the playing fields is supported for the following reasons:

- The majority of the playing fields will be in closer proximity to the dwelling on site providing easier access to facilities for patrons.
- The original location of the playing fields was in an area where the topography was steep and would have been difficult to access.
- The revised location is in an area where the vegetation is less likely to be impacted as the applicant has proposed to rotate the use of fields.
- While the corner of one proposed field is 20 metres closer to the landowner to the south the majority of the fields are at a greater distance.
- The location of the new fields provides for better sight lines of the playing fields for the supervisors.
- The boundary to the playing fields is more easily delineated.
- The playing fields are more easily accessed from the existing path which would reduce the overall impact on vegetation.

External Government Agency Comments

The Development Proposal was referred to the Department of Environment and Conservation for comment, with a summary of comments received provided below:

DEC

Native Vegetation

The activities proposed on the subject lot will result in the intermittent trampling and inadvertent damage to vegetation within the proposed paintball fields. Whilst it is acknowledged that the applicant proposes to rotate the activities on the 'playing field' to reduce any adverse effects on the vegetation it is still likely that over time the vegetation will be degraded. It is evident that the proposed activities constitute clearing under the Environmental Protection Act 1986 and thus a clearing permit is obligatory. Relative clearing permit assessment processes will have to be adhered to should the applicant wish to obtain a clearing permit. It is possible that a survey may be required as part of the clearing permit process.

Noise

Any land use activities proposed within the subject lot will comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997. Separation distances between the proposed paintball facility and any sensitive land uses in the vicinity should be consistent with the Environmental Protection Authority's Guidance Statement No.3.

The extent to which the DEC comments are able to be addressed through the preparation of a Flora and Fauna Management Plan and the relevant approvals required within the abovementioned legislation remains a relevant consideration in the determination of the application.

Options

There are primarily two options available to Council in considering this proposal:

(1) to approve the application, with or without conditions; and

(2) to refuse the application.

Should the applicant be aggrieved by a determination by Council, including a refusal determination or approval conditions, the applicant could lodge an application for review with the State Administrative Tribunal

Conclusion

Private Recreation' is an 'AA' use in the 'Rural' zone, which may be undertaken at the discretion of Council. In exercising this discretion, Council must be satisfied that the proposed use will be consistent with the stated objectives for the 'Rural' zone, and will not detract from the overriding agricultural intent of the area. Consideration should also be given to the Shire's Rural Strategy, which provides for appropriately scaled recreational uses to be undertaken as long as they do not detract from the intent of the Rural Policy Area.

A number of concerns by the adjoining landowners were also raised. After considering all issues, it is considered on balance that the application should be recommended for refusal.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

SD068/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Harris, seconded Cr Brown

That the application for approval to commence development of Private Recreation (Paintballing Facility) on Lot 58 Barge Drive, Byford be refused for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposal is considered to have an unacceptable level of impact on native flora on the property.
- 2. The proposal is considered to have an unacceptable level of impact on the amenity of the area.

CARRIED 10/0

CGAM031/11/09	DRAFT - WASTE STRATEGY FOR WESTERN AUSTRALIA (A1177)			
Proponent:	Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale	In Brief		
Owner:	Waste Authority			
Officer:	Richard Gorbunow -	Local Governments have a primary		
	Director Engineering	role in managing municipal waste		
Senior Officer:	Joanne Abbiss -	and informing the community about		
	Chief Executive Officer	waste management and recycling.		
Date of Report	5 November 2009	Councils also develop and operate		
Previously	Not Applicable	much of their waste infrastructure.		
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	The purpose of this report is for this		
Interest	preparation of this report is	Council to make comment on the		
	required to declare an interest	Draft Waste Strategy and provide a		
	in accordance with the	written submission to the Waste		
	provisions of the Local	Authority.		
	Government Act			
Delegation	Council			

Background

At the Ordinary Council Meeting held on the 26 October 2009 Council resolved;

"That the Council hold an evening workshop and make a submission to the State Government Waste Management Commission."

A copy of the outcomes of the workshop are attached at CGAM031.1/11/09 (E09/6888).

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: Ensures the Shire's natural assets are managed. Also assists in developing a waste management strategy that can influence waste avoidance, resource recovery, and disposal, in such a way that provides an appropriate balance between social, environmental and financial considerations and meets the needs of the community.

Resource Implications: Conserved resources and landfill space, reduced gas emissions, less air pollution and water savings.

Social – Quality of Life: The efficient running of the waste related services and its facilities within the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire will enhance sense of local identity, purpose and pride.

Social and Environmental Responsibility: Achieving community support through improved partnerships amongst stakeholders in promoting environmental sustainability.

Social Diversity: Allow for greater representation from a wider diversity of the community.

Statutory Environment: WARR Act 2007

Environmental Protection Act 1998

Local Government Act 1995

Policy/Work Procedure

Implications:

Waste Management Legislation Policy Statement 2004

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications to Council related to this report.

Strategic Implications:

This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

1. Provide recreational opportunities.

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

- 1. Increase information and awareness of key activities around the Shire and principles of sustainability.
- 4. Foster a strong sense of community, place and belonging.
- 5. Protect built and natural heritage for economic and cultural benefits.

Objective 3: High level of social commitment

Strategies:

- 1. Encourage social commitment and self determination by the SJ community.
- 2. Build key community partnerships.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

1. Increase awareness of the value of environmental requirements towards sustainability.

- 2. Develop partnerships with community, academia and other management agencies to implement projects in line with Shire objectives.
- 3. Encourage protection and rehabilitation of natural resources.
- 4. Reduce water consumption.
- 5. Reduce green house gas emissions.

Objective 2: Strive for sustainable use and management of natural resources

Strategies:

- 1. Implement known best practice sustainable natural resource management.
- 2. Respond to Greenhouse and Climate change.
- 3. Reduce waste and improve recycling processes

3. Economic

Objective 1: A vibrant local community

Strategies:

3. Develop business performance, quality and ethical delivery of service

4. Governance

Objective 1: An effective continuous improvement program

Strategies:

- 1. Identify and implement best practice in all areas of operation.
- 2. Promote best practice through demonstration and innovation.
- 5. Harness community resources to build social capital within the Shire.

Objective 2: Formation of Active Partnerships to progress key programs and projects

Strategies

1. Improve coordination between Shire, community and other partners.

Objective 3: Compliance to necessary legislation

Strategies:

- 1. Ensure development and use of infrastructure and land complies with required standards.
- 3. Comply with State and Federal policies and Legislation and the Local Government Act in the most effective way

Community Consultation:

The Draft Waste Strategy for Western Australia is currently out for community consultation and closes on 10th December 2009. Electronic submissions can be lodged on (www.yoursaywastewa.com.au)

It appears that the Invitation to Comment, in the Draft Waste Strategy documentation, for the general public to comment is inadequate.

The lack of extensive community consultation is alarming and disappointing. Not only is the proposed change to the Waste Strategy for WA essential in nature, but it has been done without proper and appropriate community consultation by the State Government. Furthermore, the speed at which the changes to the Waste Management System are occurring is of great concern, as the WA community is, by in large, unaware of the sweeping changes - let alone its implications. The timeframe for submission is unrealistic; it does not allow Local Governments to appropriately and effectively consult with their local communities either.

Options:

Council could:

- 1. Make a submission incorporating Council view on the Discussion Paper; or
- 2. Make a submission supporting the Discussion Paper

Comment:

The Draft Waste Strategy for Western Australia was launched on Wednesday 16 September 2009 at the State Waste and Recycle Conference.

A copy of the Draft Waste Strategy for Western Australia is attached at CGAM031.2/11/09 (IN09/14786).

The Waste Avoidance and Resource and Recovery Act 2007 established a Waste Authority (WA), previously the Waste Board, and required the Waste Authority to develop a long term Waste Strategy for WA. The Act also required the strategy to plan for continuous improvement of waste services, waste avoidance and resource recovery benchmarked against best practice. In addition, it must set State targets for waste reduction, resource recovery and the diversion of waste from landfill disposal.

The Waste Authority invites submissions, commenting on the draft, to be received by the 10th December 2009. The Draft Strategy is reasonably concise, approximately 20 pages with the contents being;

- Introduction to the strategy and why we need it;
- Waste in Western Australia;
- · Values, aim, principles and objectives;
- Waste avoidance:
- Resource recovery;
- Disposal;
- Data;
- Monitoring, reporting and review;
- Business Plan Glossary; and
- Appendix- Landfill Classes and Waste Types

General review revealed that the document is lacking information and detail.

The Draft Strategy builds on substantial earlier work to reduce waste and environmental impacts, however, it does not comment on the previous policies/programs.

There is no acknowledgment that key recycling infrastructure is lacking, nor does it mention that the State Government could work with industry in developing local infrastructure. In particular there is no assistance to metropolitan Local Governments in planning or establishing such facilities necessary to achieve the stated 70% for municipal solid waste resource recovery rate. The resource recovery target of 70% for municipal waste by 2015 is very ambitions.

The lack of financial support to Local Governments, despite the \$39 million landfill levy going to the Department of Environment and Conservation, is disappointing. There is no indication of any assistance coming from the State Government.

The Draft Strategy does promise the development of a planning framework but this was also promised in 1997. The Waste Authority has to show some leadership and provide real assistance to Local Authorities. In addition there is no mention of what type of alternative waste technologies would be supported in achieving their 70% recovery target.

Clearly there are other concerns that can be commented on Draft Strategy Report and that is where an individual Council can submit their comments via a Council resolution.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

CGAM031/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Harris, seconded Cr Murphy

That the following Councils comments on the Draft Waste Strategy for Western Australia is forwarded to Waste Authority and Rivers Regional Council;

- 1. The Government Strategy needs to be developed to commercialise the products of resource recovery in rural and regional areas;
- 2. There is a lack of coordinated and institutional support at the State Government level for the managers of resource recover centres in the research and investigation of engineering solutions to address issues that may result in environmental enforcement action;
- 3. That Western Australia has extensive and detailed waste management legislation, but lacks adequate regulations and administrative arrangements to drive waste management programs at the State level;
- 4. The Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Act 2007 be amended so as to require the Waste Authority to table in the Parliament each year a detailed financial statement setting out all expenditure of funds raised from the landfill levy;
- 5. There is an urgent need for the establishment of product stewardship programs for electronic and other problematic wastes, such as glass and plastic containers, incandescent light bulbs, batteries, tyres, mattresses, paint and used motor oil;
- 6. The Government give consideration to the development of essential services legislation, and to incorporate waste collection and management in any definition of "essential services" included within such legislation;
- 7. The Government enact legislation to enable local government to be empowered to require that land developers submit waste management plans with respect to municipal waste as part of development applications;
- 8. The Government enact legislation to enable local government to be empowered to require that land developers submit waste management plans with respect to commercial and industrial and construction and demolition waste as part of development applications:
- 9. The Waste Authority facilitate the introduction by all Western Australian local governments of a uniform, best practice, municipal waste collection system;
- 10. Need to have legislative support for local government to demand waste management plans from developers and builders.
- 11. Legislative structure needs to have a fee built in to it, should charge more for unsorted waste. Make it cheaper than sending it to landfill.
- 12. Developers can impose restrictive covenants for disposal of wastes.
- 13. Contemporary technologies exist to deal with the waste stream, the government will encourage a commercial opportunity through partnership arrangements through economics or taxation support, encourage government agencies or government related partners to take advantage of such commercial opportunities.
- 14. Packaging should be a significant part of a State policy that should be similar to the European experience.
- 15. There should be State government support for proposals for major recycling developments which will bring benefits to the whole State.
- 16. Support the increase in waste to landfill levies.
- 17. State Government to convert the current State Sustainability principles into an Act of Parliament.

Advice Note: That Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire provide their submission in support to the Rivers Regional Council in relation to their submission.

CARRIED 10/0

CGAM032/11/09	REQUEST FOR SPEED TO BE REDUCED ON SOUTH WEST		
	HIGHWAY, BYFORD (R0001-03)		
Proponent:	Councillor Christine Randall	In Brief	
Owner:	Main Roads WA		
Author:	Richard Gorbunow – Director Engineering	Request for a reduction of the speed limit from 60km/h to	
Senior Officer:	Joanne Abbiss - Chief Executive Officer	50km/h on South West Highway, between Thomas Road and	
Date of Report	26 October 2009	Abernethy Road, Byford. The amendment to speed zoning	
Previously	Not Applicable	through the Byford town site on	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act	South West Highway will ensure safer environment for all roausers.	
Delegation	Council		

CGAM032/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Committee/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Randall, seconded Cr Harris

That Council write to Main Roads WA and request that the existing speed limit of 60km/h be reduced to 50km/h on South West Highway between Thomas Road and Abernethy Road, Byford.

CARRIED 10/0

8. MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

OCM013/11/09	LOCALITY FUNDING PROGRAM (A1631)	
Proponent:	Jarrahdale Community	In Brief
	Association and Serpentine	
	Jarrahdale Shire	Council is asked to approve
Owner:	Not Applicable	amendments to the 2009/10 Budget
Officer:	Carole McKee - Manager	to allow the allocation of funds from
	Community Development	the Jarrahdale Community
Senior Officer:	Suzette van Aswegen -	Improvement Reserve for the
	Director Strategic Community	purpose of projects related to the
	Planning	Locality Funding Program.
Date of Report	13 November 2009	
Previously	SD054/10/09;	
	CGAM104/05/09	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Council	

Background

Council resolved through the 2008/09 budget process to allocate \$35,000 per town per annum to a reserve account to enable each community being, Jarrahdale, Mundijong, Byford, Serpentine, Oakford and Keysbrook to apply for seed funding for townscape projects. A policy was adopted by Council in October 2009 and a related work procedure is currently being reviewed in conjunction with Council.

This work procedure will enable the roll out of the 2010/11 first round of the Locality Funding Program. In the interim, any requests that are received for relevant projects need to be considered by Council on an as needs basis.

Three requests have been received during the month of November 2009, two under the auspices of the Jarrahdale Community Association and the third one from Jarrahdale Community Association in conjunction with Council: They are summarised as follows:

- (1) \$4,500 for Jarrahdale Playgroup Upgrade to Play Area (synthetic turf and sand)
- (2) \$1,400 for Jarrahdale War Memorial steps
- (3) Up to \$17,410 for Forest Green Community Project (increased/unforeseen costs for stage one)

TOTAL of all three requests is for up to \$23,310

TOTAL funding currently available through Jarrahdale Community Improvement Reserve Fund is \$39,243

A summary of each project is contained in the comments section of this report.

Letters from Jarrahdale Community Association containing details and support for the three projects are with attachments marked OCM013.1/11/09, OCM013.2/11/09 and OCM013.3/11/09 (in09/15494, in09/15497 & in09/15498).

Sustainability Statement

Use of Local, Renewable or Recycled Resources: Projects such as these often enable participation of local volunteers and use of local and recycled materials where possible.

Economic Viability: These projects will increase quality of life for local residents and visitors and can be funded through multiple funding partners, including Alcoa, Bendigo Bank, Lotterywest and the Shire (through the Locality Funding Program).

Economic Benefits: These projects are likely to increase the number of visitors and locals using the area and consequently should provide additional custom for local businesses.

Social – Quality of Life: The types of projects to be funded are likely to provide new and improved destinations accessible by walking, cycling and car for families and visitors, and some outdoor venues at which to host events such as music in the park etc.

Social and Environmental Responsibility: The types of projects to be funded aim to foster a number of partnerships which would be designed to be socially and environmentally responsible through enabling participation of community members in their implementation.

Social Diversity: The types of projects to be funded are likely to cater for all sectors of the community and not disadvantage anyone.

Statutory Environment: Local Government Act (1995) Section 6.8 (1) (b)

6.8. Expenditure from municipal fund not included in

annual budget

(1) A local government is not to incur expenditure from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expenditure — (a) is incurred in a financial year before the adoption of the annual budget by the local government; (b) is authorised in advance by resolution*; or (c) is authorised in advance by the mayor or president in an emergency.

Policy/Work Procedure Implications:

Built Environment Policy No. G914 Locality Funding Program for Townscape Projects. The related Work Procedure is currently being revised in conjunction with Council.

Financial Implications:

There are no financial implications in relation to this issue. There is no affect on the municipal funds as all allocations will come out of reserve funds. The Jarrahdale Community Improvement Reserve currently has available \$39,243 as at 12 October 2009. This comprises \$2,550 remaining from 2008/09 allocation, plus \$35,000 2009/10 allocation and interest to date of \$1,693. The amount requested is \$23,310.

Strategic Implications:

This proposal relates to the following Key Sustainability Result Areas:-

1. People and Community

Objective 1: Good quality of life for all residents

Strategies:

- 1. Provide recreational opportunities.
- 2. Develop good services for health and well being.
- 3. Retain seniors and youth within the community.
- 5. Value and enhance the heritage character, arts and culture of the Shire.
- 6. Ensure a safe and secure community.

Objective 2: Plan and develop towns and communities based on principles of sustainability

Strategies:

- 4. Foster a strong sense of community, place and belonging.
- 5. Protect built and natural heritage for economic and cultural benefits.

Objective 3: High level of social commitment

Strategies:

- 1. Encourage social commitment and self determination by the SJ community.
- 2. Build key community partnerships.

2. Environment

Objective 1: Protect and repair natural resources and processes throughout the Shire

Strategies:

- 2. Develop partnerships with community, academia and other management agencies to implement projects in line with Shire objectives.
- 3. Encourage protection and rehabilitation of natural resources.

3. Economic

Objective 1: A vibrant local community

Strategies:

3. Develop tourism potential.

4. Governance

Objective 1: An effective continuous improvement program

Strategies:

5. Harness community resources to build social capital within the Shire.

Objective 2: Formation of Active Partnerships to progress key programs and projects

<u>Strategies</u>

- 1. Improve coordination between Shire, community and other partners.
- 3. Develop specific partnerships to effectively use and leverage additional resources.

Community Consultation:

The type of projects to be funded through the Locality Funding Program are the result of community needs that have been identified through community consultation.

Comment:

It is recommended that Council approve amendments to the 2009/10 Budget to allow the allocation of funds from the Jarrahdale Community Improvement Reserve for the purpose of the following projects related to the Locality Funding Program:

(1) \$4,500 for Jarrahdale Playgroup Upgrade to Play Area

•	Estimated Expenditure	\$ 10,638
•	Fundraising and Funding from external grants	\$ 4,638
•	Shire Community Funding Program 2009/10	\$ 1,500
•	Request to Council for Locality Funding	\$ 4,500

The play area is in high need of an upgrade (refer to photos and details in letter contained in attachments).

The upgrade proposes shade sail, synthetic turf, a hardstand, sandpit and installation of play equipment.

(2) \$1,400 for Jarrahdale War Memorial steps

•	Overall cost of this stage of the project	\$ 3,800
•	Funding from external grants	\$ 2,400
•	Request to Council for Locality Funding	\$ 1,400

This stage of the project includes two new flagpoles, an upgrade to the steps area (refer to photos and details as per letter contained in attachments).

An allocation of \$1,400 from the Jarrahdale Community Improvement Fund would enable this part of the project to be completed.

(3) \$17,410 for Forest Green Community Project

Stage 1 Original Estimated Expenditure: \$144,863 (plus \$24,638 in kind)

Funding raised from external grants to date \$112,863

Funding provided by townscape funds to date \$ 32,000

Stage 1 Updated Estimated Expenditure: \$162,363 (plus \$24,638 in kind)

Balance required to complete Stage 1 \$ 17,410 (up to)

Reguest to Council to assist with shortfall
 \$ 17,410

The reason Forest Green Community project is applying for additional funds at this stage is to enable Stage 1 of the project to be completed. Increased and unforeseen costs include the following - the proposed swing set was going to have a temporary woodchip/railway sleeper base until funding could be raised for soft fall. The Operations Team has confirmed that this is no longer recommended practice, therefore the swing can only be installed with soft fall (\$4,500). Other costs are for rock breaking (up to \$2,000) and increased cost in swing set (\$710), labour for installation of the shelter (\$600), pathways to dual use width of 2.5 m (up to \$7,600) and the supervision of contractors on site (\$2,000). This totals \$17,410.

The reason that "up to "\$17,410" has been stated in the report is because there may be some cost savings eg if the contractor appointed to do the pathways is willing to allow voluntary earthworks to prepare the site under their warranty. There may also be some savings if the rock breaking work takes less time. These actual costs will be known around mid December to early January. Any unspent funds for stage one can be returned to the reserve fund.

There is an expectation of the funding partners that all the elements of Stage 1 of this project will be completed within a reasonable timeframe and should be reported on in grant acquittals. It is therefore imperative that additional funds are acquired to cover this shortfall. Given the short timeframe to achieve this, the number of external funding partners who are already contributing to this project (\$112,863), it is recommended that an additional \$17,410 be allocated from the Jarrahdale Community Improvement Reserve Fund.

General Comment

It is acknowledged that the full assessment criteria that will be applied to formal applications through the Locality Funding Program process in 2010/11 has not been applied to these projects. It is within the spirit of supporting these organisations in the meantime, as we have with the hall renovations throughout the year and while these funding criteria are being finalised, that Council are asked to support these three applications.

Voting Requirements: ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

OCM013/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Randall, seconded Cr Harris

Council approves amendments to the 2009/10 Budget to allow the allocation of funds from the Jarrahdale Community Improvement Reserve for the purpose of the following projects related to the Locality Funding Program:

- 1. \$4,500 for Jarrahdale Playgroup Upgrade to Play Area
- 2. \$1,400 for Jarrahdale War Memorial Steps
- 3. \$17,410 for Forest Green Community Project

CARRIED 7/3

Cr Kirkpatrick, Murphy and Geurds voted against the motion

OCM014/11/09	PROPOSED SINGLE DWELLING & SHED – LOT 241 CARDUP SIDING ROAD, BYFORD (P07501/02)			
Proponent:	DG & CA Gossage	In Brief		
Owner:	DG & CA Gossage			
Officer:	Jason Robertson – Manager Building Services	Application for a building licence submitted by a member of Shire staff		
Signatures Author:		is required to be referred to Council for determination. Approval is recommended subject to standard conditions.		
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson - Director Development Services			
Date of Report	18 November 2009	Conditions.		
Previously	Nil			
Disclosure of Interest				
Delegation	Council			

Date of Receipt: 17 September 2009

Lot Area: 0.3390ha

L.A Zoning: Urban Development

MRS Zoning: Urban

Rural Strategy Policy Area: MRS / Structure Plan urban and future urban area

Background

The application has been submitted for a building licence for a proposed dwelling and shed. As the proponent is a member of staff of the Shire, the application is required to be referred to Council for determination and must be assessed by a private enterprise contractor. The building licence has been assessed by a Contract Building Surveyor.

Approval has previously been granted to relocate the building envelope on this property.

A copy of the site plan and elevations are with the attachments marked OCM014.1/11/09 (in09/12155).

Sustainability Statement

Effect on Environment: Rain water collected on the roof will need to be retained on site in accordance with Council's standard requirements. The applicant has advised that they have designed the buildings to incorporate Council's vision of sustainable living and environmentally efficient design principles. An 8.5 star energy efficiency rating has been achieved for the dwelling.

Statutory Environment: Town Planning Scheme No. 2

Rural Strategy

Policy/Work Procedure

<u>Implications:</u> Corporate Services Policy 34 - Control Over Employees

Dealing in Land and other Business Activity within the

Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire.

Any Council approval issued under the provisions of CSP34 must be made by a majority decision of those Councillors in attendance and be subject to specific conditions including audit, review, reporting and

disclosure conditions.

Corporate Services Policy No. 34

Corporate Services Policy 34 (CSP34) contains the following restrictions with regard to any application for development where the applicant is a staff member:

"Delegations for approval of any developments will not apply in any cases where staff are involved as an applicant; in these instances each employee's development application should go to Council for approval. This will apply to both the employee's place of residence (including Chief Executive Office's and Directors) and other developments. Applications of this kind should be dealt with by a private sector contractor or an appropriate qualified officer from another local government at Council's cost. This will diminish the perception of the application being given favourable treatment by a fellow officer of the local government. Selection of the contractor or officer of another local government would need to be done carefully to remove the possibility of allegation."

Accordingly, the application for a building licence for the single dwelling and shed were referred to a Contract Building Surveyor for assessment.

<u>Financial Implications:</u> There are no financial implications to Council related to

this application.

Strategic Implications: Apart from the Policy/Work Procedure, as discussed

above, there are no Strategic Implications related to this

proposal.

Comment:

General

The dwelling is to be constructed using eco block walls and colorbond roof sheeting. The shed is to be constructed of steel frame and clad with colorbond sheeting. The colorbond sheeting is consistent with the surrounding developments of the area and will have no impact on the neighbouring lots.

<u>Local Planning Policy (LPP) No. 17 Residential and Incidental Development within</u> Serpentine-Jarrahdale Shire

The proposed dwelling has been assessed in accordance with LPP 17. All proposed setbacks and size requirements comply with LPP 17. Further, the proposed development is located outside of the building exclusion zone.

Building Licence

The building licence is currently being assessed by the Shire's consultant Building Surveyor. At the time of writing this report, the formal assessment had not been completed. It is not expected that there will be any issues with the plans and it is recommended that the Director Development Services be authorised to issue the building licence, subject to no adverse comments being received from the contractor during the assessment of the plans.

Voting Requirements: ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

OCM014/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Brown, seconded Cr Geurds

Council authorises the Director Development Services to issue a Building Licence for a Single Dwelling and Shed at Lot 241 Cardup Siding Road, Byford, subject to no adverse comments being received from the Shire's Contract Building Surveyor. CARRIED 10/0

9. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

OCM016/11/09	NFORMATION REPORT
Proponent	Joanne Abbiss - Chief In Brief
	Executive Officer
Officer	Lisa Fletcher - Personal Information Report.
	Assistant to the Chief
	Executive Officer
Signatures - Author:	
Senior Officer:	Joanne Abbiss - Chief
	Executive Officer
Date of Report	16 November 2009
Previously	
Disclosure of Interest	
Delegation	Council

OCM016.1/11/09 COMMON SEAL REGISTER REPORT – OCTOBER 2009

The Common Seal Register Report for the month of October 2009 as per Council Policy G905 - Use of Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Common Seal is with the **attachments marked OCM016.1/11/09**

OCM16.2/11/09 POLICY FORUM – 3 NOVEMBER 2009

The following items were discussed at the 3 November 2009 Policy Forum:

Topic / Subject
Presentations
Ward and Delegate update
YMCA Overview
Use of Mundijong Hall
Strategic Planning
Pipeline Corridor Project
Update on South West Biodiversity Project and Reserve Management Plans
Statutory Planning
Planning and Compliance update
Proposed Seedling Farm – Lot 11 Gossage Road, Oldbury
Proposed Serpentine Jarrahdale Community Resource Centre
Update on Byford IGA
Lots 1 & 2 Rowley Road Local Structure Plan
Byford Town Centre and The Glades Local Structure Plan
Issues / Clearing House & Report on Progress
Report on progress of Council and Committee resolutions
Report on Councillor correspondence

OCM016.3/11/09

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION (WALGA) SOUTH EAST METROPOLITAN ZONE AGENDA – 25 NOVEMBER 2009 (A1164)

In the attachments marked OCM016.3/11/09 (IN09/15229) is the agenda of the South East Metropolitan Zone Meeting to be held on 25 November 2009.

OCM016.4/11/09 WALGA PEEL ZONE AGENDA – 26 NOVEMBER 2009 (A1164)

In the attachments marked OCM016.4/11/09 (IN09/15464) is the agenda of the Peel Zone Meeting to be held on 26 November 2009.

OCM016.5/11/09 WALGA STATE COUNCIL AGENDA – 2 DECEMBER 2009 (A1164)

In the electronic attachments marked OCM016.5/11/09 (IN09/15307) is the agenda of the WALGA State Council meeting and Appendices marked OCM016.5a/11/09 (IN09/15307), OCM016.5b/11/09, OCM016.5c/11/09 and OCM016.5d/11/09 (IN09/15308) to be held on 2 December 2009.

OCM016/11/09 COUNCIL DECISION/Officer Recommended Resolution:

Moved Cr Harris, seconded Cr Hoyer
The Information Report to 20 November 2009 is received.
CARRIED 10/0

10.	URGENT BUSINESS:
Nil	
11.	COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN:
Nil	
12.	CLOSURE:

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 9.47pm.

I certify that these minutes were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 21 December 2009.	
Presiding Member	

13. INFORMATION REPORT – COMMITTEE DELEGATED AUTHORITY:

SD066/11/09 PROPOSED RURAL USE (SEEDLING FARM) AND CONVERSION OF			
EXISTING SINGLE DWELLING TO CARETAKER'S DWELLING – LOT 11			
(553)	(553) GOSSAGE ROAD, OLDBURY (P00249/02)		
Proponent:	Esam Williams	In Brief	
Owner:	Feegate Pty Ltd		
Author:	Michael Daymond - Senior	The applicant seeks approval to	
	Planner	establish a Rural Use (Seedling	
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director	Farm) on the subject property and	
	Development Services	convert the existing single dwelling	
Date of Report	13 October 2009	onto a Caretaker's Dwelling. It is	
Previously	NA	recommended that the application	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	be conditionally approved.	
Interest	preparation of this report is		
	required to declare an interest in		
	accordance with the provisions		
	of the Local Government Act		
Delegation	Committee – in accordance		
	with resolution		
	CGAM064/02/08		

SD066/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

- A. That Council resolve not to support the revised site plan dated August 2009 (drawing DA.09) demonstrating a reduced front setback to the vehicles and equipment store from Boomerang Road and a reduced side setback from the seedling multispan greenhouses to the western property boundary for the following reasons:
 - 1. The proposed setbacks do not comply with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale's Local Planning Policy No.17 Residential and Incidental Development within Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire in relation to front and rear setbacks within the Rural zone.
 - 2. The reduced setbacks are likely to result in an increased visual amenity impact on the surrounding locality.
- B. The application for planning approval for a seedling farm and conversion of the existing single dwelling to a Caretaker's Dwelling at Lot 11 (553) Gossage Road, Oldbury be approved subject to the following conditions:

PLANNING

- 1. The following modifications being made to the site plan dated 9 July 2009 (drawing DA.01) to the satisfaction of the Director Development Services, prior to the issue of a building licence:
 - a) The setback from the access way off Gossage Road and the eastern property boundary to be increased to a minimum of 10.0 metres.
 - b) The setback from the access way on the northern side of the heated celery houses and the northern property boundary to be increased to a minimum of 10.0 metres.
- 2. The original dwelling existing on the property at the time of issue of this approval is now classified as a Caretaker's Dwelling.
- 3. The dwelling now classified as a Caretaker's Dwelling may only be occupied by persons having the care of the building, plant, equipment or grounds associated with an industry, business, office or recreation area carried on or existing on the same site.

- 4. In relation to condition 3. above, a Notification under Section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act 1893 must be registered over the certificate of title to the land, the subject of the proposed development, prior to issue of a Building Licence to notify owners and prospective purchasers of the land that restrictions apply to the use of the Caretaker's Dwelling as stipulated in condition 2. The Section 70A Notification shall be prepared by the Shire's solicitors to the satisfaction of the Serpentine Jarrahdale Shire and all costs of and incidental to the preparation of and registration of the Section 70A Notification including the Shire's solicitors' costs shall be met by the applicant or the owner of the land.
- 5. Development shall be in accordance with the approved plans except as otherwise required by a condition of this approval.
- 6. A detailed fencing plan, specifying the lengths, heights and proposed materials to be used, of all fencing on site to be submitted for approval to the satisfaction of the Director Development Services prior to the commencement of works
- 7. No vehicle associated with the operation of the seedling farm is permitted to stand on any road verge or street at any time.
- 8. No retail sales to be conducted from the subject premises.
- 9. A separate application for planning approval including a plan or description of all signs for the proposed development (including signs painted on the building) shall be submitted and approved by the Director Development Services prior to the erection of any signage on the site.
- 10. No signs are to be displayed in the road reserve at any time.
- 11. The location of external fans, air conditioners and the like shall be to the satisfaction of the Director Development Services and installed to prevent loss of amenity to the area by its appearance, noise, emission or otherwise.
- 12. A minimum of 73 car parking spaces are to be provided on site.
- 13. All external lighting to be hooded and oriented so that the light source is not directly visible to the travelling public or abutting residences.
- 14. Only materials and colours identified in the schedule of colours, materials and finishes, attached to and forming part of this approval, are to be used in the construction of the buildings unless the prior written approval of the Shire is obtained for an alternative.
- 15. Operating hours are restricted to 7:00am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday only with security and irrigation surveillance only. No works are to be undertaken on Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays.

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

- 16. Control measures to prevent the breeding of mosquito and midge shall be undertaken in any open stormwater system or waterbody.
- 17. The development is to comply with the Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997 at all times,
- 18. In the event that noise levels generated from the property exceed the Environmental Protection (Noise) regulations, the applicant will be required to prepare an acoustic assessment by a suitably qualified person within 60 days of receipt of notice of such a breach by the Shire, and shall implement the amelioration measures recommended in the acoustic assessment within a timeframe specified by the Shire.
- 19. An application for an effluent disposal system suitable for the site shall be lodged with the Shire, for approval by the Shire and the Department of Health, prior to the issue of a building licence.

ENVIRONMENTAL

- 20. An Environmental Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Shire and the Department of Water prior to commencement of construction activities.
- 21. In carrying out the development the approved Environmental Management Plan must be complied with at all times.
- 22. A Landscape and Vegetation Management Plan shall be submitted for approval within 28 days of development approval being issued.
- 23. Landscaping is to be established in accordance with the approved plans by 30 September 2010 and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of the Director Strategic Community Planning.
- 24. The storage of chemicals, pesticides and other toxic or hazardous substances on site is to be in accordance with the Department of Water's Water Quality Protection Note 65 (April 2006) Toxic and Hazardous Substances Storage and Use.

ENGINEERING

- 25. A Stormwater Management Plan being prepared for the subject development to the satisfaction of and approval by the Shire prior to the issue of a building licence. All stormwater to thereafter be disposed of in accordance with the approved Stormwater Management Plan.
- 26. No polluted waters, including but not limited to waste waters or turbid stormwater arising from the existing or proposed development or use of this land, shall be discharged into the stormwater drainage system or any water course.
- 27. The applicant providing a geotechnical report certifying that any filling or backfilling has been adequately compacted.
- 28. A Geotechnical Report covering the area affected by development on the lot being submitted by a professional engineer (structural) to the satisfaction of the Shire prior to the issue of a building licence and before the commencement or carrying out of any work or use authorised by this approval.
- 29. The design of the car parking facilities and access ways to the car parking shall comply with the relevant Australian Standards and Regulations.
- 30. The vehicle parking access(s), access way(s) and crossover(s) shall be designed, constructed, sealed, kerbed, drained, line marked and thereafter maintained in accordance with the approved plan and specification to the satisfaction of the Director Engineering prior to the occupation of the development for the use hereby permitted.
- 31. Crossovers to be designed constructed in accordance with Serpentine Jarrahdale standard industrial crossover specifications and be located to the satisfaction Shire.
- 32. The egress portion of Gossage Road, perpendicular to Gossage Road, shall be modified 15 metres either side of the Light Industrial Concrete Crossover centre line with 50mm asphalt surfacing and shall be a minimum of 8.0m wide and match existing diving surface levels to the satisfaction of the Shire.
- 33. The egress portion of Boomerang Road, perpendicular to Boomerang Road, shall be modified 15 metres either side of the Light Industrial Concrete Crossover centre line with 50mm asphalt surfacing and shall be a minimum of 8.0m wide and match existing diving surface levels to the satisfaction of the Shire.
- 34. A maximum speed limit of 20 kilometres per hour shall be applied to all internal roadways and vehicle access ways and signs in this regard shall be displayed at the entrances to the site and adjacent to the location of the sheds.
- 35. A Traffic Management Plan shall be prepared by the proponent and approved by the Shire and subsequently implemented prior to the

commencement of and during any work. The traffic management plan is to address any conflicting road use.

EMERGENCY SERVICES

- 36. A Fire Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Shire prior to commencement of construction activities.
- 37. In carrying out the development the approved Fire Management Plan must be complied with at all times.

Advice Notes:

- 1. The applicant is advised that the clearing associated with the proposed nursery will require a clearing permit application to submitted to the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) for assessment. It is recommended that the proponent contact the Native Vegetation Conservation Branch of the DEC on 9219 8706 for further information.
- 2. In relation to condition 20 the Environmental Management Plan must address, but not be limited to, the following areas of information:
 - a) Operation of the farm, including the proposed irrigation scheme and nutrient application;
 - b) Detailed drainage designs and controls;
 - c) Proposed wastewater management;
 - d) Water quality monitoring and reporting;
 - e) Visual impact of the development;
 - f) Geology, geomorphology and soils;
 - g) Hydrology;
 - h) Vegetation and flora;
 - i) Fauna; and
 - j) Ecological linkages.
- 3. In relation to condition 22 the Landscape and Vegetation Management Plan shall include:
 - a) Identification of significant vegetation to be retained, detailing the species, size and location of this vegetation in consultation with Shire officers to the satisfaction of the Director Strategic Community Planning;
 - b) A description of the measures to be used to protect existing remnant vegetation from the impacts of the development;
 - c) The replacement of vegetation cleared by planting new vegetation in other areas;
 - d) A description of the replacement vegetation to be used, including the species type and a map showing their placement in the landscape;
 - e) The methods of stabilisation of areas that are destabilised by the development;
 - f) The planting of additional screening vegetation adjacent to all lot boundaries and details of any earth bunds to be established; and
 - g) A species list and the number of each plant species to be used.
- 4. Pavement thickness shall be in accordance with the Road design requirements set out in accordance with 3.4.6 Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale Engineering Standards for Subdivisional Developments 2003.
- 5. The applicant is advised to liaise with the Water Corporation at the preliminary planning stage to determine detailed planning requirements as this area could be prone to future flooding.
- 6. The Water Corporation advises that this proposal will require Water Corporation Building Services approval prior to commencement of works and should be forwarded to the same. Headwork contributions and fees may be required to be paid prior to approval being issued.
- 7. The Department of Water advises that the project area is located within the Serpentine Groundwater Area, which is proclaimed under the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914. This means there is a requirement to obtain a

- Groundwater licence should groundwater draw be necessary for irrigating more than 0.2 hectares in size or for purposes other than for domestic uses.
- 8. The Department of Water advises that the proposal is located within the Peel-Harvey catchment and the provisions of the Environmental Protection (Peel Inlet Harvey Estuary) Policy 1992 and the Statement of Planning Policy No. 2.1 the Peel Harvey Coastal Plain Catchment (SPP 2.1) shall apply. In accordance with Provision 5.3 of SPP 2.1, land is to be managed to reduce or eliminate nutrient export from the land.
- 9. The subject site contains Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS). The disturbance of ASS in the subject area could have adverse changes to the quality of groundwater and the nearby waterways, leading to acidification of the water and damage to existing and future infrastructure resulting in increased development and maintenance costs. The applicant is advised to have management procedures in place to prevent the potentially unacceptable impacts associated with the disturbance of the ASS.
- 10. Water strategy and management issues should be addressed in accordance with the State Water Strategy 2003, State Water Plan 2007 and the Department of Water document 'Better Urban Water Management'.
- 11. Storage of toxic or hazardous chemicals, including chemicals, pesticides or fertilizers, should be located 2 metres above the maximum wet season groundwater table. The toxic or hazardous chemicals should be stored on an impermeable surface that is weatherproof and excludes stormwater runoff from other areas.

CARRIED 7/0

SD056/11/09 DEVE	LOPMENT SERVICES INFORM	ATION REPORT
Officer:	Jason Robertson – Manager	In Brief
	Building Services, Tony Turner	
	 Manager Health and Ranger 	Information report.
	Services and Simon Wilkes -	
	Executive Manager Planning	
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson - Director	
	Development Services	
Date of Report	21 October 2009	
Disclosure of		
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee – in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

SD056/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution

That the Development Services Information Report be received. CARRIED 7/0

SD057/11/09		ROPOSED SERVICE ENTRY FOR KEYSBROOK FIRE		
			OT 77 ELLIOT ROAD, KEYSBROOK	
	(Ի	RESERVE 45529) (RS0112/01)		
Proponent:		Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale	In Brief	
Owner:		Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale		
Author:		Helen Maruta - Planning	Application for service entry at the	
		Officer	Keysbrook Fire Station/Community	
Senior Officer:		Brad Gleeson - Director	Hall. It is recommended that the	
		Development Services	application be approved subject to	
Date of Report		29 October 2009	conditions.	
Previously		Nil		
Disclosure	of	No officer involved in the		
Interest		preparation of this report is		
		required to declare an interest		
		in accordance with the		
		provisions of the Local		
		Government Act		
Delegation		Committee in accordance		
		with resolution		
		CGAM064/02/08		

SD057/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the application for the development of a service entry at the Keysbrook Fire Station/Community Hall on Lot 77 Elliot Road, Keysbrook (Reserve 45529) be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All existing native trees on the subject lot and adjacent road verge shall be retained and shall be protected from damage prior to and during construction unless subject to an exemption provided within Town Planning Scheme No. 2 or the specific written approval of the Shire has been obtained for tree removal either through this planning approval or separately.
- 2. All storm water to be disposed of within the property. Direct disposal of storm water onto the road, neighbouring properties, watercourses and drainage lines is prohibited.

Advice Notes

- 1. The Service Entry is not to be located within 1.2 metres of a septic tank or 1.8 metres of a leach drain, or other such setbacks as required by relevant Legislation for other types of effluent disposal systems.
- 2. A building licence is required to be issued prior to commencement of development including earthworks.

SD061/11/09 PROPOSED OVERSIZE OUTBUILDING OUTSIDE THE BUILDING		
ENVE	,	L LANE, MUNDIJONG (P00525/04)
Proponent:	Darren & Tenille Walters	In Brief
Owner:	As Above	
Officer:	Helen Maruta - Planning	Application for the construction of an
	Officer	oversize outbuilding. Approval
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson - Director	subject to conditions is
	Development Services	recommended.
Date of Report	12 October 2009	
Previously	Nil	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

SD061/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the application for approval to commence development for an oversized outbuilding on Lot 7 (72) Pure Steel Lane, Mundijong be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All existing native trees on the subject lot and adjacent road verge shall be retained and shall be protected from damage prior to and during construction unless subject to an exemption provided within Town Planning Scheme No. 2 or the specific written approval of the Shire has been obtained for tree removal either through this planning approval or separately.
- 2. All storm water to be disposed of within the property. Direct disposal of storm water onto the road, neighbouring properties, watercourses and drainage lines is prohibited.

Advice Notes

- 1. The outbuilding is not to be located within 1.2 metres of a septic tank or 1.8 metres of a leach drain, or other such setbacks as required by relevant Legislation for other types of effluent disposal systems.
- 2. A building licence is required to be issued prior to the commencement of development including earthworks.

	POSED OVERSIZE OUTBUILDII PENTINE (P02359/03)	NG - LOT 11 (60) GULL ROAD,
Proponent:	Walter James Johnson	In Brief
Owner:	As Above	
Officer:	Helen Maruta - Planning	Planning application for the
	Officer	construction of an oversize
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson - Director	outbuilding. It is recommended that
	Development Services	the application be conditionally
Date of Report	12 October 2009	approved.
Previously	Nil	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

SD062/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the application for approval to commence development for an oversized outbuilding on Lot 11 (60) Gull Road, Serpentine be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All existing native trees on the subject lot and adjacent road verge shall be retained and shall be protected from damage prior to and during construction unless subject to an exemption provided within Town Planning Scheme No. 2 or the specific written approval of the Shire has been obtained for tree removal either through this planning approval or separately.
- 2. All storm water to be disposed of within the property. Direct disposal of storm water onto the road, neighbouring properties, watercourses and drainage lines is prohibited.
- 3. The shed is not to be used for any commercial or industrial purpose (including home occupation), the parking of a commercial vehicle or the stabling of horses or other livestock unless the written approval of the Shire has first been obtained.

Advice Notes:

- 1. The outbuilding is not to be located within 1.2 metres of a septic tank or 1.8 metres of a leach drain, or other such setbacks as required by relevant Legislation for other types of effluent disposal systems.
- 2. A building license is required to be issued prior to the commencement of development including earthworks.

SD063/11/09 PROPOSED OVERHEIGHT OUTBUILDING - LOT 15 (144) LEFROY STREET, SERPENTINE (P02171/03)		
		La Drief
Proponent:	Dawn & Matthew Prout	In Brief
Owner:	As Above	
Officer:	Helen Maruta - Planning	The applicant seeks planning
	Officer	approval for an over height shed. It is
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson - Director	recommended that the application be
	Development Services	conditionally approved.
Date of Report	13 October 2009	
Previously	Nil	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

SD063/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the application for approval to commence development for an over height outbuilding on Lot 15 (144) Lefroy Street, Serpentine be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All existing native trees on the subject lot and adjacent road verge shall be retained and shall be protected from damage prior to and during construction unless subject to an exemption provided within Town Planning Scheme No. 2 or the specific written approval of the Shire has been obtained for tree removal either through this planning approval or separately.
- 2. All storm water to be disposed of within the property. Direct disposal of storm water onto the road, neighbouring properties, watercourses and drainage lines is prohibited.
- 3. The shed is not to be used for any commercial or industrial purpose (including home occupation), the parking of a commercial vehicle or the stabling of horses or other livestock unless the written approval of the Shire has first been obtained.

Advice Notes:

- 1. The outbuilding is not to be located within 1.2 metres of a septic tank or 1.8 metres of a leach drain, or other such setbacks as required by relevant Legislation for other types of effluent disposal systems.
- 2. A building license is required to be issued prior to the commencement of development including earthworks.

SD064/11/09 PROPOSED OVERSIZE OUTBUILDING (GARAGE) - LOT 1 (31) ADONIS		
STRE	ET, MUNDIJONG (P00300/04)	
Proponent:	Outdoor World Rockingham	In Brief
Owner:	M Mumford & D Greene	
Officer:	Casey Rose - Planning	Application for the construction of
	Assistant	oversize and overheight outbuilding.
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson - Director	Approval subject to conditions is
	Development Services	recommended.
Date of Report	26 October 2009	
Previously	None	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

SD064/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the proposed overheight and oversize garage at Lot 1 Adonis Street, Mundijong be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All storm water is to be disposed of via soakwells or the use of storm water retention/re-use methods such as rainwater tanks or the grading of hardstand areas to lawns and garden beds. Direct disposal of storm water onto the road or neighbouring properties is not permitted.
- 2. The garage is not to be located within 1.2 metres of a septic tank or 1.8 metres of a leach drain, or other such setbacks as required by relevant Legislation for other types of effluent disposal systems. Please contact Council's Health Services for setbacks and requirements to other systems.
- 3. A schedule of materials and colours shall be submitted for approval prior to the issue of a building licence.

Advice Notes:

1. A building license is required to be issued prior to the commencement of development including earthworks.

SD065/11/09 PROPOSED SINGLE DWELLING (DISPLAY HOME) – LOT 688 SPEARMINT DRIVE, BYFORD (P08184/02)		
Proponent: Owner:	Ventura ID Byford Central Pty Ltd	In Brief
Author:	Michael Daymond – Senior Planner	The applicant seeks approval for a Single Dwelling (Display Home) that
Senior Officer:	Brad Gleeson – Director Development Services	involves variations to the setbacks required by Detailed Area Plan No.6
Date of Report	21 October 2009	for the Byford Central Estate.
Previously	NA	
Disclosure of Interest	No officer involved in the preparation of this report is required to declare an interest in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Act	It is recommended that the application be conditionally approved.
Delegation	Committee – in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

SD065/11/09 Committee Decision/Officer Recommended Resolution:

That Council approves the application for approval to commence development of a Single Dwelling (Display Home) on Lot 688 Spearmint Drive, Byford subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The garage shall be setback 1.0 metre from the rear boundary of the property.
- 2. Clothes line and rubbish bin storage area to be screened from public view.
- 3. A paved bin pad is to be provided inside the lot boundary abutting the boundary to the rear lane for use on bin pick-up days to prevent the lane being blocked by bins.
- 4. The mail box for the dwelling is to be located on the Spearmint Drive frontage of the lot.
- 5. All stormwater is to be disposed of via the silt trap connection point provided or the use of stormwater retention/re-use methods such as rainwater tanks or the grading of hardstand areas to lawns and garden beds. Direct disposal of stormwater onto the road or neighbouring properties is not permitted.

Advice Note:

1. The Residential Design Codes require that at least two thirds of the outdoor living area shall not have a permanent cover to ensure passive solar penetration to the area.

CGAM029/11/09	MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPOR	T – OCTOBER 2009 (A0924/07)
Proponent:	Shire Serpentine Jarrahdale	In Brief
Owner:	Not applicable	
Author:	Belinda van de Linde -	To receive the October 2009 Monthly
	Developer Contribution	Financial Report.
	Finance Officer	
Senior Officer:	Alan Hart – Director Corporate	
	Services	
Date of Report	21 October 2009	
Previously	Not Applicable	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

CGAM029/11/09 Committee Decision / Officer Recommended Resolution:

That Council receives the Monthly Financial Report for October 2009, in accordance with Section 6.4 of the Local Government Act 1995.

CARRIED 7/0

CGAM030/11/09	CONFIRMATION OF PAYMENT	OF CREDITORS (A0917)
Proponent:	Not Applicable	In Brief
Owner:	Not Applicable	
Author:	Joanne Egitto - Finance Officer	To confirm the creditor payments
Senior Officer:	Alan Hart - Director Corporate	made during the period of 21
	Services	September 2009 to 19 October 2009.
Date of Report	19 October 2009	
Previously	Not Applicable	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest	
	in accordance with the	
	provisions of the Local	
	Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance	
	with resolution	
	CGAM064/02/08	

CGAM030/11/09 Committee Decision / Officer Recommended Resolution:

That Council receives the payments authorised under delegated authority and detailed in the list of invoices for period of 21 September 2009 to 19 October 2009, presented as per the summaries set out above include Creditors yet to be paid and in accordance with the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996. CARRIED 7/0

CGAM033/11/09	INFORMATION REPORT	
Proponent:	Not Applicable	In Brief
Owner:	Not Applicable	
Author:	Various	To receive the information report
Senior Officer:	Alan Hart - Director Corporate	to 27 October 2009.
	Services	
Date of Report	27 October 2009	
Previously	Not Applicable	
Disclosure of	No officer involved in the	
Interest	preparation of this report is	
	required to declare an interest in	
	accordance with the provisions	
	of the Local Government Act	
Delegation	Committee in accordance with	
	resolution CGAM064/02/08	

CGAM033/11/09 Committee Decision / Officer Recommended Resolution:

That the Information Report to 27 October 2009 be received. CARRIED 7/0

NOTE:

- a) The Council Committee Minutes Item numbers may be out of sequence. Please refer to Section 10 of the Agenda Information Report Committee Decisions Under Delegated Authority for these items.
- b) Declaration of Councillors and Officers Interest is made at the time the item is discussed.